## BSDEs and Strict Local Martingales

#### Hao Xing

London School of Economics

BSDEs, Numerics, and Finance, Oxford, July 4, 2012

## Goal

Given parameters  $g: \mathbb{R}^d_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $f: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \, dB_s, \quad 0 \le t \le T, \quad \text{(BSDE)}$$

where each component of X is a nonnegative local martingale.

Question: Can we find multiple solutions?

## Main results (roughly)

- ▶ g has linear growth,
- f is bounded in z + some additional assumptions,
- ► X is a strict local martingale,

Then there exist two (sometimes infinite many) solutions in  $(\mathcal{S}^p, \mathcal{M}^p)$ , 0 ,

- in one solution  $(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})$ ,  $\overline{Y}$  is of class D,
- in another solution (Y, Z), Y is not of class D,
- ►  $Y_0 > \overline{Y}_0$ .

When X is a diffusion,

- multiple viscosity solutions to quasi-linear PDE,
- sufficient condition for uniqueness (comparison).

# Thank you very much!



$$dX_t = -X_t^2 dB_t, \quad X_0 = x > 0.$$

X is the reciprocal 3-dim Bessel process.

X is a strict local martingale with  $\mathbb{E}[X_T^2] < \infty$ .

$$dX_t = -X_t^2 dB_t, \quad X_0 = x > 0.$$

X is the reciprocal 3-dim Bessel process.

X is a strict local martingale with  $\mathbb{E}[X_T^2] < \infty$ .

Consider the following BSDE:

$$Y_t = X_T - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

One solution:  $\overline{Y}_{\cdot} = \mathbb{E}[X_{T}|\mathcal{F}_{\cdot}]$  and its associated integrand  $\overline{Z}_{\cdot}$ .  $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \overline{Y}_{t}^{2}] < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\int_{0}^{T} \overline{Z}_{s}^{2} ds] < \infty$ .

$$dX_t = -X_t^2 dB_t, \quad X_0 = x > 0.$$

X is the reciprocal 3-dim Bessel process.

X is a strict local martingale with  $\mathbb{E}[X_T^2] < \infty$ .

Consider the following BSDE:

$$Y_t = X_T - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

One solution:  $\overline{Y}_{\cdot} = \mathbb{E}[X_{\mathcal{T}}|\mathcal{F}_{\cdot}]$  and its associated integrand  $\overline{Z}_{\cdot}$  $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \overline{Y}_{t}^{2}] < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\int_{0}^{T} \overline{Z}_{s}^{2} ds] < \infty$ .

Another solution:  $(Y, Z) = (X, -X^2)$ .

▶ 
$$\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T Z_s^2 ds] = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T (X_s^2)^2 ds] = \infty.$$
  
▶  $Y = X$  is not of class  $D$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} Y_t] = \infty$   
▶  $Y_0 = X_0 > \mathbb{E}[X_T] = \overline{Y}_0.$ 

$$dX_t = -X_t^2 dB_t, \quad X_0 = x > 0.$$

X is the reciprocal 3-dim Bessel process.

X is a strict local martingale with  $\mathbb{E}[X_T^2] < \infty$ .

Consider the following BSDE:

$$Y_t = X_T - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

One solution:  $\overline{Y}_{\cdot} = \mathbb{E}[X_T | \mathcal{F}_{\cdot}]$  and its associated integrand  $\overline{Z}_{\cdot}$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \overline{Y}_t^2] < \infty \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T \overline{Z}_s^2 ds] < \infty.$$

Another solution:  $(Y, Z) = (X, -X^2)$ .

▶ 
$$\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T Z_s^2 ds] = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T (X_s^2)^2 ds] = \infty.$$
  
▶  $Y = X$  is not of class  $D$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} Y_t] = \infty$   
▶  $Y_0 = X_0 > \mathbb{E}[X_T] = \overline{Y}_0.$ 

However, both solutions are  $\mathbb{L}^{p}$  integrable with  $p \in (0, 1)$ .

There are at least two solutions in the same class of processes!

## **Bubble**

Let X be the price process of a risky asset under a risk neutral measure.

 $X_t > \mathbb{E}[X_T \,|\, \mathcal{F}_t],$  trading price > hedge price.

## Bubble

Let X be the price process of a risky asset under a risk neutral measure.

