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Almost Calabi-Yau $m$-folds
An almost Calabi-Yau m-fold $(M, J, g, \Omega)$ is a compact complex $m$-fold $(M, J)$ with a Kähler metric $g$ with Kähler form $\omega$, and a nonvanishing holomorphic ( $m, 0$ )-form $\Omega$, the holomorphic volume form. It is a Calabi-Yau m-fold if $|\Omega|^{2} \equiv 2^{m}$. Then $\nabla \Omega=0$, the holonomy group $\operatorname{Hol}(g) \subseteq$ $\mathrm{SU}(m)$, and $g$ is Ricci-flat.

Special Lagrangian $m$-folds Let $(M, J, g, \Omega)$ be an almost Calabi-Yau $m$-fold. Let $N$ be a real $m$-submanifold of $M$. We call $N$ special Lagrangian $(S L)$ if $\left.\left.\omega\right|_{N} \equiv \operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{N} \equiv 0$, and $S L$ with phase $e^{i \theta}$ if $\left.\omega\right|_{N} \equiv$ $\left.(\cos \theta \operatorname{Im} \Omega-\sin \theta \operatorname{Re} \Omega)\right|_{N} \equiv 0$. If $(M, J, g, \Omega)$ is a Calabi-Yau $m$-fold then $\operatorname{Re} \Omega$ is a calibration on $(M, g)$, and $N$ is an SL $m$-fold iff it is calibrated with respect to $\operatorname{Re} \Omega$.

Let $(M, J, g, \Omega)$ be an almost Calabi-Yau $m$-fold and $N$ a compact SL $m$-fold in $M$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{N}$ be the moduli space of SLdeformations of $N$. We ask: 1. Is $\mathcal{M}_{N}$ a manifold, and of what dimension?
2. Does $N$ persist under deformations of $(J, g, \Omega)$ ? 3. Can we compactify $\mathcal{M}_{N}$ by adding a 'boundary' of singular SL m-folds? If so, what are the singularities like?

These questions concern the deformations of SL m-folds, obstructions to their existence, and their singularities.
Questions 1 and 2 are fairly well understood, and we shall discuss them in the first half of this lecture. Question 3 is an active area of research, and will be discussed in the second half, and next lecture.

The answer to Question 1, on deformations of SL m-folds, was given by McLean in 1990 (in the Calabi-Yau case).
Theorem. Let $(M, J, g, \Omega)$ be an almost Calabi-Yau m-fold, and $N$ a compact SL m-fold in $M$. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{N}$ of $S L$ deformations of $N$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $b^{1}(N)$, the first Betti number of $N$.

Here is a sketch of the proof. Let $\nu \rightarrow N$ be the normal bundle of $N$ in $M$. Then $J$ identifies $\nu \cong T N$ and $g$ identifies $T N \cong T^{*} N$. So $\nu \cong T^{*} N$. We can identify a small tubular neighbourhood $T$ of $N$ in $M$ with a neighbourhood of the zero section in $\nu$, identifying $\omega$ on $M$ with the symplectic structure on $T^{*} N$.
Let $\pi: T \rightarrow N$ be the obvious projection.

Then graphs of small 1-forms $\alpha$ on $N$ are identified with submanifolds $N^{\prime}$ in $T \subset M$ close to $N$. Which $\alpha$ correspond to SL m-folds $N^{\prime}$ ?
Well, $N^{\prime}$ is special Lagrangian iff $\left.\left.\omega\right|_{N^{\prime}} \equiv \operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{N^{\prime}} \equiv 0$.
Now $\left.\pi\right|_{N^{\prime}}: N^{\prime} \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism, so this holds iff $\pi_{*}\left(\left.\omega\right|_{N^{\prime}}\right)=\pi_{*}\left(\left.\operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{N^{\prime}}\right)=0$. We regard $\pi_{*}\left(\left.\omega\right|_{N^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\pi_{*}\left(\left.\operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{N^{\prime}}\right)$ as functions of $\alpha$.

Calculation shows that
$\pi_{*}\left(\left.\omega\right|_{N^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{d} \alpha$ and
$\pi_{*}\left(\left.\operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{N^{\prime}}\right)=F(\alpha, \nabla \alpha)$,
where $F$ is nonlinear. Thus,
$\mathcal{M}_{N}$ is locally the set of small 1-forms $\alpha$ on $N$ with $\mathrm{d} \alpha \equiv 0$ and $F(\alpha, \nabla \alpha) \equiv 0$. Now $F(\alpha, \nabla \alpha) \approx \mathrm{d}(* \alpha)$ for small $\alpha$. So $\mathcal{M}_{N}$ is locally approximately the set of 1 -forms $\alpha$ with $\mathrm{d} \alpha=$ $\mathrm{d}(* \alpha)=0$. But by Hodge theory this is the de Rham group $H^{1}(N, \mathbb{R})$, of dimension $b^{1}(N)$.

