

**Solution** (#722) Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  be  $k$  independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  be a further vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbf{v}$  is not in  $\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle$  and that

$$\alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \mathbf{v}_k + \alpha \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0},$$

for some scalars  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \alpha$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$  then

$$\mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} (\alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \mathbf{v}_k)$$

is in the span  $\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle$ , a contradiction. So  $\alpha = 0$  and then  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0$  by the independence of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ . We have shown  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}$  are independent.

Conversely say  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}$  are independent. If  $\mathbf{v}$  is in  $\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle$  then there exist scalars  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$  such that

$$\mathbf{v} = \beta_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \beta_k \mathbf{v}_k.$$

So

$$\beta_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \beta_k \mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0},$$

contradicting the independence of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}$ .