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Abstract

The ballistic projection of the chameleon tongue is an extreme example of quick energy release in the animal kingdom. It relies on a complicated physiological structure and an elaborate balance between tissue elasticity, collagen fibre anisotropy, active muscular contraction, stress release, and geometry. A general biophysical model for the dynamics of the chameleon tongue based on large deformation bio-elasticity is proposed. The model involves three distinct coupled sub-systems: the energetics of the intra-lingual sheets, the mechanics of the activating accelerator muscle, and the dynamics of tongue extension. Together, these three systems elucidate the key physical principles of prey-catching among chameleonides.

1 Introduction

Among animals, chameleons have strikingly distinctive features: they have zygodactylous feet, prehensile tails, color changing ability, panoramic eyes, and ballistic projection of their tongue for prey-catching. What distinguishes prey-catching in chameleons is not only the extension of the tongue – up to 2.5 body lengths – but also the extreme acceleration and short duration of the entire ballistic projection (Fig. 1). Anderson [2] estimates the total duration of tongue projection, depending on species, to last between 10-55 msec. Maximum accelerations between 500 and 2,590 m/sec$^2$ are reported, requiring peak power density between 3,000 and 14,040 W/kg [28, 5, 18, 2]. The accepted theory is that the tongue projection is triggered entirely by intrinsic muscular activities [27]. It is further understood that the peak power recorded in tongue extension cannot be solely due to muscle activation but is the result of a release of the energy stored in the extension of the tongue’s collagenous tissue [5]. By contrast, the relatively slow process of tongue retraction results from direct muscular contraction as demonstrated experimentally [3]. The chameleon’s ballistic mechanism is a clear example in biology of elastic forces generating rapid motion [21]. This remarkable process has been studied since the 17th century [15, 9, 14] and has received considerable interest in recent decades, primarily in experimental biology [19, 12, 5, 3, 4], but also from theoretical [25] as well as biomimetic [8] perspectives. Tongue projection and prey-catching in salamanders, which rely on a different mechanism, has also been extensively investigated [6, 7]. Despite such interest, a complete biomechanical model describing the storage and release of energy in the chameleon tongue is lacking. The purpose of this paper is to provide such a model, to explain the key features and advantages of this system, and to examine the mechanism from an engineering design perspective. From a theoretical point of view, the modelling of such a phenomenon is also particularly interesting as it naturally combines large deformation solid mechanics together with the modelling of anisotropic response and active muscular contraction. These features appear in
many diverse biomechanical systems such as arteries, elephant trunks, stems, and in the rapid release of seeds [17, 26, 10].

There are three main processes of interest related to the tongue projection: (i) the muscle fibres in the accelerator muscle are activated and produce mechanical work; (ii) elastic energy is stored in tubular-shaped collagenous intralingual sheaths; and (iii) the stored energy is rapidly released and converted into kinetic energy to generate ballistic projection [23, 24]. The tongue of the chameleon rests on a rigid bone-like structure, the entoglossal process (called here the bone). The tongue complex itself is made of connected tubular units: the intralingual sheaths and the accelerator muscle (Fig. 2). For reference we place a cylindrical coordinate system along the axis of the tongue, taken as the z-axis. The intralingual sheaths are almost exclusively made of collagen [5, 13] with collagen fibrils organised in pairs of equal and opposite helical fibres along the z-direction, preventing torsional shear while providing extensibility. On the bone, the intralingual sheaths are concentrically stacked (Fig. 2) so that it appears mechanically as n thin tubular cylinders. As the tongue is ejected, the sheaths extend telescopically, (not unlike the popular laser saber toy from the Star Wars franchise), until fully extended (Fig. 1). Surrounding the sheaths is the accelerator muscle, a thick tubular unit attached to the outermost intralingual sheath and ejected during ballistic projection. The muscle fibres in this unit are oriented in a plane perpendicular to the axis. Before muscular activation, the system is at rest on the bone. As the muscle fibres contract, the accelerator muscle squeezes the intralingual sheaths and extends them closer to the tip, the loaded position. From that position a further small contraction will allow the entire complex to fire by sliding off the tapered tip, quickly converting its elastic potential energy into kinetic energy. The tongue structure and positions are shown schematically in Fig. 2. We first develop a biomechanical
Figure 2: Inset: Cross-section of the tongue complex composed of the entoglossal process (bone), intralingual sheaths (with collagen fibres), and accelerator muscle (with helical muscular fibres). The complex has three key positions: I. The rest position in the absence of muscular activity; II. The extended loaded position after muscular activity and, III. The fire position when the tongue slips off the bone.