Question 2, on obstructions to the existence of SL $m$-folds, can locally be answered using the same methods.
Theorem. Let $M_{t}: t \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ be a family of almost CalabiYau m-folds, and $N_{0}$ a compact SL m-fold of $M_{0}$. If $\left[\left.\omega_{t}\right|_{N_{0}}\right]=\left[\left.\operatorname{Im} \Omega_{t}\right|_{N_{0}}\right]=0$ in $H^{*}\left(N_{0}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ for all $t$, then $N_{0}$ extends to a family $N_{t}: t \in$ $(-\delta, \delta)$ of $S L$ m-folds in $M_{t}$, for $0<\delta \leqslant \epsilon$.

## Singular SL $m$-folds

General singularities of SL mfolds may be very bad, and difficult to study. Would like a class of singular SL m-folds with nice, well-behaved singularities to study in depth. Would like these to occur often in real life, i.e. of finite codimension in the space of all SL $m$-folds. SL $m$-folds with isolated conical singularities (ICS) are such a class.

Let $N$ be an SL $m$-fold in $M$ whose only singular points are $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Near $x_{i}$ we can identify $M$ with $\mathbb{C}^{m} \cong T_{x_{i}} M$, and $N$ near $x_{i}$ approximates an SL $m$-fold in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ with singularity at 0 . We say $N$ has isolated conical singularities if near $x_{i}$ it converges with order $O\left(r^{\mu_{i}}\right)$ for $\mu_{i}>1$ to an SL cone $C_{i}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ nonsingular except at 0 .

SL m-folds with ICS have a rich theory.

- Examples. Many examples of $\operatorname{SL}$ cones $C_{i}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ have been constructed. Rudiments of classification for $m=3$. - Regularity near $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Let $\iota: N \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion. If $\nabla^{k} \iota$ converges to $C_{i}$ near $x_{i}$ with order $O\left(r^{\mu_{i}-k}\right)$
for $k=0,1$ then it does so for all $k \geqslant 0$.
- Deformation theory. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{N}$ of deformations of $N$ is locally homeomorphic to $\Phi^{-1}(0)$, for smooth $\Phi: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ and fin. dim. vector spaces $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}$ with $\mathcal{I}$ the image of $H_{\mathrm{CS}}^{1}\left(N^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ in $H^{1}\left(N^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}\right), N^{\prime}=N \backslash\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{ind}\left(C_{i}\right)$. Here s-ind $\left(C_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{N}$ is the stability index, the obstructions from $C_{i}$. If s-ind $\left(C_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i$ then $\mathcal{M}_{N}$ is smooth.
- Desingularization. Let $C$ be an $S L$ cone in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$, nonsingular except at 0. A nonsingular $S L m$-fold $L$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is Asymptotically Conical (AC) $C$ if $L$ converges to $C$ at infinity with order $O\left(r^{\nu}\right)$ for $\nu<1$. Then $t L$ converges to $C$ as $t \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{+}$. Thus, AC SL mfolds model how families of nonsingular SL m-folds develop singularities modelled on $C$.

If $N$ is an SL m-fold with ICS at $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and cones $C_{i}$, and $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}$ are AC SL $m$-folds in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ with cones $C_{i}$, then under cohomological conditions we can construct a family of compact nonsingular SL $m$ folds $\tilde{N}^{t}$ for small $t>0$ converging to $N$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, by gluing $t L_{i}$ into $N$ at $x_{i}$, all $i$.

Here is how this works. Let $B_{\epsilon}(0)$ be an open ball of small radius $\epsilon>0$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$, and choose a local diffeomorphism $\Upsilon_{i}$ : $B_{\epsilon}(0) \rightarrow M$ with $\Upsilon_{i}(0)=x_{i}$, that identifies $C_{i}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ with the tangent cone to $N$ at $x_{i}$, and $\gamma_{i}^{*}(\omega)=\omega_{0}$, for $\omega$ the Kähler form on $M$ and $\omega_{0}$ the Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. Write $\Sigma_{i}=C_{i} \cap \mathcal{S}^{2 m-1}$ 。 Then $\iota_{i}$ : $(\sigma, r) \mapsto r \sigma$ is a diffeomorphism $\iota_{i}: \Sigma_{i} \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow C_{i} \backslash\{0\}$.

