Supporting Information

S. 1 Parameter sensitivity analysis

We analyse the robustness of our model predictions by performing a parameter sensitivity analysis. We consider as reference the initial age distribution and the default parameter values given in Section 4.3. Under these conditions, the total cell population follows a logistic-type behaviour and the per capita growth rate exhibits the two proliferation phases, as can be seen in Fig. 2. We analyse if small variations on the parameter values affect the predicted behaviour. When we vary a specific parameter, all other parameters are held fixed at the values reported in Table 1.

We fix the range of values for each parameter by calculating for which values $550 \leq N_{\infty} \leq 750$, while all the other parameter values are fixed at their values in Table 1. We set the range of values in this way since the carrying capacity estimate of PC-3 prostate cancer cells in [1] is between 600 and 700 cells (see Eq. 25). We extract the following quantities in each simulation:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{min} &:= \min_{0 \le t \le T} a_{G1/S}(t), \qquad c_{max} := \max_{0 \le t \le T} c(t), \qquad \sigma_{max} := \max_{0 \le t \le T} \sigma(N(t)), \\ t_{amin} & \text{is defined such that} \qquad a_{G1/S}(t_{amin}) = a_{min}, \\ t_{cmax} & \text{is defined such that} \qquad c(t_{cmax}) = c_{max}, \end{aligned}$$

and

 $t_{\sigma max}$ is defined such that $c(t_{\sigma max}) = \sigma_{max}$.

In Fig. S1 we plot the quantities that we extract from each simulation when performing the sensitivity analysis.

After performing the sensitivity analysis, we observe the same qualitative behaviour for all simulations (plots not shown), i.e. the total cell population grows logistically, the resource concentration has an initial increase and then a steady decrease, the transition age has an initial decrease and then a steady decrease, and the two proliferation phases are observed in the plot of the per capita growth rate against the total cell population. In Table S1 we include the mean and standard deviation of the quantities of interest when performing the sensitivity analysis for each of the model parameters. For each parameter, we include the range of values where the parameter was varied, and the mean and the standard deviation of each quantity of interest. We notice that $t_{amin} = t_{cmax}$ so we only include t_{cmax} . We observe that on average there is less ten percent variation in the quantities of interest in all the parameter sensitivity analysis, so we can conclude that the parameter uncertainty has little effect on the overall dynamics.



Figure S1: Plot of the quantities extracted from the simulations when performing the sensitivity analysis. The quantities are plotted on top of the model reference dynamics which is introduced in Section 4.3. The quantities of interest are: the resource concentration maximum, c_{max} , the transition age minimum, a_{min} , the per capita growth rate maximum, σ_{max} , and the times it takes to reach these values, t_{cmax} , t_{amin} and $t_{\sigma max}$, respectively.

Table S1: Results of the parameter sensitivity analysis. In each row, for each model parameter, we specify the range of values in which the parameter was varied and the mean and standard deviation of the quantities of interest.

Parameter	Range of values	a_{min}	c_{max}	σ_{max}	t_{cmax}	$t_{\sigma max}$
$ au_p$	[17.5200, 20.2200]	6.8874 ± 0.0811	0.0798 ± 0.0034	$4.0510\times 10^{-3}\pm 1.0061\times 10^{-3}$	68.6200 ± 8.1282	67.0400 ± 7.8812
μ	[0.0269, 0.0291]	6.8882 ± 0.1071	0.0799 ± 0.0044	$4.0227 \times 10^{-3} \pm 6.7155 \times 10^{-4}$	68.4200 ± 7.2355	66.1800 ± 5.7846
k	[0.0001, 0.0001]	6.8878 ± 0.1322	0.0800 ± 0.0055	$4.0242 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.2681 \times 10^{-4}$	68.0200 ± 3.4332	65.8000 ± 3.3816
a_{-}	[7.4829, 9.0171]	6.8847 ± 0.4419	0.0797 ± 0.0027	$4.0438 \times 10^{-3} \pm 7.6138 \times 10^{-4}$	68.2600 ± 6.1930	65.6200 ± 5.4961
c_{cr}	[0.0202, 0.0258]	6.8855 ± 0.1584	0.0797 ± 0.0012	$4.0287 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.7157 \times 10^{-4}$	67.9200 ± 2.4035	65.8000 ± 3.4322
eta	[0.1219, 0.2781]	6.9016 ± 0.3591	0.0797 ± 0.0011	$4.0091 \times 10^{-3} \pm 6.1631 \times 10^{-4}$	68.2200 ± 3.8739	65.5600 ± 2.2412

S. 2 Sensitivity analysis of the initial age-distribution

In this section we analyse the effect of varying the initial age-distribution on the model predictions. We consider the initial age-distributions to have the general form:

$$v_0(a) = m \exp(-na),\tag{S1}$$

where m and n are positive real numbers.

