## Chapter 8

## Gödel numbering and the construction of Def

(Throughout, if we say " $F: U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \to V$  is a  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$  term" we mean that the classes  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  are  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$  (ie. defined by  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$  formulas) and that " $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = y$ " can be expressed by a  $\Sigma_1$  formula. This clearly guarantees that the extension  $F': V^n \to V$  of F defined by  $F'(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  if  $x_1 \in U_1, \ldots, x_n \in U_n$  and  $\emptyset$  otherwise, is  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$  in the sense given.)

if  $x_1 \in U_1, \ldots, x_n \in U_n$  and  $= \emptyset$  otherwise, is  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$  in the sense given.)

To give numbers to formulas we first define  $F: \omega^3 \to \omega$  by  $F(n, m, l) = 2^n 3^n 5^l$ . Then F is injective and easily seen to be  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$ . Write [n, m, l] for F(n, m, l). We now define  $\lceil \phi \rceil$  by induction on  $\phi$ :

Of course this definition does not take place in ZF and is not actually used in the following definition of Def. However it should be borne in mind in order to see what's going on.

Now defined the class term  $Sub: V^4 \to V$  by Sub(a, f, i, c) = f(c/i) if  $f \in {}^{<\omega}a, c \in a$  and  $i \in \omega$  and  $i \in \omega$  otherwise; where if  $f \in {}^{<\omega}a, c \in a$  and  $i \in \omega, f(c/i) \in {}^{<\omega}a$  is defined by dom(f(c/i)) = dom f, and for  $j \in dom f$ , f(c/i)(j) = f(j) if  $j \neq i$ , and c if j = i.

It's easy to check that Sub is  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$ .

We now define a class term  $Sat: \omega \times V \to V$ . The idea is that if  $m \in \omega$  and  $m = \lceil \phi(v_0, \ldots, x_{n_1}) \rceil$ , for some formula  $\phi$  of LST, and  $a \in V$ , then (\*)  $Sat(m, a) = \{f \in {}^{<\omega}a: \text{dom} f \geq n \land \langle a, \in \rangle \models \phi(f(0), \ldots, f(n-1))\}$ . We simply mimic the definition of satisfaction from predicate logic. (This definition

uses a version of the recursion theorem which is slightly different from the usual one, and which I give later.)

**Definition 8.1** Firstly if  $a \in V$ ,  $m \in \omega$  but m is not of the form [i, j, k], for any  $i, j, k \in \omega$  with i < 5, then  $Sat(m, a) = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, if  $a \in V$  and m = [i, j, k] with i < 5, then

```
\begin{array}{lll} Sat([0,j,k],a) & = & \{f \in {}^{<\omega}a : j,k \in \mathrm{dom}f \wedge f(j) = f(k)\}. \\ Sat([1,j,k],a) & = & \{f \in {}^{<\omega}a : j,k \in \mathrm{dom}f \wedge f(j) \in f(k)\}. \\ Sat([2,j,k],a) & = & Sat(j,a) \cup Sat(k,a). \\ Sat([3,j,k],a) & = & ({}^{<\omega}a \setminus Sat(j,a)) \cap \{g \in {}^{<\omega}a : \exists f \in Sat(j,a), \mathrm{dom}f \leq \mathrm{dom}g\}. \\ Sat([4,j,k],a) & = & \{f \in {}^{<\omega}a : j \in \mathrm{dom}f \wedge \forall x \in a, Sub(a,f,j,x) \in Sat(k,a)\}. \end{array}
```

The generalized version of the recursion theorem (on  $\omega$ ) required here is:

**Lemma 8.2** Suppose that  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3 : \omega \to \omega$  are  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$  class terms and  $H : V^4 \times \omega \to V$  is a  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$  class term. Suppose further that  $\forall n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$   $\pi_i(n) < n$  for i = 1, 2, 3. Then there is a  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$  class term  $F : \omega \times V \to V$  such that

- 1. F(0, a) = 0
- 2. and  $\forall n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$

$$F(n,a) = H(F(\pi_1(n),(a)), F(\pi_2(n),(a)), F(\pi_3(n),(a)), a, n).$$

(Thus instead of defining F(n,a) in terms of F(n-1,a), we are defining F(n,a) in terms of three specified previous values.)

