Time discretization of quadratic and superquadratic Markovian BSDEs with unbounded terminal conditions

Adrien Richou

Université Bordeaux 1, INRIA équipe ALEA

Oxford

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

### framework

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space,  $(W_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  be a Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  be his augmented natural filtration, *T* be a nonnegative real number. We consider an SDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s,$$

with standard assumptions on *b* and  $\sigma$ , and a Markovian BSDE

$$Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

### Time discretization

We consider a time discretization of the BSDE. We denote the time step by h = T/n and  $(t_k = kh)_{0 \le k \le n}$  stands for the discretization times. For *X* we take the Euler scheme :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X_0^n & = & x \\ X_{t_{k+1}}^n & = & X_{t_k}^n + hb(t_k, X_{t_k}^n) + \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}^n)(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k}), & 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n. \end{array}$$

For (Y, Z) we use the classical dynamic programming equation

where  $\mathbb{E}_{t_k}$  stands for the conditional expectation given  $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}$ .

### Remarks on simulation

- We need to compute conditional expectations.
- We have a speed of convergence.

Theorem (J. Zhang [2004], B. Bouchard, N. Touzi [2004])

Let us assume that g and f are Lipschitz functions with respect to x, y, z and t. We define the approximation error by

$$e(n) = \sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} \mathbb{E} |Y_{t_k}^n - Y_{t_k}|^2 + \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |Z_{t_k}^n - Z_t|^2 dt.$$

Then e(n) = O(1/n).

### Question

What happens when f has a quadratic or a superquadratic growth with respect to z?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

## Simplifications and restriction

Simplifications :

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s,$$
  

$$Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s.$$

• Restriction :

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma dW_s,$$
  

$$Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s.$$

# A simple lemma

#### Lemma

Let us assume that g is a Lipschitz function (not necessarily bounded) and f is locally Lipschitz :

$$|f(z) - f(z')| \leq C(1 + h(|z|) + h(|z'|))|z - z'|,$$

with  $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  a nondecreasing function. Then there exists a unique solution  $(Y, Z) \in S^2 \times M^2$  such that *Z* is bounded.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Sketch of the proof

Let us introduce the BSDE

$$Y_t^M = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(
ho_M(Z_s^M)) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^M dW_s,$$

with  $\rho_M$  the projection on the centered euclidean ball of radius *M*. We have  $Z_s^M = \nabla Y_s^M (\nabla X_s)^{-1} \sigma$  and

$$\nabla Y_t^M = \nabla g(X_T) \nabla X_T + \int_t^T \nabla (f \circ \rho_M) (Z_s^M) \nabla Z_s^M ds - \int_t^T \nabla Z_s^M dW_s$$
  
=  $\nabla g(X_T) \nabla X_T - \int_t^T \nabla Z_s^M (dW_s - \nabla (f \circ \rho_M) (Z_s^M) ds).$ 

We can use Girsanov :

$$abla Y^M_t = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^M}_t [
abla g(X_T) 
abla X_T]$$

and

$$\left| Z_s^M \right| \leq \mathbb{E}_s^{\mathbb{Q}^M} \left[ |\nabla g(X_T)| \left| \nabla X_T (\nabla X_s)^{-1} \right| \right] |\sigma| \leq C.$$

# A remark for non markovian framework

#### Remark

Let us assume here that the terminal condition is g(X) with g Lipschitz :

$$\left|g(x^1)-g(x^2)\right| \leq C \sup_{0\leq s\leq T} \left|x_s^1-x_s^2\right|.$$

Then the result stays true, Z is bounded.

Proof : we just have to approximate g(X) by  $g_n(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, ..., X_{t_n})$  (see J. Ma, J. Zhang [2002]). Result already proved in P. Cheridito, M. Stadje [2012].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

We have

$$|
abla g|\leqslant C \quad \Rightarrow \quad |Z|\leqslant C,$$

that is to say : the growth of Z is linked with the growth of the derivative of the terminal condition.

Generalization : Could we have

$$|\nabla g| \leqslant C(1+|x|^r) \quad \Rightarrow \quad |Z| \leqslant C(1+|X|^r)?$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

### Theorem

#### Let us assume

$$egin{array}{lll} egin{array}{lll} egin{arra$$

with  $l \ge 1$  (l = 1 : quadratic case).

