The Dehn Surgery Problem

Marc Lackenby

19 June 2012

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

This is a method for building 3-manifolds:



This is a method for building 3-manifolds:

Start with a knot or link K in 3-sphere.



This is a method for building 3-manifolds:

Start with a knot or link K in 3-sphere.

Remove an open regular neighbourhood of K, creating a 3-manifold M with boundary.



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

This is a method for building 3-manifolds:

Start with a knot or link K in 3-sphere.

Remove an open regular neighbourhood of K, creating a 3-manifold M with boundary.

Re-attach solid tori to M, but in a different way.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

This is a method for building 3-manifolds:

Start with a knot or link K in 3-sphere.

Remove an open regular neighbourhood of K, creating a 3-manifold M with boundary.

Re-attach solid tori to M, but in a different way.

The resulting manifold is obtained by Dehn surgery on K.



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

The essentially different ways of doing this are parametrised by the 'slopes' on $\partial N(K)$.



The essentially different ways of doing this are parametrised by the 'slopes' on $\partial N(K)$.

Each solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$ has a meridian disc $* \times D^2$.



The essentially different ways of doing this are parametrised by the 'slopes' on $\partial N(K)$.

Each solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$ has a meridian disc $* \times D^2$.

Its boundary is attached to a simple closed curve on $\partial N(K)$.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The essentially different ways of doing this are parametrised by the 'slopes' on $\partial N(K)$.

Each solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$ has a meridian disc $* \times D^2$.

Its boundary is attached to a simple closed curve on $\partial N(K)$.

An isotopy class of essential simple closed curves on $\partial N(K)$ is a slope.



The essentially different ways of doing this are parametrised by the 'slopes' on $\partial N(K)$.

Each solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$ has a meridian disc $* \times D^2$.

Its boundary is attached to a simple closed curve on $\partial N(K)$.

An isotopy class of essential simple closed curves on $\partial N(K)$ is a slope.

The slopes on each component of $\partial N(K)$ are parametrised by fractions $p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}.$



Lickorish's and Kirby's theorems

<u>Theorem</u>: [Lickorish, Wallace] Any closed orientable 3-manifold is obtained by Dehn surgery on some link in S^3 .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Lickorish's and Kirby's theorems

<u>Theorem</u>: [Lickorish, Wallace] Any closed orientable 3-manifold is obtained by Dehn surgery on some link in S^3 .

<u>Theorem</u>: [Kirby] Any two surgery descriptions of a 3-manifold differ by a sequence of 'Kirby moves'.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Lickorish's and Kirby's theorems

<u>Theorem</u>: [Lickorish, Wallace] Any closed orientable 3-manifold is obtained by Dehn surgery on some link in S^3 .

<u>Theorem</u>: [Kirby] Any two surgery descriptions of a 3-manifold differ by a sequence of 'Kirby moves'.



Dehn filling

Let

M = a compact orientable 3-manifold, with ∂M = a collection of tori s_1, \ldots, s_n = a collection slopes on ∂M , one on each ∂ component.

Then

 $M(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ = the manifold obtained by attaching solid tori to M along the slopes s_1, \ldots, s_n .

It is obtained from M by Dehn filling.

<u>General theme</u>: Properties of *M* should be inherited by $M(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$, for 'generic' slopes s_1, \ldots, s_n .

A major revolution in 3-manifold theory was the formulation (by Thurston) and proof (by Perelman) of:

<u>Geometrisation Conjecture:</u> 'Any closed orientable 3-manifold has a canonical decomposition into geometric pieces.'

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- A major revolution in 3-manifold theory was the formulation (by Thurston) and proof (by Perelman) of:
- <u>Geometrisation Conjecture:</u> 'Any closed orientable 3-manifold has a canonical decomposition into geometric pieces.'
- Of these geometries, by the far the most important is hyperbolic geometry.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Hyperbolic structures

M is hyperbolic if $M - \partial M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ for some discrete group Γ of isometries acting freely on \mathbb{H}^3 .

・ロト ・雪ト ・ヨト

Example: Seifert-Weber dodecahedral space



Hyperbolic structures

M is hyperbolic if $M - \partial M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ for some discrete group Γ of isometries acting freely on \mathbb{H}^3 .

