

D-critical loci, and categorification of Donaldson–Thomas theory using perverse sheaves

Lecture 2 of 3

Dominic Joyce, Oxford University

January 2014

Based on: arXiv:1304.4508, arXiv:1211.3259,
and arXiv:1312.0090.

Joint work with Oren Ben-Bassat, Chris Brav, Vittoria Bussi,
Delphine Dupont, and Balázs Szendrői. Funded by the EPSRC.

Plan of talk:

- 4 D-critical loci
- 5 D-critical stacks
- 6 Categorification using perverse sheaves
- 7 Algebraic structures on perverse sheaves
- 8 'Fukaya categories' of complex symplectic manifolds

4. D-critical loci

Theorem (Joyce arXiv:1304.4508)

Let X be a classical \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then there exists a canonical sheaf \mathcal{S}_X of \mathbb{K} -vector spaces on X , such that if $R \subseteq X$ is Zariski open and $i : R \hookrightarrow U$ is a closed embedding of R into a smooth \mathbb{K} -scheme U , and $I_{R,U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_U$ is the ideal vanishing on $i(R)$, then

$$\mathcal{S}_X|_R \cong \text{Ker} \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_U}{I_{R,U}^2} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{T^*U}{I_{R,U} \cdot T^*U} \right).$$

Also \mathcal{S}_X splits naturally as $\mathcal{S}_X = \mathcal{S}_X^0 \oplus \mathbb{K}_X$, where \mathbb{K}_X is the sheaf of locally constant functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$.

The meaning of the sheaves $\mathcal{S}_X, \mathcal{S}_X^0$

If $X = \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ then taking $R = X$, $i = \text{inclusion}$, we see that $f + I_{X,U}^2$ is a section of \mathcal{S}_X . Also $f|_{X^{\text{red}}} : X^{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is locally constant, and if $f|_{X^{\text{red}}} = 0$ then $f + I_{X,U}^2$ is a section of \mathcal{S}_X^0 . Note that $f + I_{X,U} = f|_X$ in $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_U/I_{X,U}$. The theorem means that $f + I_{X,U}^2$ makes sense *intrinsically on X* , without reference to the embedding of X into U .

That is, if $X = \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ then we can remember f up to second order in the ideal $I_{X,U}$ as a piece of data on X , not on U . Suppose $X = \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1) = \text{Crit}(g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ is written as a critical locus in two different ways. Then $f + I_{X,U}^2, g + I_{X,V}^2$ are sections of \mathcal{S}_X , so we can ask whether $f + I_{X,U}^2 = g + I_{X,V}^2$. This gives a way to compare isomorphic critical loci in different smooth classical schemes.

The definition of d-critical loci

Definition (Joyce arXiv:1304.4508)

An (*algebraic*) *d-critical locus* (X, s) is a classical \mathbb{K} -scheme X and a global section $s \in H^0(S_X^0)$ such that X may be covered by Zariski open $R \subseteq X$ with an isomorphism $i : R \rightarrow \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ identifying $s|_R$ with $f + I_{R,U}^2$, for f a regular function on a smooth \mathbb{K} -scheme U .

That is, a d-critical locus (X, s) is a \mathbb{K} -scheme X which may Zariski locally be written as a critical locus $\text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, and the section s remembers f up to second order in the ideal $I_{X,U}$. We also define *complex analytic d-critical loci*, with X a complex analytic space locally modelled on $\text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$ for U a complex manifold and f holomorphic.

Orientations on d-critical loci

Theorem (Joyce arXiv:1304.4508)

Let (X, s) be an algebraic d-critical locus and X^{red} the reduced \mathbb{K} -subscheme of X . Then there is a natural line bundle $K_{X,s}$ on X^{red} called the **canonical bundle**, such that if (X, s) is locally modelled on $\text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ then $K_{X,s}$ is locally modelled on $K_U^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}(f)^{\text{red}}}$, for K_U the usual canonical bundle of U .

Definition

Let (X, s) be a d-critical locus. An *orientation* on (X, s) is a choice of square root line bundle $K_{X,s}^{1/2}$ for $K_{X,s}$ on X^{red} .

This is related to *orientation data* in Kontsevich–Soibelman 2008.

A truncation functor from -1 -symplectic derived schemes

Theorem (Brav, Bussi and Joyce arXiv:1305.6302)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then the classical \mathbb{K} -scheme $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$ extends naturally to an algebraic d -critical locus (X, s) . The canonical bundle of (X, s) satisfies $K_{X,s} \cong \det \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}|_{X^{\text{red}}}$.

That is, we define a *truncation functor* from -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -schemes to algebraic d -critical loci. Examples show this functor is not full. Think of d -critical loci as *classical truncations* of -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -schemes.

