

LIE ALGEBRAS: LECTURE 8.

1. CARTAN CRITERIA FOR SOLVABLE AND SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, and suppose that $D\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$. Then there is an $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ for which $\kappa(x, x) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , and that $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Phi \cup \{0\}} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$. Then by our assumptions we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = D\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \left[\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Phi \cup \{0\}} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda, \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Phi \cup \{0\}} \mathfrak{g}_\mu \right] = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} [\mathfrak{g}_\lambda, \mathfrak{g}_\mu].$$

Now since we know that $[\mathfrak{g}_\lambda, \mathfrak{g}_\mu] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda+\mu}$, and moreover $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0$, it follows that we must have

$$\mathfrak{h} = [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] + \sum_{\lambda} [\mathfrak{g}_\lambda, \mathfrak{g}_{-\lambda}],$$

where the sum is over those roots λ such that $-\lambda$ is also a root. Now since $D\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$ we see that \mathfrak{g} is not solvable, and hence $\mathfrak{h} \neq \mathfrak{g}$. Thus there is at least one root $\beta \in \Phi$. Since β by definition vanishes on $D\mathfrak{h}$, there must be an $\alpha \in \Phi$ for which $\beta([\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]) \neq \{0\}$. Picking $x \in [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$ such that $\beta(x) \neq 0$, we find that:

$$\kappa(x, x) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}(x), \text{ad}(x)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Phi} \dim(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda) (\lambda(x))^2,$$

since the action of $\text{ad}(x)$ on \mathfrak{g}_λ has unique eigenvalue $\lambda(x)$. Now by Lemma ?? we know there are rational numbers $r_{\lambda, \alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\lambda(x) = r_{\lambda, \alpha} \alpha(x)$ for each $\lambda \in \Phi$, and hence

$$\kappa(x, x) = \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Phi} \dim(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda) \cdot r_{\lambda, \alpha}^2 \right) \alpha(x)^2,$$

Now $\beta(x) = r_{\beta, \alpha} \alpha(x)$, and $\beta(x) \neq 0$, hence $\alpha(x) \neq 0$ and $r_{\beta, \alpha} \neq 0$. It follows that $\kappa(x, x) \neq 0$ also. □

The Killing form can be used to detect when a Lie algebra is solvable.

Theorem 1.2 (Cartan's criterion for solvability). *A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is solvable if and only if the Killing form restricted to $D\mathfrak{g}$ is identically zero.*

Proof. Consider the derived series $D^k \mathfrak{g}$, ($k \geq 1$). If there is some k with $D^k \mathfrak{g} = D^{k+1} \mathfrak{g} = D(D^k \mathfrak{g})$, then by Lemma ?? and Proposition 1.1 there is an $x \in D^k \mathfrak{g}$ with

$$\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, x) = \kappa^{D^k \mathfrak{g}}(x, x) \neq 0,$$

and hence κ is not identically zero. Thus we conclude $D^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}$ is a proper subspace of $D^k \mathfrak{g}$ for each k , and hence since \mathfrak{g} is finite dimensional, it must be solvable as required.

For the converse, if \mathfrak{g} is solvable, then by Lie's theorem we can find a filtration $0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_n = \mathfrak{g}$ of ad-stable subspaces with $\dim(F_i) = i$. Now if $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ the maps induced by $\text{ad}(x)\text{ad}(y)$ and $\text{ad}(y)\text{ad}(x)$ on F_i/F_{i-1} are equal, since \mathfrak{gl}_1 is commutative. Thus it follows that if $z = [x, y] \in D\mathfrak{g}$, then $\text{ad}(z) = [\text{ad}(x), \text{ad}(y)]$ maps F_i into F_{i-1} and hence for all $z \in D\mathfrak{g}$ we have $\text{ad}(z)(F_i) \subset F_{i-1}$. But now if $z_1, z_2 \in D\mathfrak{g}$, then $\text{ad}(z_1)\text{ad}(z_2)(F_i) \subseteq F_{i-2}$, and hence $\text{ad}(z_1)\text{ad}(z_2)$ is nilpotent, and so $\kappa(z_1, z_2) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}(z_1)\text{ad}(z_2)) = 0$ as required. \square

2. SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS, EXTENSIONS AND SEMI-DIRECT PRODUCTS.

Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, and \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are solvable Lie ideals of \mathfrak{g} . It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ is again solvable (for example, because $0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$, and \mathfrak{a} and $(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathfrak{b}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$ are both solvable). It follows that if \mathfrak{g} is finite dimensional, then it has a largest solvable ideal \mathfrak{r} (in the strong sense: every solvable ideal of \mathfrak{g} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{r}).

Definition 2.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra. The largest solvable ideal \mathfrak{r} of \mathfrak{g} is known as the *radical* of \mathfrak{g} , and will be denoted $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$. We say that \mathfrak{g} is *semisimple* if $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, that is, if \mathfrak{g} contains no non-zero solvable ideals.

