

Categorification of shifted symplectic geometry using perverse sheaves

Dominic Joyce, Oxford University, April 2016

Based on: arXiv:1304.4508, arXiv:1305.6302, arXiv:1211.3259,
arXiv:1305.6428, arXiv:1312.0090, arXiv:1403.2403,
arXiv:1404.1329, arXiv:1504.00690, arXiv:1506.04024,
arXiv:1509.05672, arXiv:1601.01536 and work in progress.

Joint with Lino Amorim, Oren Ben-Bassat, Chris Brav, Vittoria Bussi, Dennis Borisov, Delphine Dupont, Sven Meinhardt, Pavel Safronov, and Balázs Szendrői. Funded by the EPSRC.

These slides available at
<http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/~joyce/talks.html>

Plan of talk:

- 1 Shifted symplectic geometry
- 2 A Darboux theorem for shifted symplectic schemes
- 3 Categorification using perverse sheaves: objects
- 4 Categorification using perverse sheaves: morphisms

1. Shifted symplectic geometry

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, e.g. $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Work in the context of Toën and Vezzosi's theory of Derived Algebraic Geometry. This gives ∞ -categories of *derived \mathbb{K} -schemes* $\mathbf{dSch}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and *derived \mathbb{K} -stacks* $\mathbf{dSt}_{\mathbb{K}}$, including *derived Artin \mathbb{K} -stacks*.

Think of a derived \mathbb{K} -scheme \mathbf{X} as a geometric space which can be covered by Zariski open sets $\mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ with $\mathbf{Y} \simeq \mathrm{Spec} A^\bullet$ for $A^\bullet = (A^*, d)$ a commutative differential graded algebra (cdga) over \mathbb{K} , in degrees ≤ 0 .

We require \mathbf{X} to be *locally finitely presented*, that is, we can take the A^\bullet to be finitely presented, a strong condition.

A derived \mathbb{K} -scheme or \mathbb{K} -stack \mathbf{X} has a *tangent complex* $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$ and a dual *cotangent complex* $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$, which are perfect complexes of coherent sheaves on \mathbf{X} , of rank the virtual dimension $\mathrm{vdim} \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

PTVV's shifted symplectic geometry

Pantev, Toën, Vaquié and Vezzosi (arXiv:1111.3209) defined a version of symplectic geometry in the derived world.

Let \mathbf{X} be a derived \mathbb{K} -scheme or \mathbb{K} -stack. The cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$ has exterior powers $\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$. The *de Rham differential* $d_{dR} : \Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is a morphism of complexes. Each $\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is a complex, so has an internal differential

$d : (\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^k \rightarrow (\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{k+1}$. We have

$$d^2 = d_{dR}^2 = d \circ d_{dR} + d_{dR} \circ d = 0.$$

A *p-form of degree k* on \mathbf{X} for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an element $[\omega^0]$ of $H^k(\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}, d)$. A *closed p-form of degree k* on \mathbf{X} is an element

$$[(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)] \in H^k\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{p+i} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[i], d + d_{dR}\right).$$

There is a projection $\pi : [(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)] \mapsto [\omega^0]$ from closed *p-forms* $[(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)]$ of degree k to *p-forms* $[\omega^0]$ of degree k .

Nondegenerate 2-forms and symplectic structures

Let $[\omega^0]$ be a 2-form of degree k on \mathbf{X} . Then $[\omega^0]$ induces a morphism $\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$, where $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\vee}$ is the tangent complex of \mathbf{X} . We call $[\omega^0]$ *nondegenerate* if $\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

If \mathbf{X} is a derived scheme then the complex $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$ lives in degrees $(-\infty, 0]$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$ in degrees $[0, \infty)$. So $\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$ can be a quasi-isomorphism only if $k \leq 0$, and then $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$ lives in degrees $[k, 0]$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$ in degrees $[0, -k]$. If $k = 0$ then \mathbf{X} is a smooth classical \mathbb{K} -scheme, and if $k = -1$ then \mathbf{X} is quasi-smooth.

A closed 2-form $\omega = [(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)]$ of degree k on \mathbf{X} is called a *k-shifted symplectic structure* if $[\omega^0] = \pi(\omega)$ is nondegenerate.