description of this structure in Sec. 2 within the theory of nonlinear elasticity by modelling the tongue tissues as elastic fibre-reinforced tubular units under the action of muscular contraction. To elucidate the roles of the muscle and the sheaths and the effect of geometry on the mechanism, in Sec. 3 we compare the energy, stresses, and deformations generated in the system in the rest and loaded positions. To explore the physics involved in the energy release, in Sec. 4 we use the force applied on the bone and the elasticity of the system to model the dynamics of the firing.

2 Model development

2.1 Setup

As depicted in Fig. 3, we model the bone as a cylinder of radius $\rho$ with a tapered end and the tongue complex as $n + 1$ hyperelastic cylindrical shells composed of $n$ intralingual sheaths of unstressed lengths $L_i$, inner radii $A_i$, and outer radii $B_i = A_i + h$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$; and the accelerator muscle with unstressed length $L_{n+1}$ and inner and outer radii $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$ respectively. The material is assumed incompressible both for convenience in finding analytical solutions and due to the fact that most collagenous soft tissues are nearly incompressible. We assume in this analysis that each tube remains cylindrical while on the bone. Let $a_i$ and $b_i$ be the inner and outer radii, respectively, of the $i$th tube in the rest position and $\tilde{a}_i$ and $\tilde{b}_i$ the corresponding values in the loaded position, such that $a_1 = \tilde{a}_1 = \rho$.

Both the sheaths and the accelerator muscle contain embedded fibres. The sheaths are reinforced by collagen fibrils arranged in pairs of oppositely oriented helices. We let $\phi$ denote the angle the fibres make with the circumferential direction in the reference geometry (see Fig. 3). The accelerator muscle contains cross-sectional fibres arranged in logarithmic spirals [23], the tightness of which is characterised by angle $\Delta \theta$ (see Fig. 3). The firing mechanism is activated by contraction of these fibres, which is accounted for by a parameter $\nu$ appearing in the energy [11], such that $\nu = 1$ at rest and $\nu < 1$ in contraction.
We assume that both sheaths and muscle remain connected so that the outer radius of the \( i \)th tube equals the inner radius of the \((i + 1)\)th tube for \( i = 1, \ldots, n + 1 \), and all tubes have length \( l \) at rest and length \( \tilde{l} \) when loaded. The accelerator muscle is assumed to be stress free in the rest position \( A_{n+1} = b_n \) but we allow for the possibility that the sheaths are radially stretched in this position, so that \( 0 < A_i \leq a_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). We use the standard cylindrical coordinates \((R, \Theta, Z)\) to denote a material point in the reference configuration and \((r, \theta, z)\) to denote the same point in a deformed configuration both in the basis \((e_r, e_\theta, e_z)\).

### 2.2 Mechanical equilibrium

The elastic strain energy in the system is given by the sum of the energy density \( W_{\text{is}} \) of the intralingual sheaths (which depends on their reference radii \( A_i \) and the fibre angle \( \phi \)) and the energy density \( W_{\text{am}} \) of the accelerator muscle (depending on the contraction \( \nu \) of the fibre muscles):

\[
\mathcal{E} = 2\pi \zeta \left[ \int_{\rho}^{b_n} W_{\text{is}}(r, \zeta; A_i, \phi) r dr + \int_{b_n}^{b_{n+1}} W_{\text{am}}(r, \zeta; \nu) r dr \right],
\]

where \( \zeta = \frac{\tilde{l}}{l} \) is the axial extension \((\zeta = 1 \text{ in the rest position, } \zeta > 1 \text{ in the loaded position})\).

