Monday, 19 October 2020 last time: morginal s-partièle PDFs Cs and distributions fs. equetion 3t + EP, HS=0 Louville's BBGKY hverarchy Bogoliubor - Born - Green - Korkwood - Yvon 1935-1949 Specialise the N-portide Hemiltonian for 2-books interactions: $H = \sum_{z=1}^{N} \frac{194^{z}}{zm} + \sum_{z=1}^{N} \frac{1(19i - 9i)}{12izj \leq N}$ The ordered sum over 14icjeN counts each pair once, and exclude self-interactions. To find endution equations for Ps and fs it's convenient to H= Hs+ HN-s+H' where Hs and HN-s unvolve particles 1,..., 5 and 5t1,..., Nonly $\mathcal{H}_{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \frac{|P_{i}|^{2}}{zm} + \sum_{i \leq i \leq S} \emptyset(|9_{i}-9_{i}|)$ $\mathcal{H}_{N-S} = \sum_{i=st_1}^{N} \frac{19i!^2}{zm} + \sum_{st_1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} (19i - 9i!)$ The two groups of particles $1, \dots, S$ and $s+1, \dots, N$ only where through $H' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{i=1}^{\infty$ To save unting, define $Fis = \frac{2}{29i} p(19i-9i) = -Fii$ Differentiating the definition of Per with respect to time gives The John dru de Jt = - JdVs+1...dVn EP, Hs+Hn-stHJ Think about each term separately. He doesn't depend on Pett, 9st1, ... PN, IN 50 ne can take JdVs+1...dVn chsche the dervatues defining the Poisson brachet to get JdVst1...dVn EP, Hs & = } JdVs+1...dVNP, Hs { = & Ps, Hs } The HN-s contribution vonishes because le integrand is an exact be cause le devergence: SdVst1...dVN &P,HN-s}
= SdVst1...dVN &P,HN-s

R=1 \frac{\partial P}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P $=\int dV_{S+1}...dV_{N}\sum_{i=S+1}^{N}\left[\frac{\partial P}{\partial q_{i}}...\frac{Pi}{m}\right]$ $-\frac{\partial P}{\partial Pi} \cdot \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \frac{\partial \phi \left(19i-9i1\right)}{\partial 9i}$ $=\int dV_{S+1}...dV_{N} \sum_{c=s+1}^{N} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \cdot \left(\frac{eP_{i}}{m} \right) \right]$ $-\frac{\partial}{\partial Pi} \cdot \left(P \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} F_{ij} \right)$ The remarking interaction term is $\int dV_{S+1}...dV_{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\partial P}{\partial P^{k}} \cdot \frac{\partial H'}{\partial P^{k}} \right]$ $=\int dV_{Sti...}dV_{N}\left(\frac{5}{2\pi r},\frac{3r}{3r},\frac{5}{5},\frac{5}{5}\right)$ $+\frac{2}{3Pi}\cdot\frac{2}{5}$ = sti $= \frac{2}{3P5} \cdot \left(P \sum_{i=1}^{N} F_{i} i \right)$ The second term is an exact diregence, that contributes zero.

= (N-s) SdVs+1...dVN = 3pi · Fi,s+1 surce the som over j = s+1,...,Ncontractes N-S identical tems since e of symmetre under suppong patriles. = (N-S)\(\S\d\V_{St1}\) \(\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{12}\)\(\frac{1}{2}\)\ = (N-S) \(\frac{2}{2} \int \lambda \V_{St1} \) \(\frac{7}{2} \rangle \text{5t1} \) \(\frac{7}{2} \rangle \text{1} \) \(\frac{7}{2} \rangle \text{1} \) Assembling the 3 preces gives the BBGKY hierarchy 75 + EPS, HS = 79i 79i 7pi (N-5) $\sum_{t=1}^{5}$ dN_{5+1} $\frac{\partial f_{S}}{\partial t} + \xi f_{S}, H_{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int dV_{S+i} \frac{\partial \rho(|9i-9i|)}{\partial 9i} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{S+i}}{\partial 9i}$ The LHS describes how the sporticles evolve independently of the others. All the coupling is through the RHS. This hierarchy is still exact, assuming all the boundary terms from Jung by ports vanish, and describes time-verexible evolution. Hepends on fz Herends on f3 ard so on urtil ne get to fiv. There's a parallel time of development for hard spheres. No potentials, but de integrations must be over configurations such that the spheres do not overlap, bringing in boundary use the fi and fz Exercise: to show that <#> equations is conserved.

