

Solution (#712) Let \mathbf{v} and $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Let A be the matrix with rows $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ and B be the matrix with rows $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}$.

Suppose that \mathbf{v} is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$, say

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \mathbf{v}_k.$$

Then one might begin the process of row-reducing B by subtracting α_1 of the first row from the last, then subtracting α_2 of the second row from the first and so on until the last row is zero. At this point B has reduced to the matrix A with an extra zero row. By the uniqueness of RRE form we can see that $\text{RRE}(B)$ is $\text{RRE}(A)$ with an extra zero row. In particular $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B)$.

Conversely suppose that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B)$. If we employ the EROs that reduce A to $\text{RRE}(A)$ to the first k rows of B then we arrive at

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{RRE}(A) \\ \mathbf{v} \end{array} \right).$$

$\text{RRE}(A)$ has $\text{rank}(A)$ non-zero rows which are linearly independent. If we reduce B further we must end up with the same number of zero rows, i.e. that \mathbf{v} must reduce to a zero row. This means (Corollary 193) that \mathbf{v} must be a linear combination of the non-zero rows of $\text{RRE}(A)$ and as they are linear combinations of the rows of A (Proposition 191) then \mathbf{v} also is a linear combination of those rows.