 $X_t > \mathbb{E}[X_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t],$ trading price > hedge price.

European option price  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_T) | \mathcal{F}_t]$  solves (BSDE) when  $f \equiv 0$ .

[Loewenstein-Willard], [Cox-Hobson], [Jarrow-Protter] ...

Other applications:

- Stochastic Portfolio Theory [Fernholz-Karatzas et al.]
- Benchmark Approach [Platen et al.]

## Integrability of BSDE solutions

 $g(X_T)$  and  $\{f(t, X_t, 0, 0) : t \in [0, T]\}$  are called parameters of (BSDE).

## Integrability of BSDE solutions $g(X_T)$ and $\{f(t, X_t, 0, 0) : t \in [0, T]\}$ are called parameters of (BSDE).

Parameters	Results
$\mathbb{L}^2$	[Pardoux & Peng 90] existence and uniqueness of $\mathbb{L}^2-$ solution
$\mathbb{L}^p (p \in (1,2))$	[El Karoui et al. 97] existence of $\mathbb{L}^p$ – solution
$\mathbb{L}^p (p \in (1,2))$	existence and uniqueness in [Briand et al. 03]
$\mathbb{L}^1$	[Peng 97] a special type of BSDE
$\mathbb{L}^1$	f has sublinear growth in $z$ , [Briand et al. 03] existence and uniqueness in class $D$ .

12 / 42

## g-local martingales

BSDE solutions are considered as nonlinear martingales

```
(g-martingales) in [Peng 97].
```

In classical theory, martingales are local martingales.

necessary to extend local martingales into the framework of BSDEs.

## g-local martingales

BSDE solutions are considered as nonlinear martingales

```
(g-martingales) in [Peng 97].
```

In classical theory, martingales are local martingales.

necessary to extend local martingales into the framework of BSDEs.

We regard solutions to (BSDE) as g-local martingales.

The non-class D solution can be viewed as g-strict local martingale.

## Assumptions on g

Denote

$$\underline{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} X^{i}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・ 三 ・ のへで

15 / 42

Both  $X^i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ , and  $\underline{X}$  are nonnegative local martingales.

## Assumptions on g

Denote

$$\underline{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} X^{i}$$

Both  $X^i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ , and  $\underline{X}$  are nonnegative local martingales.

The terminal function g is continuous, nonnegative, and

$${\mathcal K}:= \sup\left\{rac{g(x)}{1+\underline{x}}: x\in {\mathbb R}^d_+
ight\} <\infty.$$

Therefore,  $0 \le g(x) \le K(1 + \underline{x})$  and  $g(X_T) \in \mathbb{L}^1$ .

We do not a priori assume  $g(X_T) \in \mathbb{L}^p$  for some p > 1.

## Assumptions on f

f is jointly continuous in all its variables.

$$\begin{split} |f(t,x,y,z) - f(t,x,y,z')| &\leq \nu |z-z'|, \\ (y-y')(f(t,x,y,z) - f(t,x,y',z)) &\leq \mu (y-y')^2, \\ f(t,x,y,z) &\geq 0, \\ f(t,x,0,z) &\leq H(t,\underline{x}). \end{split}$$

This implies

$$f(t, x, y, z) \leq \mu y + H(t, \underline{x}),$$
 for any  $y \geq 0$  and  $z$ .

Here  $H: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

• *H* is locally bounded on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+$ .

• 
$$\mathbb{E}[\int_0^t H(t, \underline{X}_t) dt] < \infty.$$

•  $r \mapsto H(t, r)$  is nondecreasing and concave.

## The class $\mathcal{C}$

Look for (BSDE) solution inside the following class:

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ Y : 0 \leq Y \leq C \left( \mathcal{K}(1 + \underline{X}_t) + \mathbb{E}\left[ \int_t^T \mathcal{H}(s, \underline{X}_s) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right) \right\}.$$

## The class $\mathcal{C}$

Look for (BSDE) solution inside the following class:

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ Y : 0 \leq Y \leq C \left( \mathcal{K}(1 + \underline{X}_t) + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T \mathcal{H}(s, \underline{X}_s) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right) \right\}.$$

#### Proposition

For a solution (Y, Z) to (BSDE) such that  $Y \in C$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Y_t|^p\right]<\infty\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T|Z_s|^2ds\right)^{p/2}\right]<\infty,$$

for any  $p \in (0,1)$ , i.e.,  $(Y,Z) \in (\mathcal{S}^p,\mathcal{M}^p)$ .