An alternative semi-classical truncation, used in D–T theory, is *schemes with symmetric obstruction theory*. D -critical loci appear to be better, for both categorified and motivic D–T theory.

The corollaries in lecture 1, §2 imply:

Corollary

Let Y be a Calabi–Yau 3-fold over \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{M} a classical moduli \mathbb{K} -scheme of coherent sheaves, or complexes of coherent sheaves, on Y . Then \mathcal{M} extends naturally to a d -critical locus (\mathcal{M}, s) . The canonical bundle satisfies $K_{\mathcal{M},s} \cong \det(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)|_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{red}}}$, where $\phi : \mathcal{E}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the (symmetric) obstruction theory on \mathcal{M} defined by Thomas or Huybrechts and Thomas.

Corollary

Let (S, ω) be a classical smooth symplectic \mathbb{K} -scheme, and $L, M \subseteq S$ be smooth algebraic Lagrangians. Then $X = L \cap M$ extends naturally to a d -critical locus (X, s) . The canonical bundle satisfies $K_{X,s} \cong K_L|_{X^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_M|_{X^{\text{red}}}$. Hence, choices of square roots $K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2}$ give an orientation for (X, s) .

5. D-critical stacks

To generalize the d-critical loci in §4 to Artin stacks, we need a good notion of sheaves on Artin stacks. This is already well understood. Roughly, a sheaf \mathcal{S} on an Artin stack X assigns a sheaf $\mathcal{S}(U, \varphi)$ on U (in the usual sense for schemes) for each smooth morphism $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$ with U a scheme, and a morphism $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \eta) : \alpha^*(\mathcal{S}(V, \psi)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(U, \varphi)$ (often an isomorphism) for each 2-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & V \\
 & \nearrow \alpha & \searrow \psi \\
 U & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X
 \end{array}
 \quad (1)$$

with U, V schemes and φ, ψ smooth, such that $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \eta)$ have the obvious associativity properties. So, we pass from stacks X to schemes U by working with smooth atlases $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$.

The definition of d-critical stacks

Generalizing d-critical loci to stacks is now straightforward. As in §6, on each scheme U we have a canonical sheaf \mathcal{S}_U^0 . If $\alpha : U \rightarrow V$ is a morphism of schemes we have pullback morphisms $\alpha^* : \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_V^0) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_U^0$ with associativity properties.

So, for any classical Artin stack X , we define a sheaf \mathcal{S}_X^0 on X by $\mathcal{S}_X(U, \varphi) = \mathcal{S}_U^0$ for all smooth $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$ with U a scheme, and $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \eta) = \alpha^*$ for all diagrams (2).

A global section $s \in H^0(\mathcal{S}_X^0)$ assigns $s(U, \varphi) \in H^0(\mathcal{S}_U^0)$ for all smooth $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$ with $\alpha^*[\alpha^{-1}(s(V, \psi))] = s(U, \varphi)$ for all diagrams (2). We call (X, s) a *d-critical stack* if $(U, s(U, \varphi))$ is a d-critical locus for all smooth $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$.

That is, if X is a d-critical stack then any smooth atlas $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$ for X is a d-critical locus.

A truncation functor from -1 -symplectic derived stacks

As for the scheme case in §4, we prove:

Theorem (Ben-Bassat, Brav, Bussi, Joyce)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived Artin stack. Then the classical Artin stack $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$ extends naturally to a d -critical stack (X, s) , with canonical bundle $K_{X,s} \cong \det \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}|_{X^{\text{red}}}$.

Corollary

Let Y be a Calabi–Yau 3-fold over \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{M} a classical moduli stack of coherent sheaves F on Y , or complexes F^\bullet in $D^b \text{coh}(Y)$ with $\text{Ext}^{<0}(F^\bullet, F^\bullet) = 0$. Then \mathcal{M} extends naturally to a d -critical locus (\mathcal{M}, s) with canonical bundle $K_{\mathcal{M},s} \cong \det(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)|_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{red}}}$, where $\phi : \mathcal{E}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the natural obstruction theory on \mathcal{M} .

Canonical bundles and orientations

For schemes, a d -critical locus (U, s) has a canonical bundle $K_{U,s} \rightarrow U^{\text{red}}$, and an orientation on (U, s) is a square root $K_{U,s}^{1/2}$. Similarly, a d -critical stack (X, s) has a canonical bundle $K_{X,s} \rightarrow X^{\text{red}}$. For any smooth $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$ with U a scheme we have $K_{X,s}(U^{\text{red}}, \varphi^{\text{red}}) = K_{U,s(U,\varphi)} \otimes (\det \mathbb{L}_{U/X})^{\otimes -2}$. An orientation on (X, s) is a choice of square root $K_{X,s}^{1/2}$ for $K_{X,s}$. Note that as $(\det \mathbb{L}_{U/X})^{\otimes -2}$ has a natural square root, an orientation for (X, s) gives an orientation for $(U, s(U, \varphi))$ for any smooth atlas $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$.

