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## 1. Introduction

We study in this paper the decay to zero of solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+\dot{u}+f(u)=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a nonlinear $C^{1}$ function satisfying $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0, r f(r)>0$ for $r \neq 0$, and $\int_{0}^{r} f(s) d s \rightarrow \infty$ as $|r| \rightarrow \infty$. These conditions ensure that every solution of (1) tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Under quite mild additional assumptions on $f$ we give a reasonably complete description of the asymptotic behaviour of all solutions of (1). Because $f^{\prime}(0)=0$ the rate of decay of solutions cannot be determined by linearization. Our assumptions are satisfied, for example, by

$$
f(u)=|u|^{\alpha-1}|\log | u| |^{\beta} u,
$$

where $\alpha>1$ and $\beta$ are constants, and by finite sums of functions of this type.
The results are typified and motivated by the case $f(u)=u^{3}$, which may be regarded as the special case $a=0$ of the damped Duffing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+\dot{u}+a u+u^{3}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the introduction we concentrate on this example. One application where (2) arises is in damped motion of an extensible elastic rod with hinged ends; a crude model of this has been studied by Ball [1-3] and consists of the initial-boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{w}+\dot{w}+w_{x x x x}-\left(\beta+\frac{2}{\pi^{4}} \int_{0}^{1} w_{\xi}^{2}(\xi, t) d \xi\right) w_{x x}=0, \\
& w=w_{x x}=0 \quad \text { at } x=0,1,  \tag{3}\\
& w(x, 0)=w_{0}(x), \quad \dot{w}(x, 0)=w_{1}(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

In (3) $w(x, t)$ is the transverse deflection and $\beta$ is a constant proportional to the tensile axial load induced when the rod is constrained to lie straight. If $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$


Fig. 1a-f
are scalar multiples of $\sin \pi x$, the solution of (3) has the form $w(x, t)=u(t) \sin \pi x$, where $u$ satisfies (2) with $a=\pi^{2}\left(\pi^{2}+\beta\right)$. It follows that $a<0, a=0, a>0$ according as $\beta<-\pi^{2}, \beta=-\pi^{2}, \beta>-\pi^{2}$. The critical case $a=0$ in which we are interested corresponds to the situation when the axial load is compressive and exactly equal to the Euler load of the rod. In Fig. 1 are shown the $(u, \dot{u})$ phase plane diagrams for (2) corresponding to the values $a=-0.5, a=-0.16, a=0, a=0.125$, $a=0.25$ and $a=5$. When $a<0$ there are 3 equilibrium points, namely $u= \pm(-a)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $u=0$, the first two being stable and $u=0$ being unstable. The stable manifold of $u=0$ forms a separatrix, the unstable manifold consisting of two Lyapunov stable orbits connecting 0 to $\pm(-a)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Convergence to each equilibrium point is exponential. When $a>0$ the only equilibrium point is $u=0$, convergence to it again being exponential. The local phase portrait is easily obtained by linearization. For $0<a<\frac{1}{4}, u=0$ is a node with two asymptotic directions, namely the lines $\dot{u}=m_{ \pm} u$, where $m_{ \pm}=-\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-4 a}}{2}$. These directions coincide when $a=\frac{1}{4}$. For $a>\frac{1}{4}, u=0$ is a focus. (Most of these facts are proved in [3].)

In the case $a=0$ we show that $u=0$ is a node with two asymptotic directions, exactly as for the case $0<a<\frac{1}{4}$. There are precisely two solutions approaching zero with slope -1 , convergence being exponential. These two solutions correspond to the stable manifold of $u=0$ in the case $a<0$, and for $0<a<\frac{1}{4}$ they correspond to the two solutions approaching zero with slope $m_{-}$. All other solutions approach zero tangential to the $u$-axis, and have asymptotic form

$$
u(t)= \pm\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{2}} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \log t+O\left(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)\right]
$$

In particular every nonzero solution satisfies $u \dot{u}<0$ eventually (this may be proved also for $0<a \leqq \frac{1}{4}$ by the method of Theorem 2.2).