## Main results

#### Theorem

(i)  $\exists$  a solution  $(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})$  such that  $\overline{Y} \in C$  and  $\overline{Y}$  is of class D. (ii) For any other solution  $(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z})$  such that  $\widetilde{Y} \in C$ ,  $\widetilde{Y}_t \ge \overline{Y}_t$ .

Define  $\overline{g}(x) := K(1 + \underline{x}) - g(x)$ . Assume that

$$\overline{g}(X)$$
 is a supermartingale on  $[0, T]$ ,  
 $\exists$  a nondecreasing univariate  $\overline{G} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$   
 $\overline{g}(x) \le \overline{G}(\underline{x})$  and  $\lim_{r \to \infty} \overline{G}(r)/r = 0.$ 

(iii) Then when X is a strict local mart,  $\exists$  another solution (Y, Z) such that  $Y \in C$ , but Y is not of class D, moreover,  $Y_0 > \overline{Y}_0$ .

,

## Remarks and examples

Multiple solutions  $\implies$  comparison fails in C.

## Remarks and examples

Multiple solutions  $\implies$  comparison fails in C.

When f is Lipschitz in y and does not depends on z, then (BSDE) admits a family of solutions

 $(Y^{\alpha}, Z^{\alpha})_{\alpha \in [0,1]},$ 

22 / 42

such that  $(Y^0, Z^0) = (\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})$  and  $(Y^1, Z^1) = (Y, Z)$ .

## Remarks and examples

Multiple solutions  $\implies$  comparison fails in C.

When f is Lipschitz in y and does not depends on z, then (BSDE) admits a family of solutions

 $(Y^{\alpha}, Z^{\alpha})_{\alpha \in [0,1]},$ 

such that  $(Y^0, Z^0) = (\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})$  and  $(Y^1, Z^1) = (Y, Z)$ .

Example (Zero generator) When  $f \equiv 0$ ,  $\overline{Y}_{\cdot} = \mathbb{E}[g(X_T)|\mathcal{F}_{\cdot}]$  and  $Y_{\cdot} = \mathcal{K}(\underline{X}_{\cdot} - \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_T|\mathcal{F}_{\cdot}]) + \mathbb{E}[g(X_T)|\mathcal{F}_{\cdot}].$ 

Consider

$$P_t = \log \underline{X}_T + \int_t^T \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} |Q_s|^2 \right) ds - \int_t^T Q_s \, dB_s. \tag{1}$$

Define  $(Y, Z) := (e^P, e^P Q)$ . It satisfies

$$Y_t = \underline{X}_T + \alpha \int_t^T Y_s ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s.$$
 (2)

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・ 三 ・ のへで

24 / 42

Consider

$$P_t = \log \underline{X}_T + \int_t^T \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} |Q_s|^2 \right) ds - \int_t^T Q_s \, dB_s. \tag{1}$$

Define  $(Y, Z) := (e^P, e^P Q)$ . It satisfies

$$Y_t = \underline{X}_T + \alpha \int_t^T Y_s ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

When X is a strict local mart., (2) admits two different solns, so is (1).

Consider

$$P_t = \log \underline{X}_T + \int_t^T \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} |Q_s|^2 \right) ds - \int_t^T Q_s \, dB_s. \tag{1}$$

Define  $(Y, Z) := (e^P, e^P Q)$ . It satisfies

$$Y_t = \underline{X}_T + \alpha \int_t^T Y_s ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

When X is a strict local mart., (2) admits two different solns, so is (1).

[Delbaen & Hu & Richou 11]: uniqueness of solution to (1) holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\gamma\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}P_t^+}+e^{\epsilon\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}P_t^-}\right]<\infty,\quad \text{ for some }\gamma>1 \text{ and }\epsilon>0.$$

Consider

$$P_t = \log \underline{X}_T + \int_t^T \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} |Q_s|^2 \right) ds - \int_t^T Q_s \, dB_s. \tag{1}$$

Define  $(Y, Z) := (e^P, e^P Q)$ . It satisfies

$$Y_t = \underline{X}_T + \alpha \int_t^T Y_s ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

When X is a strict local mart., (2) admits two different solns, so is (1).

