

## A note on causal relations and twistor space

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  represent Minkowski space, and  $\mathbf{PN}^1$  represent projective null twistor space without  $\mathbf{I}$ , the twistor line at infinity, regarded as a subset of  $\mathbf{CP}^3$ .

**Lemma** *If  $x, y \in \mathbf{M}$ , then  $x \in J(y)$  if and only if there is a null curve in  $\mathbf{M}$  joining  $x$  to  $y$ . In addition,  $x \in I(y)$  if and only if this curve is not a geodesic.*

**Proof** Consider any null curve starting at  $x$ . This stays in  $J(x)$  and enters  $I(x)$  iff it is not a geodesic. Any point in  $I(x)$  may be carried to any other by a Poincaré transformation fixing  $x$ . Such transformations do not alter the null or geodesic properties of a curve.  $\square$

If  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  is a smooth curve, denote by  $\Gamma(t)$  the sky in  $\mathbf{PN}^1$  of  $\gamma(t)$ . The curve then gives a ruled surface in  $\mathbf{PN}^1$  denoted by  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  and defined by  $\{\Gamma(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$

**Lemma** *The surface  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is a developable if and only if  $\gamma$  is null.*

**Proof** A developable may be characterised as a ruled surface whose infinitesimally separated generators intersect (see, for example, Semple and Roth, *Introduction to algebraic geometry*, pp 255 ff). Now, neighbouring generators of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  are the skies of neighbouring points on  $\gamma$  and hence correspond to null separated points; they therefore intersect.  $\square$

Using these two, and observing that  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  has self intersections only when two points of  $\gamma$  are null separated, one can show that the following two results hold.

**Proposition**  *$x \in I(y)$  if and only if  $X \cup Y$  is the boundary of a developable with no self intersections.*  $\square$

**Corollary** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a ruled surface in  $\mathbf{PN}^1$  with boundary  $X \cup Y$ . Then  $x \in I(y)$  if and only if  $\Sigma$  can be deformed into a developable.*  $\square$

From these results we see that the causal nature of the interval between two points in  $\mathbf{M}$  admits of a fairly direct interpretation in  $\mathbf{PN}^1$  in terms of its projective geometry. Note that it is important that we do not use  $\mathbf{PN}$ , as this would allow us to find a (non self-intersecting) developable with boundary  $X \cup Y$  for any pair of points not lying on a single null geodesic.

Robert Low