Example: Seifert-Weber dodecahedral space









◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで

We can realise these as (ideal) tetrahedra in \mathbb{H}^3 :



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

This induces a hyperbolic structure on $S^3 - K$.

Hyperbolic structures on knot complements

<u>Theorem</u>: [Thurston] Let K be a knot in S^3 . Then $S^3 - K$ admits a hyperbolic structure if and only if K is not a torus knot or a satellite knot:



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Suppose that M has a hyperbolic structure, and that ∂M is a single torus.

<u>Theorem</u>: [Thurston] For slopes s on ∂M , with at most finitely many exceptions, M(s) is also hyperbolic.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Suppose that M has a hyperbolic structure, and that ∂M is a single torus.

<u>Theorem</u>: [Thurston] For slopes s on ∂M , with at most finitely many exceptions, M(s) is also hyperbolic.

The slopes s for which M(s) is not hyperbolic are called exceptional.

Suppose that M has a hyperbolic structure, and that ∂M is a single torus.

<u>Theorem</u>: [Thurston] For slopes s on ∂M , with at most finitely many exceptions, M(s) is also hyperbolic.

The slopes s for which M(s) is not hyperbolic are called exceptional.

<u>The Dehn Surgery Problem</u>: How many exceptional slopes can there be?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <





Let M = the exterior of the figure-eight knot.

Theorem: [Thurston] *M* has 10 exceptional slopes:

$$\{-4,-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3,4,\infty\}$$

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

э



Let M = the exterior of the figure-eight knot.

<u>Theorem</u>: [Thurston] *M* has 10 exceptional slopes:

$$\{-4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \infty\}$$

<u>Conjecture:</u> [Gordon] For any hyperbolic 3-manifold M with ∂M a single torus, M has at most 10 exceptional slopes. Moreover, the figure-eight knot exterior is the unique manifold with precisely 10.

The maximal number

<u>Theorem</u>: [L-Meyerhoff] For any hyperbolic 3-manifold M with ∂M a single torus, M has at most 10 exceptional slopes.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

The maximal number

<u>Theorem</u>: [L-Meyerhoff] For any hyperbolic 3-manifold M with ∂M a single torus, M has at most 10 exceptional slopes.

But we still don't know whether the figure-eight knot exterior is the unique such manifold.

History

This problem has received a lot of attention \dots Certainly > 200 papers

History

This problem has received a lot of attention ...

Certainly > 200 papers

M. Culler, C. Gordon, J. Luecke, P. Shalen, *Dehn surgery on knots*, Ann. of Math. (2) 125 (1987) 237–300.

D. Gabai, *Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. III.* J. Differential Geom. 26 (1987) 479–536.

S. Boyer, X. Zhang, *Finite Dehn surgery on knots.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996) 1005–1050

S. Boyer, X. Zhang, *On Culler-Shalen seminorms and Dehn filling*, Ann. of Math. (2) 148 (1998) 737–801.

M. Lackenby, *Word hyperbolic Dehn surgery*, Invent. math. 140 (2000) 243–282.

I. Agol, Bounds on exceptional Dehn filling, Geom. Topol. 4 (2000) 431-449.

S. Boyer, X. Zhang, *A proof of the finite filling conjecture*, J. Differential Geom. 59 (2001) 87–176.

C. Hodgson, S. Kerckhoff, *Universal bounds for hyperbolic Dehn surgery*. Ann. of Math. (2) 162 (2005) 367–421.

I. Agol, *Bounds on exceptional Dehn filling II*. Geom. Topol. 14 (2010) 1921–1940.

Some approaches

<u>Approach 1</u>: Quantify Thurston's arguments.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Approach 1: Quantify Thurston's arguments.

Very difficult.



Approach 1: Quantify Thurston's arguments.

Very difficult.

<u>Theorem:</u> [Hodgson-Kerckhoff, Annals 2006] There are at most 60 exceptional slopes.

This was the first universal bound (ie independent of M) on the number of exceptional slopes.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

They used the theory of cone manifolds.
Perelman's proof of geometrisation \Rightarrow

A closed orientable 3-manifold is hyperbolic if and only if it is irreducible, atoroidal, not Seifert fibred.