For general $f$ the situation is qualitatively the same. Under our assumptions there are two exponential solutions, while all other solutions have asymptotic form

$$
u(t)=U(t)+f(U(t)) \log |f(U(t))|+O(f(U(t)))
$$

where $U$ satisfies

$$
\dot{U}+f(U)=0, \quad U(0)= \pm 1
$$

Finally we note that, among other applications, equation (1) governs the decay of travelling wave solutions $u(\xi), \xi=x-c t, c>0$, to the nonlinear diffusion equation

$$
u_{t}=u_{x x}+f(u)
$$

## 2. General Behaviour of Solutions

We consider equation (1) under the following hypotheses on $f$ :
H1. $f$ is continuously differentiable.
H2. $r f(r) \geqq 0$ for all $r$, with $f(r)=0$ if and only if $r=0$.
H3. $\quad F(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|r| \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$
F(r)=\int_{0}^{r} f(s) d s
$$

For $\phi, \psi \in \mathscr{R}$ we define $V(\psi, \phi)=\frac{1}{2} \phi^{2}+F(\psi)$.

Theorem 2.1. For any real $u_{0}$, $u_{1}$ there exists a unique solution $u(t)$ to (1) which is defined for all $t \in \mathscr{R}$, is three times continuously differentiable, and satisfies $u(0)=u_{0}$, $\dot{u}(0)=u_{1}$. Furthermore $u$ and $\dot{u}$ tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Local existence and uniqueness follows from standard theorems on ordinary differential equations. If $u$ satisfies (1) locally in $t$ then

$$
\dot{V}(u, \dot{u})=-\dot{u}^{2}
$$

so that by H3 both $u$ and $\dot{u}$ are bounded for $t \geqq 0$. Standard results now imply that $u$ exists for all $t \geqq 0$. Existence for all $t \leqq 0$ follows similarly from the inequality $\dot{V} \geqq-2 V$. For the last assertion of the theorem see Hale [5, p. 298].

Next we show that $u$ does not oscillate.
Theorem 2.2. Let $f^{\prime}(0)=0$. Then either $u \equiv 0$ or $u \dot{u}<0$ for large enough $t$.
Proof. Let $v=e^{t / 2} u$ and suppose that $u$ is not identically zero. Then

$$
\ddot{v}-\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{f(u)}{u}\right) v=0
$$

so that, by Theorem $2.1, \ddot{v} v \geqq 0$ for large enough $t$. Hence $v \dot{v}$ has only finitely many roots, so that $u$ is eventually strictly positive or negative. But (1) implies that $\ddot{u}$ has the opposite sign to $u$ when $\dot{u}=0$. Since $u \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $u \dot{u}<0$ for large enough $t$.

From now on we assume that $u>0$ for large enough $t$; in particular $u$ is not the zero solution. In the rest of the paper we will make certain assumptions on the behaviour of $f(r)$ for positive $r$. Analogous assumptions on the behaviour of $f(r)$ for negative $r$ lead to corresponding results for solutions $u$ of (1) satisfying $u<0$ for large enough $t$. If $f$ is odd the behaviour of these solutions can be obtained trivially from that of the eventually positive ones.

Lemma 2.3. Let $f^{\prime}(0)=0$. Then $\ddot{u} / \dot{u}$ tends either to 0 or to -1 as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Let $q=\ddot{u} / u$. Differentiating (1) with respect to $t$ we obtain the Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{q}+q+q^{2}=g(t), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(t)=-f^{\prime}(u(t))$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 2.2, $q(t)>-1$ for large enough $t$. Also $q(t)$ is bounded for $t \geqq 0$, since if not there would exist a sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ with $q\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty, \dot{q}\left(t_{n}\right)>0$, which contradicts (4). It is also clear from (4) that if $C \neq 0,1$ the equation $q(t)=C$ has at most finitely many positive roots. It follows that $q$ tends to a limit, which by (4) must be 0 or -1 .

Theorem 2.4. Let $f^{\prime}(0)=0$, and let $U$ denote the solution of the initial-value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{U}+f(U)=0, \quad U(0)=1 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then as $t \rightarrow \infty$ either

$$
\frac{\log u(t)}{t} \rightarrow-1
$$

or

$$
\xrightarrow[t]{U^{-1}(u(t))} \rightarrow 1
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $\ddot{u} / \dot{u} \rightarrow-1$ or 0 . In either case, by L'Hospital's rule,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ddot{u}}{\dot{u}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dot{u}}{u}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{\log u}}{\dot{t}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log u}{t} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

But if $\ddot{u} / \dot{u} \rightarrow 0$, then $\dot{u} / f(u) \rightarrow-1$, so that again by L'Hospital's rule

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1}^{u} \frac{1}{f(r)} d r}{t}=-1
$$

Since $U^{-1}(u(t))=-\int_{1}^{u(t)} \frac{1}{f(r)} d r$, the result follows at once.

