

On the Martingale Selection Problem and its applications

Matteo Burzoni

based on joint works with M. Šikić and E. Bayraktar



Robust Techniques in Quantitative Finance
Oxford, 3-7 September 2018

Outline

- The martingale selection problem
 - ▶ Characterization of the existence of solutions;
- Applications to arbitrage theory
 - ▶ Frictionless models;
 - ▶ Proportional transaction costs;
 - ▶ Illiquidity models.
- Applications to superhedging duality
- Conclusions

THE MARTINGALE SELECTION PROBLEM

The Problem

Let $V := (V_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a collection of \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random sets, with $\mathcal{I} := \{0, \dots, T\}$.

Problem

Find a process $\xi := (\xi_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$ and a probability measure \mathbb{Q} such that:

- $\xi_t \in V_t$ for any $t \in \mathcal{I}$;
- for any $0 \leq t \leq T - 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{t+1} - \xi_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = 0 \quad \mathbb{Q} - a.s.$$

The Problem

Let $V := (V_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a collection of \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random sets, with $\mathcal{I} := \{0, \dots, T\}$.

Problem

Find a process $\xi := (\xi_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$ and a probability measure \mathbb{Q} such that:

- \mathbb{Q} has finite support;
- $\xi_t \in V_t$ for any $t \in \mathcal{I}$;
- for any $0 \leq t \leq T - 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{t+1} - \xi_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = 0 \quad \mathbb{Q} - a.s.$$

The Problem

Let $V := (V_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $C := (C_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$ be collections of \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random sets, with $\mathcal{I} := \{0, \dots, T\}$.

Problem

Find a process $\xi := (\xi_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$ and a probability measure \mathbb{Q} such that:

- \mathbb{Q} has finite support;
- $\xi_t \in V_t$ for any $t \in \mathcal{I}$;
- for any $0 \leq t \leq T - 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{t+1} - \xi_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \in C_t^\circ \quad \mathbb{Q} - \text{a.s.}$$

Here, C_t° denotes the polar set of C_t , i.e.,

$$C_t^\circ := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle c, y \rangle \leq 0, \forall c \in C_t\};$$

The program

Aim: Give a geometric characterization for the existence of solutions to the MSP (V, C) ;

Approach: We follow dynamic programming ideas to identify the subsets of V that can support a martingale process;

Example: One period deterministic MSP: $V_0 = [1, 3]$, $V_1 = [2, 4]$. The interval $[1, 2)$ cannot support any martingale process.

Meta-result: MSP is solvable if and only if a certain collection $(W_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$ with $W_t \subset V_t$ for any $t \in \mathcal{I}$ is non-empty.

The approach

Consider a martingale selection problem (V, C) . Recall that we want the martingale property:

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{t+1} - \xi_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \in C_t^\circ \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \xi_t = \mathbb{E}[\xi_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] - \eta_t.$$

with $\eta_t \in C_t^\circ$.

The approach

Consider a martingale selection problem (V, C) . Recall that we want the martingale property:

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{t+1} - \xi_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \in C_t^\circ \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \xi_t = \mathbb{E}[\xi_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] - \eta_t.$$

with $\eta_t \in C_t^\circ$.

Backward construction

- Start with $W_T := V_T$;
- for $t = T - 1, \dots, 0$, set

$$W_t := V_t \cap (W_{t+1}^\# - C_t^\circ).$$

where

$$W_{t+1}^\#(\omega) = \{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\xi \mid \mathcal{F}_t](\omega) \mid \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}, \xi \in W_{t+1} \text{ } \mathbb{Q}\text{-a.s.} \}$$

The approach

Consider a martingale selection problem (V, C) . Recall that we want the martingale property:

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{t+1} - \xi_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \in C_t^\circ \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \xi_t = \mathbb{E}[\xi_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] - \eta_t.$$

with $\eta_t \in C_t^\circ$.

Backward construction (B., Šikić ('18))

- Start with $W_T := V_T$;
- for $t = T - 1, \dots, 0$, set

$$W_t := V_t \cap (W_{t+1}^b - C_t^\circ).$$

where

$$W_{t+1}^b(\omega) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \forall \bar{\omega} \in \Omega \exists \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(\bar{\omega}), \xi \in W_{t+1} \text{ } \mathbb{Q}\text{-a.s.} \\ : \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\xi \mid \mathcal{F}_t](\omega) = y\}$$

The main result

The (robust) MSP (V, C) is *solvable* if $\forall \bar{\omega} \in \Omega$ there exist (ξ, \mathbb{Q}) such that

- $\xi_t \in V_t$ for $t \in \mathcal{I}$;
- $\bar{\omega} \in \text{supp } \mathbb{Q}$;
- $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\xi_{t+1} - \xi_t | \mathcal{F}_t] \in C_t^\circ$ \mathbb{Q} -a.s., for all $0 \leq t \leq T - 1$,

Theorem (B., Šikić ('18))

The martingale selection problem (V, C) is solvable if and only if $W_t(\omega) \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

APPLICATIONS TO NO ARBITRAGE THEORY

We want to obtain a version of the Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing in a general framework.

Theorem (Meta-FTAP)

No arbitrage \iff exists a consistent price system.

We want to obtain a version of the Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing in a general framework.

Theorem (Meta-FTAP)

No arbitrage \iff exists a consistent price system.

This can be translated into

Theorem (Meta-Theorem)

No arbitrage \iff a suitable MSP (V, C) is solvable.

In particular, the set of consistent price system is given by the set of “martingales” living in V .

