

### More on harmonic morphisms

I wish to correct two errors in my last Twistor Newsletter article, to make some observations which might make that article clearer, and to describe what I think is a new way of looking at the Kerr theorem appropriate to Riemannian twistor theory (though in this last connection see Hughston and Mason CQG 5 (1988) 275).

The two errors are as follows: firstly, the generator of the congruence should have been

$$L = \frac{1-\alpha\bar{\alpha}}{1+\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\alpha+\bar{\alpha}}{1+\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1(\alpha-\bar{\alpha})}{1+\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad (1)$$

(this expression had some bars missing before)  
and secondly  $\alpha(x,y,z)$  is actually given implicitly by

$$f(x(1-\alpha^2) + iy(1+\alpha^2) - 2az, \alpha) = 0 \quad (2)$$

(this expression had some signs muddled before).

In the interest of clarity, I should also have added that:

- if  $\alpha = u+iv$  then

$$\nabla^2 u = \nabla^2 v = 0 = \nabla u \cdot \nabla v ; |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla v|^2$$

ie the complex function  $\alpha(x,y,z)$  obtained from (2) defines the harmonic morphism,

-  $\alpha(x,y,z)$  is constant along  $L$  as given by (1),

- for a given constant value of  $\alpha$ , the real and imaginary parts of (2) each define a plane and  $L$  is then tangent to the line of intersection of the two planes.

There is an 'elementary' interpretation of (2) as follows: a line in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is given by an equation of the form

$$r \cdot \mathbf{a} = b \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{a}$  is a unit vector and  $b$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{a}$ .

Parametrise the unit vectors with the complex number  $\alpha$  according to (1), then (3) can be written

$$x(1-\alpha^2) + iy(1+\alpha^2) - 2az = \beta \quad (4)$$

where  $\beta$  parametrises the Argand plane orthogonal to  $\mathbf{a}$ . Now (2) is equivalent to taking  $\beta$  to be an arbitrary holomorphic function of  $\alpha$  ie the 'intercept' is an arbitrary holomorphic function of the 'direction', both understood as complex variables. When this function is linear, the

congruence is a 3-dimensional picture of the Kerr congruence and is sketched in Baird and Wood *Math. Ann.* 280 (1988) 579-603.

'Harmonic morphisms' is also the answer to the question 'what do you get from the Kerr theorem in Riemannian twistor theory (when there are no shear-free geodesic congruences)?' To see this, take a homogeneous holomorphic twistor function  $F(Z^{\alpha})$  and intersect the zero-set of  $F$  with a line in twistor space which is 'real' in the sense appropriate to Riemannian twistor theory. This gives

$$F(a+b\zeta, -\bar{b}+\bar{a}\zeta, 1, \zeta) = 0 \quad (5)$$

writing  $(1, \zeta)$  for the  $\pi$ -spinor (rather than  $(1, \alpha)$  which I used at the beginning). Here  $a$  and  $b$  are complex coordinates on  $R^4$  and the metric is

$$ds^2 = da d\bar{a} + db d\bar{b} \quad (6).$$

Solving (5) gives a function  $\zeta(a, b, \bar{a}, \bar{b})$  with

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \bar{a}} + \zeta \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial b} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial b} - \zeta \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial a} = 0 \quad (7)$$

from which it follows that  $\zeta$  has vanishing Laplacian and null gradient in the metric (6):

$$\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial a \partial \bar{a}} + \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial b \partial \bar{b}} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial \zeta \partial \zeta}{\partial a \partial \bar{a}} + \frac{\partial \zeta \partial \zeta}{\partial b \partial \bar{b}} = 0 \quad (8)$$

If we think of  $\zeta$  as the stereographic coordinate on the sphere, then (8) is easily seen to be the conditions for (5) to define a harmonic morphism from  $R^4$  to  $S^2$ . However, (7) is stronger in that it implies that, as well as defining a harmonic morphism,  $\zeta$  is constant on flat 2-planes. Note that if  $\zeta$  satisfies (8) then so does any holomorphic function of  $\zeta$ . In this sense,  $\zeta$  defines a family of holomorphically-related harmonic morphisms constant on flat 2-planes, one of which satisfies (7).

For the converse suppose that  $\eta$  satisfies (8) and is constant on flat 2-planes. Define  $\zeta$  by

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \bar{a}} + \zeta \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} = 0 \quad \text{so that also} \quad \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} - \zeta \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial a} = 0$$

then it follows from the conditions on  $\eta$  that  $\zeta$  is a holomorphic function of  $\eta$  and so in turn satisfies (7) and (8).

To summarise, a family of holomorphically-related harmonic morphisms from  $R^4$  to  $S^2$  which are constant on 2-planes defines and is defined by a holomorphic function in twistor space. This can be called 'the Riemannian Kerr theorem'.

(This view of the Kerr theorem arose in discussions with Henrik Pedersen.)