

“Fukaya categories” of complex Lagrangians in complex symplectic manifolds

Dominic Joyce, Oxford University, July 2016

Based on: arXiv:1304.4508, arXiv:1305.6302, arXiv:1211.3259,
arXiv:1403.2403, arXiv:1404.1329, arXiv:1506.04024,
arXiv:1509.05672, arXiv:1601.01536 and work in progress.

Joint with Lino Amorim, Oren Ben-Bassat, Chris Brav, Vittoria Bussi, Delphine Dupont, Pavel Safronov, and Balázs Szendrői.

Funded by the EPSRC.

These slides available at
<http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/~joyce/talks.html>

Plan of talk:

- 1 Shifted symplectic geometry
- 2 The -1 -shifted symplectic case and d -critical loci
- 3 Categorification using perverse sheaves: objects
- 4 Categorification using perverse sheaves: morphisms

0. Introduction

Let (S, ω) be a real C^∞ symplectic manifold. Then under some assumptions one can define a derived Fukaya category $D^b \mathcal{F}(S, \omega)$, with objects Lagrangians L, M in S , and morphisms $\text{Hom}^*(L, M) = HF^*(L, M)$ the Lagrangian Floer cohomology groups. Here $HF^*(L, M)$ is *not local* on L, M or $L \cap M$, as it is defined by counting ‘large’ J -holomorphic curves $u : \Sigma \rightarrow S$. Now suppose (S, ω) is a *complex* (holomorphic) symplectic manifold, where S has complex structure I , and we consider complex Lagrangians L, M in S . Then $\text{Re } \omega$ is a real C^∞ symplectic structure on the underlying real manifold $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ of S , so we can define $HF^*(L, M)$ for $(S_{\mathbb{R}}, \text{Re } \omega)$. Note that the almost complex structure J used to do this is not the complex structure I on S , but is orthogonal to it, in a hyperkähler triple I, J, K .

A simple argument using $\text{Im } \omega$ shows that the only J -holomorphic curves in the definition of $HF^*(L, M)$ are constant. This suggests that in the complex case, $HF^*(L, M)$ might be local on $L \cap M$. Also note that in the real C^∞ case we can always perturb Lagrangians L, M to intersect transversely. But complex Lagrangians are more rigid, we must allow L, M to be non-transverse. I will outline a programme to define a ‘Fukaya category’ of complex Lagrangians L, M in a complex symplectic manifold (S, ω) , in which the morphisms $\text{Hom}^*(L, M) = “HF^*(L, M)”$ are defined by constructing a perverse sheaf $P_{L, M}^\bullet$ on $L \cap M$ and taking its hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{L, M}^\bullet)$. We do not need S, L, M to be compact or closed. We can also include singular ‘derived’ Lagrangians in our picture. This programme also works for algebraic Lagrangians in a symplectic scheme over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero. It originates from the ‘shifted symplectic geometry’ of Pantev–Toën–Vaquié–Vezzosi in Derived Algebraic Geometry.

1. Shifted symplectic geometry

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, e.g. $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Work in Toën and Vezzosi's theory of Derived Algebraic Geometry. This gives ∞ -categories of *derived \mathbb{K} -schemes* $\mathbf{dSch}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and *derived \mathbb{K} -stacks* $\mathbf{dSt}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Pantev, Toën, Vaquié and Vezzosi (arXiv:1111.3209) defined a derived version of symplectic geometry. Let \mathbf{X} be a derived \mathbb{K} -scheme or \mathbb{K} -stack, supposed locally finitely presented. The cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$ has exterior powers $\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$. The *de Rham differential* is $d_{dR} : \Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$. Each $\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is a complex, so has an internal differential $d : (\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^k \rightarrow (\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{k+1}$. We have $d^2 = d_{dR}^2 = d \circ d_{dR} + d_{dR} \circ d = 0$.

A p -form of degree k on \mathbf{X} for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an element $[\omega^0]$ of $H^k(\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}, d)$. A *closed p -form of degree k* on \mathbf{X} is an element $[(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)] \in H^k(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{p+i} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[i], d + d_{dR})$.

There is a projection $\pi : [(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)] \mapsto [\omega^0]$ from closed p -forms $[(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)]$ of degree k to p -forms $[\omega^0]$ of degree k .