For given reference parameters and for a given contraction \( \nu \), the problem is to obtain the extension \( \zeta \) by minimising this energy. Once the extension is known, we compute the energy in the loaded position. To solve this problem, we write the elasto-static boundary-value problem associated with the minimisation of this energy. That is, we balance the forces with the condition of no axial loading on the faces, a stress-free boundary on the outer cylinder, and a fixed radius on the inner cylinder, corresponding to the fixed bone radius \( \rho \). This approach also provides the pressure of the tongue complex on the bone, which will play a key role in the tongue dynamics.

![Geometry of the tongue complex and intralingual sheaths](image)

**Figure 3**: Geometry of the tongue complex and intralingual sheaths. The bone (ep for entoglossal process), with radius \( \rho \), is surrounded by \( n \) concentric intralingual sheaths (is) and the accelerator muscle (am). The accelerator muscle contains embedded fibres arranged in a logarithmic spiral characterised by angle \( \Delta \theta \). On the bone, the inner radius of the first sheath is \( a_1 = \rho \). Off the bone, the reference radius is \( A_1 \leq \rho \) with reference fibre angle \( \phi \).
The deformation of each tube is determined as follows. In the absence of torsion, each tube can inflate and extend so that its deformation is simply given by

\[ r = r(R), \quad \Theta = \theta, \quad z = \zeta Z, \quad (1) \]

where \( \zeta \) is the constant axial stretch and \( r(R) \) a function to be determined. It is standard to show [20] that the deformation gradient is

\[ \mathbf{F} = r'(R)\mathbf{e}_r \otimes \mathbf{e}_r + \frac{r}{R}\mathbf{e}_\theta \otimes \mathbf{e}_\theta + \zeta \mathbf{e}_z \otimes \mathbf{e}_z, \quad (2) \]

where \( \otimes \) denotes the standard tensorial product. We can use the incompressibility condition \( \det \mathbf{F} = \frac{r' r \zeta}{\zeta} R = 1 \) to obtain an explicit form for the deformation:

\[ r = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + R^2 - A^2}{\zeta}}. \quad (3) \]

The outer radius in the current configuration is then given by

\[ b = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + B^2 - A^2}{\zeta}}. \quad (4) \]

Since the deformation is diagonal in cylindrical coordinates and only depends on \( R \), the Cauchy stress tensor, \( \mathbf{T} \) is also diagonal in these coordinates so that

\[ \mathbf{T} \equiv \text{diag}(t_r, t_\theta, t_z) \equiv t_r \mathbf{e}_r \otimes \mathbf{e}_r + t_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta \otimes \mathbf{e}_\theta + t_z \mathbf{e}_z \otimes \mathbf{e}_z. \quad (5) \]

This particular form of the Cauchy stress tensor implies that the Cauchy equation for the equilibrium of an elastic material in the absence of body forces, \( \text{div} \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{0} \), in cylindrical coordinates reduces to a single scalar equation

\[ \frac{dt_r}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} (t_r - t_\theta) = 0. \quad (6) \]

This equation can be integrated over \( r \) with the proper boundary condition

\[ t_r(b) - t_r(a) = \int_a^b \frac{t_\theta - t_r}{r} dr. \quad (7) \]

To obtain stresses and strains, we need to specify a strain-energy density function that gives the energy stored in a particular deformation. The sheaths are modeled by the standard fibre-reinforced strain-energy density function[22]. The idea is to penalize the energy in deformations along the directions \( \mathbf{M}_\pm \) of fibres modeled as a continuous field. That is, in addition to the classical quadratic form of the energy in terms of the strain, we add a contribution due to the fibres so that we have now:

\[ W_{\text{is}} = \mu_{\text{is}} \left[ (I_1 - 3) + \alpha_{\text{is}} \left( (I_+ - 1)^2 + (I_- - 1)^2 \right) \right] \quad (8) \]

with

\[ I_1 = \text{tr} (\mathbf{C}), \quad I_{\pm} = \mathbf{M}_\pm : (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{M}_\pm). \quad (9) \]

and \( \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} \) is the right Cauchy-Green stretch tensor that contains all information regarding stretches and the invariants \( I_{\pm} \) express the stretch in the direction of the fibres. Note that this
particular form of stretch is written in a way such that in all deformations, the invariants express
the fibre stretch even if the fibre orientation changes. Here,

\[ M_\pm = \cos \phi e_\theta \pm \sin \phi e_z \]  

(10)
denotes the orientation of the fibres taken to be tangent to the cylinder at each point (see Fig. 3).

