

**Configurations in
abelian categories:
introduction, and
Ringel–Hall algebras**
Dominic Joyce, Oxford
based on
math.AG/0312190,
math.AG/0503029.

These slides available at
www.maths.ox.ac.uk/~joyce/talks.html

1. The basic idea

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. We will define *configurations* (σ, ι, π) in \mathcal{A} , collections of objects and morphisms in \mathcal{A} attached to a *finite poset* (I, \preceq) , satisfying axioms. They are a new tool for describing *how an object in \mathcal{A} breaks up into subobjects*. They are useful for studying *stability conditions* on \mathcal{A} .

We shall define *moduli stacks* $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq)_{\mathcal{A}}$ of objects and (I, \preceq) -configurations in \mathcal{A} , and many 1-morphisms between them.

Pushforwards and pullbacks along 1-morphisms give linear maps on *constructible* and *stack functions* $CF, SF(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $CF, SF(\mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq)_{\mathcal{A}})$. Combining these gives algebraic operations on $CF(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $SF(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$, in particular an associative multiplication $*$ making $CF, SF(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ into *infinite-dimensional algebras*.

2. Configurations

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and $X \in \mathcal{A}$. A *subobject* $S \subset X$ is an equivalence class of injective $i : S \rightarrow X$. Call $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{A}$ *simple* if the only subobjects $S \subset X$ are $0, X$.

Jordan-Hölder Theorem.

For \mathcal{A} of finite length and X in \mathcal{A} , there exist subobjects $0 = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \cdots \subset A_n = X$ with $S_k = A_k/A_{k-1}$ simple, and n, S_k unique up to order, iso.

Let S_1, \dots, S_n be *pairwise non-isomorphic*. Write $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} = \{S^i : i \in I\}$, for I a finite *indexing set*, $|I| = n$. Then for each composition series $0 = B_0 \subset B_1 \subset \dots \subset B_n = X$ with $T_k = B_k/B_{k-1}$, there is a unique *bijection* $\phi : I \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $S^i \cong T_{\phi(i)}$, all $i \in I$. Define a *partial order* \preceq on I by $i \preceq j$ if $\phi(i) \leq \phi(j)$ for all ϕ from composition series as above.

Call $J \subseteq I$ an *s-set* if $i \in I, j \in J$ and $i \preceq j \Rightarrow i \in J$.

Call $J \subseteq I$ an *f-set* if $i \in I, h, j \in J$ and $h \preceq i \preceq j \Rightarrow i \in J$.

There are 1-1 correspondences:

- *subobjects* $S \subset X \leftrightarrow$ *s-sets* $J \subseteq I$, where S has simple factors $S^j, j \in J$.

If $S, T \leftrightarrow J, K$ then $S \subset T \Leftrightarrow J \subseteq K$.

- *factors* $F = T/S$ for $S \subset T \subset X \leftrightarrow$ *f-sets* $J \subseteq I$, where F has simple factors $S^j, j \in J$.

- *composition series* $0 = B_0 \subset B_1 \subset \dots \subset B_n = X \leftrightarrow$ *bijections* $\phi : I \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \preceq j \Rightarrow \phi(i) \leq \phi(j)$.

Definition. Let (I, \preceq) be a finite poset. Write $\mathcal{F}_{(I, \preceq)}$ for the set of f-sets of I . Define $\mathcal{G}_{(I, \preceq)}$ to be the subset of $(J, K) \in \mathcal{F}_{(I, \preceq)} \times \mathcal{F}_{(I, \preceq)}$ such that $J \subseteq K$, and if $j \in J$ and $k \in K$ with $k \preceq j$, then $k \in J$. Define $\mathcal{H}_{(I, \preceq)} = \{(K, K \setminus J) : (J, K) \in \mathcal{G}_{(I, \preceq)}\}$.

Define an (I, \preceq) -*configuration* (σ, ι, π) in an abelian category \mathcal{A} to be maps $\sigma : \mathcal{F}_{(I, \preceq)} \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, $\iota : \mathcal{G}_{(I, \preceq)} \rightarrow \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A})$, and $\pi : \mathcal{H}_{(I, \preceq)} \rightarrow \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A})$, where $\iota(J, K), \pi(J, K)$ are morphisms $\sigma(J) \rightarrow \sigma(K)$.

These should satisfy the conditions:

(A) Let $(J, K) \in \mathcal{G}_{(I, \preceq)}$ and set $L = K \setminus J$.