Shifted symplectic structures and Lagrangians

Let $[\omega^0]$ be a 2-form of degree k on \mathbf{X} . Then $[\omega^0]$ induces a morphism $\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$, where $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\vee}$ is the tangent complex of \mathbf{X} . We call $[\omega^0]$ *nondegenerate* if $\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

A closed 2-form $\omega = [(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)]$ of degree k on \mathbf{X} is called a *k -shifted symplectic structure* if $[\omega^0] = \pi(\omega)$ is nondegenerate. If \mathbf{X} is a derived scheme we must have $k \leq 0$, and if $k = 0$ then (\mathbf{X}, ω) is a smooth classical \mathbb{K} -scheme.

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a k -shifted symplectic derived scheme or stack. Then PTVV define a notion of *Lagrangian \mathbf{L}* in (\mathbf{X}, ω) , which is a morphism $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ of derived schemes or stacks together with a homotopy $\mathbf{i}^*(\omega) \sim 0$ satisfying a nondegeneracy condition, implying that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{L}} \simeq \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{L}/\mathbf{X}}[k - 1]$.

If \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M} are Lagrangians in (\mathbf{X}, ω) , then the fibre product $\mathbf{L} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{M}$ has a natural $(k - 1)$ -shifted symplectic structure.

Derived Lagrangians in classical symplectic schemes

If (S, ω) is a classical smooth symplectic scheme, then it is a 0 -shifted symplectic derived scheme in the sense of PTVV, and if $L, M \subset S$ are classical smooth Lagrangian subschemes, then they are Lagrangians in the sense of PTVV.

However, if $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow S$ is a derived Lagrangian in the PTVV sense, it *need not be a classical smooth Lagrangian*. PTVV Lagrangians are more general. This should be of interest even to classical symplectic geometers, we may get an enlarged Fukaya category. As a typical local model for PTVV derived Lagrangians, suppose $(S_1, \omega_1), (S_2, \omega_2)$ are classical symplectic schemes, and $L_1 \rightarrow (S_1, \omega_1), L_{12} \rightarrow (S_1 \times S_2, -\omega_1 \boxplus \omega_2)$ are classical Lagrangians. If $L_1 \rightarrow S_1, L_{12} \rightarrow S_1$ are transverse, the fibre product $L_1 \times_{S_1} L_{12}$ is smooth and a classical Lagrangian in (S_2, ω_2) . If they are not transverse, the derived fibre product $L_1 \times_{S_1} L_{12}$ is still a derived scheme, and a PTVV derived Lagrangian in (S_2, ω_2) .

2. The -1 -shifted symplectic case and d -critical loci

Theorem 1 (Brav, Bussi and Joyce arXiv:1305.6302)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a k -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme for $k < 0$. If $k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then each $x \in \mathbf{X}$ admits a Zariski open neighbourhood $\mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ with $\mathbf{Y} \simeq \text{Spec } A^\bullet$ for $A^\bullet = (A^*, d)$ an explicit cdga generated by graded variables x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i} for $0 \leq i \leq -k/2$, and $\omega|_{\mathbf{Y}} = [(\omega^0, 0, 0, \dots)]$ where $x_j^!, y_j^!$ have degree l , and

$$\omega^0 = \sum_{i=0}^{[-k/2]} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} d_{dR} y_j^{k+i} d_{dR} x_j^{-i}.$$

Also the differential d in A^\bullet is given by Poisson bracket with a Hamiltonian H in A of degree $k + 1$.

If $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we have two statements, one étale local with ω^0 standard, and one Zariski local with the components of ω^0 in the degree $k/2$ variables depending on some invertible functions.

Ben-Bassat–Brav–Bussi–Joyce extend this to derived Artin \mathbb{K} -stacks.

The case of -1 -shifted symplectic derived schemes

When $k = -1$ the Hamiltonian H in Theorem 1 has degree 0. Then Theorem 1 reduces to:

Corollary

Suppose (\mathbf{X}, ω) is a -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then (\mathbf{X}, ω) is Zariski locally equivalent to a derived critical locus $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, for U a smooth classical \mathbb{K} -scheme and $H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ a regular function. Hence, the underlying classical \mathbb{K} -scheme $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$ is Zariski locally isomorphic to a classical critical locus $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$.

Note that if $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow S, \mathbf{j} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow S$ are classical/derived Lagrangians in a classical (0-shifted) symplectic scheme (S, ω) , then $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{L} \times_S \mathbf{M}$ is -1 -shifted symplectic. Thus, the corollary tells us that (derived) Lagrangian intersections $L \cap M$ in classical symplectic schemes are locally (derived) critical loci.