Calabi–Yau moduli schemes and moduli stacks

PTVV prove that if Y is a Calabi–Yau m -fold over \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{M} is a derived moduli scheme or stack of (complexes of) coherent sheaves on Y , then \mathcal{M} has a $(2 - m)$ -shifted symplectic structure ω .

This suggests applications — lots of interesting geometry concerns Calabi–Yau moduli schemes, e.g. Donaldson–Thomas theory.

We can understand the associated nondegenerate 2-form $[\omega^0]$ in terms of *Serre duality*. At a point $[E] \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$h^i(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}})|_{[E]} \cong \text{Ext}^{i-1}(E, E) \text{ and } h^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}})|_{[E]} \cong \text{Ext}^{1-i}(E, E)^*.$$

The Calabi–Yau condition gives $\text{Ext}^i(E, E) \cong \text{Ext}^{m-i}(E, E)^*$,

which corresponds to $h^{i+1}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}})|_{[E]} \cong h^{i+1}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}[2 - m])|_{[E]}$. This is the cohomology at $[E]$ of the quasi-isomorphism

$$\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}[2 - m].$$

Lagrangians and Lagrangian intersections

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a k -shifted symplectic derived scheme or stack. Then Pantev et al. define a notion of *Lagrangian* \mathbf{L} in (\mathbf{X}, ω) , which is a morphism $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ of derived schemes or stacks together with a homotopy $\mathbf{i}^*(\omega) \sim 0$ satisfying a nondegeneracy condition, implying that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{L}} \simeq \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{L}/\mathbf{X}}[k-1]$.

If \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M} are Lagrangians in (\mathbf{X}, ω) , then the fibre product $\mathbf{L} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{M}$ has a natural $(k-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure.

If (S, ω) is a classical smooth symplectic scheme, then it is a 0-shifted symplectic derived scheme in the sense of PTVV, and if $L, M \subset S$ are classical smooth Lagrangian subschemes, then they are Lagrangians in the sense of PTVV. Therefore the (derived) Lagrangian intersection $L \cap M = L \times_S M$ is a -1 -shifted symplectic derived scheme.

2. A Darboux theorem for shifted symplectic schemes

Theorem 1 (Brav, Bussi and Joyce arXiv:1305.6302)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a k -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme for $k < 0$. If $k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then each $x \in \mathbf{X}$ admits a Zariski open neighbourhood $\mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ with $\mathbf{Y} \simeq \text{Spec } A^\bullet$ for $A^\bullet = (A^*, \mathfrak{d})$ an explicit cdga generated by graded variables x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i} for $0 \leq i \leq -k/2$, and $\omega|_{\mathbf{Y}} = [(\omega^0, 0, 0, \dots)]$ where $x_j^!, y_j^!$ have degree l , and

$$\omega^0 = \sum_{i=0}^{[-k/2]} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \mathfrak{d}_{dR} y_j^{k+i} \mathfrak{d}_{dR} x_j^{-i}.$$

Also the differential \mathfrak{d} in A^\bullet is given by Poisson bracket with a Hamiltonian H in A of degree $k+1$.

If $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we have two statements, one étale local with ω^0 standard, and one Zariski local with the components of ω^0 in the degree $k/2$ variables depending on some invertible functions.

Ben-Bassat–Brav–Bussi–Joyce extend this to derived Artin \mathbb{K} -stacks.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

Suppose (\mathbf{X}, ω) is a k -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme for $k < 0$, and $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Then $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$ lives in degrees $[k, 0]$. We first show that we can build Zariski open $x \in \mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ with $\mathbf{Y} \simeq \text{Spec } A^\bullet$, for $A^\bullet = (\bigoplus_{i \leq 0} A^i, d)$ a cdga over \mathbb{K} with A^0 a smooth \mathbb{K} -algebra, and such that A^* is freely generated over A^0 by graded variables x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i} in degrees $-1, -2, \dots, k$. We take $\dim A^0$ and the number of x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i} to be minimal at x .