6. Categorification using perverse sheaves

Theorem (Brav, Bussi, Dupont, Joyce, Szendrői arXiv:1211.3259)

Let (X, s) be an algebraic d -critical locus over \mathbb{K} , with an orientation $K_{X,s}^{1/2}$. Then we can construct a canonical perverse sheaf $P_{X,s}^\bullet$ on X , such that if (X, s) is locally modelled on $\text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, then $P_{X,s}^\bullet$ is locally modelled on the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U,f}^\bullet$ of (U, f) .

Similarly, we can construct a natural \mathcal{D} -module $D_{X,s}^\bullet$ on X , and when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ a natural mixed Hodge module $M_{X,s}^\bullet$ on X .

Sketch of the proof of the theorem

Roughly, we prove the theorem by taking a Zariski open cover $\{R_i : i \in I\}$ of X with $R_i \cong \text{Crit}(f_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, and showing that $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet$ and $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_j, f_j}^\bullet$ are canonically isomorphic on $R_i \cap R_j$, so we can glue the $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet$ to get a global perverse sheaf $P_{X,s}^\bullet$ on X . In fact things are more complicated: the (local) isomorphisms $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet \cong \mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_j, f_j}^\bullet$ are only canonical *up to sign*. To make them canonical, we use the orientation $K_{X,s}^{1/2}$ to define natural principal \mathbb{Z}_2 -bundles Q_i on R_i , such that $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_i \cong \mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_j, f_j}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_j$ is canonical, and then we glue the $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_i$ to get $P_{X,s}^\bullet$.

The first corollary in lecture 1, §2 implies:

Corollary

Let Y be a Calabi–Yau 3-fold over \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{M} a classical moduli \mathbb{K} -scheme of coherent sheaves, or complexes of coherent sheaves, on Y , with (symmetric) obstruction theory $\phi : \mathcal{E}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Suppose we are given a square root $\det(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)^{1/2}$ for $\det(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)$ (i.e. **orientation data**, K – S). Then we have a natural perverse sheaf $P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet$ on \mathcal{M} .

(Compare Kiem and Li arXiv:1212.6444).

The hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet)$ is a finite-dimensional graded vector space. The pointwise Euler characteristic $\chi(P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet)$ is the Behrend function $\nu_{\mathcal{M}}$ of \mathcal{M} . Thus

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim \mathbb{H}^i(P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet) = \chi(\mathcal{M}, \nu_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

Now by Behrend 2005, the Donaldson–Thomas invariant of \mathcal{M} is $DT(\mathcal{M}) = \chi(\mathcal{M}, \nu_{\mathcal{M}})$. So, $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet)$ is a graded vector space with dimension $DT(\mathcal{M})$, that is, a categorification of $DT(\mathcal{M})$.

Categorifying Lagrangian intersections

The second corollary in lecture 1, §2 implies:

Corollary

Let (S, ω) be a classical smooth symplectic \mathbb{K} -scheme of dimension $2n$, and $L, M \subseteq S$ be smooth algebraic Lagrangians, with square roots $K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2}$ of their canonical bundles. Then we have a natural perverse sheaf $P_{L,M}^\bullet$ on $X = L \cap M$.

This is related to Behrend and Fantechi 2009. We think of the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{L,M}^\bullet)$ as being morally related to the Lagrangian Floer cohomology $HF^*(L, M)$ by

$$\mathbb{H}^i(P_{L,M}^\bullet) \approx HF^{i+n}(L, M).$$

We are working on defining ‘Fukaya categories’ for algebraic/complex symplectic manifolds using these ideas (§8).

Extension to Artin stacks

Let (X, s) be a d -critical stack, with an orientation $K_{X,s}^{1/2}$. Then for any smooth $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$ with U a scheme, $(U, s(U, \varphi))$ is an oriented d -critical locus, so as above, BBDJS constructs a perverse sheaf $P_{U,\varphi}^\bullet$ on U . Given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & V \\
 & \nearrow \alpha & \searrow \psi \\
 U & & X \\
 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \\
 & & \eta \uparrow \uparrow
 \end{array}$$

with U, V schemes and φ, ψ smooth, we can construct a natural isomorphism $P_{\alpha,\eta}^\bullet : \alpha^*(P_{V,\psi}^\bullet)[\dim \varphi - \dim \psi] \rightarrow P_{U,\varphi}^\bullet$. All this data $P_{U,\varphi}^\bullet, P_{\alpha,\eta}^\bullet$ is equivalent to a perverse sheaf on X .