Theorem (Joyce arXiv:1304.4508)

Let X be a classical \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then there exists a canonical sheaf \mathcal{S}_X of \mathbb{K} -vector spaces on X , such that if $R \subseteq X$ is Zariski open and $i : R \hookrightarrow U$ is a closed embedding of R into a smooth \mathbb{K} -scheme U , and $I_{R,U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_U$ is the ideal vanishing on $i(R)$, then

$$\mathcal{S}_X|_R \cong \text{Ker} \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_U}{I_{R,U}^2} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{T^*U}{I_{R,U} \cdot T^*U} \right).$$

Also \mathcal{S}_X splits naturally as $\mathcal{S}_X = \mathcal{S}_X^0 \oplus \mathbb{K}_X$, where \mathbb{K}_X is the sheaf of locally constant functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$.

If $X = \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ then taking $R = X, i = \text{inclusion}$, we see that $f + I_{X,U}^2$ is a section of \mathcal{S}_X . Also $f|_{X^{\text{red}}} : X^{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is locally constant, and if $f|_{X^{\text{red}}} = 0$ then $f + I_{X,U}^2$ is a section of \mathcal{S}_X^0 . Note that $f + I_{X,U} = f|_X$ in $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_U/I_{X,U}$. The theorem means that $f + I_{X,U}^2$ makes sense *intrinsically on X* , without reference to the embedding of X into U . This allows us to compare ways of writing a scheme X as a critical locus in different ways.

D-critical loci

Definition (Joyce arXiv:1304.4508)

An (*algebraic*) d -critical locus (X, s) is a classical \mathbb{K} -scheme X and a global section $s \in H^0(\mathcal{S}_X^0)$ such that X may be covered by Zariski open $R \subseteq X$ with an isomorphism $i : R \rightarrow \text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ identifying $s|_R$ with $f + I_{R,U}^2$, for f a regular function on a smooth \mathbb{K} -scheme U .

That is, a d -critical locus (X, s) is a \mathbb{K} -scheme X which may Zariski locally be written as a critical locus $\text{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, and the section s remembers f up to second order in the ideal $I_{X,U}$. We also define *complex analytic d -critical loci*, which are complex analytic spaces X with a section of a natural sheaf \mathcal{S}_X^0 that are locally modelled on the critical locus of a holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for U a complex manifold.

Theorem 2 (Brav, Bussi and Joyce arXiv:1305.6302)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then the classical \mathbb{K} -scheme $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$ extends naturally to an algebraic d -critical locus (X, s) . The 'canonical bundle' of (X, s) satisfies $K_{X,s} \cong \det \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}|_{X^{\text{red}}}$.

This means that d -critical loci are *classical truncations* of -1 -shifted symplectic derived schemes. We are working on a similar definition of classical truncation of derived Lagrangians in classical (0-symplectic) symplectic schemes.

Theorem 3 (Bussi arXiv:1404.1329)

Let (S, ω) be a complex symplectic manifold and $i : L \rightarrow S$, $j : M \rightarrow S$ be smooth complex Lagrangians. Then the fibre product $X = L \times_{i,S,j} M$ as a complex analytic space extends naturally to a complex analytic d -critical locus (X, s) .

3. Categorification using perverse sheaves: objects

Theorem 4 (Brav, Bussi, Dupont, Joyce, Szendrői arXiv:1211.3259)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then the 'canonical bundle' $\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})$ is a line bundle over the classical scheme $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$. Suppose we are given an **orientation** of (\mathbf{X}, ω) , i.e. a square root line bundle $\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{1/2}$. Then we can construct a canonical perverse sheaf $P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^{\bullet}$ on X , such that if (\mathbf{X}, ω) is Zariski locally modelled on $\mathbf{Crit}(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, then $P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^{\bullet}$ is locally modelled on the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U, f}^{\bullet}$ of (U, f) . Similarly, we can construct a natural \mathcal{D} -module $D_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^{\bullet}$ on X , and when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ a natural mixed Hodge module $M_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^{\bullet}$ on X .

In fact we actually construct the perverse sheaf on the oriented d-critical locus (X, s) associated to (\mathbf{X}, ω) in Theorem 2. We also define perverse sheaves on oriented complex analytic d-critical loci.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 4

Roughly, we prove Theorem 4 by taking a Zariski open cover $\{\mathbf{R}_i : i \in I\}$ of \mathbf{X} with $\mathbf{R}_i \cong \mathbf{Crit}(f_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, and showing that $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_j, f_j}^{\bullet}$ are canonically isomorphic on $R_i \cap R_j$, so we can glue the $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^{\bullet}$ to get a global perverse sheaf $P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^{\bullet}$ on X . In fact things are more complicated: the (local) isomorphisms $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^{\bullet} \cong \mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_j, f_j}^{\bullet}$ are only canonical *up to sign*. To make them canonical, we use the square root $\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{1/2}$ to define natural principal \mathbb{Z}_2 -bundles Q_i on R_i , such that $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_i \cong \mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_j, f_j}^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_j$ is canonical, and then we glue the $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}_{U_i, f_i}^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q_i$ to get $P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^{\bullet}$.