Using theorems about periodic cyclic cohomology, we show that on $Y \simeq \text{Spec } A^\bullet$ we can write $\omega|_Y = [(\omega^0, 0, 0, \dots)]$, for ω^0 a 2-form of degree k with $d\omega^0 = d_{dR}\omega^0 = 0$. Minimality at x implies ω^0 is strictly nondegenerate near x , so we can change variables to write $\omega^0 = \sum_{i,j} d_{dR}y_j^{k+i} d_{dR}x_j^{-i}$. Finally, we show d in A^\bullet is a symplectic vector field, which integrates to a Hamiltonian H .

The case of -1 -shifted symplectic derived schemes

When $k = -1$ the Hamiltonian H in Theorem 1 has degree 0. Then Theorem 1 reduces to:

Corollary

Suppose (\mathbf{X}, ω) is a -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then (\mathbf{X}, ω) is Zariski locally equivalent to a derived critical locus $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, for U a smooth classical \mathbb{K} -scheme and $H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ a regular function. Hence, the underlying classical \mathbb{K} -scheme $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$ is Zariski locally isomorphic to a classical critical locus $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$.

This implies that classical Calabi–Yau 3-fold moduli schemes are, Zariski locally, critical loci of regular functions on smooth schemes.

D-critical loci: classical truncations of -1 -shifted symplectic schemes

Theorem (Joyce arXiv:1304.4508)

Let X be a classical \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then there exists a canonical sheaf \mathcal{S}_X of \mathbb{K} -vector spaces on X , such that if $R \subseteq X$ is Zariski open and $i : R \hookrightarrow U$ is a closed embedding of R into a smooth \mathbb{K} -scheme U , and $I_{R,U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_U$ is the ideal vanishing on $i(R)$, then

$$\mathcal{S}_X|_R \cong \text{Ker} \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_U}{I_{R,U}^2} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{T^*U}{I_{R,U} \cdot T^*U} \right).$$

Also \mathcal{S}_X splits naturally as $\mathcal{S}_X = \mathcal{S}_X^0 \oplus \mathbb{K}_X$, where \mathbb{K}_X is the sheaf of locally constant functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$.

The meaning of the sheaves $\mathcal{S}_X, \mathcal{S}_X^0$

If $X = \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ then taking $R = X$, $i = \text{inclusion}$, we see that $f + I_{X,U}^2$ is a section of \mathcal{S}_X . Also $f|_{X^{\text{red}}} : X^{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is locally constant, and if $f|_{X^{\text{red}}} = 0$ then $f + I_{X,U}^2$ is a section of \mathcal{S}_X^0 . Note that $f + I_{X,U} = f|_X$ in $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_U/I_{X,U}$. The theorem means that $f + I_{X,U}^2$ makes sense *intrinsically on X* , without reference to the embedding of X into U .

That is, if $X = \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ then we can remember f up to second order in the ideal $I_{X,U}$ as a piece of data on X , not on U . Suppose $X = \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1) = \text{Crit}(g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ is written as a critical locus in two different ways. Then $f + I_{X,U}^2, g + I_{X,V}^2$ are sections of \mathcal{S}_X , so we can ask whether $f + I_{X,U}^2 = g + I_{X,V}^2$. This gives a way to compare isomorphic critical loci in different smooth classical schemes.

Definition (Joyce arXiv:1304.4508)

An (algebraic) d -critical locus (X, s) is a classical \mathbb{K} -scheme X and a global section $s \in H^0(\mathcal{S}_X^0)$ such that X may be covered by Zariski open $R \subseteq X$ with an isomorphism $i : R \rightarrow \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ identifying $s|_R$ with $f + I_{R,U}^2$, for f a regular function on a smooth \mathbb{K} -scheme U .

That is, a d -critical locus (X, s) is a \mathbb{K} -scheme X which may Zariski locally be written as a critical locus $\text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, and the section s remembers f up to second order in the ideal $I_{X,U}$. We also define *complex analytic d -critical loci*.

Theorem 2 (Brav, Bussi and Joyce arXiv:1305.6302)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then the classical \mathbb{K} -scheme $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$ extends naturally to an algebraic d -critical locus (X, s) . The 'canonical bundle' of (X, s) satisfies $K_{X,s} \cong \det \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}|_{X^{\text{red}}}$.