The accelerator muscle is also described by a fibre-reinforced strain-energy density function
modified to take into account the muscular contraction:

\[ W_{am} = \mu_{am} \left[ (I_1 - 3) + \alpha_{am} \left( (I_+ - \nu)^2 + (I_- - \nu)^2 \right) \right], \]

(11)

with

\[ I_1 = \text{tr}(C), \quad I_\pm = N_\pm \cdot (CN_\pm), \]

(12)
The muscle fibres are not organised into helices but in spirals (see Fig. 3), so that

\[ N_\pm = \frac{e_r \pm RG' e_\theta}{\sqrt{(RG')^2 + 1}}, \]

(13)

where

\[ G(R) = \Delta \Theta \log(R/A_{n+1}) / \log(A_{n+2}/A_{n+1}) \]

(14)
corresponds to equal and opposite fibres arranged in logarithmic spirals in the plane normal to the
cylinder axis [16]. As stated, the parameter \( \nu \) controls the muscular contraction where \( 0 < \nu < 1 \)
corresponds to contraction and \( \nu > 1 \) is an extension [11].

Having defined the strain-energy density function for sheaths and muscle, the constitutive re-
lation between the Cauchy stress tensor and the strain is

\[ T = -pI + 2 [W_1 C + W_+ m_+ \otimes m_+ + W_- m_- \otimes m_-], \]

(15)

where \( p \) is a hydrostatic pressure that maintains incompressibility and \( W_i = \partial_I W_i \) for \( i \in \{1, +, -\} \) and \( m_\pm = FM_\pm \) for the sheaths and \( m_\pm = FN_\pm \) for the accelerator muscle.

The above relation forms the mechanical constitutive description for each individual tube. To
construct the tongue complex and determine the equilibrium configuration, the \( n \) sheaths are ar-
ranged concentrically on the bone followed by the accelerator muscle, with continuity of deformation
\( a_{i+1} = b_i \) and traction \( t_r(a_{i+1}) = t_r(b_i) \) imposed at each interface. We then integrate (6) over
all layers, with piecewise defined functions for stress and strain. The pressure \( P \) exerted by the
tongue complex on the bone and the force \( F \) in the axial direction are given by [11]

\[ P = \int_{\tilde{a}_1}^{\tilde{b}_n+1} \frac{T_{\theta \theta} - T_{rr}}{r} dr, \quad F = 2\pi \int_{\tilde{a}_1}^{\tilde{b}_n+1} T_{zz} r dr. \]

(16)
The boundary conditions in both rest and loaded position are \( a_1 = \tilde{a}_1 = \rho \), corresponding to
the tongue complex sitting on the bone, and no axial force on the faces, i.e. \( F = 0 \). These two
conditions, together with the incompressibility condition, \( r'(R)r' = R \), are sufficient to determine
the deformation, fully specified by the length \( \tilde{l} \). The rest position is obtained by setting the muscular
contraction \( \nu = 1 \) and the loaded position corresponds to a value \( 0 < \nu < 1 \).

2.3 Parameters

The base parameters that we have used in this model are provided in Table 1. The geometric
parameters for the bone, sheaths, and accelerator muscle are as reported in [5] for Trioceros jack-
sonii. In cases where a particular parameter was not specifically stated in [5], they were estimated
from images in [5]. Mechanical parameters correspond to typical values of shear moduli for fibrous
biological tissues, with fibre density estimated from images in [5].
Table 1: Parameter values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>bone radius</td>
<td>1.4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>number of sheaths</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>inner sheath ref radius</td>
<td>1.4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>sheath ref thickness</td>
<td>0.05 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_i$</td>
<td>$i$th sheath ref radius</td>
<td>$1.4 + (i - 1)h$ mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>tongue length in rest state</td>
<td>15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_i$</td>
<td>$i$th sheath ref length</td>
<td>15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>sheath fibres orientation angle</td>
<td>$50^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{n+1}$</td>
<td>muscle ref inner radius</td>
<td>1.9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{n+1}$</td>
<td>muscle ref outer radius</td>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \Theta$</td>
<td>muscle fibre spiral parameter</td>
<td>$80^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{is}$</td>
<td>sheath shear modulus</td>
<td>1 kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{am}$</td>
<td>muscle bulk shear modulus</td>
<td>1 kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{is\alpha_{is}}$</td>
<td>sheath fibre shear modulus</td>
<td>0.1 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{am\alpha_{am}}$</td>
<td>muscle fibre shear modulus</td>
<td>0.1 GPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Energy build-up and extension