## 3. The Exponential Solution

In this section we establish the existence of a solution $u$ (which is unique up to parametrization) satisfying the first possibility of Theorem 2.4, namely such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dot{u}}{u}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log u}{t}=-1$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f^{\prime}(0)=0$. There exist numbers $\delta>0, \delta_{1}>0$ such that for any $y_{0} \in\left[-\delta_{1}, 0\right)$ there is a unique solution $u(t)=u\left(t, y_{0}\right)$ to (1), which is defined for $t \in \mathscr{R}$, satisfies $|u(t)|+|\dot{u}(t)|<\delta$ for all $t \geqq 0$, and is such that $\dot{u}\left(0, y_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dot{u}}{u}=-1$. Furthermore $u\left(0, y_{0}\right) \in C^{1}\left[-\delta_{1}, 0\right), \frac{d u}{d y_{0}}\left(0, y_{0}\right) \rightarrow-1$ as $y_{0} \rightarrow 0-$, and there exists a number $\sigma\left(y_{0}\right)>0$ such that, for any $\gamma \geqq 0, u$ has the asymptotic form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t)=\sigma e^{-t}\left[1+o\left(t^{-\gamma}\right)\right] \\
& \dot{u}(t)=-\sigma e^{-t}\left[1+o\left(t^{-\gamma}\right)\right] . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $x=\dot{u}, y=u+\dot{u}$, so that (1) reduces to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=-x-f(y-x), \\
& \dot{y}=-f(y-x) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The theorem is then a consequence of a result of Hartman [p. 296, Corollary 8.1, p.313] and Theorems 2.1, 2.2.

If $f$ satisfies extra conditions then more terms in the asymptotic expansion of $u$ may be obtained. For example, if $f(r)=O\left(r^{x}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ for some $\alpha>1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\sigma\left[e^{-t}+O\left(e^{-\alpha t}\right)\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Asymptotic Form of Other Solutions

We now consider solutions $u$ satisfying the second possibility given by Theorem 2.4, namely that $U^{-1}(u(t)) / t \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Before rigorously establishing
an asymptotic representation for these remaining solutions, we indicate briefly why this representation is to be expected. We seek a solution to (1) of the form $u=U+g$. Substituting this into (1), expanding the term $f(U+g)$ in a Taylor series, and neglecting $\ddot{g}$ and powers of $g$ greater than 1 , we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{g}+f^{\prime}(U) g=f^{\prime}(U) f(U) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has solution

$$
g(t)=C f(U)+f(U) \log f(U)
$$

where $C$ is an arbitrary constant. We thus expect $[u-U-f(U) \log f(U)] / f(U)$ to tend to a limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We now list various extra hypotheses on $f(r)$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ which we will need to establish this behaviour.

H4. $\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)^{\varepsilon} \log f(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ for all $\varepsilon>0$.
H 5. $\frac{f(r)}{r} \int_{r}^{1} \frac{1}{f(s)} d s=O(1)$.
H 6. $f \in C^{2}(0, \delta)$ for some $\delta>0$ and

$$
\frac{r^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(r)}{f(r)}=O(1)
$$

H7. $\frac{f(k r)}{f(r)} \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow 0+, k \rightarrow 1$.
Remarks. 1. Suppose that $f$ satisfies $f^{\prime}(0)=0$, the hypothesis H 6 , and the condition (weaker than H7)

$$
\limsup _{\substack{k \rightarrow 1 \\ r \rightarrow 0+}} \frac{f(k r)}{f(r)}<\infty
$$

Then $f$ satisfies H 7 , and in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r f^{\prime}(r)}{f(r)}=O(1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow 0+$. This follows from the representation

$$
\frac{f(k r)}{f(r)}=1+(k-1) \frac{r f^{\prime}(r)}{f(r)}+\frac{(k-1)^{2}}{2 \bar{k}^{2}} \frac{(\bar{k} r)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{k} r)}{f(\bar{k} r)} \frac{f(\bar{k} r)}{f(r)}
$$

where $|\bar{k}-1| \leqq|k-1|$.
2. If $f$ satisfies

$$
\left|\frac{r f^{\prime}(r)}{f(r)}-1\right| \geqq C>0
$$

for small enough $r>0$, where $C$ is a constant, then $f$ satisfies H5. To prove this it is sufficient to note that for large enough $t_{0}$ we have that

$$
\frac{t-t_{0}}{(U / \dot{U})(t)-(\dot{U} / \dot{U})\left(t_{0}\right)}=\frac{1}{1-\left(U \dot{U} / \dot{U}^{2}\right)\left(t^{*}\right)}
$$

where $t_{0} \leqq t^{*} \leqq t$.
3. Examples of functions $f$ satisfying all the hypotheses $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 7$ are given by

$$
f(r)=\left.|r|^{\alpha-1}|\log | r\right|^{\beta} r
$$

where $\alpha>1$ and $\beta$ are constants, and by finite sums of terms of this type: this is easy to verify using Remark 2.

