

### Formal Adjoints and a Canonical Form for Linear Operators

Suppose  $E$  and  $F$  are smooth vector bundles on an oriented smooth manifold  $M$ . Let  $vol$  denote the bundle of volume forms on  $M$ . The *formal adjoint* of a linear differential operator  $L : E \rightarrow F$  is the differential operator  $L^* : F^* \otimes vol \rightarrow E^* \otimes vol$  characterised by the equation

$$\int_M \langle L^* \sigma, \tau \rangle = \int_M \langle \sigma, L\tau \rangle \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \Gamma(M, F^* \otimes vol) \text{ and } \tau \in \Gamma_*(M, E).$$

If  $F = E^* \otimes vol$ , then  $L^* : E \rightarrow F$  and there is a canonical decomposition

$$L = L_+ + L_- = \frac{1}{2}[L + L^*] + \frac{1}{2}[L - L^*]$$

into self-adjoint and skew-adjoint parts. If  $E$  and  $F$  are tensor bundles on a Riemannian manifold, then  $L$  may be written in terms of the Levi-Civita connection and a formula for its adjoint determined by integration by parts. Suppose, for example, that  $E$  and  $F$  are both trivial and  $L$  is second order. Then we may write

$$L = S^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b + T^b \nabla_b + R,$$

where the tensor  $S^{ab}$  is symmetric. Adopting the convention that  $\nabla_a$  acts on everything to its right, we can re-express  $L$  in the form

$$L = \nabla_a S^{ab} \nabla_b + (\tilde{T}^b \nabla_b + \nabla_b \tilde{T}^b) + \tilde{R}$$

where  $\tilde{T}^b = \frac{1}{2}(T^b - (\nabla_a S^{ab}))$  and  $\tilde{R} = R - \nabla_b \tilde{T}^b$ . This is congenial since clearly

$$L^* = \nabla_a S^{ab} \nabla_b - (\tilde{T}^b \nabla_b + \nabla_b \tilde{T}^b) + \tilde{R}.$$

In particular,

$$L_+ = \nabla_a S^{ab} \nabla_b + \tilde{R} \quad \text{and} \quad L_- = \tilde{T}^b \nabla_b + \nabla_b \tilde{T}^b.$$

This generalises immediately to give:

**Proposition .** *A self-adjoint  $k^{\text{th}}$  order linear differential operator taking functions to functions on an oriented Riemannian manifold has even order and may be canonically written in the form:*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k/2} \underbrace{\nabla_a \nabla_b \cdots \nabla_c}_i S_{(i)}^{ab \cdots cef \cdots g} \underbrace{\nabla_e \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_g}_i,$$

for suitable symmetric tensors  $S_{(i)}^{ab \cdots cef \cdots g}$ . A skew-adjoint  $k^{\text{th}}$  order linear differential operator taking functions to functions on an oriented Riemannian manifold has odd order and may be canonically written in the form:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)/2} \underbrace{\nabla_a \nabla_b \cdots \nabla_c}_i (\nabla_d A_{(i)}^{ab \cdots cdef \cdots g} + A_{(i)}^{ab \cdots cdef \cdots g} \nabla_d) \underbrace{\nabla_e \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_g}_i,$$

for suitable symmetric tensors  $A_{(i)}^{ab \cdots cdef \cdots g}$ .

Now suppose  $M$  is an even-dimensional oriented conformal manifold. Graham, Jenne, Mason, and Sparling [2] have shown that there is a conformally invariant operator which, with respect to any Riemannian metric in the conformal class, takes the form

$$L = \Delta^{n/2} + \text{lower order terms.}$$

Since  $L$  takes functions to volume forms, so does its adjoint. The self-adjoint part  $L_+$  of  $L$  is therefore also conformally invariant. As a conformal analogue of  $\Delta^{n/2}$ , we may as well replace  $L$  by  $L_+$ . Write this differential operator in the form given by our Proposition. The scalar part  $S_{(0)}$  is a conformal invariant since it may be obtained by applying  $L$  to  $f \equiv 1$ . We may subtract this part and obtain the following result conjectured to us by Tom Branson.

**Theorem .** *The operator  $\Delta^{n/2}$  admits a self-adjoint conformally invariant modification of the form  $f \mapsto \nabla_a(Q^{ab}(\nabla_b f))$  for a suitable  $(n-2)^{nd}$  order differential operator  $Q : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1}$ .*

His motivation for this conjecture comes from the case of the sphere where the form of the operator may be verified directly. On the sphere, the operator controls the embedding  $L_{n/2}^2 \hookrightarrow e^L$  (Orlitz class) as a limiting case of the sharp Sobolev embeddings  $L_r^2 \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2r}}$  for  $r < n/2$  (equivalently, comparing an  $L^q$  norm with the complementary series norm). See [1] for further discussion.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T.P. Branson, *Sharp inequalities, the functional determinant, and the complementary series*, Trans. A.M.S. **347** (1995), 3671–3742.
- [2] C.R. Graham, R. Jenne, L.J. Mason, and G.A.J. Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, I: Existence*, Jour. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 557–565.

MICHAEL EASTWOOD  
DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE  
SOUTH AUSTRALIA 5005  
[meastwo@spam.maths.adelaide.edu.au](mailto:meastwo@spam.maths.adelaide.edu.au)

ROD GOVER  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
QUEENSLAND UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
GARDENS POINT CAMPUS  
BRISBANE  
QUEENSLAND 2434  
AUSTRALIA  
[r.gover@fsc.qut.edu.au](mailto:r.gover@fsc.qut.edu.au)