[Delbaen & Hu & Richou 11]: uniqueness of solution to (1) holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\gamma \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} P_t^+} + e^{\epsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} P_t^-}\right] < \infty, \quad \text{ for some } \gamma > 1 \text{ and } \epsilon > 0.$$

The additional solution (P, Q) is outside the previous class.

Let  $\tau_n = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s \notin \mathcal{B}_n^+\} \land T$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Let 
$$\tau_n = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s \notin \mathcal{B}_n^+\} \land T$$
.

Given  $\{\xi_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  with  $\xi_n\in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$ , we consider

$$Y_t^n = \xi_n + \int_t^T \mathbb{I}_{\{s \leq \tau_n\}} f(s, X_s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n dB_s, \quad \text{ for each } n \geq 0.$$

Let 
$$\tau_n = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s \notin \mathcal{B}_n^+\} \land T$$
.

Given  $\{\xi_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  with  $\xi_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$ , we consider

$$Y_t^n = \xi_n + \int_t^T \mathbb{I}_{\{s \leq \tau_n\}} f(s, X_s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n dB_s, \quad \text{ for each } n \geq 0.$$

Consider two sequences

$$\xi_n := g(X_{\tau_n})$$
 and  $\overline{\xi}_n := g_n(X_{\tau_n}).$ 

30 / 42

Let 
$$\tau_n = \inf\{s \ge 0 : X_s \notin \mathcal{B}_n^+\} \land T$$
.

Given  $\{\xi_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  with  $\xi_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$ , we consider

$$Y_t^n = \xi_n + \int_t^T \mathbb{I}_{\{s \leq \tau_n\}} f(s, X_s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n dB_s, \quad \text{ for each } n \geq 0.$$

Consider two sequences

$$\xi_n := g(X_{\tau_n})$$
 and  $\overline{\xi}_n := g_n(X_{\tau_n}).$ 

We have

$$\mathbb{P} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \xi_n = g(X_T)$$
 and  $\mathbb{P} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{\xi}_n = g(X_T).$ 

But the convergence may not be in  $\mathbb{L}^1$ .

This allows  $\{Y_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  and  $\{\overline{Y}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  converge to two different solutions.

## Two remarks

f is bounded in z + assumptions on  $H \implies$ 

$$Y_t^n \leq C\left(K(1+\underline{X}_t)+\int_t^T H(s,\underline{X}_t)ds\right), \quad t\in[0,T].$$

Then use the localization technique in [Briand & Hu 06].

## Two remarks

f is bounded in z + assumptions on  $H \implies$ 

$$Y_t^n \leq C\left(K(1+\underline{X}_t)+\int_t^T H(s,\underline{X}_t)ds\right), \quad t\in[0,T].$$

Then use the localization technique in [Briand & Hu 06].

f non-neg. + g linear growth  $\implies$ 

$$Y_t = \lim_{n \to \infty} Y_t^n \ge K(\underline{X}_t - \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_T \,|\, \mathcal{F}_t]) + \mathbb{E}[g(X_T) \,|\, \mathcal{F}_t].$$

Then X strict local martingale  $\implies$  Y is not of class D.

## The Markovian case

Given  $\sigma: (0,\infty)^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  which is locally Lipschitz,

$$dX^{x,i}_s=\sum_{j=1}^d\sigma_{ij}(X^x_s)dB^j_s, \hspace{1em} X^x_0=x\in (0,\infty)^d, \hspace{1em} i=1,\cdots,d.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{L} := \frac{1}{2} Tr(\sigma \sigma' \nabla^2)$  the infinitesimal generator.

## The Markovian case

Given  $\sigma: (0,\infty)^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  which is locally Lipschitz,

$$dX^{x,i}_s = \sum_{j=1}^a \sigma_{ij}(X^x_s) dB^j_s, \quad X^x_0 = x \in (0,\infty)^d, \quad i = 1, \cdots, d.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{L} := \frac{1}{2} Tr(\sigma \sigma' \nabla^2)$  the infinitesimal generator.

We assume X does not hit the boundary of  $(0, \infty)^d$  in finite time. No boundary condition is needed. [Bao-Delbaen-Hu 10]

Consider the quasi-linear PDE

[Pardoux & Peng 92], [Barles & Buckdahn & Pardoux 97] ...

