# SHORT NOTES

## THE EXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE STEADY STATES IN THE FLOW OF LARGE ICE MASSES

### By A. C. FOWLER

#### (School of Mathematics, 39 Trinity College, Dublin 2, Republic of Ireland)

ABSTRACT. We discuss the possibility of the occurrence of thermal instability in ice sheets and glaciers. This may arise from the non-linear viscous heating term, which could provide for the existence of multiple steady states in the flow and temperature fields: a word of warning is given about the applicability of these ideas.

RÉSUMÉ. L'existence de multiples états d'équilibre dans l'écoulement des grandes masses de glace. Nous discutons la possibilité que se produise une instabilité thermique dans les calottes glaciaires et les glaciers. Ceci peut provenir du terme non linéaire exprimant la viscosité thermique, qui peut entraîner l'existence de plusieurs états d'équilibre dans l'écoulement et les flux de chaleur: un mot d'avertissement est donné sur les perspectives d'application de ces idées.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG. Die Existenz mehrerer stationärer Zustände im Fluss grosser Eismassen. Es wird die Möglichkeit des Auftretens thermischer Instabilität in Eisschilden und Gletschern untersucht; eine solche könnte aus dem Ausdruck für die nicht-lineare viskose Erwärmung hergeleitet werden, mit dem sich die Existenz mehrerer stationärer Zustände in den Fliess- und Temperaturfeldern begründen liesse. Gegenüber der Anwendbarkeit solcher Ideen scheint jedoch Skepsis angebracht.

ROBIN (1955) was the first to propose the possibility that thermal instability could provide a trigger for the occurrence of surges. He argued on intuitive grounds that the strong stress-temperature coupling in the equations of motion could initiate a sufficient temperature rise in cold ice masses to melt the basal ice, and thus produce basal sliding. More recently, Clarke and others (1977) and Yuen and Schubert (1979) have proposed that ice sheets and glaciers may exhibit multiple steady states, and thus a runaway instability could occur if the viscous heating became sufficiently "large".

Our purpose here is to draw attention to certain limitations in these authors' models, which may render their conclusions inaccurate. If this is the case, then the question of the existence of thermal instability in large ice masses will require further study with more realistic models.

The model of Clarke and others (1977) considers a temperature equation for a glacier of the form

$$\theta_{\tau} + \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \theta = \theta_{\zeta\zeta} + \beta f(\zeta, \theta), \tag{1}$$

where  $\theta$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\zeta$  are dimensionless temperature, time, velocity, and vertical coordinate perpendicular to the slope;  $f(\zeta, \theta)$  is a non-linear function of the rheological properties of ice, and  $\beta$  is a dimensionless parameter which measures the importance of the viscous heating. The boundary conditions are

$$\theta = 0$$
 on  $\zeta = 0$  (2)

(prescribed temperature on the surface) and

$$\theta_{\zeta} = \phi \qquad \text{on } \zeta = \mathbf{I}$$
 (3)

(prescribed geothermal heat flux on the base).

Essential constituents of this model are that the depth is constant and prescribed (= I, dimensionlessly), and the velocity field **q** is prescribed, and is independent of the lengthwise coordinate. In this case the authors obtain qualitatively similar results for zero and non-zero **q**, so it suffices to discuss here the case **q** = 0 (or alternatively **q** =  $(u(\zeta), 0), \theta = \theta(\zeta, \tau)$ ). The steady-state equation

$$\theta_{rr} + \beta f(\zeta, \theta) = 0 \tag{4}$$

with the boundary conditions (2) and (3), and an appropriate form for f, then admits multiple (up to three) solutions for various ranges of  $\beta$ . From this analysis, the possible occurrence of a creep instability is deduced if  $\beta$  becomes sufficiently large.

\_

#### JOURNAL OF GLACIOLOGY

Now, this is only physically meaningful if the "control" variable  $\beta$  is determined purely from the input data to the system: alternatively, if we consider the glacier as a control system, then the control variable should depend only on the inputs, whereas the output variable (e.g.  $\theta(1)$ ) should be completely determined from the effect of the system on the input. Now the parameter  $\beta$  is in fact dependent on h, the glacier depth, which in a more complete model would itself be determined from the ice dynamics; thus there is a certain logical inconsistency in the model, and it is fair to question the applicability of the results.

A more general model, which incorporates the dependence of velocity and temperature on each other, was analysed by Yuen and Schubert (1979), who used the depth h as the control variable and the surface velocity  $u_0$  as the output. They, too, obtained multiple solutions, but the physical meaning of these is similarly open to question. This is not to doubt the validity of the results, but simply to ask, given a set of physical inputs, how many solutions can there be? Clearly neither the depth nor the surface velocity is prescribed for a real glacier: in fact the only inputs are the bedrock slope and form, the surface temperature, the geothermal heat flux, and the accumulation and ablation rates. All other parameters occurring in any model are constant properties of the dynamics of ice. All the above are accounted for in the model of Yuen and Schubert, except the accumulation/ablation rate, and it is this which should therefore be used in determining a proper control variable.

In a steady state, conservation of mass requires that the flux through a vertical section at a point x measured from the head of the glacier be equal to the integral of the accumulation rate from o to x. Denoting this "flux function" by s(x), we see that s is a positive function, and is zero at the head and snout. If we consider the slab model as approximating locally the almost parallel-sided flow of a glacier, then it is clear that what should be prescribed in determining both h and  $u_0$  is the dimensionless flux

 $s^* = \int u \, \mathrm{d}\zeta$ . Thus we should use  $s^*$  as a control variable, and not h: then, if multiple solutions were

obtained, it would be reasonable to consider thermal instability as a possible surging mechanism.

The effect of doing this can be seen by examining Figure 3 of Yuen and Schubert's paper. From their Figure 2, a reasonable approximation to  $s (\propto s^*)$  is obtained by putting

 $s \approx hu_0$ 

(5)

at least for high values of  $E^{\star}$ , the activation energy. It is clear from Figure 3 that the lines  $\log u_0 + \log h =$ constant intersect the solution curves only once. This suggests that a control map of  $u_0$  versus s would be single-valued, and thus that real multiplicity of solutions for given external input functions would be precluded. In this case, the runaway instabilities envisaged by Yuen and Schubert will not occur.

We therefore suggest that a more realistic model of the shear flow occurring in glaciers and ice sheets would prescribe the flux rather than the depth, and that this may make the solutions single-valued. This is an effect of considering the top surface as a free boundary rather than a fixed one, and shows the stabilizing effect of consideration of such a free boundary in the problem. Since this appears to have such a dramatic effect, it seems reasonable to treat it in future models with as much priority as other "essential" features, for example advective terms, viscous heating, temperature-flow coupling, and so on.

MS. received 6 August 1979

#### REFERENCES

Clarke, G. K. C., and others. 1977. Strain heating and creep instability in glaciers and ice sheets, [by] G. K. C. Clarke, U. Nitsan, W. S. B. Paterson. Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics, Vol. 15, No. 2, p. 235-47. Robin, G. de Q. 1955. Ice movement and temperature distribution in glaciers and ice sheets. Journal of Glaciology,

Vol. 2, No. 18, p. 523-32. Yuen, D. A., and Schubert, G. 1979. The role of shear heating in the dynamics of large ice masses. Journal of Glaciology, Vol. 24, No. 90, p. 195-212.