Then the following is exact in \mathcal{A} :

$$0 \longrightarrow \sigma(J) \xrightarrow{\iota(J, K)} \sigma(K) \xrightarrow{\pi(K, L)} \sigma(L) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(B) If $(J, K) \in \mathcal{G}_{(I, \preceq)}$ and $(K, L) \in \mathcal{G}_{(I, \preceq)}$ then $\iota(J, L) = \iota(K, L) \circ \iota(J, K)$.

(C) If $(J, K) \in \mathcal{H}_{(I, \preceq)}$ and $(K, L) \in \mathcal{H}_{(I, \preceq)}$ then $\pi(J, L) = \pi(K, L) \circ \pi(J, K)$.

(D) If $(J, K) \in \mathcal{G}_{(I, \preceq)}$ and $(K, L) \in \mathcal{H}_{(I, \preceq)}$ then

$$\pi(K, L) \circ \iota(J, K) = \iota(J \cap L, L) \circ \pi(J, J \cap L).$$

This encodes the properties of the set of subobjects $S \subset X$ when X has nonisomorphic simple factors.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{A} have finite length, $X \in \mathcal{A}$ have nonisomorphic simple factors $\{S^i : i \in I\}$, and \preceq be as before. Then there exists an (I, \preceq) -configuration (σ, ι, π) with $\sigma(I) = X$, unique up to isomorphism, such that if a subobject $S \subset X$ has simple factors $\{S^j : j \in J\}$, then S is represented by $\iota(J, I) : \sigma(J) \rightarrow X$.*

Quotient configurations

Let (I, \preceq) , (K, \trianglelefteq) be finite posets, and $\phi : I \rightarrow K$ surjective with $i \preceq j$ implies $\phi(i) \trianglelefteq \phi(j)$.

Let (σ, ι, π) be an (I, \preceq) -configuration.

Define the *quotient* (K, \trianglelefteq) -configuration

$(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\iota}, \tilde{\pi})$ to be $(\sigma \circ \phi^*, \iota \circ \phi^*, \pi \circ \phi^*)$, where

$\phi^* : \mathcal{F}_{(K, \trianglelefteq)}, \mathcal{G}_{(K, \trianglelefteq)}, \mathcal{H}_{(K, \trianglelefteq)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{(I, \preceq)}, \mathcal{G}_{(I, \preceq)}, \mathcal{H}_{(I, \preceq)}$

pulls back subsets of K to subsets of I .

Subconfigurations

Let J be an f-set in (I, \preceq) . Then (J, \preceq) is a poset with $\mathcal{F}_{(J, \preceq)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{(I, \preceq)}$, etc. Define

the (J, \preceq) -*subconfiguration* (σ', ι', π') of

(σ, ι, π) to be $(\sigma|_{\mathcal{F}_{(J, \preceq)}}, \iota|_{\mathcal{G}_{(J, \preceq)}}, \pi|_{\mathcal{H}_{(J, \preceq)}})$.

We can also combine configurations by *substituting* one in another.

Examples. A $(\{1\}, \leq)$ -configuration is an *object* $\sigma(\{1\})$ in \mathcal{A} .

A $(\{1, 2\}, \leq)$ -configuration (σ, ι, π) is a *short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma(\{1\}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \sigma(\{1, 2\}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \sigma(\{2\}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Essentially this says $\sigma(\{1, 2\})$ has a *subobject* $\sigma(\{1\}) \subset \sigma(\{1, 2\})$.

A $(\{1, 2, 3\}, \leq)$ -configuration (σ, ι, π) is equivalent to a *pair of subobjects* $\sigma(\{1\}) \subset \sigma(\{1, 2\}) \subset \sigma(\{1, 2, 3\})$.

The $(\{1, 2\}, \leq)$ -*subconfiguration* is the subobject $\sigma(\{1\}) \subset \sigma(\{1, 2\})$.

Define $\phi : \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ by

$1 \mapsto 1, 2, 3 \mapsto 2$. Then the *quotient*

$(\{1, 2\}, \leq)$ -*configuration* is the

subobject $\sigma(\{1\}) \subset \sigma(\{1, 2, 3\})$.

3. Moduli stacks

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field. *Artin \mathbb{K} -stacks* \mathfrak{F} are a very general kind of space in algebraic geometry, useful for moduli problems. They include *\mathbb{K} -schemes*.

Write $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$ for the *2-category of \mathbb{K} -schemes*, with the *étale topology*. Then a \mathbb{K} -stack is a *sheaf of groupoids* on $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$.