The two main quantities of interest in the deformation of the tongue from the rest to the loaded positions are the axial extension $\zeta = \tilde{l}/l$ and the elastic energy $\tilde{E}$. In order to fire successfully, the contracting muscle must generate sufficient axial extension for the tongue complex to reach the tip of the bone, while at the same time developing enough elastic energy to achieve high velocity when converted to kinetic energy.

3.1 Role of sheaths

To understand the role of the sheaths in the mechanism, we plot in Fig. 4 the elastic energy and axial extension for varying muscle contraction $\nu$ for two cases: base parameter values as reported in Table 1 for Trioceros jacksonii, and the case of an equivalent volume of accelerator muscle but with no sheaths present. As the muscle contracts, if there are no sheaths present, the muscle simply extends axially, attaining large extension ($\zeta = 2.5$ at $\nu = 0.7$) but building almost no internal energy. With sheaths, the axial extension is reduced, but the energy in both muscle and sheaths is 2 orders of magnitude higher.

This computation demonstrates that the sheaths are crucial for the build-up of energy and that, with sheaths present, comparable energy is built-up in both the sheaths and the accelerator muscle. Essentially, in the absence of sheath, there is no resistance to axial extension, so upon muscle contraction the equilibrium configuration consists of a large extension and little stored energy. The sheaths provide strong resistance to axial extension, due to the presence of the helical fibres, hence the extension is reduced and large elastic energy is stored. The degree of resistance and consequently the amount of extension and stored energy is strongly dependent on the sheath angle. We explore this relationship in the following sections.

3.2 Role of geometry

Having established the importance of the sheaths, we seek to understand the role of geometric parameters on the energy building capabilities. There are numerous possible configurations and changes in geometry that could in principle be analysed. Here, we focus on two fundamental
Figure 4: Elastic energy stored: without intralingual sheaths (red). In blue, the energy distributed in the sheaths (dashed) and in the muscles (solid) when both structures act together.

physical components that govern the energy storage and projectile capabilities of the tongue. One is the degree of pre-stretch, due to any difference between the stress-free radii of the sheaths, $A_i$, and their radii in the rest position, $a_i$. Second, is the fibre anisotropy, characterised by the angle $\phi$. Note that the number and thickness of sheaths is only of secondary importance, since the thickness of the cylinder of stacked sheaths is the primary effect that enters the computation. We consider 4 representative combinations of these effects:

- **BASE**: base values with stress-free sheaths: $A_i = a_i = \rho + (i-1)h$ for sheaths, $L_i = l$, and fibre angle $\phi = 50^\circ$, as used in Fig. 4;
- **ANI**: Change of anisotropy by decreasing fibre angle to be less aligned with longitudinal axis: $\phi$ decreased from $50^\circ$ to $30^\circ$;
- **PRE**: Addition of pre-stress by decreasing sheath radii: $A_i = 1.35$ mm for all sheaths;
- **ANI-PRE**: Decrease of both angle and radii, as above.

For consistent comparison, the total volume of sheaths, total mass of accelerator muscle, and rest length $l$ are taken to be equal for each case.
A schematic for these 4 cases is given in Fig. 5. In varying the $A_i$ to incorporate pre-stress, our approach here is to take all sheaths to have the same reference radius, thus creating a substantial degree of residual stress (as opposed to taking $A_1 < \rho$ and $A_i = A_1 + (i-1)h$ for $i = 2, 3, \ldots n$). We note that not all parameter sets are even physically feasible, e.g. if we take $\phi = 30^\circ$ and $A_i = 1.35 + (i-1)h$, the rest state requires a negative pressure applied to the bone, i.e. the tongue would not sit on the bone in mechanical equilibrium without additional forces being imposed. We have chosen here a representative set of cases within the physically feasible range.