3. Categorification using perverse sheaves: objects

Theorem 3 (Brav, Bussi, Dupont, Joyce, Szendrői arXiv:1211.3259)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then the 'canonical bundle' $\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})$ is a line bundle over the classical scheme $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$. Suppose we are given an **orientation** of (\mathbf{X}, ω) , i.e. a square root line bundle $\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{1/2}$. Then we can construct a canonical perverse sheaf $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ on X , such that if (\mathbf{X}, ω) is Zariski locally modelled on $\text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, then $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ is locally modelled on the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U,f}^\bullet$ of (U, f) . Similarly, we can construct a natural \mathcal{D} -module $D_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ on X , and when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ a natural mixed Hodge module $M_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ on X .

In fact we actually construct the perverse sheaf on the oriented d -critical locus (X, s) associated to (\mathbf{X}, ω) in Theorem 2. We also define perverse sheaves on oriented complex analytic d -critical loci.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 3

Roughly, we prove Theorem 3 by taking a Zariski open cover $\{\mathbf{R}_i : i \in I\}$ of \mathbf{X} with $\mathbf{R}_i \cong \mathbf{Crit}(f_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, and showing that $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet$ and $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_j, f_j}^\bullet$ are canonically isomorphic on $R_i \cap R_j$, so we can glue the $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet$ to get a global perverse sheaf $P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^\bullet$ on X . In fact things are more complicated: the (local) isomorphisms $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet \cong \mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_j, f_j}^\bullet$ are only canonical *up to sign*. To make them canonical, we use the square root $\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{1/2}$ to define natural principal \mathbb{Z}_2 -bundles Q_i on R_i , such that $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_i \cong \mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_j, f_j}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_j$ is canonical, and then we glue the $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_i$ to get $P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^\bullet$.

Categorifying Calabi–Yau 3-fold moduli spaces

Corollary

Let Y be a Calabi–Yau 3-fold over \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{M} a classical moduli \mathbb{K} -scheme of coherent sheaves, or complexes of coherent sheaves, on Y , with (symmetric) obstruction theory $\phi : \mathcal{E}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Suppose we are given a square root $\det(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)^{1/2}$ for $\det(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)$ (i.e. **orientation data**, K – S). Then we have a natural perverse sheaf $P_{\mathcal{M}, s}^\bullet$ on \mathcal{M} .

The hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{\mathcal{M}, s}^\bullet)$ is a finite-dimensional graded vector space. The pointwise Euler characteristic $\chi(P_{\mathcal{M}, s}^\bullet)$ is the Behrend function $\nu_{\mathcal{M}}$ of \mathcal{M} . Thus

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim \mathbb{H}^i(P_{\mathcal{M}, s}^\bullet) = \chi(\mathcal{M}, \nu_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

Now by Behrend 2005, the Donaldson–Thomas invariant of \mathcal{M} is $DT(\mathcal{M}) = \chi(\mathcal{M}, \nu_{\mathcal{M}})$. So, $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{\mathcal{M}, s}^\bullet)$ is a graded vector space with dimension $DT(\mathcal{M})$, that is, a *categorification* of $DT(\mathcal{M})$.

Categorifying Lagrangian intersections

Corollary

Let (S, ω) be a classical smooth symplectic \mathbb{K} -scheme of dimension $2n$, and $L, M \subseteq S$ be smooth algebraic Lagrangians, with square roots $K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2}$ of their canonical bundles. Then we have a natural perverse sheaf $P_{L,M}^\bullet$ on $X = L \cap M$.

We also prove an analogue for complex Lagrangians in holomorphic symplectic manifolds, using complex analytic d-critical loci.

This is related to Kashiwara and Schapira 2008, and Behrend and Fantechi 2009. We think of the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{L,M}^\bullet)$ as being morally related to the (undefined) *Lagrangian Floer cohomology* $HF^*(L, M)$ by $\mathbb{H}^i(P_{L,M}^\bullet) \approx HF^{i+n}(L, M)$.

We are working on defining ‘Fukaya categories’ for algebraic/complex symplectic manifolds using these ideas.

4. Categorification using perverse sheaves: morphisms

We have seen that oriented -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -schemes/stacks (\mathbf{X}, ω) carry perverse sheaves $P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^\bullet$. We also expect that proper, oriented Lagrangians $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ should have associated hypercohomology elements $\mu_{\mathbf{L}} \in \mathbb{H}^*(P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^\bullet)$ with interesting properties, which can be interpreted as the morphisms in a categorification of -1 -shifted symplectic geometry.