Perelman's proof of geometrisation \Rightarrow

A closed orientable 3-manifold is hyperbolic if and only if it is irreducible, atoroidal, not Seifert fibred.

Irreducible: any embedded 2-sphere bounds a 3-ball.

Atoroidal: no π_1 -injective embedded torus.

Seifert fibred: is foliated by circles.

Topological methods

<u>Approach 2</u>: Bound the intersection number of slopes s_1 and s_2 where $M(s_1)$ and $M(s_2)$ are reducible/toroidal/Seifert fibred.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

<u>Approach 2:</u> Bound the intersection number of slopes s_1 and s_2 where $M(s_1)$ and $M(s_2)$ are reducible/toroidal/Seifert fibred. For example ...

<u>Theorem</u>: [Gordon-Luecke, Topology 1997] If $M(s_1)$ and $M(s_2)$ are reducible, then s_1 and s_2 have intersection number 1. Hence, M(s) can be reducible for at most 3 slopes s.

<u>Approach 2:</u> Bound the intersection number of slopes s_1 and s_2 where $M(s_1)$ and $M(s_2)$ are reducible/toroidal/Seifert fibred. For example ...

<u>Theorem</u>: [Gordon-Luecke, Topology 1997] If $M(s_1)$ and $M(s_2)$ are reducible, then s_1 and s_2 have intersection number 1. Hence, M(s) can be reducible for at most 3 slopes s.

But analysing when $M(s_1)$ and $M(s_2)$ are both Seifert fibred is hard.

<u>Approach 3</u>: Construct a negatively curved metric on M(s).

<u>Theorem</u>: [Gromov-Thurston] For all slopes s on ∂M with at most 48 exceptions, M(s) admits a negatively curved Riemannian metric.

Perelman $\Rightarrow M(s)$ then admits a hyperbolic structure.

The ends of a hyperbolic 3-manifold

Suppose ∂M is a non-empty collection of tori.

The ends of $M - \partial M$ are of the form $\partial M \times [1, \infty)$.

Geometrically, they are obtained from $\{(x, y, z) : z \ge 1\}$ in upper-half space, quotiented out by the action of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ acting by parabolic isometries.



The ends of a hyperbolic 3-manifold

Suppose ∂M is a non-empty collection of tori.

The ends of $M - \partial M$ are of the form $\partial M \times [1, \infty)$.

Geometrically, they are obtained from $\{(x, y, z) : z \ge 1\}$ in upper-half space, quotiented out by the action of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ acting by parabolic isometries.



This is a called a *horoball neighbourhood* of the end.

Example: the figure-8 knot



Example: the figure-8 knot



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Example: the figure-8 knot



▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ④ ● ●

Horoball diagrams

Looking down from infinity in hyperbolic space, one gets a view of horoballs:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで



Horoball diagrams

Looking down from infinity in hyperbolic space, one gets a view of horoballs:



This is actually from the hyperbolic structure on the Borromean rings.

It was produced by the computer program Snappea.

The length of a slope

Let N = a maximal horoball neighbourhood of the end. ∂N is a Euclidean torus.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Each slope s on ∂N is realised by a geodesic in ∂N .

The length L(s) of s is the length of this geodesic.

The length of a slope

Let N = a maximal horoball neighbourhood of the end. ∂N is a Euclidean torus.

Each slope s on ∂N is realised by a geodesic in ∂N .

The length L(s) of s is the length of this geodesic.

One can visualise this as the translation length of the corresponding parabolic acting on $\{(x, y, z) : z = 1\}$.



<u>Theorem</u>: [Gromov-Thurston] If $L(s) > 2\pi$, then M(s) admits a negatively curved Riemannian metric.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

The proof is very clever, but surprisingly straightforward!

<u>Theorem</u>: [Gromov-Thurston] If $L(s) > 2\pi$, then M(s) admits a negatively curved Riemannian metric.

The proof is very clever, but surprisingly straightforward! So, to bound the number of exceptional slopes, all we need is ... <u>Theorem:</u> [Gromov-Thurston] At most 48 slopes s have $L(s) \le 2\pi$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Bounding slope length

Lemma: [Thurston] Each slope has length ≥ 1 . Proof:

Let \tilde{N} be the inverse image of N in \mathbb{H}^3 .