Categorifying Lagrangian intersections

Corollary

Let (S, ω) be a classical smooth symplectic \mathbb{K} -scheme of dimension $2n$, and $L, M \subseteq S$ be smooth algebraic Lagrangians, with square roots $K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2}$ of their canonical bundles. Then we have a natural perverse sheaf $P_{L,M}^\bullet$ on $X = L \cap M$. The analogue holds for complex Lagrangians in complex symplectic manifolds.

This looks similar to results on quantization of symplectic manifolds, e.g. Kashiwara and Schapira's DQ-modules. K-S build a category of modules \mathcal{V} on S supported on Lagrangians. If $\mathcal{V}_L, \mathcal{V}_M$ are supported on L, M , then $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}_L, \mathcal{V}_M)$ is a perverse sheaf over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ supported on $L \cap M$. But our $P_{L,M}^\bullet$ can be defined over any commutative ring, not just over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$.

We think of the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(P_{L,M}^\bullet)$ as related to the (undefined) Lagrangian Floer cohomology by $\mathbb{H}^i(P_{L,M}^\bullet) \approx HF^{i+n}(L, M)$.

4. Categorification using perverse sheaves: morphisms

We have seen that oriented -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -schemes/stacks (\mathbf{X}, ω) carry perverse sheaves $P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^\bullet$. We also expect that proper, oriented PTVV Lagrangians $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ should have associated hypercohomology elements $\mu_{\mathbf{L}} \in \mathbb{H}^*(P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^\bullet)$ with interesting properties, which can be interpreted as the morphisms in a categorification of -1 -shifted symplectic geometry.

Definition

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived scheme, and $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ a Lagrangian. Choose an orientation $\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{1/2}$ for (\mathbf{X}, ω) . The Lagrangian structure induces a natural isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{L}} \xrightarrow{\cong} i^*(\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}))$. An orientation for \mathbf{L} is an isomorphism $\beta : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{L}} \xrightarrow{\cong} i^*(\det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{1/2})$ with $\beta^2 = \alpha$.

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a k -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme for $k < 0$, and $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ a Lagrangian. Then Theorem 1 shows that \mathbf{X}, ω can be put in an explicit local ‘Darboux form’ ($\text{Spec } A^\bullet, \omega_A$). Joyce and Safronov prove a ‘Lagrangian Neighbourhood Theorem’ saying that \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{i} and the homotopy $h : \mathbf{i}^*(\omega) \sim 0$ can also be put in an explicit local form relative to A^\bullet, ω_A . When $k = -1$ this yields:

Theorem 5 (Joyce and Safronov arXiv:1506.04024)

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be a -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme, and $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ a Lagrangian, and $y \in \mathbf{L}$ with $\mathbf{i}(y) = x \in \mathbf{X}$. Theorem 1 implies that (\mathbf{X}, ω) is equivalent near x to $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$, for U a smooth, affine \mathbb{K} -scheme. Then $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{i}, h$ near y have an explicit local model depending on a smooth, affine \mathbb{K} -scheme V , a trivial vector bundle $E \rightarrow V$, a nondegenerate quadratic form Q on E , a section $s \in H^0(E)$, and a smooth morphism $\phi : V \rightarrow U$ with $Q(s, s) = \phi^(H)$, where $t_0(\mathbf{L}) \cong s^{-1}(0) \subseteq V$ Zariski locally.*

Conjecture A

Let (\mathbf{X}, ω) be an oriented -1 -shifted symplectic derived \mathbb{K} -scheme or \mathbb{K} -stack, and $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ an oriented Lagrangian. Then there is a natural morphism in $D_c^b(\mathbf{L})$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{L}} : \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{L}}[\text{vdim } \mathbf{L}] \longrightarrow i^!(P_{\mathbf{X}, \omega}^\bullet),$$

with given local models in the ‘Darboux form’ presentations for $\mathbf{X}, \omega, \mathbf{L}$ in Theorem 5.

Lino Amorim and I have an outline proof of Conjecture A in the scheme case over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, and also of a complex analytic version. In fact Conjecture A is only the first and simplest in a series of conjectures, which really should be written using ∞ -categories, concerning higher coherences of the morphisms $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$ under products, Verdier duality, composition of Lagrangian correspondences, etc. Our methods also allow us to prove these further conjectures. See Amorim and Ben-Bassat arXiv:1601.01536 for more on this.

