

Introduction to Riemannian holonomy groups and calibrated geometry

Dominic Joyce
Oxford University
England

1. Holonomy groups

Let M^n be a manifold of dimension n . Let $x \in M$.

Then $T_x M$ is the *tangent space* to M at x .

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M .

Let ∇ be the *Levi-Civita connection* of g .

Let $R(g)$ be the *Riemann curvature* of g .

Fix $x \in M$. The *holonomy group* $\text{Hol}(g)$ of g is the set of isometries of $T_x M$ given by *parallel transport* using ∇ about closed loops γ in M based at x . It is a subgroup of $O(n)$. Up to conjugation, it is independent of the base-point x .

Berger's classification

Let M be simply-connected and g be irreducible and nonsymmetric. Then $\text{Hol}(g)$ is one of $SO(m)$, $U(m)$, $SU(m)$, $Sp(m)$, $Sp(m)Sp(1)$ for $m \geq 2$, or G_2 or $Spin(7)$. We call G_2 and $Spin(7)$ the *exceptional holonomy groups*. $\text{Dim}(M)$ is 7 when $\text{Hol}(g)$ is G_2 and 8 when $\text{Hol}(g)$ is $Spin(7)$.

Understanding Berger's list

The four *inner product algebras* are

\mathbb{R} — *real numbers*.

\mathbb{C} — *complex numbers*.

\mathbb{H} — *quaternions*.

\mathbb{O} — *octonions*,

or *Cayley numbers*.

Here \mathbb{C} is not ordered,

\mathbb{H} is not commutative,

and \mathbb{O} is not associative.

Also we have $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$

and $\mathbb{O} \cong \mathbb{R}^8$, with $\text{Im } \mathbb{O} \cong \mathbb{R}^7$.

Group	Acts on
$SO(m)$	\mathbb{R}^m
$O(m)$	\mathbb{R}^m
$SU(m)$	\mathbb{C}^m
$U(m)$	\mathbb{C}^m
$Sp(m)$	\mathbb{H}^m
$Sp(m)Sp(1)$	\mathbb{H}^m
G_2	$\text{Im } \mathbb{O} \cong \mathbb{R}^7$
$Spin(7)$	$\mathbb{O} \cong \mathbb{R}^8$

Thus there are two holonomy groups for each of $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$.

2. Calibrations

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. An *oriented tangent k -plane* V on M is an oriented vector subspace V of some tangent space $T_x M$ to M with $\dim V = k$. Each has a *volume form* vol_V defined using g .

A *calibration* on M is a closed k -form φ with $\varphi|_V \leq \text{vol}_V$ for every oriented tangent k -plane V on M .

Let N be an oriented k -fold in M with $\dim N = k$. We call N *calibrated* if $\varphi|_{T_x N} = \text{vol}_{T_x N}$ for all $x \in N$.

If N is compact then $\text{vol}(N) \geq [\varphi] \cdot [N]$, and if N is compact and calibrated then $\text{vol}(N) = [\varphi] \cdot [N]$, where $[\varphi] \in H^k(M, \mathbb{R})$ and $[N] \in H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

Thus calibrated submanifolds are volume-minimizing in their homology class, and are *minimal submanifolds*.

Calibrations on \mathbb{R}^n

Let (\mathbb{R}^n, g) be Euclidean, and φ be a constant k -form on \mathbb{R}^n with $\varphi|_V \leq \text{vol}_V$ for all oriented k -planes V in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let \mathcal{F}_φ be the set of oriented k -planes V in \mathbb{R}^n with $\varphi|_V = \text{vol}_V$. Then an oriented k -fold N in \mathbb{R}^n is a φ -submanifold iff $T_x N \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$ for all $x \in N$.

For φ to be interesting, \mathcal{F}_φ must be fairly large, or there will be few φ -submanifolds.