For a \mathbb{K} -stack \mathfrak{F} , write $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{K})$ for the set of *geometric points* of \mathfrak{F} . Then each $x \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{K})$ has a *stabilizer group* $\text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x)$. If \mathfrak{F} is a *\mathbb{K} -scheme* then $\text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}(x) = \{1\}$ for all x .

Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{K} -linear abelian category. To form moduli stacks in \mathcal{A} we need some *extra data*. Let $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be a *sheaf of exact categories* on $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{A}$. If $U \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{K}}$, we interpret $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ as the exact category of *families of objects and morphisms* in \mathcal{A} parametrized by the base \mathbb{K} -scheme U .

If $\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfy some conditions then for finite posets (I, \preceq) we define the *moduli \mathbb{K} -stack of (I, \preceq) -configurations* $\mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq)$. Here $\mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq)(U)$ is the groupoid of (I, \preceq) -configs in the exact category $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$.

Then $\mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq)(\mathbb{K})$ is the set of iso. classes $[(\sigma, \iota, \pi)]$ of (I, \preceq) -configurations (σ, ι, π) in \mathcal{A} , and $\text{Iso}_{\mathbb{K}}([(\sigma, \iota, \pi)]) = \text{Aut}((\sigma, \iota, \pi))$.

We also define many 1-*morphisms* between the $\mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq)$ and $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}$. E.g., if $J \subseteq I$ is an f-set, $S(I, \preceq, J) : \mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(J, \preceq)$ takes (I, \preceq) -configs to (J, \preceq) -subconfigs, and $\sigma(J) : \mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}$ takes (σ, ι, π) to $\sigma(J)$. These 1-morphisms often form *Cartesian squares*.

Examples. We can define $\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfying the conditions, and get well-defined moduli stacks $\mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq)$, when

- $\mathcal{A} = \text{mod-}\mathbb{K}Q$, the abelian category of \mathbb{K} -representations of a (finite) *quiver* Q .
- $\mathcal{A} = \text{mod-}\mathbb{K}Q/I$, representations of a *quiver with relations* (Q, I) .
- $\mathcal{A} = \text{coh}(P)$, *coherent sheaves* on a *projective* \mathbb{K} -scheme P .

4. Recap of last seminar

Constructible functions on stacks satisfy:

- To each \mathbb{K} -stack \mathfrak{F} with affine stabilizers, associate a \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{F})$.
- Constructible $S \subseteq \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{K})$ have *characteristic functions* $\delta_S \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{F})$.
- To each finite type 1-morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ associate a *pullback* algebra morphism $\phi^* : \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{F})$, with $(\psi \circ \phi)^* = \phi^* \circ \psi^*$.
- When $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{K} = 0$, to each representable 1-morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ associate a linear *pushforward* $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{stk}}(\phi) : \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{F})$, with $\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{stk}}(\psi \circ \phi) = \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{stk}}(\psi) \circ \mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{stk}}(\phi)$.
- In a *Cartesian square* of Artin \mathbb{K} -stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{E} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathfrak{G} \\
 \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \psi \\
 \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathfrak{H}
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{the following commutes:}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{E}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{stk}}(\eta)} & \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{G}) \\
 \uparrow \theta^* & & \uparrow \psi^* \\
 \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{F}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CF}^{\mathrm{stk}}(\phi)} & \mathrm{CF}(\mathfrak{H})
 \end{array}$$

Stack functions also have these properties.

5. Ringel–Hall algebras

Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be as usual. Write $\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for the *moduli stack of objects* in \mathcal{A} . Then there are 1-*morphisms* $\sigma(\{1\}), \sigma(\{2\}), \sigma(\{1, 2\}) : \mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2\}, \leq) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ taking a $(\{1, 2\}, \leq)$ -config (σ, ι, π) to $\sigma(\{1\}), \sigma(\{2\}), \sigma(\{1, 2\})$.

Define a *multiplication* $*$ on $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ by $f * g = \text{CF}^{\text{stk}}(\sigma(\{1, 2\}))[\sigma(\{1\})^*(f) \cdot \sigma(\{2\})^*(g)]$.

Similarly, on $\text{SF}(\mathfrak{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ define

$$f * g = \sigma(\{1, 2\})_* \left[\left(\sigma(\{1\}) \times \sigma(\{2\}) \right)^* (f \otimes g) \right].$$

This is essentially the *Ringel–Hall algebra* idea. In physics terms, think of them as *algebras of BPS states*.