We may arrange that one component of \tilde{N} is $\{(x, y, z) : z \ge 1\}$. Since N is maximal, another component of \tilde{N} touches it.

Then parabolic translations much translate by at least one, otherwise the interior of two components of \tilde{N} would intersect.



The number of slopes with length at most 2π

From Thurston's Lemma, it is clear that there is an upper bound on the number of slopes with length at most 2π .



For two slopes s_1 and s_2 , let $\Delta(s_1, s_2)$ denote the modulus of their intersection number.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

For two slopes s_1 and s_2 , let $\Delta(s_1, s_2)$ denote the modulus of their intersection number.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Lemma: For two slopes s_1 and s_2 ,

$$\Delta(s_1, s_2) \leq \frac{L(s_1) L(s_2)}{\operatorname{Area}(\partial N)}.$$

For two slopes s_1 and s_2 , let $\Delta(s_1, s_2)$ denote the modulus of their intersection number.

Lemma: For two slopes s_1 and s_2 ,

$$\Delta(s_1, s_2) \leq \frac{L(s_1) L(s_2)}{\operatorname{Area}(\partial N)}.$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

For two slopes s_1 and s_2 , let $\Delta(s_1, s_2)$ denote the modulus of their intersection number.

Lemma: For two slopes s_1 and s_2 ,

$$\Delta(s_1, s_2) \leq \frac{L(s_1) L(s_2)}{\operatorname{Area}(\partial N)}.$$

In our case, $\Delta(s_1,s_2) \leq rac{(2\pi)^2}{\sqrt{3}/2} < 46.$



The number of slopes with length at most 2π

<u>Lemma:</u> [Agol] Let S be a collection of slopes, such that any two have intersection number at most Δ . Let p be any prime more than Δ . Then $|S| \leq p + 1$.

Setting p = 47 gives our bound.

Recall

$$\Delta(s_1, s_2) \leq \frac{L(s_1) L(s_2)}{\operatorname{Area}(\partial N)}.$$

Recall

$$\Delta(s_1, s_2) \leq \frac{L(s_1) L(s_2)}{\operatorname{Area}(\partial N)}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- 1. Increase the lower bound on $A = Area(\partial N)$.
- 2. Decrease the critical slope length below 2π .

Recall

$$\Delta(s_1, s_2) \leq \frac{L(s_1) L(s_2)}{\operatorname{Area}(\partial N)}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 1. Increase the lower bound on $A = Area(\partial N)$.
- 2. Decrease the critical slope length below 2π .

[Adams, 1987] $A \ge \sqrt{3} \sim 1.732$

Recall

$$\Delta(s_1, s_2) \leq \frac{L(s_1) L(s_2)}{\operatorname{Area}(\partial N)}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 1. Increase the lower bound on $A = Area(\partial N)$.
- 2. Decrease the critical slope length below 2π .

[Adams, 1987] $A \ge \sqrt{3} \sim 1.732$ [Cao-Meyerhoff, 2001] $A \ge 3.35$

Recall

$$\Delta(s_1, s_2) \leq \frac{L(s_1) L(s_2)}{\operatorname{Area}(\partial N)}.$$

- 1. Increase the lower bound on $A = Area(\partial N)$.
- 2. Decrease the critical slope length below 2π .

[Adams, 1987] $A \ge \sqrt{3} \sim 1.732$ [Cao-Meyerhoff, 2001] $A \ge 3.35$ [Gabai-Meyerhoff-Milley, 2009] $A \ge 3.7$ (under some hypotheses)

<u>Theorem</u>: [L, Agol] If L(s) > 6, then M(s) is irreducible, atoroidal, not Seifert fibred and has infinite, word hyperbolic fundamental group.

Perelman $\Rightarrow M(s)$ is then hyperbolic.

So, the critical slope length is reduced from 2π to 6.

Suppose that M(s) is reducible, and so contains a 2-sphere S that doesn't bound a ball.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Suppose that M(s) is reducible, and so contains a 2-sphere S that doesn't bound a ball.

We may arrange that S intersects the surgery solid torus in meridian discs.