Calibrations and special holonomy metrics

Let $G \subset O(n)$ be the holonomy group of a Riemannian metric. Then G acts on $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. Suppose $\varphi_0 \in \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is nonzero and G -invariant. Rescale φ_0 so that $\varphi_0|_V \leq \text{vol}_V$ for all oriented k -planes $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\varphi_0|_U = \text{vol}_U$ for some U . Then $U \in \mathcal{F}_{\varphi_0}$, so by G -invariance \mathcal{F}_{φ_0} contains the G -orbit of U . Usually \mathcal{F}_{φ_0} is ‘fairly big’.

Let (M, g) be have holonomy G . Then there is constant k -form φ on M corresponding to the G -invariant k -form φ_0 . It is a *calibration* on M .

At each $x \in M$ the family of oriented tangent k -planes V with $\varphi|_V = \text{vol}_V$ is \mathcal{F}_{φ_0} , which is ‘fairly big’. So we expect many φ -submanifolds N in M . Thus manifolds with special holonomy often have interesting calibrations.

Here are some examples:

- *complex submanifolds of Kähler manifolds* (with holonomy $U(m)$).
- *Special Lagrangian m -folds* in *Calabi–Yau m -folds* (with holonomy $SU(m)$, and real dimension $2m$).
- *associative 3-folds* and *coassociative 4-folds* in 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 .
- *Cayley 4-folds* in 8-manifolds with holonomy $Spin(7)$.

3. Compact calibrated submanifolds

Let (M, J, g) be a Calabi–Yau m -fold with complex volume form Ω . Then $\operatorname{Re}\Omega$ is a *calibration* on M . Its calibrated submanifolds are called *special Lagrangian m -folds*, or *SL m -folds* for short.

What can we say about *compact SL m -folds* in M ?

Let (M, J, g, Ω) be a Calabi–Yau m -fold and N a compact SL m -fold in M . Let \mathcal{M}_N be the moduli space of SL deformations of N . We ask:

1. Is \mathcal{M}_N a manifold, and of what dimension?
2. Does N persist under deformations of (J, g, Ω) ?
3. Can we compactify \mathcal{M}_N by adding a ‘boundary’ of singular SL m -folds? If so, what are the singularities like?

These questions concern the *deformations* of SL m -folds, *obstructions* to their existence, and their *singularities*.

Questions 1 and 2 are fairly well understood, and we shall discuss them in this lecture. Question 3 will be discussed tomorrow.

3.1 Deformations of compact SL m -folds

Robert McLean proved the following result.

Theorem. *Let (M, J, g, Ω) be a Calabi–Yau m -fold, and N a compact SL m -fold in M . Then the moduli space \mathcal{M}_N of SL deformations of N is a smooth manifold of dimension $b^1(N)$, the first Betti number of N .*

Here is a sketch of the proof. Let $\nu \rightarrow N$ be the *normal bundle* of N in M . Then J identifies $\nu \cong TN$ and g identifies $TN \cong T^*N$. So $\nu \cong T^*N$. We can identify a small *tubular neighbourhood* T of N in M with a neighbourhood of the zero section in ν , identifying ω on M with the symplectic structure on T^*N .

Let $\pi : T \rightarrow N$ be the obvious projection.

Then graphs of small 1-forms α on N are identified with submanifolds N' in $T \subset M$ close to N . Which α correspond to *SL* m -folds N' ?

Well, N' is special Lagrangian iff $\omega|_{N'} \equiv \text{Im } \Omega|_{N'} \equiv 0$.

Now $\pi|_{N'} : N' \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism, so this holds iff $\pi_*(\omega|_{N'}) = \pi_*(\text{Im } \Omega|_{N'}) = 0$.

We regard $\pi_*(\omega|_{N'})$ and $\pi_*(\text{Im } \Omega|_{N'})$ as functions of α .

Calculation shows that

$$\pi_*(\omega|_{N'}) = d\alpha \text{ and}$$

$$\pi_*(\text{Im } \Omega|_{N'}) = F(\alpha, \nabla\alpha),$$

where F is nonlinear. Thus,

\mathcal{M}_N is locally the set of small

1-forms α on N with $d\alpha \equiv 0$

and $F(\alpha, \nabla\alpha) \equiv 0$. Now

$F(\alpha, \nabla\alpha) \approx d(*\alpha)$ for small α .

