

ANALYSIS I

1 The real number system

1.1 What are the reals?

What are the *real numbers*? For the moment this is too hard a question!

We can give various answers, but for the moment we prefer just to agree a set of *axioms*—statements we will assume are true about real numbers. We will base all our arguments on these *axioms* and on nothing else, and develop all the mathematics we've learned before from these axioms alone.

1.2 Axioms

Naively, then we assume we've got a set \mathbb{R} which we call *the real numbers* which satisfies the axioms we're going to list.

1.3 Addition

- (i) For every ordered pair of real numbers a, b we can associate a third one written $a + b$ called their **sum**
- (ii) To every real number a we can associate an other one written $-a$ called its **negative**
- (iii) There is a special real number 0 called **zero**

such that

$$a + b = b + a \tag{A1}$$

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c \tag{A2}$$

$$a + 0 = a \tag{A3}$$

$$a + (-a) = 0 \tag{A4}$$

1.4 Easy properties of A1—A4

1.4.1. *If $a + x = 0$ and $a + y = 0$ then $x = y$*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} y &= y + 0 && \text{(A3)} \\ &= y + (a + x) && \text{Assumption} \\ &= (y + a) + x && \text{(A2)} \\ &= (a + y) + x && \text{(A1)} \\ &= 0 + x && \text{Assumption} \\ &= x + 0 && \text{(A1)} \\ &= x && \text{(A3)} \end{aligned}$$

□

1.4.2. $-(-a) = a$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}(-a) + a &= a + (-a) && \text{(A1)} \\ &= 0 && \text{(A4)} \\ (-a) + (-(-a)) &= 0 && \text{(A4)} \\ a &= (-(-a)) && \text{by (1.4.1)}\end{aligned}$$

□

1.4.3. $-(a + b) = (-a) + (-b)$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}(a + b) + ((-a) + (-b)) &= ((a + b) + (-a)) + (-b) && \text{(A2)} \\ &= ((b + a) + (-a)) + (-b) && \text{(A1)} \\ &= (b + (a + (-a))) + (-b) && \text{(A2)} \\ &= (b + 0) + (-b) && \text{(A4)} \\ &= b + (-b) && \text{(A3)} \\ &= 0 && \text{(A4)}\end{aligned}$$

Also $(a + b) + (-(a + b)) = 0$ by (A4). So by (1.4.1)

$$-(a + b) = (-a) + (-b).$$

□

1.4.4. $-0 = 0$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}0 + 0 &= 0 && \text{(A3)} \\ 0 + (-0) &= 0 && \text{(A4)}\end{aligned}$$

therefore $0 = -0$ by (1.4.1).

□

1.5 Multiplication

- (i) To every ordered pairs of real numbers a, b we can associate a third one written $a \cdot b$ called their **product**
- (ii) To every real number except 0 we can associate an other one written $1/a$ called its reciprocal
- (iii) There is a special real number 1

such that

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a \tag{M1}$$

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c \tag{M2}$$

$$a \cdot 1 = a \tag{M3}$$

$$a \cdot \frac{1}{a} = 1 \text{ if } a \neq 0 \tag{M4}$$

1.6 Easy Consequences of M1—M4

1.6.1. If $a \neq 0$ and $a \cdot x/a \cdot y$ then $x = y$.

1.6.2. If $a \neq 0$ then $1/(1/a) = a$.

1.6.3. If $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$ then

Note that as M1—M4 say the same things about \cdot as A1—A4 say about $+$ we can just translate the proofs.

1.7 The Distributive Law

For all a, b, c numbers

$$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c \quad (\text{D})$$

1.8 More Consequences

1.8.1. $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b) \cdot c &= c \cdot (a + b) && (\text{M1}) \\ &= c \cdot a + c \cdot b && (\text{D}) \\ &= a \cdot c + b \cdot c && (\text{M1})^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

1.8.2. $a \cdot 0 = 0$

Proof.

$$a \stackrel{(\text{M3})}{=} a \cdot 1 \stackrel{(\text{A3})}{=} a \cdot (1 + 0) \stackrel{(\text{D})}{=} a \cdot 1 + a \cdot 0 \stackrel{(\text{M3})}{=} a + (a \cdot 0)$$

Therefore $a = (a \cdot 0) + a$. Now

$$a + (-a) = ((a \cdot 0) + a) + (-a)$$

and so $0 = a$ by an earlier result.