Then $S \cap M$ is a planar surface P, with each boundary component having slope s.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Suppose that M(s) is reducible, and so contains a 2-sphere S that doesn't bound a ball.

We may arrange that S intersects the surgery solid torus in meridian discs.

Then $S \cap M$ is a planar surface P, with each boundary component having slope s.

With some pushing and pulling of S, we may ensure that P is 'essential' (which means π_1 -injective and a boundary version of this).

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Suppose that M(s) is reducible, and so contains a 2-sphere S that doesn't bound a ball.

We may arrange that S intersects the surgery solid torus in meridian discs.

Then $S \cap M$ is a planar surface P, with each boundary component having slope s.

With some pushing and pulling of S, we may ensure that P is 'essential' (which means π_1 -injective and a boundary version of this).

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Then P can isotoped to a minimal surface in M.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 臣 めんぐ

For any minimal surface, its intrinsic curvature κ is at most the curvature of the ambient space. So, $\kappa \leq -1$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ
For any minimal surface, its intrinsic curvature κ is at most the curvature of the ambient space. So, $\kappa \leq -1$.

Gauss-Bonnet:

$$2\pi \ \chi(P) = \int_P \kappa \leq \int_P -1 = -\operatorname{Area}(P).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

For any minimal surface, its intrinsic curvature κ is at most the curvature of the ambient space. So, $\kappa \leq -1$.

Gauss-Bonnet:

$$2\pi \ \chi(P) = \int_P \kappa \leq \int_P -1 = -\operatorname{Area}(P).$$

ie.

Area(
$$P$$
) $\leq 2\pi(|\partial P| - 2)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

For any minimal surface, its intrinsic curvature κ is at most the curvature of the ambient space. So, $\kappa \leq -1$.

Gauss-Bonnet:

$$2\pi \ \chi(P) = \int_P \kappa \leq \int_P -1 = -\operatorname{Area}(P).$$

ie.

Area
$$(P) \leq 2\pi(|\partial P| - 2).$$

If we can show that each boundary component of P contributes at least 2π to the area of P, we'll have a contradiction.

Consider the inverse image of P in \mathbb{H}^3 .

Part of this lies in the horoball $\{(x, y, z) : z \ge 1\}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Consider the inverse image of P in \mathbb{H}^3 .

Part of this lies in the horoball $\{(x, y, z) : z \ge 1\}$.



Consider the inverse image of P in \mathbb{H}^3 .

Part of this lies in the horoball $\{(x, y, z) : z \ge 1\}$.



So, each boundary component of P contributes at least L(s) to the area of P.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Consider the inverse image of P in \mathbb{H}^3 .

Part of this lies in the horoball $\{(x, y, z) : z \ge 1\}$.



So, each boundary component of P contributes at least L(s) to the area of P.

So, if $L(s) > 2\pi$, we have a contradiction.

We haven't used all the area of P!



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

We haven't used all the area of P!



In fact, each component of ∂P contributes at least $(2\pi/6) L(s)$ to the area of P.

So, if L(s) > 6, then M(s) is irreducible.

We haven't used all the area of P!



In fact, each component of ∂P contributes at least $(2\pi/6) L(s)$ to the area of P.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

So, if L(s) > 6, then M(s) is irreducible.

The other conclusions (atoroidality etc) are proved similarly.

Using that cusp area is at least 3.35 [Cao-Meyerhoff] and that critical slope length is 6, we proved:

<u>Theorem</u>: [Agol, L 2000] For any hyperbolic 3-manifold M with ∂M a single torus, M has at most 12 exceptional slopes.

Using that cusp area is at least 3.35 [Cao-Meyerhoff] and that critical slope length is 6, we proved:

<u>Theorem</u>: [Agol, L 2000] For any hyperbolic 3-manifold M with ∂M a single torus, M has at most 12 exceptional slopes.

But reducing 12 down to 10 is very hard

A difficulty

Unfortunately, there is an example, due to Agol, of a manifold M s.t.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

1. It has an exceptional slope s with length 6.

A difficulty

Unfortunately, there is an example, due to Agol, of a manifold M s.t.

- 1. It has an exceptional slope s with length 6.
- 2. It has 12 slopes with length at most 6.