For $0<\epsilon^{\prime}<\epsilon$ small there is a unique $\phi_{i}: \Sigma_{i} \times\left(0, \epsilon^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ such that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\Upsilon_{i} \circ \phi_{i}\right)$ coincides with $N \backslash\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ near $x_{i}$, and $\left(\phi_{i}-\iota_{i}\right)(\sigma, r)$ is perpendicular to $T_{r \sigma} C_{i}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ for all $(\sigma, r) \in \Sigma_{i} \times\left(0, \epsilon^{\prime}\right)$. These are distinguished coordinates on $N$ near $x_{i}$. Regard $\phi_{i}-\iota_{i}$ as a small closed 1-form on $C_{i}$. Regularity theory gives $\nabla^{k}\left(\phi_{i}-\iota_{i}\right)=O\left(r^{\mu_{i}-k}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ for some $\mu_{i}>1$ and all $k \geqslant 0$.

Similarly, for $R \gg 0$ there is a unique $\psi_{i}: \Sigma_{i} \times(R, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ such that $\operatorname{Im} \psi_{i}$ coincides with $L_{i}$ near $\infty$, and $\left(\phi_{i}-\iota_{i}\right)(\sigma, r)$ is perpendicular to $T_{r \sigma} C_{i}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ for all $(\sigma, r) \in \Sigma_{i} \times(R, \infty)$. These are distinguished cordinates on $L_{i}$ near $\infty$. Regularity gives $\nabla^{k}\left(\psi_{i}-\iota_{i}\right)=$
$O\left(r^{\nu_{i}-k}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\nu_{i}<1$ and all $k \geqslant 0$. We assume $\nu_{i}<-1$ for no obstruclions, or $\nu_{i}=-1$ and $m<6$.

Fix $\tau \in(0,1)$. Let $t>0$ with $2 t^{\tau}<\epsilon^{\prime}$ and $t^{\tau}>t R$. Define a compact, nonsingular Lagrangian $N^{t}$ in $M$ to be $N$ outside $\Upsilon_{i} \circ \phi_{i}\left(\Sigma_{i} \times\left(0,2 t^{\tau}\right)\right)$ for all $i$, to be $\gamma_{i}\left(t L_{i}\right)$ outside $\psi_{i}\left(\Sigma_{i} \times\left(t^{\tau-1}, \infty\right)\right)$ in $L_{i}$, and to interpolate smoothly between these on $\Sigma_{i} \times\left[t^{\tau}, 2 t^{\tau}\right]$. On $\Sigma_{i} \times\left[t^{\tau}, 2 t^{\tau}\right]$ we have $\phi_{i}(\sigma, r) \equiv \iota_{i}(\sigma, \tau)+O\left(t^{\mu_{i} \tau}\right)$ and $t \psi_{i}\left(\sigma, t^{-1} r\right) \equiv \iota_{i}(\sigma, r)+O\left(t^{\nu_{i}(\tau-1)+1}\right)$, so $\left|\phi_{i}(\sigma, r)-t \psi_{i}\left(\sigma, t^{-1} r\right)\right|$ is small.

This $N^{t}$ is approximately special Lagrangian, as $\left.\omega\right|_{N^{t}} \equiv 0$ and $\left.\operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{N^{t}}$ is small. Banach norms of $\left.\operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{N^{t}}$ measure the 'error', e.g. $\left\|\left.\operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{N^{t}}\right\|_{C^{0}}=$ $O\left(t^{\left(\mu_{i}-1\right) \tau}\right)+O\left(t^{\left(\nu_{i}-1\right)(\tau-1)}\right)$ for small $t$. But also, $N^{t}$ is nearly singular for small $t$, with second fundamental form $\|B\|_{C^{0}}=O\left(t^{-1}\right)$, Riemann curvature $\left\|R\left(\left.g\right|_{N^{t}}\right)\right\|_{C^{0}}=$ $O\left(t^{-2}\right)$ and injectivity radius $\delta\left(\left.g\right|_{N^{t}}\right)=O(t)$.