□

1.8.3. $a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b)$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot (-b)) &= a \cdot (b + (-b)) && (\text{D}) \\ &= a \cdot 0 && (\text{A4}) \\ &= 0 \\ (a \cdot b) + (-(a \cdot b)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So by (1.4.1)

$$a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b).$$

□

1.8.4. $(-1) \cdot (-1) = 1$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (-1) \cdot (-1) &= (-(-1) \cdot 1) \\ &= (-(-1)) && (\text{M3}) \\ &= 1 && \text{by (1.4.1)} \end{aligned}$$

□

1.9 Avoiding Collapse

If $1 = 0$ we would have

$$x = x \cdot 1 = x \cdot 0 = 0 \text{ for all } x$$

We must assume

$$0 \neq 1 \tag{Z}$$

Note. *This is the only “safe” contradiction in mathematics.*

1.10 Notation

We write

$$\begin{array}{lll} ab & \text{for} & a \cdot b \\ a - b & \text{for} & a + (-b) \\ a/b & \text{for} & a \cdot (1/b) \\ a^{-1} & \text{for} & 1/a \end{array}$$

Also we write

$$\begin{array}{lll} a^0 & = & 1 \\ a^{k+1} & = & a^k \cdot a \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \\ a^{-l} & = & 1/a^l \quad \text{for all } l \in \mathbb{N} \end{array}$$

1.11 Other systems

Note. *Other systems also satisfy (A1)-(A4), (M1)-(M4),(D), (Z). They are called fields.*

Example. $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, but more exciting ones exist.

Secret. All that you do in Linear Algebra uses only these axioms.

Fact. All the arithmetic properties of \mathbb{R} can be easily deduced from those we have done. We cease to labour this point.

2 Putting order on \mathbb{R}

2.1 The number ‘line’

We want to capture the idea that the real numbers are ‘ordered’ in an axiom. It is easier just to give axioms for being positive.

There is a subset \mathbb{P} of \mathbb{R} called the **positive** real numbers satisfying:

$$a, b \in \mathbb{P} \longrightarrow a + b \in \mathbb{P} \quad (\text{P1})$$

$$a, b \in \mathbb{P} \longrightarrow ab \in \mathbb{P} \quad (\text{P2})$$

$$\text{Exactly one of } a \in \mathbb{P}, a = 0, -a \in \mathbb{P} \quad (\text{P3})$$

2.2 Easy consequences

2.2.1. $1 \in \mathbb{P}$

Proof. By (P3) one of one of

$$1 \in \mathbb{P} \Rightarrow n \in \mathbb{P}$$

$$1 = 0 \quad \text{contradicts to (Z)}$$

$$-1 \in \mathbb{P} \quad ? \quad \text{Well, let's see ...}$$

If so, by (P1), $(-1)(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$. By (1.8.4), $1 \in \mathbb{P}$. Now $1 \in \mathbb{P}$ and $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ which contradicts to (P3). \square

2.2.2. For all x , $(x + 1) - x \in \mathbb{P}$.

Proof. By the arithmetic axioms, $LHS = 1$. \square

2.3 Notation

We write

$$a > b \quad \text{for} \quad a - b \in \mathbb{P}$$

$$a < b \quad \text{for} \quad b - a \in \mathbb{P}$$

$$a \geq b \quad \text{for} \quad a - b \in \mathbb{P} \text{ or } a - b = 0$$

$$a \leq b \quad \text{for} \quad b - a \in \mathbb{P} \text{ or } b - a = 0$$

2.4 Easy consequences

For all x, y, z

2.4.1. $x \leq x$

2.4.2. $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x \implies x = y$

2.4.3. $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z \implies x \leq z$

Proof.

(2.4.1) By (A4) $x - x = 0$ so $x \leq x$

(2.4.2) Given

$$\begin{aligned} x - y \in \mathbb{P} \text{ or } x - y = 0 \\ y - x \in \mathbb{P} \text{ or } y - x = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note, $-(x - y) = -x + - - y = -x + y = y - x$.