Definition

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived scheme, and $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ a Lagrangian. Choose an orientation $\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{1/2}$ for (\mathbf{X}, ω) . The Lagrangian structure induces a natural isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{L}} \xrightarrow{\cong} i^*(\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}))$. An *orientation* for \mathbf{L} is an isomorphism $\beta : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{L}} \xrightarrow{\cong} i^*(\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{1/2})$ with $\beta^2 = \alpha$.

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a k -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme for $k < 0$, and $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ a Lagrangian. Then Theorem 1 shows that \mathbf{X}, ω can be put in an explicit local ‘Darboux form’ ($\text{Spec } A^\bullet, \omega_A$). Joyce and Safronov prove a ‘Lagrangian Neighbourhood Theorem’ saying that \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{i} and the homotopy $h : \mathbf{i}^*(\omega) \sim 0$ can also be put in an explicit local form relative to A^\bullet, ω_A . When $k = -1$ this yields:

Theorem 4 (Joyce and Safronov arXiv:1506.04024)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme, and $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ a Lagrangian, and $y \in \mathbf{L}$ with $\mathbf{i}(y) = x \in \mathbf{X}$. Theorem 1 implies that (\mathbf{X}, ω) is equivalent near x to $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, for U a smooth, affine \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{i}, h$ near y have an explicit local model depending on a smooth, affine \mathbb{K} -scheme V , a trivial vector bundle $E \rightarrow V$, a nondegenerate quadratic form Q on E , a section $s \in H^0(E)$, and a smooth morphism $\phi : V \rightarrow U$ with $Q(s, s) = \phi^(H)$, where $t_0(\mathbf{L}) \cong s^{-1}(0) \subseteq V$ Zariski locally.*

Conjecture A

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be an oriented -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme or \mathbb{K} -stack, and $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ an oriented Lagrangian. Then there is a natural morphism in $D_c^b(\mathbf{L})$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{L}} : \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{L}}[\text{vdim } \mathbf{L}] \longrightarrow i^!(P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^\bullet),$$

with given local models in the ‘Darboux form’ presentations for $\mathbf{X}, \omega, \mathbf{L}$ in Theorem 4.

Lino Amorim and I have an outline proof of Conjecture A in the scheme case over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, and also of a complex analytic version. In fact Conjecture A is only the first and simplest in a series of conjectures, which really should be written using ∞ -categories, concerning higher coherences of the morphisms $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$ under products, Verdier duality, composition of Lagrangian correspondences, etc. Our methods also allow us to prove these further conjectures. See Amorim and Ben-Bassat arXiv:1601.01536 for more on this.

Consequences of Conjecture A: perverse COHAs for CY3's

Let Y be a Calabi–Yau 3-fold, and \mathcal{M} the moduli stack of coherent sheaves on Y , so \mathcal{M} is -1 -shifted symplectic. Let $\mathcal{E}\mathbf{xact}$ be the derived stack of short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathrm{coh}(Y)$, with projections $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3 : \mathcal{E}\mathbf{xact} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Ben-Bassat (work in progress) shows $\pi_1 \times \pi_2 \times \pi_3 : \mathcal{E}\mathbf{xact} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \omega) \times (\mathcal{M}, -\omega) \times (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is Lagrangian. Suppose we have ‘orientation data’ for Y , i.e. an orientation for (\mathcal{M}, ω) , with a compatibility condition on exact sequences, which is equivalent to an orientation on $\mathcal{E}\mathbf{xact}$.

Then as in Theorem 3 we have a perverse sheaf $P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet$, with hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet)$. Applying Conjecture A to $\mathcal{E}\mathbf{xact}$ and using Verdier duality should (?) give an associative multiplication on $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{\mathcal{M},s}^\bullet)$, making it into a *Cohomological Hall Algebra*, as in Kontsevich–Soibelman arXiv:1006.2706, COHAs for CY3 quivers.