Lemma 4.1. Let $f$ satisfy H 5 , and suppose that $\frac{U^{-1}(u(t))}{t} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\frac{u(t)}{U(t)} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and let $s_{n}=U^{-1}\left(u\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$. Then $\frac{s_{n}}{t_{n}} \rightarrow 1$ and we have to show that $\frac{U\left(s_{n}\right)}{U\left(t_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 1$. Let

$$
\varepsilon_{n}=\max \left(\left|\frac{s_{n}}{t_{n}}-1\right|,\left|\frac{t_{n}}{s_{n}}-1\right|\right)
$$

so that $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. For fixed $n$, if $s_{n} \geqq t_{n}$ then $U\left(s_{n}\right)=U\left(t_{n}\right)+\dot{U}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)\left(s_{n}-t_{n}\right)$ for some $\zeta_{n} \in\left[t_{n}, s_{n}\right]$. Therefore

$$
\left|\frac{U\left(s_{n}\right)}{U\left(t_{n}\right)}-1\right| \leqq \frac{f\left(U\left(\zeta_{n}\right)\right)}{U\left(\zeta_{n}\right)} \zeta_{n} \cdot \frac{t_{n}}{\zeta_{n}}\left(\frac{s_{n}}{t_{n}}-1\right) \frac{U\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}{U\left(t_{n}\right)} \leqq C \varepsilon_{n}
$$

where $C$ is a constant and we have used H5. If $t_{n} \geqq s_{n}$ we obtain by transposition

$$
\left|\frac{U\left(s_{n}\right)}{U\left(t_{n}\right)}-1\right| \leqq C \varepsilon_{n} \frac{U\left(s_{n}\right)}{U\left(t_{n}\right)},
$$

which implies that $\frac{U\left(s_{n}\right)}{U\left(t_{n}\right)}$ is bounded, the bound being independent of $n$. Thus
$U\left(s_{n}\right)$ $\frac{U\left(s_{n}\right)}{U\left(t_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 1$.

Next we prove a boundedness result for solutions of a second order linear ordinary differential equation. Although the result can be obtained from one of Bellman [4] via a transformation, this procedure is very involved. We therefore give a simpler proof.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $g=g(t)$ satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{g}+(1+a(t)) \dot{g}+\frac{b(t)}{t} g=h(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, h$ are continuous functions satisfying $a(t) \rightarrow 0, b(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and $\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{1-2 \varepsilon} h^{2}(t) d t<\infty$. Then $\frac{g(t)}{t^{\varepsilon}}$ is bounded for large $t$.

Proof. Let $E(t)=t^{-2 \varepsilon}\left[t \dot{g}^{2}+\varepsilon g^{2}\right]$. Then

$$
t^{2 \varepsilon} \dot{E}(t)=[1-2 \varepsilon-2 t(1+a)] \dot{g}^{2}-2 \varepsilon^{2} \frac{g^{2}}{t}+[2 \varepsilon-2 b(t)] g \dot{g}+2 t h(t) \dot{g}
$$

Using the inequalities

$$
2 g \dot{g}(\varepsilon-b) \leqq t \dot{g}^{2}(1+|b|)+\frac{\mathbf{g}^{2}}{t}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+|b|\right)
$$

and

$$
h(t) \dot{g} \leqq h^{2}(t)+\frac{\dot{g}^{2}}{4}
$$

we see that, for large enough $t$,

$$
\dot{E}(t) \leqq 2 t^{1-2 \varepsilon} h^{2}(t)
$$

from which the lemma follows.
Remark. Even if $h \equiv 0, g$ need not be bounded for $t>0$, as the example $a \equiv 0$, $b(t)=(1-t) / t \log t, g(t)=\log t$ shows.

Theorem 4.3. Let $f$ satisfy the hypotheses H1-H7. Let $\frac{U^{-1}(u(t))}{t} \rightarrow 1$. Then as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
[u-U-f(U) \log f(U)] / f(U) \rightarrow L
$$

for some constant $L$. Conversely, given any real constant $L$ there is a solution $u$ which has the above asymptotic form.