In Fig. 6 we plot the total stored energy and axial stretch as functions of the muscle contraction $\nu$ for the 4 cases. The comparison between the different cases leads to the following conclusions:

- Comparing BASE and ANI demonstrates the significant effect of fibre angle. A decreased angle leads to a significant increase in axial extension but a large reduction in energy. For helical fibres more aligned with the circumferential direction, less resistance to extension is provided, and less energy stored.

- Comparing BASE and PRE shows improvement in axial extension with no significant changes in energy. The combination of pre-stress and anisotropy is more complicated due to the highly non-linear response of the structure.

- Looking at ANI-PRE, we see that the extension is increased (compared to PRE), but the total energy also increases, with more than double the amount at 30% contraction. However, in this case, the rest position ($\nu = 1$) has very high energy due to the large residual stress.
with pre-stress more work is needed to reload the apparatus on the bone after projection, and the structure would exert significant and potentially damaging force even at rest position. We conclude that while the benefit of extra axial extension and sensitivity to fibre angle may have interesting design implications for biomimetics (see, e.g. [8]), it is unlikely to be a key component in the chameleon’s projection mechanism.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 6:** Elastic properties under different hypotheses as accelerator muscle contracts. (a) Total elastic energy; (b) axial extension.

### 4 Energy release

The analysis of the stored elastic energy in the loaded position does not give us direct information on the dynamical process leading to prey catching. To catch its prey, this energy must be converted into kinetic energy in the axial direction, $K_z = \frac{mv^2}{2}$. The tongue complex for *T. jacksonii* weighs around 1 g and reaches a velocity of around 6 m/s [5, 4, 1], which requires 18 mJ of axial kinetic energy. Rapid motion is achieved when the tongue complex slides off the tapered tip of the bone, after which it is free to decrease the internal radius of the complex and reduce its internal elastic energy. Mechanically, the tongue complex acts equivalently to a series of connected springs pushed
4.1 A model for dynamics

To simulate the dynamics and release of stored energy, we devise a map from the 3D concentric tubes to a series of 1D connected springs pushed by a force in the axial direction. In the loaded state, the tongue exerts an axial pressure on the bone, given by (16). Over the cylindrical portion of the bone, this pressure is balanced by a radial reaction force and the system is in mechanical equilibrium. However, in the tapered portion of the bone, the reaction force contains a longitudinal component, so that the tapered tip acts as a launch pad to convert the stored energy into kinetic energy. To model this process, we discretize the tongue complex along the $z$-direction and map the elastic properties of the $n+1$ layer cylinder to an effective spring constant and a 1D force field provided by the full 3D nonlinear model as shown in Fig. 7. For a given $\nu$, the effective spring constant can be obtained from (16) as $K = \partial F/\partial \zeta$, evaluated at $\zeta(\nu)$.

To simulate the force applied to the tapered tip of the bone, we compute the pressure $P_0$ exerted by the tongue complex on the cylindrical portion of the bone, and the radius $\hat{\rho} < \rho$ at which no radial pressure is exerted. We computed the following values for the different cases: BASE: $\hat{\rho} = 1.01$ mm; ANI: $\hat{\rho} = 1.2$ mm, PRE: $\hat{\rho} = 1.09$ mm, ANI-PRE: $\hat{\rho} = 1.1$ mm. Modelling the relevant portion of the tapered tip as a line with angle $\varphi$ (see Fig. 7), we can express the bone radius as $\xi(z) = \rho - \tan \varphi (z - z_A)$, where $z_A$ marks the beginning of the tapered portion. We used the value $\varphi = \pi/4$ in all simulations. A longitudinal reaction force exists in the region $[z_A, z_B]$, where $z_B = z_A + (\rho - \hat{\rho})/\tan \varphi$ is the point at which $\xi = \hat{\rho}$. The pressure in this region is well approximated by the function
\[ P(z) = \frac{P_0 (1 - (z - z_A))}{z_B - z_A} \].

From this we obtain the axial component of the reaction force density

\[ F_z(z) = -2\pi \xi(z) \sin \varphi P(z) \chi(z), \]

expressed as a force per axial length, where \( \chi \) is a characteristic function for the region \([z_A, z_B]\).