#### Theorem

#### Let us assume

$$egin{array}{lll} egin{array}{lll} egin{arra$$

with  $l \ge 1$  (l = 1 : quadratic case).

• When rl < 1, there exists a solution (Y, Z) in  $S^2 \times M^2$  s.t.

 $|Z| \leqslant C(1+|X|^r).$ 

This solution is unique amongst solutions s.t.  $Y \in S^2$  and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[ oldsymbol{e}^{ ilde{C}\int_{0}^{ au}\left|Z_{s}
ight|^{2l}ds}
ight] <+\infty.$$

 When rl = 1 the result stays true only when T is small enough.

### Remarks

- In the quadratic case, the uniqueness result is new (*f* is not assume to be convex or concave).
- In the superquadratic case, existence and uniqueness results are new (in the paper of X. Bao, F. Delbaen and Y. Hu (2010), g is bounded).
- Uniqueness result allows us to obtain a Feynman-Kac formula.
- In the path-dependent framework, the result stays true (?) with the estimate

$$Z_t \leqslant C(1 + \sup_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} |X_s|^r).$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

## Remark on the uniqueness

$$Y_t^1 - Y_t^2 = \int_t^T f(Z_s^1) - f(Z_s^2) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^1 - Z_s^2 dW_s$$
  
=  $-\int_t^T Z_s^1 - Z_s^2 (dW_s - \beta_s ds).$ 

#### "lemma"

We have always a uniqueness result in the class of processes such that we are allowed to apply Girsanov.

In our case, this class is not empty because we can apply Novikov's condition :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{C\int_0^T |Z_s|^{2l}ds}\right] \leqslant C\mathbb{E}\left[e^{C\sup 0 \leqslant s \leqslant T|X_s|^{2rl}}\right] < +\infty$$

when rl < 1 or rl = 1 and C small enough.

## Time approximation

Let us consider an initial approximation of (Y, Z):

$$Y_t^M = g(
ho_M(X_T)) + \int_t^T f(Z_s^M) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^M dW_s.$$

Let us denote

$$(Y,Z) \xrightarrow{e_1(M)} (Y^M, Z^M) \xrightarrow{e_2(n,M)} (Y^{M,n}, Z^{M,n})$$

and

$$(Y,Z) \xrightarrow{e(n,M)} (Y^{M,n}, Z^{M,n}).$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ●

## Time approximation

### Theorem

We have

$$oldsymbol{e}(M,n)\leqslantoldsymbol{e}_1(M)+oldsymbol{e}_2(M,n)\leqslantrac{C}{oldsymbol{e}^{C_1M^2}}+rac{Coldsymbol{e}^{C_2M^{2rl}}}{n}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- When rl < 1, we can choose M such that e(M, n) = o((1/n)<sup>1-ε</sup>) for all ε > 0.
- When rl = 1, we can choose *M* such that  $e(M, n) = 0((1/n)^{\frac{C_1}{C_1+C_2}}).$

# A partial result in the general case

When I = 1 and the terminal condition is bounded, we can threat the case

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s,$$

with the growth assumption

$$|\sigma(\mathbf{x})| \leq C(1+|\mathbf{x}|^{\kappa}).$$

#### Lemma

$$|Z| \leqslant C(1+|X|^{r+\kappa}).$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

# Time approximation

#### Theorem

When  $2\kappa \leq 1 - r$ , we have

$$e(M,n) \leqslant rac{C}{e^{C_1M^2}} + rac{Ce^{C_2M^2}}{n}$$

- When 2κ < 1 − r, we can take C<sub>1</sub> as small as we want and we can choose M such that e(M, n) = o((1/n)<sup>1-ε</sup>) for all ε > 0.
- When  $2\kappa = 1 r$ , we can choose M such that  $e(M, n) = O((1/n)^{\frac{C_1}{C_1 + C_2}}).$

Is it possible to obtain a "good" speed of convergence for the time discretization scheme when

- g is locally Lipschitz, unbounded and σ(x) is bounded?
- g is Lipschitz and  $\sigma(x)$  is Lipschitz with linear growth?

For the second point it is already shown that we can choose *M* such that

$$e(M,n) \leqslant \frac{C}{(\log n)^k},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . See P. Imkeller, G. dos Reis [2010], A. R. [2012].