## Existence theorem

#### Theorem

There are two different viscosity solutions u and  $\overline{u}$  to (PDE). Both of them are nonnegative and have at most linear growth. But

 $u(t,x) > \overline{u}(t,x)$  for  $(t,x) \in [0,T) \times (0,\infty)^d$ .

When f vanishes, g has linear growth, X a strict local mart., multiple solution to (PDE) has been observed in

- stock price bubble [Heston et al. 07].
- stochastic portfolio theory [Fernholz & Karatzas 08].

# Comparison (uniqueness) theorem Assume

$$|f(t,x,y,z) - f(t,x,y,z')| \le b(x)|z-z'|,$$
 for some bdd. cont. b.

### Theorem (Comparison)

Suppose that there exist a positive function  $\Psi$  and a positive constant  $\lambda$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}\Psi(x) &\leq \lambda(1+\Psi(x)) \text{ on } (0,\infty)^d, \\ \lim_{x \to \mathcal{O}} \Psi(x) &= \infty, \\ \forall M > 0, \exists R \text{ s.t. } \Psi(x) / \underline{x} \geq M \text{ for all } \underline{x} \geq R, \\ c\Psi(x) &\geq b(x) |\nabla \Psi(x)\sigma(x)|, \quad \text{ on } (0,\infty)^d. \end{split}$$

Then for any nonneg. subsolution u and supersolution v of at most linear growth,

$$u(t,x) \leq v(t,x), \quad \text{ for } (t,x) \in [0,T] \times (0,\infty)^d.$$

Three examples: more restrictions on  $\sigma \implies$  wider dependence of  $\underline{f}$  on  $\underline{z}$ .

Examples:  $\sigma$  has at most linear growth

When 
$$|\sigma(x)| \le C(1 + |x|)$$
,

 $\Psi(x)$  can be chosen as  $1+|x|^2$ , add another function s.t.  $\lim_{x\to \mathcal{O}}\Psi(x)=\infty$ .

b can be any bounded function.

Actually, the comparison holds in the class of functions

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |u(t, x)| e^{-A[\log |x|]^2} = 0.$$

(ロ) (部) (目) (日) (日) (の)

38 / 42

[Barles & Buckdahn & Pardoux 97]

## Example: No growth constraint on $\sigma$

f does not depend on z ( $b \equiv 0$ ).

Assumptions in the comparison theorem is sharp in 1-dimension:

If X is a 1-dim positive martingale, then  $\Psi$  exists:  $\Psi = \Psi_1 + \Psi_2$ ,

$$\Psi_1(x)=2\int_c^x dy\int_c^y rac{dz}{\sigma^2(z)} \quad ext{ and } \quad \Psi_2(x)=x+\int_c^x dy\int_c^y rac{z}{\sigma^2(z)}dz.$$

►  $\lim_{x\downarrow 0} \Psi_1(x) = \infty \iff X$  does not hit 0 (Feller's test).

► 
$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\Psi_2(x)}{x} = \infty \iff \int_c^\infty \frac{x}{\sigma^2(x)} dx = \infty \iff X$$
 is a martingale.  
[Delbaen & Shirakawa 02], [Mijatovic & Urusov 10]

## $\sigma$ has super-linear growth

Consider a 1-dim SDE

$$dX_t = \sigma(X_t)dB_t$$
, where  $\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \le e \\ x\sqrt{\log x} & \text{if } x > e \end{cases}$ 

X is a martingale.

Consider

$$b(x) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 1 & ext{if } x \leq e \ rac{e}{x\sqrt{\log x}} & ext{if } x > e \end{array} 
ight..$$

Then

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{x} + x + \int_e^x dy \int_e^y \frac{z}{\sigma^2(z)} dz$$

satisfies all assumptions.

٠

## Conclusion

We study a BSDE whose terminal condition is a linear growth function of a local nonnegative martingale.

- obtain multiple solutions explicitly.
- other than a class D solution, there exists a non-class D solution, which can be viewed as g-strict local martingale.
- derive a necessary/sufficient condition for uniqueness of associated quasi-linear PDE.

"On backward stochastic differential equations and strict local martingales", Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 122 (2012) 2265-2291.

# Thanks for your attention!