Once the effective force \( F_z(z) \) and the effective spring constant \( K \) are known, the dynamics of the system is simply governed by Newton’s second law. Letting \( z_i(t) \) denote the position of the \( i \)th point, \( i = 1, 2, \ldots N \), we integrate forward the coupled system of equations

\[ m_i \ddot{z}_i + \gamma \dot{z}_i + K \left( \frac{2z_i - z_{i+1} - z_{i-1}}{l} - 1 \right) = F_z(z_i)l, \]

with appropriate one-sided spring forces at the end points \( i = 1 \) and \( i = N \). Here \( m_i = m/N \) with \( m \) the total mass, \( l = \tilde{l}/(N - 1) \) the “rest length” of each spring unit, and \( \gamma \) is a damping coefficient. Once the tongue complex has completely left the bone, it has acquired its final velocity and simply extends telescopically until its tip reaches the prey. Our primary point of interest is to determine that velocity. Since the relevant dynamics occurs while the tongue is on the bone, we do not explicitly model the telescoping process, and we consider the tongue complex as a single unit while on the bone (i.e. \( z_i \) denotes the position of the \( i \)th point of the entire tongue complex).

The simulated motions for the four cases of Fig. 5 are presented in Fig. 8. In each case, we have assumed that launch occurs at \( \nu = 0.75 \) such that the anterior 2 mm extends into the tapered region at time \( t = 0 \). For comparison, we reproduce the tongue motion as measured in \textit{Trioceros melleri} [5]. For the solid lines in Fig. 8, the launch is simulated without drag. As expected, there is a correlation between the amount of internal energy and the maximum velocity. However, this relationship is highly nonlinear due to the complex launching process. For instance, there is 56 mJ of stored energy in \textit{BASE}, which would predict a maximum velocity of 10.5 m/s if all the energy were converted into directed axial motion, yet only 9.1 m/s is attained, even in a frictionless system. Also, while in \textit{ANI-PRE} there is more than 5 times the amount of stored energy compared to \textit{ANI}, the maximum velocity is less than double the amount. Such discrepancies between potential energy and realised axial kinetic energy reflect the fact that the full 3D tissue will dynamically deform both radially and axially, so not all energy will contribute to directed axial motion.

For the dashed lines in Fig. 8, we take into account the effect of damping while on the bone, with damping coefficient \( \gamma \) proportional to the radial pressure, for \textit{BASE} and \textit{ANI-PRE}. Even with mild damping (\( \gamma = 0.025lP_0 \)), the maximum velocity is significantly decreased. With damping, \textit{BASE} is slowed to 6.1 m/s, similar to the typical measured peak velocity seen across a number of species [5, 4, 1]. Interestingly, the increased damping in \textit{ANI-PRE} due to the higher radial pressure negates the energy advantage of the residually stressed sheaths, so that the tongue complex for \textit{BASE} departs the bone more quickly and with higher maximum velocity.

\section{5 Discussion}

In this paper we have developed a mathematical framework for the firing mechanism of the chameleon tongue. Our results, while not an exhaustive analysis of the potential configurations, nevertheless highlight the nonlinear dependence of measurable quantities on model parameters in this intriguing mechanical system. A natural question to ask is whether the system is optimised in some sense. From a biological standpoint, optimisation is an appealing but difficult concept, strongly dependent on evolutionary history and specific situations. However, from a mechanical
design perspective, it is perfectly reasonable to consider whether the mechanism elucidated here can be optimised in any particular, well-defined, sense by varying the geometrical configuration. One natural measure to consider in this regard is the maximum speed attained in the ballistic firing.