So \mathcal{M}_N is locally approximately

the set of 1-forms α with $d\alpha =$

$d(*\alpha) = 0$. But by Hodge the-

ory this is the de Rham group

$H^1(N, \mathbb{R})$, of dimension $b^1(N)$.

3.2 Obstructions to existence of SL m -folds

Let M be a C-Y m -fold. Then an m -fold N in M is SL iff $\omega|_N \equiv \text{Im } \Omega|_N = 0$. This holds only if $[\omega|_N] = [\text{Im } \Omega|_N] = 0$ in $H^*(N, \mathbb{R})$. So we have:

Lemma. *Let M be a Calabi–Yau m -fold, and N a compact m -fold in M . Then N is isotopic to an SL m -fold N' in M only if $[\omega|_N] = 0$ and $[\text{Im } \Omega|_N] = 0$ in $H^*(N, \mathbb{R})$.*

The Lemma is a *necessary* condition for a C-Y m -fold to have an SL m -fold in a given deformation class. Locally, it is also *sufficient*.

Theorem. *Let $M_t : t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ be a family of Calabi–Yau m -folds, and N_0 a compact SL m -fold of M_0 . If $[\omega_t|_{N_0}] = [\text{Im } \Omega_t|_{N_0}] = 0$ in $H^*(N_0, \mathbb{R})$ for all t , then N_0 extends to a family $N_t : t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ of SL m -folds in M_t , for $0 < \delta \leq \epsilon$.*

3.3 Coassociative 4-folds

Let (M, g) have holonomy G_2 .

Then M has a constant 3-form φ and 4-form $*\varphi$.

They are calibrations, whose calibrated submanifolds are called *associative 3-folds* and *coassociative 4-folds*. A 4-fold N in M is coassociative iff $\varphi|_N \equiv 0$. Also, if N is coassociative then the normal bundle ν is isomorphic to $\Lambda^2_+ T^*N$, the self-dual 2-forms.

Using this, McLean proved:

Theorem. *Let (M, g) be a 7-manifold with holonomy G_2 , and N a compact coassociative 4-fold in M . Then the moduli space \mathcal{M}_N of coassociative deformations of N is a smooth manifold of dimension $b_+^2(N)$.*

Roughly, nearby coassociative 4-folds correspond to small closed forms in $\Lambda_+^2 T^*N$, which are $H_+^2(N, \mathbb{R})$ by Hodge theory.

3.4 Associative 3-folds and Cayley 4-folds

Associative 3-folds in 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 , and *Cayley 4-folds* in 8-manifolds with holonomy $\text{Spin}(7)$, cannot be defined by the vanishing of closed forms. This gives their deformation theory a different character. Here is how the theories work.

Let N be a compact associative 3-fold or Cayley 4-fold in M . Then there are vector bundles $E, F \rightarrow N$ and a first order elliptic operator

$$D_N : C^\infty(E) \rightarrow C^\infty(F).$$

The *kernel* $\text{Ker } D_N$ is the set of *infinitesimal deformations* of N . The *cokernel* $\text{Coker } D_N$ is the *obstruction space*. The *index* of D_N is $\text{ind}(D_N) = \dim \text{Ker } D_N - \dim \text{Coker } D_N$.

In the associative case $\text{ind}(D_N) = 0$, and in the Cayley case $\text{ind}(D_N) = \tau(N) - \frac{1}{2}\chi(N) - \frac{1}{2}[N] \cdot [N]$, where τ is the signature and χ the Euler characteristic. Generically $\text{Coker } D_N = 0$, and then \mathcal{M}_N is locally a manifold with dimension $\text{ind}(D_N)$. If $\text{Coker } D_N \neq 0$, then \mathcal{M}_N may be singular, or have a different dimension.

Note that the special Lagrangian and coassociative cases are unusual: there are *no* obstructions, and the moduli space is *always* a manifold of given dimension, without genericity assumptions.

This is a minor mathematical miracle.