Consequences of Conjecture A: ‘Fukaya categories’ for algebraic / complex symplectic manifolds

Let (S, ω) be a algebraic/complex symplectic manifold, with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 2n$, and $L, M \subset S$ be algebraic/complex Lagrangians (not supposed compact or closed), with square roots of canonical bundles $K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2}$.

Then the intersection $L \cap M$ is oriented -1 -shifted symplectic / an oriented complex analytic d-critical locus, and carries a perverse sheaf $P_{L,M}^\bullet$ by Theorem 3.

We should think of the shifted hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^{*-n}(P_{L,M}^\bullet)$ as a substitute for the Lagrangian Floer cohomology $HF^*(L, M)$ in symplectic geometry. But $HF^*(L, M)$ is the morphisms in the derived Fukaya category $D^b \mathcal{F}(S, \omega)$ in symplectic geometry.

If L, M, N are Lagrangians in (S, ω) , then $M \cap L, N \cap M, L \cap N$ are -1 -shifted symplectic / d-critical loci, and $L \cap M \cap N$ is Lagrangian in the product $(M \cap L) \times (N \cap M) \times (L \cap N)$ (Ben-Bassat arXiv:1309.0596).

Applying Conjecture A to $L \cap M \cap N$ and rearranging using Verdier duality $P_{M,L}^\bullet \simeq \mathbb{D}(P_{M,L}^\bullet)$ gives

$$\mu_{L,M,N} : P_{L,M}^\bullet \otimes^L P_{M,N}^\bullet[n] \longrightarrow P_{L,N}^\bullet.$$

Taking hypercohomology gives the multiplication $HF^*(L, M) \times HF^*(M, N) \rightarrow HF^*(L, N)$, which is composition of morphisms in the derived Fukaya category $D^b \mathcal{F}(S, \omega)$.

Higher coherences for such morphisms $\mu_{L,M,N}$ under composition should give the A_∞ -structure needed to define a derived 'Fukaya category' $D^b \mathcal{F}(S, \omega)$, which we hope to do.

Comments on a proof of Conjecture A

In Theorem 3 we constructed a perverse sheaf $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ on an oriented -1 -shifted symplectic (\mathbf{X}, ω) . We did this by constructing a Zariski open cover $\{R_i : i \in I\}$ of $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$, and perverse sheaves P_i^\bullet on R_i , and isomorphisms $\alpha_{ij} : P_i^\bullet|_{R_i \cap R_j} \rightarrow P_j^\bullet|_{R_i \cap R_j}$ on all double overlaps $R_i \cap R_j$, with $\alpha_{ik} = \alpha_{jk} \circ \alpha_{ij}$ on triple overlaps $R_i \cap R_j \cap R_k$. Then a unique $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ exists with $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet|_{R_i} \cong P_i^\bullet$, as perverse sheaves glue like sheaves.

In Conjecture A, we have explicit local models μ_j for the morphism $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$ on an open cover $\{S_j : j \in J\}$ of $L = t_0(\mathbf{L})$, constructed using our local models for $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{i}$ in Theorem 4. However, this is not enough to define $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$, as such morphisms do not glue like sheaves. It is an ∞ -category gluing problem: we need to construct higher coherences between $\mu_{j_1}, \dots, \mu_{j_n}$ on n -fold overlaps $S_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap S_{j_n}$ for all $n = 2, \dots$. This is difficult, as perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles are not easy to handle on the cochain level.

Actually, to prove Conjecture A we need first to re-prove Theorem 3 in an ∞ -categorical way, without using the sheaf property of perverse sheaves, but constructing $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ directly as a complex on X . We can define *d-correspondences* $i : L \rightarrow (X, s)$ in *d-critical loci*, which are classical truncations of Lagrangians $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega)$ in -1 -shifted symplectic schemes. Our proposed proof of Conjecture A factors through these classical truncations, and also has a complex analytic version.

One of our key ideas is to give a new expression for the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{PV}_{U,f}^\bullet$ for a holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of a complex manifold, as an explicit complex on $\text{Crit}(f)$, using the theory of ‘M-cohomology’ in Joyce arXiv:1509.05672. This new expression is easier to glue on overlaps between critical charts $(U_i, f_i), (U_j, f_j)$, and to control the higher coherences on multiple overlaps. This complex is built using differential geometry of manifolds, which is why we need $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.