VI The Boltzmann equation Monday, 19 October 2020 We need an argument based on sustify geparation of timescales to justify bruncating the BBCKY hierarchy un the Boltzmann-Grad Curut nd3 >0 with nd2 = O(Timp) fixed. $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{P_1}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} + \frac{P_2}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} - F_{12} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2}\right)\right] f_2$ 1/T = JdV3 [F13. 3p, + F23. 3pe] f3 $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{P_1}{m} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} \end{bmatrix} f_1 = \int dW_2 \begin{bmatrix} F_{12} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \end{pmatrix} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} \end{bmatrix} f_2 = \int dW_2 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} \end{bmatrix} f_3 = \int dW_2 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} \end{bmatrix} f_3 = \int dW_2 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} \end{bmatrix} f_3 = \int dW_2 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial}$ An extra exact devergence that 1/2 loves matherian does nothing. The RHS of the f, equation now matches a term in the LHS of the fz equation. Every expression in [...] has dimensions of frequency (/time). Pi. F. is a hydrodynamic advection m Fi time equivalent to Cs V. 30 de chierse deration of a collision dering which pairs of particles are close to each other. The of terms on the RHS scale differently as they involve fs+1, not fs. nuth an JdVs+1. fs+1

one more particle per

one volume. However SdVs+1 3 only appreciable over an O(d3) volume where the integrand is non zoro. The Einescale of each KHS $\frac{3}{n} \frac{\pi}{d^3} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ We can drop le O(/T) RHS of Cle fz equation, leaving Cle O(17c) tem on the LHS. The fi equation is special. Partièles con't collère uith Hemselves so cleve's no O(/rc) term en the LHS. We need to keep the O(1/2) RHS. fz evolves as though no other particles were present, equivalent to ignoring ternory & higher collisions. It's tempting to try > fz (91, P1, 92, Pzt) = f, (91, P1, t) f, (92, P2, t) This leads to the Vlasov equation, but it's only valid for near long-varge interactions. This approximation says particles are incorrelated. How can particles interact while staying incorrelated? The sumplefied for equation has Z different times cales: O(1/Te) There's a faster timescale hidden in the O(1/T) terms that we can Bolate by miting 21 = 中一之见, 92 = 甲十之见 in terms of a mean position $Q = \frac{1}{2} \left(21 + 92 \right)$ Separation 9 = 92 - 91Total Pithe of the parties of both of Me) O(1/T) $= E_{12} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{2p_1} - \frac{2}{2p_2}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_2 = 0$ We can understand a collision by Greating fras steady on the "to Ernescole (Che a Lagrangian in Mund dynamics. Particles ac noving, but fz is steady) Bogoliubor dezl Chis more formally by seehing a partizular firetional form fortz. Going bach & the fi equation: [3+ + Pi = 39,]f, = JdVz F12 (3p, 3p) fe = dfi collision term $=\int dPz\int dqz \frac{Pz-P_1}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q} fz$ = Selpe Sele og (Per Pi fz) Integrating over a sphere of radius Zl where delated and the delated and the delated and the sphere of the sphere of the second over a sphere in 2 giles dfi | coll = Idpz | ds ns. V fz where ns is the outward named on S and $V = \frac{P^2 - P_1}{m}$. 2 = 2 lns, 2, = 9 - lns, 92 = 9+ens. later ne mill assume that fi dogs! vory on lengthscales much smaller than 2 ansip so Q, 21, 92 que auterchangeable. Nou decompose le créequation over the sphere 5 onto integrations over 2 hemispheres: S+ with Ms.V>O, particles moning aport 9- rulh ns.V<0, particles moving togetter before a cellisien dér all = Salp Sals /ns.V/ fz - Japa Sals Ins. VI fa L = gown term G - loss term L The 21th is a function of P, 21, 6. The loss term L describes particles uiter momentem L' collèderg with particles with some other momentum Pe to emerge from the collèsion vith new momenta Prand Pr. The gain term & lescribes particles with pre-collision momente pi'z Pz'
collidere to emerge with momenta Pr & Pz. Boltzmann's collision number essemption or 1stoss zahlansetz assumes that paws of particles moving towards each other (not yet collided) ar incorrelated. The Coss term becomes L= Jdpz Jds /ns.V/ fi(P, q, t)fi(Pz, qz,t) We still have a problem with the gour term, chvolving parts of particles morning away from each otter, having become correlated in a collèsion. fzhee SPil
here >> Pz) conelatel concluted P2 We need & find parts of momenta PI and Pz that senerge as pairs of momenta Pr and Pz efter collesions. Collisions conserve momentem & energy Pi+Pz' = Pi+Pz 1P1/2+1P2/2=(P1/2+1P2)2 We can unte the solution as $P_1 = P_1 + m n n \cdot V'$ Pz= Pz'-mnn. V' where $V = \frac{1}{m} (Pz - Pi')$ and n is a unit vector. we can calculate that $Pz-Pi=(\underline{I}-Znn).(Pz'-Pi)$ so the relative relocity reflects in the plane perpendicular to n, so | Pz-Pi) = | Pz'-Pi'). This reflection defines an invertible bonsformætion with unit Jacobcan (up to sign) that we can chiert to get $P_1' = P_1 + m n n \cdot V$ where $V = \frac{P_2 - P_1}{m}$ Pr = Pr-m nn. V The solution is parametrized by Using this "inverse collision" G = Joles Jst ds/ns.v/ fz (P1/91/12/92/5) Now re can use the stosszahl-ansætz for pr/ & Pz/, gring G = $\int dPe \int dS \mid ns \cdot V \mid f_i(Pi,qi,t) \times f_i(Pz',qz',t)$ $\left(\frac{2}{2} + \frac{p_i}{m} - \frac{2}{2q_i}\right) f_i = G - L$ a closed cheque-différential equation for f_1 — le Boltzmann equation.