Consequences of Conjecture A: ‘Fukaya categories’ for algebraic / complex symplectic manifolds

Let (S, ω) be a algebraic/complex symplectic manifold, with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 2n$, and $L, M \subset S$ be algebraic/complex Lagrangians (not supposed compact or closed), with square roots of canonical bundles $K_L^{1/2}, K_M^{1/2}$.

Then the intersection $L \cap M$ is oriented -1 -shifted symplectic / an oriented complex analytic d -critical locus, and carries a perverse sheaf $P_{L,M}^{\bullet}$ by Theorem 4.

We should think of the shifted hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^{*-n}(P_{L,M}^{\bullet})$ as a substitute for the Lagrangian Floer cohomology $HF^*(L, M)$ in symplectic geometry. But $HF^*(L, M)$ is the morphisms in the derived Fukaya category $D^b \mathcal{F}(S, \omega)$ in symplectic geometry.

If L, M, N are Lagrangians in (S, ω) , then $M \cap L, N \cap M, L \cap N$ are -1 -shifted symplectic / d -critical loci, and $L \cap M \cap N$ is Lagrangian in the product $(M \cap L) \times (N \cap M) \times (L \cap N)$ (Ben-Bassat arXiv:1309.0596).

Applying Conjecture A to $L \cap M \cap N$ and rearranging using Verdier duality $P_{M,L}^{\bullet} \simeq \mathbb{D}(P_{M,L}^{\bullet})$ gives

$$\mu_{L,M,N} : P_{L,M}^{\bullet} \overset{L}{\otimes} P_{M,N}^{\bullet}[n] \longrightarrow P_{L,N}^{\bullet}.$$

Taking hypercohomology gives the multiplication $HF^*(L, M) \times HF^*(M, N) \rightarrow HF^*(L, N)$, which is composition of morphisms in the derived Fukaya category $D^b \mathcal{F}(S, \omega)$.

Higher coherences for such morphisms $\mu_{L,M,N}$ under composition should give the A_{∞} -structure needed to define a derived ‘Fukaya category’ $D^b \mathcal{F}(S, \omega)$, which we hope to do. [End of talk.]

Comments on a proof of Conjecture A

In Theorem 4 we constructed a perverse sheaf $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ on an oriented -1 -shifted symplectic (\mathbf{X}, ω) . We did this by constructing a Zariski open cover $\{R_i : i \in I\}$ of $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$, and perverse sheaves P_i^\bullet on R_i , and isomorphisms $\alpha_{ij} : P_i^\bullet|_{R_i \cap R_j} \rightarrow P_j^\bullet|_{R_i \cap R_j}$ on all double overlaps $R_i \cap R_j$, with $\alpha_{ik} = \alpha_{jk} \circ \alpha_{ij}$ on triple overlaps $R_i \cap R_j \cap R_k$. Then a unique $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ exists with $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet|_{R_i} \cong P_i^\bullet$, as perverse sheaves glue like sheaves.

In Conjecture A, we have explicit local models μ_j for the morphism $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$ on an open cover $\{S_j : j \in J\}$ of $L = t_0(\mathbf{L})$, constructed using our local models for $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{i}$ in Theorem 5. However, this is not enough to define $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$, as such morphisms do not glue like sheaves. It is an ∞ -category gluing problem: we need to construct higher coherences between $\mu_{j_1}, \dots, \mu_{j_n}$ on n -fold overlaps $S_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap S_{j_n}$ for all $n = 2, \dots$. This is difficult, as perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles are not easy to handle on the cochain level.

Actually, to prove Conjecture A we need first to re-prove Theorem 4 in an ∞ -categorical way, without using the sheaf property of perverse sheaves, but constructing $P_{\mathbf{X},\omega}^\bullet$ directly as a complex on X . We can define d -correspondences $i : L \rightarrow (X, s)$ in d -critical loci, which are classical truncations of Lagrangians $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, \omega)$ in -1 -shifted symplectic schemes. Our proposed proof of Conjecture A factors through these classical truncations, and also has a complex analytic version.

One of our key ideas is to give a new expression for the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{PV}_{U,f}^\bullet$ for a holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of a complex manifold, as an explicit complex on $\text{Crit}(f)$, using the theory of 'M-cohomology' in Joyce arXiv:1509.05672. This new expression is easier to glue on overlaps between critical charts $(U_i, f_i), (U_j, f_j)$, and to control the higher coherences on multiple overlaps. This complex is built using differential geometry of manifolds, which is why we need $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.