Work of Gabai, Meyerhoff and Milley

Fortunately, this manifold falls into a well-understood family of exceptions.

<u>Theorem</u>: [Gabai, Meyerhoff, Milley] The cusp area of M is at least 3.7 unless M is obtained by Dehn filling some of the boundary components of one of the manifolds

<i>m</i> 412	<i>s</i> 596	<i>s</i> 647	<i>s</i> 774	<i>s</i> 776	<i>s</i> 780	<i>s</i> 785	<i>s</i> 898	<i>s</i> 959

The notation is from the 'census' of hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

Work of Gabai, Meyerhoff and Milley

Fortunately, this manifold falls into a well-understood family of exceptions.

<u>Theorem</u>: [Gabai, Meyerhoff, Milley] The cusp area of M is at least 3.7 unless M is obtained by Dehn filling some of the boundary components of one of the manifolds

<i>m</i> 412	<i>s</i> 596	<i>s</i> 647	<i>s</i> 774	<i>s</i> 776	<i>s</i> 780	<i>s</i> 785	<i>s</i> 898	<i>s</i> 959

The notation is from the 'census' of hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

Using this and the 6 theorem, you can get a bound of 11 exceptional surgeries.

Work of Cao and Meyerhoff

They consider the horoball diagram of M:



They let $e_2 \ge 1$ be the (Euclidean diameter)^{-1/2} of the second largest horoballs.

If e_2 is close to 1, then we get lots of large horoballs and so large cusp area.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Work of Cao and Meyerhoff

They consider the horoball diagram of M:



They let $e_2 \ge 1$ be the (Euclidean diameter)^{-1/2} of the second largest horoballs.

If e_2 is close to 1, then we get lots of large horoballs and so large cusp area.

If e_2 is large, then the largest horoballs must be well-separated.

A computer calculation, ranging over all values of e_2 , gives that $A \ge 3.35$.

Work of Gabai, Meyerhoff and Milley

They consider the second, third and fourth largest horoballs!

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Work of Gabai, Meyerhoff and Milley

They consider the second, third and fourth largest horoballs! One can deduce that $A \ge 3.7$ unless certain configurations of horoballs arise.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

They consider the second, third and fourth largest horoballs!

One can deduce that $A \ge 3.7$ unless certain configurations of horoballs arise.

In these cases, one can show that M is obtained by Dehn filling some of the boundary components of one of the manifolds

<i>m</i> 412	<i>s</i> 596	<i>s</i> 647	<i>s</i> 774	<i>s</i> 776	<i>s</i> 780	<i>s</i> 785	<i>s</i> 898	<i>s</i> 959

How to solve the Dehn surgery problem

Improve the 6 theorem:

Theorem: Suppose that

$$L(s) > \frac{\pi e_2}{\arcsin(e_2/2)}$$

if $e_2 \leq \sqrt{2}$, and that

$$L(s) > rac{2\pi \sqrt{1-e_2^{-2}}}{rcsin(\sqrt{1-e_2^{-2}})}$$

if $e_2 > \sqrt{2}$. Then, M(s) is hyperbolic.



How to solve the Dehn surgery problem



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Repeat the analysis of Gabai, Milley and Meyerhoff, but specifically search for the number of slopes with length less than the given bound.

Must also use a range of geometric arguments to rule out certain horoball configurations.

Repeat the analysis of Gabai, Milley and Meyerhoff, but specifically search for the number of slopes with length less than the given bound.

Must also use a range of geometric arguments to rule out certain horoball configurations.

The computer calculation takes about 2 days.

It only just works!

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 → のへで

Yes!

Yes!

The computer code can be checked by hand, much like checking a proof.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Yes!

The computer code can be checked by hand, much like checking a proof.

The possibility that errors arise due to the use of floating point arithmetic can be ruled out. One replaces each real number by an interval, which is effectively the number with an error bar.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Yes!

The computer code can be checked by hand, much like checking a proof.

The possibility that errors arise due to the use of floating point arithmetic can be ruled out. One replaces each real number by an interval, which is effectively the number with an error bar.

Use is also made of the computer program Snap which is a version of Snappea that uses exact arithmetic.