We show using analysis that we can deform $N^{t}$ to a nearby SL $m$-fold $\tilde{N}^{t}$. We must solve the nonlinear elliptic p.d.e. $Q\left(\tilde{N}^{t}\right)=\left.\operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{\tilde{N}^{t}} \equiv 0$. We make the solution as the limit of a series of Lagrangians $\left(N_{k}^{t}\right)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ with $N_{0}^{t}=N^{t}$, which roughly inductively satisfy $\left.\mathrm{d} Q\right|_{N^{t}}\left(N_{k+1}^{t}-N_{k}^{t}\right)=-\left.\operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{N_{k}^{t}}$. The series converges if the initial 'error' is small enough, in terms of $\|B\|_{C^{0}},\left\|R\left(\left.g\right|_{N^{t}}\right)\right\|_{C^{0}}$, $\delta\left(\left.g\right|_{N^{t}}\right), \ldots$

Three things can go wrong in this proof:
(A) For the 'error' to be small and the series to converge, we need $\tau \approx 1$ and $\nu_{i}<-1$ for all $i$, or $\nu_{i}=-1$ and $m<6$. (B) To make the Lagrangian $N^{t}$ we join $N \backslash\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and $\gamma\left(t L_{1}\right), \ldots, \gamma\left(t L_{n}\right)$. Effectively we must find a closed 1-form on $\Sigma_{i} \times\left[t^{\tau}, 2 t^{\tau}\right]$ interpolating between small closed 1-forms $\phi_{i}(\sigma, r)-\iota_{i}(\sigma, \tau)$ and $t \psi_{i}\left(\sigma, t^{-1} r\right)-\iota_{i}(\sigma, r)$.

Now $\phi_{i}(\sigma, r)-\iota_{i}(\sigma, \tau)$ is exact, and $t \psi_{i}\left(\sigma, t^{-1} r\right)-\iota_{i}(\sigma, r)$ is exact if $\nu_{i}<-1$, but if $\nu_{i} \geqslant-1$ then we can have $\left[t \psi_{i}\left(\sigma, t^{-1} r\right)-\right.$ $\left.\iota_{i}(\sigma, r)\right] \neq 0$ in $H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{i}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. This is a global topological obstruction to making $N^{t}$ Lagrangian. To overcome it, we modify $N^{\prime}=N \backslash\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ by a small closed 1-form $\alpha^{t}$ whose cohomology class $\left[\alpha^{t}\right] \in H^{1}\left(N^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfies $\left.\left[\alpha^{t}\right]\right|_{i}=\left[t \psi_{i}\left(\sigma, t^{-1} r\right)\right.$ $\iota_{i}(\sigma, r)$ ] in $H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{i}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ for all $i$. Such $\alpha^{t}$ need not exist.
(C) Suppose $N$ is connected, but $N^{\prime}=N \backslash\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ has $l>1$ connected components, which meet at $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Then the Laplacian $\Delta^{t}$ on functions on $N^{t}$ has $l-1$ small eigenvalues of size $O\left(t^{m-2}\right)$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are approximately constant on each component of $N^{\prime}$, and change on the 'necks' $\gamma\left(t L_{i}\right)$. The linearization $\left.\mathrm{d} Q\right|_{N^{t}}$ of $Q$ at $N^{t}$ is basically $\Delta^{t}$. So small eigenvalues of $\Delta^{t}$ can cause the series $\left(N_{k}^{t}\right)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ to diverge.

To overcome this, the components of $N_{k}^{t}-N^{t}$ in the directions of the $l-1$ eigenfunctions with small eigenvaluses must remain small for all $k \geqslant 0$. There is a global cohomological obstruction to doing this, that there should be a small closed $(m-1)$-form $\beta^{t}$ on $N^{\prime}$ whose cohomology class $\left[\beta^{t}\right] \in H^{m-1}\left(N^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfies $\left.\left[\beta^{t}\right]\right|_{\Sigma_{i}}=\left[*\left(t \psi_{i}\left(\sigma, t^{-1} r\right)-\right.\right.$ $\left.\iota_{i}(\sigma, r)\right)$ ] in $H^{m-1}\left(\Sigma_{i}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ for all $i$. Such $\beta^{t}$ need not exist.

We understand obstructions (B),(C) using relative cohomology. As $\left.\left.\omega\right|_{\tilde{N}^{t}} \equiv \operatorname{Im} \Omega\right|_{\tilde{N}^{t}} \equiv$ 0 , we have classes $[\omega],[\operatorname{Im} \Omega]$ in $H^{k}\left(M, N^{t} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$ for $k=2, m$. Also we have $\left[\omega_{0}\right]$, $\left[\operatorname{Im} \Omega_{0}\right]$ in $H^{k}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, L_{i} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$. An exact sequence gives $H^{k}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, L_{i} ; \mathbb{R}\right) \cong$ $H^{k-1}\left(L_{i} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$, and as $\Sigma_{i}$ is the 'boundary' of $L_{i}$ we restrict to $H^{k-1}\left(\Sigma_{i} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$. So $\left[\omega_{0}\right],\left[\operatorname{Im} \Omega_{0}\right]$ induce classes in $H^{k-1}\left(L_{i} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$ for all $i$, which must lie in the image of $H^{k-1}\left(N^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$.