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of the usual recursion theorem on  $\omega$ .  $\square$ 

Thus the definition of Sat in 8.1 is an application of 8.2 with  $\pi_1(n) = i$  if for some j, k < n, [i, j, k] = n, = 0 otherwise; and  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_3$  are defined similarly, picking out j and k respectively from [i, j, k], and with  $H: V^4 \times \omega \to V$  defined so that

$$H(x,y,z,a,n) = \begin{cases} \{f \in {}^{<\omega}a : \pi_2(n), \pi_3(n) \in \text{dom} f \land f(\pi_2(n)) = f(\pi_3(n))\} & \text{if } \pi_1(n) = 0, \\ \{f \in {}^{<\omega}a : \pi_2(n), \pi_3(n) \in \text{dom} f \land f(\pi_2(n)) \in f(\pi_3(n))\} & \text{if } \pi_1(n) = 1, \\ y \cup z & \text{if } \pi_1(n) = 2, \\ ({}^{<\omega}a \setminus y) \cap \{g \in {}^{<\omega}a : \exists f \in y \text{dom} f \leq \text{dom} g\} & \text{if } \pi_2(n) = 3, \\ \{f \in {}^{<\omega}a : \pi_2(n) \in \text{dom} f \land \forall x \in aSub(a, f, \pi_2(n), x) \in z\} & \text{if } \pi_1(n) = 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(The F got from this  $H, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3$  (in 8.2) is Sat.)

It is completely routine to show that Sat so defined satisfies the required statement (\*) (just before 8.1)—by induction on  $\phi$ .

Before defining G we must introduce a term that picks out the largest  $m \in \omega$  such that " $v_m$  occurs free" in the "formula coded by n". We denote this n by

 $\theta(n)$ . We first define Fr(m) ("the set of i such that  $v_i$  occurs free in the formula coded by m") as follows (again using 8.2):

```
\begin{array}{lll} Fr([0,i,j]) & = & \{i,j\}; \\ Fr([1,i,j]) & = & \{i,j\}; \\ Fr([2,i,j]) & = & Fr(i) \cup Fr(j); \\ Fr([3,i,j]) & = & Fr(i); \\ Fr([4,i,j]) & = & Fr(j) \setminus i; \\ Fr(x) & = & \varnothing, \text{ if } x \text{ not of the above form.} \end{array}
\tag{8.1}
```

Clearly one can prove in ZF that Fr(x) is a finite set of natural numbers for any set x, and we defined

$$\theta(x) = \max(Fr(x)).$$

 $\theta$  is  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$ .

It is easy to show that if  $\phi$  is any formula of LST and  $m = \lceil \phi \rceil$ , then  $\theta(m)$  is the largest n such that  $v_n$  occurs as a free variable in  $\phi$ , and that if  $f \in Sat(m,a)$ , for any  $a \in V$ , then  $\text{dom} f \geq 1 + \theta(m)$  (ie.  $0, 1, \ldots, \theta(m) \in \text{dom} f$ ). This is proved by induction on  $\phi$  and it is for this reason that we defined Sat([3, j, k], a) as we did (rather than just as  ${}^{<\omega}a \setminus Sat(j,a)$ ).

We can now define G by

$$G(m,a,s) = \begin{cases} \{b \in a : (s \cup \{\langle \theta(m),b \rangle\}) \in Sat(m,a)\} & \text{if } s \in {}^{<\omega}a \text{ and dom} s = \theta(m) (= \{0,\dots,\theta(m)-1\}), \\ \varnothing & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then G is easily seen to be  $\Delta_1^{ZF}$  (since  $\theta$ , Sat are), and has the required properties mentioned at the beginning of chapter 6, because of (\*) (just before 8.1).