To prove $*$ is *associative*, consider the commutative diagram of 1-morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} & \xleftarrow{\text{id} \times \sigma(2) \times \sigma(3)} & \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{M}(\{2, 3\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \sigma(2, 3)} & \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \\
\uparrow \sigma(1) \times \sigma(2) \times \text{id} & & \uparrow \alpha & & \uparrow \sigma(1) \times \sigma(2) \\
\mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} & \xleftarrow{\beta} & \mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2, 3\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}} \\
\downarrow \sigma(1, 2) \times \text{id} & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \sigma(1, 2) \\
\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} & \xleftarrow{\sigma(1) \times \sigma(2)} & \mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma(1, 2)} & \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}.
\end{array}$$

The top right and bottom left squares are *Cartesian*, so the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
CF(\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{(\text{id} \times \sigma(2) \times \sigma(3))^*} & CF(\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{M}(\{2, 3\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{CF^{\text{stk}}(\text{id} \times \sigma(2, 3))} & CF(\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
\downarrow (\sigma(1) \times \sigma(2) \times \text{id})^* & & \downarrow \alpha^* & & \downarrow (\sigma(1) \times \sigma(2))^* \\
CF(\mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{\beta^*} & CF(\mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2, 3\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{CF^{\text{stk}}(\gamma)} & CF(\mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
\downarrow CF^{\text{stk}}(\sigma(1, 2) \times \text{id}) & & \downarrow CF^{\text{stk}}(\delta) & & \downarrow CF^{\text{stk}}(\sigma(1, 2)) \\
CF(\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{(\sigma(1) \times \sigma(2))^*} & CF(\mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{CF^{\text{stk}}(\sigma(1, 2))} & CF(\mathfrak{D}bj_{\mathcal{A}}).
\end{array}$$

Applying the two routes round the outside to $f \otimes g \otimes h$ proves $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$.

We can translate work by many authors into the configurations framework to give *geometric realizations* of interesting algebras such as *universal enveloping algebras* of *Kac–Moody algebras* $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as algebras of constructible functions on $\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where \mathcal{A} is $\text{mod-}\mathbb{K}Q$ or $\text{mod-}\mathbb{K}Q/I$ for a *quiver* Q . We can also do a lot more. There are other ways to use configuration 1-morphisms to define associative multiplications on $\text{CF}(\mathfrak{M}(I, \preceq))$, and *comultiplications* to make *Hopf algebras*. The *Drinfeld double* construction has a configuration explanation, I believe. And so on.

6. Indecomposables and Lie algebras

Call $X \in \mathcal{A}$ *indecomposable* if $X \not\cong 0$ and $X \not\cong Y \oplus Z$ for any $Y, Z \not\cong 0$. Any $X \in \mathcal{A}$ has $X \cong X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ for X_a indecomposable and unique up to order, isomorphism.

Write $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ for the subspace of $f \in \text{CF}(\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ supported on points $[X]$ for X indecomposable. If $f, g \in \text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ then $f * g$ is supported on $[X]$ with 1 or 2 indecomposable factors, and $(f * g)([X \oplus Y]) = f(X)g(Y) + f(Y)g(X)$ for indecomposable $X \not\cong Y$. So $[f, g] = f * g - g * f$ lies in $\text{CF}^{\text{ind}}(\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}})$, which is a *Lie algebra*.

Stack functions supported on indecomposables are *not* closed under $[\ , \]$, but there is a Lie subalgebra $\text{SF}_{\text{al}}^{\text{ind}}(\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of stack functions supported on ‘virtual indecomposables’ (rather complicated!).

7. Algebra morphisms from $SF(\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}})$

Recall from last seminar: let Υ be a *motivic invariant* of \mathbb{K} -varieties with values in a \mathbb{Q} -algebra Λ , $\ell = \Upsilon(\mathbb{K})$, ℓ and $\ell^k - 1$, $k \geq 1$ invertible in Λ . We extend Υ uniquely to $\Upsilon'(\mathfrak{F})$ for finite type \mathbb{K} -stacks \mathfrak{F} , such that $\Upsilon'([X/G]) = \Upsilon(X)\Upsilon(G)^{-1}$ for X a variety and G a special \mathbb{K} -group. Example: $\Upsilon(X)$ can be the *virtual Poincaré polynomial* $P_X(z)$, Λ the \mathbb{Q} -algebra of rational functions in z .

For such Υ, Λ , define a \mathbb{Q} -linear map

$\Pi_{\Lambda} : SF(\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \Lambda$ by

$\Pi_{\Lambda} : [(\mathfrak{X}, \rho)] \mapsto \Upsilon'(\mathfrak{X})$.