Lemma 2.2. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple, that is, it has zero radical.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathfrak{s} is a solvable ideal in $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then if \mathfrak{s}' denotes the preimage of \mathfrak{s} in \mathfrak{g} , we see that \mathfrak{s}' is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , and moreover it is solvable since $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}'/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ are both solvable. But then by definition we have $\mathfrak{s}' \subseteq \text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ so that $\mathfrak{s}' = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{s} = 0$ as required. \square

Thus we have shown that any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} contains a canonical solvable ideal $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a semisimple Lie algebra. We thus have an exact sequence¹

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{rad}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

so that, in some sense at least, every finite dimensional Lie algebra is "built up" out of a semisimple Lie algebra and a solvable one. Slightly more precisely, if

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of Lie algebras, we say that \mathfrak{g} is an *extension* of \mathfrak{g}_2 by \mathfrak{g}_1 . Thus Lemma 2.2 can be rephrased as saying that any Lie algebra is an extension of the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the solvable Lie algebra $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Definition 2.3. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}$ are Lie algebras, and we have a homomorphism $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(\mathfrak{h})$, the Lie algebra of derivations² on \mathfrak{h} . Then it is straight-forward to check that we can form a new Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathfrak{g}$, the *semi-direct product* of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} by ϕ which as a vector space is just $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, and where the Lie bracket is given by:

$$[(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)] = ([x_1, x_2] + \phi(y_1)(x_2) - \phi(y_2)(x_1), [y_1, y_2]),$$

¹Of Lie algebras rather than representations, but exactly the same definitions apply in this case also: the image of the incoming morphism is the kernel of the outgoing morphism. In particular the zeros at each end record the facts that the map from $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ to \mathfrak{g} is injective (since it is an inclusion) and the map from \mathfrak{g} to $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ is surjective (since it is a quotient map).

²Recall that the derivations of a Lie algebra are the linear maps $\alpha: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\alpha([x, y]) = [\alpha(x), y] + [x, \alpha(y)]$.

where $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{h}, y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$. This is the Lie algebra analogue of the semidirect product of groups, where you build a group $H \rtimes G$ via a map from G to the automorphisms (rather than derivations) of H . The Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} , viewed as the subspace $\{(x, 0) : x \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ of $\mathfrak{h} \rtimes \mathfrak{g}$, is clearly an ideal of $\mathfrak{h} \rtimes \mathfrak{g}$ and it is easy to check that we then have an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \rtimes \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus semi-direct products give us examples of extensions of Lie algebras.

In fact, semidirect products correspond to a relatively simple kind of extension.

Definition 2.4. An extension of Lie algebras

$$0 \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{q} \mathfrak{g}_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

is said to be *split* if there is a map $s: \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $q \circ s = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_2}$. If such a map exists, then its image $s(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} which is isomorphic to \mathfrak{g}_2 and is complementary to \mathfrak{g}_1 , in the sense that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus s(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ as vector spaces. In fact we have $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_1 \rtimes s(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ where $s(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ acts by derivations on \mathfrak{g}_1 via the adjoint action (since \mathfrak{g}_1 is an ideal in \mathfrak{g}).

Note that there may be many ways to split an exact sequence of Lie algebras (see the problem sheet).

In characteristic zero, the extension of Lie algebras given by the $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ is always split:

Theorem 2.5. (*Levi's theorem*) Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over a field k of characteristic zero, and let \mathfrak{r} be its radical. Then there exists a semisimple subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{r} \rtimes \mathfrak{s}$.

Note that in particular \mathfrak{s} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$. We will not prove this theorem in this course.

Remark 2.6. Note that an extension does not *a priori* have to split! A simple example of this in the world of abelian groups is the following:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

where the map from \mathbb{Z} to itself is given by multiplication by 2. (This is an exact sequence since clearly q is surjective and multiplication by 2 is injective). The map q does not split, as \mathbb{Z} does not contain any subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

The Killing form also gives us a way of detecting when a Lie algebra is semisimple. Recall that a bilinear form $B: V \times V \rightarrow k$ is said to be nondegenerate if $\{v \in V : \forall w \in V, B(v, w) = 0\} = \{0\}$. To prove it we first note the following simple result.

We have the following simple characterisation of semisimple Lie algebras.

Lemma 2.7. A finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is semisimple if and only if it does not contain any non-zero abelian ideals.

Proof. Clearly if \mathfrak{g} contains an abelian ideal it contains a solvable ideal, so that $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$. Conversely, if \mathfrak{s} is a non-zero solvable ideal in \mathfrak{g} , then the last term in the derived series of \mathfrak{s} will be an abelian ideal of \mathfrak{g} (check this!). \square

Theorem 2.8. A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is semisimple if and only if the Killing form is nondegenerate.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}^\perp = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \kappa(x, y) = 0, \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. Then by Lemma ?? \mathfrak{g}^\perp is an ideal in \mathfrak{g} , and clearly the restriction of κ to \mathfrak{g}^\perp is zero, so by Cartan's Criterion, and Lemma ?? the ideal \mathfrak{g}^\perp is solvable. It follows that if \mathfrak{g} is semisimple we must have $\mathfrak{g}^\perp = \{0\}$ and hence κ is non-degenerate.

Conversely, suppose that κ is non-degenerate. To show that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple it is enough to show that any abelian ideal of \mathfrak{g} is trivial, thus suppose that \mathfrak{a} is an abelian ideal. Then if $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ is arbitrary, the composition $\text{ad}(x)\text{ad}(y)\text{ad}(x)$ must be zero, since $\text{ad}(y)\text{ad}(x)(z) \in \mathfrak{a}$ for any $z \in \mathfrak{g}$, as \mathfrak{a} is an ideal, and since \mathfrak{a} is abelian $\text{ad}(a)(b) = 0$ for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{a}$. But then clearly $(\text{ad}(y)\text{ad}(x))^2 = 0$, so that $\text{ad}(y)\text{ad}(x)$ is nilpotent and $\kappa(a, x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. But then $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^\perp = \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \{0\}$ as required. \square