Thus we prove:

Theorem (Ben-Bassat, Brav, Bussi, Joyce)

Let (X, s) be a d -critical stack, with an orientation $K_{X,s}^{1/2}$. Then we can construct a canonical perverse sheaf $P_{X,s}^\bullet$ on X .

Corollary

Suppose Y is a Calabi–Yau 3-fold and \mathcal{M} a classical moduli stack of coherent sheaves F on Y , or of complexes F^\bullet in $D^b \text{coh}(Y)$ with $\text{Ext}^{<0}(F^\bullet, F^\bullet) = 0$, with (symmetric) obstruction theory $\phi : \mathcal{E}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Suppose we are given a square root $\det(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)^{1/2}$ for $\det(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)$. Then we construct a natural perverse sheaf $P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet$ on \mathcal{M} .

The hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet)$ is a categorification of the Donaldson–Thomas theory of Y .

7. Algebraic structures on perverse sheaves

Let $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$ be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived scheme, and $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ a Lagrangian, in the sense of PTVV.

Choose an orientation $K_{X,s}^{1/2}$ for $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$. There is then a notion of relative orientation for $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, choose one of these.

We get a perverse sheaf $P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}}}^{\bullet}$ on \mathbf{X} , by BBDJS in §6.

Conjecture

There is a natural morphism in $D_c^b(\mathbf{L})$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{L}} : \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{L}}[\text{vdim } \mathbf{L}] \longrightarrow \mathbf{i}^!(P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}}}^{\bullet}), \quad (2)$$

with given local models in 'Darboux form' presentations for \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{L} .

This Conjecture has important consequences (§8, §11).

I already know local models for $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ and $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$ in (2). What makes the Conjecture difficult is that local models are not enough: $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$ is a morphism of complexes, not of (perverse) sheaves, and such morphisms do not glue like sheaves. For instance, one could imagine $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$ to be globally nonzero, but zero on the sets of an open cover of \mathbf{L} .

So to construct $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$, we have to do a gluing problem in an ∞ -category, probably using hypercovers. I have a sketch of one way to do this (over \mathbb{C}). It is not easy.

Maybe gluing local models naïvely is not the best approach for this problem, need some more advanced Lurie-esque technology? Any help would be appreciated.

8. ‘Fukaya categories’ of complex symplectic manifolds

Let (S, ω) be a complex symplectic manifold, with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 2n$, and $L, M \subset S$ be complex Lagrangians (not supposed compact or closed). The intersection $L \cap M$, as a complex analytic space, has a d-critical structure s (Vittoria Bussi, work in progress). Given square roots of canonical bundles $K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2}$, we get an orientation on $(L \cap M, s)$, and so a perverse sheaf $P_{L,M}^{\bullet}$ on $L \cap M$.

I claim that we should think of the shifted hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^{*-n}(P_{L,M}^{\bullet})$ as a substitute for the Lagrangian Floer cohomology $HF^*(L, M)$ in symplectic geometry. But $HF^*(L, M)$ is the morphisms in the derived Fukaya category $D^b\text{Fuk}(S, \omega)$.

Problem

Given a complex symplectic manifold (S, ω) , build a ‘Fukaya category’ with objects $(L, K_L^{1/2})$ for L a complex Lagrangian, and graded morphisms $\mathbb{H}^{*-n}(P_{L,M}^{\bullet})$.

Extend to **derived** Lagrangians L in (S, ω) .

Work out the ‘right’ way to form a ‘derived Fukaya category’ for (S, ω) out of this, as a (Calabi–Yau?) triangulated category.

Show that (derived) Lagrangian correspondences induce functors between these derived Fukaya categories.

Question

Can we include complex coisotropic submanifolds as objects?
Maybe using \mathcal{D} -modules?

The Conjecture in §7 is what we need to define composition of morphisms in this ‘Fukaya category’, as follows. If L, M, N are Lagrangians in (S, ω) , then $M \cap L, N \cap M, L \cap N$ are -1 -shifted symplectic / d-critical loci, and $L \cap M \cap N$ is Lagrangian in the product $(M \cap L) \times (N \cap M) \times (L \cap N)$ (lecture 1, §1). Applying the Conjecture to $L \cap M \cap N$ and rearranging gives a morphism of constructible complexes

$$\mu_{L,M,N} : P_{L,M}^\bullet \otimes^L P_{M,N}^\bullet[n] \longrightarrow P_{L,N}^\bullet.$$

Taking hypercohomology gives the multiplication $\mathrm{Hom}^*(L, M) \times \mathrm{Hom}^*(M, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^*(L, N)$.