Proof. Let $u$ satisfy $\frac{U^{-1}(u(t))}{t} \rightarrow 1$, and write

Then for large enough $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=U-\dot{U} \log |\dot{U}|+\dot{U} g . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
f(u(t))= & f(U(t))+(\dot{U}(t) g(t)-\dot{U}(t) \log |\dot{U}(t)|) f^{\prime}(U(t))  \tag{14}\\
& +(\dot{U}(t) g(t)-\dot{U}(t) \log |\dot{U}(t)|)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(U^{*}(t)\right) / 2
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|U(t)-U^{*}(t)\right| \leqq|u(t)-U(t)|$. (Note that $U^{*}(t)>0$ by Lemma 4.1.) Substituting (13) and (14) into (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{g}(t)+(1+a(t)) \dot{g}(t)+\beta(t) g(t)+\gamma(t) g^{2}(t)=h(t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\frac{2 \ddot{U}}{\dot{U}}, \quad \beta=\frac{\ddot{U}}{\dot{U}}-\dot{U} \log |\dot{U}| f^{\prime \prime}\left(U^{*}\right), \quad \gamma=\dot{U} f^{\prime \prime}\left(U^{*}\right) / 2 \\
& h=\left(\frac{\dot{U}}{\dot{U}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\ddot{U}}{\dot{U}}+\frac{\ddot{U} \log |\dot{U}|}{\dot{U}}-\frac{\dot{U}(\log |\dot{U}|)^{2}}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(U^{*}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

We next estimate the behaviour as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the coefficients in (15). First, since $a(t)=-2 f^{\prime}(U(t))$ it follows from H4 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta= & f^{\prime \prime}(U) f(U)+f^{\prime}(U)^{2}+f(U) \log f(U) f^{\prime \prime}\left(U^{*}\right) \\
= & \frac{U^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(U)}{f(U)}\left(\frac{f(U)}{U}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{U f^{\prime}(U)}{f(U)}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{f(U)}{U}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{f^{2}(U) \log f(U)}{U^{2}}\left(\frac{U^{* 2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(U^{*}\right)}{f\left(U^{*}\right)}\right) \frac{f\left(U^{*}\right)}{f(U)}\left(\frac{U}{U^{*}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\frac{U(t)}{U^{*}(t)} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so that by H 7, $\frac{f\left(U^{*}(t)\right)}{f(U(t))} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Also, by H $5, \frac{t f(U(t))}{U(t)}$ is bounded. It then follows from $\mathrm{H} 4, \mathrm{H} 6$ and (11) that for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2-\varepsilon} \beta(t) \rightarrow 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(t)[U(t) / f(U(t))]^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded and that for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2-\varepsilon} h(t) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13), H4 and Lemma 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(U(t))}{U(t)} g(t) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $b(t)=t[\beta(t)+\gamma(t) g(t)]$. By (18), (19), (21), H4 and H5 we see that $b(t) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore by (17), (20) and Lemma 4.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t) / t^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { is bounded as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (22) back into (15) we find (using (18), (19) and (20)) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{g}(t)+(1+a(t)) \dot{g}(t)=H(t), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $H(t)$ a continuous function satisfying $t^{2-\varepsilon} H(t) \rightarrow 0$.
Solving (23) shows that $g(t)$ tends to a limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof that $[u-U-f(U) \log f(U)] / f(U) \rightarrow L$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some constant $L$. We now show that, given any $L$, there is a solution $u$ which has the above asymptotic form. If $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{g}(t)+(1+2 \ddot{U} / \dot{U}) \dot{g}(t)=Q(g(t), t) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{U} Q(g, t)=-\dot{U} f(U-\dot{U} \log |\dot{U}|+\dot{U} g)-\left(\frac{d}{d t}+\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\right)(U-\dot{U} \log |\dot{U}|)$, then $u$ satisfies (1), where $u$ is defined by (13). It will be sufficient to prove that (24) has a solution $g(t)$ with $g(t) \rightarrow L$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $C\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ be the set of bounded continuous functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ with the supremum norm. For $g \in C\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ define

$$
(T g)(t)=L-V(t) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{s} \dot{U}^{2}(s) Q(g(s), s) d s-\int_{t}^{\infty} V(s) e^{s} \dot{U}^{2}(s) Q(g(s), s) d s
$$