In order for the population to initially increase, i.e. $\frac{dN}{dt} > 0$, n has to satisfy the following inequality,

$$n < \frac{-\log(\mu\tau_p)}{a_{G1/S}(0)}.$$
(S2)

Since the initial total cell concentration, N(0), is given by $N(0) = \frac{m}{n}$, we set the value of m so the initial total cell concentration has the same value as the one we considered in the reference dynamics, N(0) = 223 (see Section 4.3). Therefore the value of m is given by

$$m = 223n. \tag{S3}$$

We consider the model parameters as per Table 1. According to Eq. (S2), n < 0.06642We vary $n \in [0.053, 0.065]$ with a step of 0.003 and calculate the value of m through Eq. (S3). For each pair (m, n) we consider the corresponding initial age-distribution and perform a numerical simulation. In Fig. S2 we plot the evolution of different simulations when varying the initial age-distribution. We observe that the total cell population, the resource concentration and the transition age evolution do not change when varying the initial condition. The only plot that changes slightly when varying the initial condition is the plot of the per capita growth rate against the total cell population (see Fig. S2 (d)). We observe that for all initial age-distribution considered, the total cell population follows a logistic type behaviour and the plot of the per capita growth rate against the total cell population exhibits the two proliferation phases.



Figure S2: Series of plots showing the dynamics of the coupled age-structured model with resource-regulated proliferation given by Eqs. (1) and (4), as we vary the initial age-distribution. The initial age-distributions have the general form given by Eq. (S1). The value of *m* is given by Eq. (S3). Varying the initial age-distribution does not affect the: (a) total cell population, (b) resource concentration, (c) transition age evolution. (d) The plots of the per capita growth rate against the total cell population exhibit the two phases of proliferation and the initial dynamics changes slightly as we vary the initial age-distributions. Model parameters are as per Table 1.

S. 3 Analysis of the model behaviour when the resource concentration is below the critical value

If $c(t) < c_{cr}$, from the definition of the G1/S transition age, it follows that $a_{G1/S}(t) = \infty$ and therefore the number of cells with age $a_{G1/S}(t)$ at time t is zero, $n(a_{G1/S}(t), t) = 0$. For $a_{G1/S}(t) = \infty$, the mature compartment, Y(t), is empty. Therefore, this case switches off cell proliferation so the cell population decreases. Depending on the parameter values, three cases can arise: (a) The resource concentration increases until it reaches the value c_{cr} and then the cell population follows the dynamics given by Eq. (12) where $n(a_{G1/S}(t), t)$ is determined by Eqs. (13) and (14). (b) The resource concentration increases but never reaches the value c_{cr} so the cell population depletes completely. (c) The cell population oscillates between the two regimes previously described since the resource concentration oscillates around c_{cr} . Furthermore, since Y = 0, it follows from Eq. (18) that the time derivative of the per capita growth rate is zero so the per capita growth rate is constant when $c(t) < c_{cr}$.

To corroborate our claims, we performed numerical simulations in which we varied the initial resource concentration below the critical value c_{cr} , holding all other parameters fixed at the values stated in Table 1. For the simulations when $a_{G1/S}(t) = \infty$ we implemented $a_{G1/S}(t) = 100,000$ h. The results presented in Fig. S3 reveal that the case (a) happens for all values of c_0 considered: we observe an initial decrease in cell population numbers until the resource concentration reaches c_{cr} and, thereafter, the cell population dynamics are given by Eq. (12) where $n(a_{G1/S}(t), t)$ is determined by Eqs. (13) and (14). Since the cell population decreases, the model can not capture logistic-type behaviour. We also observe that for all values of c_0 considered, the per capita growth rate is constant while the cell population number decreases (see Fig. S3 (d)).



Figure S3: Series of plots showing the dynamics of the coupled age-structured model with resource-regulated proliferation as we vary the initial resource concentration value, c_0 , below the critical value. We show the dynamics of (a) the total cell population, (2) the resource concentration, (3) the transition age and (4) the per capita growth rate against the total cell population.

References

 W. Jin, E. T. Shah, C. J. Penington, S. W. McCue, P. K. Maini, and M. J. Simpson. Logistic proliferation of cells in scratch assays is delayed. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 79(5):1028–1050, 2017.