Write $K(\mathcal{A})$ for K-theory of \mathcal{A} . Suppose $\chi : K(\mathcal{A}) \times K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is biadditive with

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}(X, Y) - \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(X, Y) = \chi([X], [Y])$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. This holds for $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{mod}\text{-}\mathbb{K}Q$ and $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{coh}(P)$, P smooth curve. Write $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}$ for the substack of $[X] \in \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}$ in class $\alpha \in K(\mathcal{A})$. Then we prove:

Theorem. *Let $f, g \in \operatorname{SF}(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be supported on $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}, \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in K(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\Pi_{\Lambda}(f * g) = \ell^{-\chi(\beta, \alpha)} \Pi_{\Lambda}(f) \Pi_{\Lambda}(g)$ in Λ .*

Can use this identity to define an algebra morphism $\Phi^{\Lambda} : \operatorname{SF}(\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow A(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda, \chi)$, where $A(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda, \chi)$ is the Λ -algebra with Λ -basis a^{α} , $\alpha \in K(\mathcal{A})$, and multiplication $a^{\alpha} \star a^{\beta} = \ell^{-\chi(\beta, \alpha)} a^{\alpha + \beta}$, by

$$\Phi^{\Lambda}(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in K(\mathcal{A})} \Pi_{\Lambda}(f|_{\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{j}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}}) a^{\alpha}.$$

Sketch proof: Can write the support of $f \otimes g$ as a finite disjoint union of substacks $\mathfrak{F}_i \subset \mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}$, with vector spaces H_i, E_i such that for all $([X], [Y]) \in \mathfrak{F}_i(\mathbb{K})$ we have $\text{Hom}(Y, X) \cong H_i$ and $\text{Ext}^1(Y, X) = E_i$. So $\dim H_i - \dim E_i = \chi(\beta, \alpha)$.

Can also arrange $\mathfrak{F}_i \cong [X_i/G_i]$ for G_i special. Then the fibre product

$\mathfrak{G}_i = \mathfrak{F}_i \times_{\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathfrak{M}(\{1, 2\}, \leq)_{\mathcal{A}}$ is 1-isomorphic to $[X_i \times E_i/G_i \times H_i]$, since $(\{1, 2\}, \leq)$ -configurations over $([X], [Y])$ are parametrized by $\text{Ext}^1(Y, X)$ and have $\text{Hom}(Y, X)$ in their stabilizer group.

Thus $\Upsilon'(\mathfrak{F}_i) = \Upsilon(X_i)\Upsilon(G_i)^{-1}$ and

$\Upsilon'(\mathfrak{G}_i) = \Upsilon(X_i)\Upsilon(E_i)\Upsilon(G_i)^{-1}\Upsilon(H_i)^{-1}$,

and $\Upsilon(E_i) = \ell^{\dim E_i}$, $\Upsilon(H_i) = \ell^{\dim H_i}$, so

$\Upsilon'(\mathfrak{G}_i) = \ell^{-\chi(\beta, \alpha)}\Upsilon'(\mathfrak{F}_i)$.

If P is a *Calabi–Yau 3-fold* then for biadditive $\bar{\chi} : K(\text{coh}(P)) \times K(\text{coh}(P)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and all $X, Y \in \text{coh}(P)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim \text{Hom}(X, Y) - \dim \text{Ext}^1(X, Y) \\ & - \dim \text{Hom}(Y, X) + \dim \text{Ext}^1(Y, X) = \bar{\chi}([X], [Y]). \end{aligned}$$

We can construct a *Lie algebra morphism* $\Psi^\Omega : \text{SF}_{\text{al}}^{\text{ind}}(\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\text{coh}(P)}) \rightarrow C(\text{coh}(P), \Omega, \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi})$ to an explicit algebra, in a similar way.

These $\Phi^\Lambda, \Psi^\Omega$ will be used next seminar to define interesting invariants ‘counting’ τ -semistable objects in \mathcal{A} . Writing $\text{Obj}_{\text{SS}}^\alpha(\tau)$ for the moduli space of τ -semistable objects in class $\alpha \in K(\mathcal{A})$, stack functions like $\bar{\delta}_{\text{Obj}_{\text{SS}}^\alpha(\tau)}$ satisfy identities in the algebra $\text{SF}(\mathcal{D}\text{bj}_{\mathcal{A}})$, so Φ^Λ being a morphism implies *multiplicative identities* on the invariants $I^\alpha(\tau) = \Pi_\Lambda(\bar{\delta}_{\text{Obj}_{\text{SS}}^\alpha(\tau)})$ in Λ .