In Fig. 9 we examine the effect of two key control parameters on maximum speed: the fibre angle $\phi$ in the sheaths and the reference radius of the innermost sheath, $A_1$. In Fig. 9(a) we plot the maximum speed and stored energy for varying $\phi$ and with all other parameters at the base values. In each case we simulate the dynamics at $\nu = 0.75$ and with damping while on the bone proportional to radial pressure as above ($\gamma = 0.025 I_0$). At small fibre angles there is not enough stored energy to overcome the damping and the tongue does not fire. At about $30^\circ$ a first-order transition is observed, and the maximum speed then increases monotonically as the fibres become more aligned with the axial direction. However, while axially-oriented fibres produce the greatest speed, such a choice is deficient in other regards. As $\phi$ increases, the axial stiffness of the sheaths increases sharply. The effect of this is twofold: first, almost no axial extension is attained, a significant hindrance in reaching the tapered tip to fire. Second, high axial stiffness of the underlying layers is antagonistic to the muscle, which attempts to extend the complex axially when contracting. Hence, in order to achieve 30% contraction, the work requirements of the muscle rise significantly, with almost all energy stored in the muscle as $\phi \to 90^\circ$. The angle range $35-50^\circ$ provides a balance between speed, extension, and energy storage, as it allows for significant axial extension while sheaths and muscle store similar amounts of energy.

In Fig. 9(b), we repeat the analysis with varying inner sheath radius $A_1$. Here, any value less than 1.4 mm induces residual stress in the rest position. In all cases, with $\phi$ fixed at $50^\circ$, the axial stretch is greater than 10% and so we focus on the maximum speed. We plot in Fig. 9(b) both the total stored elastic energy and the maximum speed. The key feature is that even though the total stored energy achieves a maximum with non-zero residual stress ($A_1 \approx 1.35$), the maximum speed is monotonic, achieving a maximal value at the limiting case of no residual stress (note that $A_1$ cannot be taken beyond 1.4 mm, as the sheaths would be disconnected from the bone in the rest
Figure 9: Maximum speed and stored energy with varying control parameters: (a) fibre angle in sheaths, (b) innermost sheath reference radius.
position). This computation demonstrates again the non-trivial relation between energy storage and energy release. With added residual stress, the pressure on the bone is higher, which creates a greater longitudinal reaction force; however increased pressure also creates increased damping, ultimately resulting here in decreased speed. Hence, maximal potential elastic energy does not necessarily translate into maximal kinetic energy, which challenges the traditional view of biomechanics that high energy storage is the principal design goal.

While the results above demonstrate a potentially significant variation in firing velocity with material parameters, due to the mechanical complexity of the firing mechanism, it has been observed that peak velocity is relatively constant across different species of chameleons [4, 1]. This invariance is particularly intriguing when considering the relatively significant distribution in size found in chameleons, with body length (typically measured from snout to vent) varying by more than a factor of 2 between species. The size independence of velocity is attributed to the fact that smaller chameleons have proportionally larger tongue apparatuses – for instance the tongue mass does not scale with the cube of the length of the chameleon’s body, but rather is closer to the square of the length [1]. While a detailed analysis of interspecific variation and scaling of the tongue mechanism is beyond the scope of the current study, it is worthwhile to comment on the effect of scale. In Fig. 10 we plot the maximum velocity as a function of body length scale factor $\alpha$, i.e. the proportional increase in snout-vent body length from the base model parameters, which correspond to $T. jacksonii$. Thus, the left-most point $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to the base values while the right-most point $\alpha = 2$ corresponds to a chameleon of double the length. For each value of $\alpha$, we have scaled the tongue length $l$ (scales as $\approx 0.8\alpha$), mass $m$ (scales as $\approx \alpha^2$), bone radius $\rho$ (scales as $\approx 0.8\alpha$), and accelerator muscle area (scales as $\approx \alpha/0.8$) according to the scaling laws in [4]. As seen in Fig. 10, the model correctly predicts a nearly constant peak velocity, with a variation of only about 10% across a 200% variation in body length.

The ballistic mechanism of the Chameleon is a striking example of mechanical innovation and the use of elasticity to generate rapid motion in the animal kingdom. The analysis presented suggests that this mechanism involves a complex interplay between geometry (through the internal organization of intralingual sheaths and accelerator muscles), material properties (defined by the orientation of the collagen fibres, the pre-stress and the muscle activation), and mechan-
ics (contraction-extension followed by expulsion). We have given a quantitative confirmation for
the hypothesis that the ballistic mechanism requires energy storage in the collagenous intralingual
sheaths coupled with muscular activity. Our model connects within a single mathematical
framework the several distinct features necessary for successful projection: it requires sufficient
initial tongue extension, internal elastic energy formed through the deformations and interactions
of different layers, and a means of efficient energy conversion to produce directed motion.
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