where $V(t)=\int_{i}^{\infty} e^{-s} \dot{U}^{-2}(s) d s$. A straightforward but tedious argument shows that there is a constant $C$ such that $T$ is a contraction on $\left\{g \in C\left[t_{0}, \infty\right):\|g-L\| \leqq C\right\}$ for large enough $t_{0}$, that the fixed point $g$ satisfies (24), and that $g(t) \rightarrow L$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We now present an alternative proof of the first part of Theorem 4.3 which Professor P. Hartman communicated to us and has kindly allowed us to use here. Assume initially that $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{H} 5$ and H 7 hold and that
H8. $\int_{0+} \frac{f^{\prime}(r)^{2}}{f(r)} d r<\infty$,
H9. $\int_{0+}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(r)\right| d r<\infty$,
these two new conditions being implied by $\mathrm{H} 4, \mathrm{H} 6$ and H 7 .
In what follows $C$ denotes a generic constant and $T$ is chosen so that $u(T)=1$. Integrating the equation

$$
\frac{-\dot{u}}{f(u)}=1+\frac{\ddot{u}}{f(u)}
$$

over [ $T, t$ ], integrating by parts, and using the condition $\dot{u} / f(u) \rightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{-1}(u(t)) & =t+C+\int_{T}^{t} \frac{\ddot{u}(s) d s}{f(u(s))} \\
& =t+C+o(1)+\int_{T}^{t} f^{\prime}(u)\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{f(u)}\right)^{2} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{f(u)}\right)^{2}=-\frac{\dot{u}}{f(u)}-\frac{\dot{u} \ddot{u}}{f^{2}(u)}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{-1}(u(t))=t+C+o(1)-\log f(u)-\int_{T}^{t} \frac{f^{\prime}(u) \dot{u} \ddot{u}}{f^{2}(u)} d s \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{t} \frac{f^{\prime}(u) \dot{u} \ddot{u}}{f^{2}(u)} d s=C+o(1)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{T}^{t} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(u) \dot{u}^{3}}{f^{2}(u)} d s+\int_{T}^{t} \frac{f^{\prime}(u) \dot{u}^{3}}{f^{3}(u)} d s \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both integrals in (26) are absolutely convergent by H 8 and H 9 , since, for example,

$$
\int_{T}^{t}\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(u) \dot{u}^{3}}{f^{2}(u)}\right| d s=-\int_{T}^{t}(1+o(1))\left|f^{\prime \prime}(u)\right| \dot{u} d s=\int_{u(t)}^{u(T)}(1+o(1))\left|f^{\prime \prime}(r)\right| d r .
$$

Thus

$$
u(t)=U(t+C-\log f(u(t))+o(1))
$$

and so by Lemma 4.1 and H 7 we obtain the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=U(t+C-\log f(U(t))+o(1)) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (27) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t)= & U(t)+(C-\log f(U(t))+o(1)) f(U(t)) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(C-\log f(U(t))+o(1))^{2} f\left(U\left(t^{*}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(U\left(t^{*}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t^{*} \in[t, t+C-\log f(U(t))+o(1)]$. By using H4, H5, H7 and (11) it can now easily be shown that $[u-U-f(U) \log f(U)] / f(U)$ tends to a limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

In the special case $f(r)=|r|^{\alpha-1} r, \alpha>1$, we obtain from Theorem 4.3 the asymptotic form

$$
u(t)=a_{1}(\alpha) t^{1 / 1-\alpha}-a_{2}(\alpha) t^{\alpha / 1-\alpha} \log t+t^{\alpha / 1-\alpha}(C+o(1))
$$

where $a_{1}(\alpha)=(\alpha-1)^{1 / 1-\alpha}, a_{2}(\alpha)=\alpha(\alpha-1)^{(2 x-1) /(1-\alpha)}$, and $C$ is a constant.
Further terms in the asymptotic expansion may be obtained in an essentially similar way. In the case $f(r)=r^{3}$, for example, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t)= & \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{3 t^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{4 \sqrt{2}} \log t+C t^{-\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{27 t^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{32 \sqrt{2}}(\log t)^{2}-\frac{9 t^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{8 \sqrt{2}} \log t \\
& +\left(\frac{15}{8 \sqrt{2}}+\frac{15 C}{4}+\frac{3 C^{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) t^{-\frac{5}{2}}+o\left(t^{-\frac{5}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Only one free parameter $C$ appears in this and similar expansions. The other free parameter anticipated in a second order equation parametrizes exponentially small terms.
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