So by (P3) not $x - y \in \mathbb{P}$ and $y - x \in \mathbb{P}$. So either $x - y = 0$ or $y - x = 0$ so $x = y$.

(2.4.3) Given

$$\begin{aligned} y - x \in \mathbb{P} \text{ or } y - x = 0 \\ z - y \in \mathbb{P} \text{ or } z - y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Cases

$$\begin{aligned} y - x \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } z - y \in \mathbb{P} &\implies (y - x) + (z - y) \in \mathbb{P} \text{ by (P1), i.e. } z - x \in \mathbb{P} \checkmark\checkmark \\ y - x \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } z - y = 0 &\implies (y - x) + (z - y) = (y - x) \in \mathbb{P} \checkmark\checkmark \\ y - x = 0 \text{ and } z - y \in \mathbb{P} &\implies (y - x) + (z - y) = (z - y) \in \mathbb{P} \checkmark\checkmark \\ y - x = 0 \text{ and } z - y = 0 &\implies (y - x) + (z - y) = 0 + 0 = 0 \checkmark\checkmark \end{aligned}$$

□

2.5 Inequalities shift

2.5.1. $x > y \implies x + z > y + z$

Proof. $(x + z) - (y + z) = x - y$.

□

2.6 Two important functions: max, min

Define $\max : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\max(x, y) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq y \\ y & \text{if } y > x \end{cases}$$

By trichotomy \implies well defined function.

And then min by

$$\min(x, y) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x \geq y \\ x & \text{if } y > x \end{cases}$$

Note. We can extend it to a function of many variables:

$$\max(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) = \max(\max(a_1, \dots, a_n), a_{n+1})$$

but we will let you do that yourself.

Exercise. $\max(x, y) = -\min(-x, -y)$, because

	$\max(x, y)$		$-\min(-x, -y)$
$x > y$	x	$-x < -y$	$- - x = x$
$x = y$	x	$x = y$	
$x < y$	y	$-y < -x$	

2.7 An important function—Modulus

We define $|\cdot| : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

These cases are distinct and cover all possibilities by (P3), so we get a well-defined function.

2.8 An easy consequence

2.8.1. $|-x| = |x|$

Proof.

- If $x > 0$ then $x \neq 0$ so $-x \neq 0$. By (P3) then $(-x) < 0$. So $|-x| = -(-x) = x = |x|$.
- If $x = 0$ then $-x = -0 = 0$ (1.4.4). So $|-x| = 0 = |x|$.
- If $x < 0$ then $-x > 0$. So $|-x| = -x = |x|$.

□

2.9 The Triangle Law (Δ)

For all a, b

$$|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$$

Proof. There are possible 8 cases

	a	b	$a + b$	
(A)	≥ 0	≥ 0	≥ 0	
	≥ 0	≥ 0	< 0	Forbidden by (P1)
(B)	≥ 0	< 0	≥ 0	
(C)	≥ 0	< 0	< 0	
(B')	< 0	≥ 0	≥ 0	
(C')	< 0	≥ 0	< 0	
	< 0	< 0	≥ 0	Forbidden by (P1)
(D)	< 0	< 0	< 0	

(A) $|a| = a, |b| = b, |a + b| = a + b$

(D) $|a| = -a, |b| = -b, |a + b| = -(a + b)$

(B) $|a| = a, |b| = -b, |a + b| = a + b$

Is $(a - b) \geq (a + b)$? ie Is $(-b) + (-b) \geq 0$? Yes, as $(-b) \in \mathbb{P}$ by (P1).

(C) $|a| = a, |b| = -b, |a + b| = -(a + b)$

Is $-(a + b) \leq a - b$? I.e. is $0 \leq a + a$? Yes, $a \in \mathbb{P}$ by (P1).

(B') , (C') got by swapping a and b

□

2.10 The modulus of a product

$$|ab| = |a||b|$$

Proof. For you to do; see Exercise Sheets. □

2.11 Arguing with Inequalities

The rules we use in practice are

- 2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.4.3;
- $|ab| = |a||b|$;
- the Δ Law.