FRICTIONLESS MARKETS

Frictionless markets

Model: price process $(S_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$, set of constraints $(C_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$;

NA: $(H \cdot S)_T \geq 0$ with H admissible $\Rightarrow (H \cdot S)_T = 0$;

MSP: $V_t = \text{cone}(S_t)$, C_t given.

Frictionless markets

Model: price process $(S_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$, set of constraints $(C_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$;

NA: $(H \cdot S)_T \geq 0$ with H admissible $\Rightarrow (H \cdot S)_T = 0$;

MSP: $V_t = \text{cone}(S_t)$, C_t given.

Theorem

NA if and only if for every $\omega \in \Omega$, there exists \mathbb{Q} such that

- $\omega \in \text{supp } \mathbb{Q}$;
- $E_{\mathbb{Q}}[S_{t+1} - S_t | \mathcal{F}_t] \in C_t^\circ$, \mathbb{Q} -a.s..

Frictionless markets

Proof: consider the MSP,

$$V_t = \text{cone}(S_t), \quad C_t \text{ given.}$$

We have two cases:

Solvable: martingale measures exist \Rightarrow NA;

Non Solvable: For some $\bar{\omega} \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathcal{I}$, we have

$$W_t(\bar{\omega}) = V_t \cap (W_{t+1}^b - C_t^\circ) = \emptyset.$$

One can show that a separator of the two sets defines an arbitrage strategy.

PROPORTIONAL TRANSACTION COSTS

The currency market model of Kabanov

Model: solvency cone process $(K_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$, set of constraints $(C_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$;

NA: $h_T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}_+^d)$ admissible $\Rightarrow h_T = 0$;

MSP: $V_t = \text{ri}(K_t^*)$, C_t given. ($K_t^* = -K_t^\circ$ is the dual cone of K_t)

The currency market model of Kabanov

Model: solvency cone process $(K_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$, set of constraints $(C_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$;

NA: $h_T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}_+^d)$ admissible $\Rightarrow h_T = 0$;

MSP: $V_t = \text{ri}(K_t^*)$, C_t given. ($K_t^* = -K_t^\circ$ is the dual cone of K_t)

Theorem

“NA” if and only if for every $\omega \in \Omega$, there exists (ξ, \mathbb{Q}) such that

- $\xi_t \in \text{ri}(K_t^*)$ for every $t \in \mathcal{I}$;
- $\omega \in \text{supp } \mathbb{Q}$;
- $E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\xi_{t+1} - \xi_t | \mathcal{F}_t] \in C_t^\circ$, \mathbb{Q} -a.s..

ILLIQUIDITY MODELS

The illiquidity model of Pennanen '11

Model: cost process $(S_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$, set of constraints $(C_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$;

- ▶ Example: $S_t(\omega, x) = s_t(\omega)\varphi(x)$ where φ is the “cost of illiquidity” (see Çetin and Rogers '07);
- ▶ Value function: $\mathcal{V}_T(H) = - \sum_{t=0}^T S_t(\omega, H_t - H_{t-1})$.

The illiquidity model of Pennanen '11

Model: cost process $(S_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$, set of constraints $(C_t)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$;

- ▷ Example: $S_t(\omega, x) = s_t(\omega)\varphi(x)$ where φ is the “cost of illiquidity” (see Çetin and Rogers '07);
- ▷ Value function: $\mathcal{V}_T(H) = - \sum_{t=0}^T S_t(\omega, H_t - H_{t-1})$.

NA: $\mathcal{V}_T(H) \geq 0$ with H admissible $\Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_T(H) = 0$;

- ▷ Note that scalability matters!

The illiquidity model of Pennanen

MSP: $V_t = \text{ri } \partial S_t^\infty(\cdot, 0)$, C_t given,

$$S_t^\infty(\cdot, x) := \sup_{\alpha > 0} \frac{S_t(\cdot, \alpha x)}{\alpha}.$$

Interpretation: worst sublinear model compatible with S .

The illiquidity model of Pennanen

MSP: $V_t = \text{ri } \partial S_t^\infty(\cdot, 0)$, C_t given,

$$S_t^\infty(\cdot, x) := \sup_{\alpha > 0} \frac{S_t(\cdot, \alpha x)}{\alpha}.$$

Interpretation: worst sublinear model compatible with S .

Theorem

No scalable arbitrage if and only if for every $\omega \in \Omega$, there exists (ξ, \mathbb{Q}) such that

- $\xi_t(\omega) \in \text{ri } \partial S^\infty(\omega, 0)$ for every $t \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$;
- $\omega \in \text{supp } \mathbb{Q}$;
- $E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\xi_{t+1} - \xi_t | \mathcal{F}_t] \in C_t^\circ$, \mathbb{Q} -a.s..

SUPERHEDGING DUALITY

The randomization approach

The idea: Model the transaction costs as an extra state variable (see Bouchard, Deng, Tan, *Math. Fin.*, '18).

- 1 Define the frictionless process

$$\hat{S}_t(\omega, \theta) = \Pi_{K_t^*}([S_t^1(\omega)\theta^1, \dots, S_t^d(\omega)\theta^d])$$

for a Borel-measurable selector S_t of K_t^* ;

- ii Show that the primal problems coincides for the original and the extended market;
- iii Show the same for the dual;
- iv Use frictionless results for showing the duality.

The randomization approach

The assumptions of Bouchard et al.:

- i \mathcal{P} -q.s. framework of Bouchard and Nutz;
- ii $K_t^* \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^d = \{0\}$;
- iii Uniform bound on transaction costs;
- iv No Arbitrage of the second kind:

$$\xi \in K_{t+1} \mathcal{P}\text{-q.s.} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi \in K_t \mathcal{P}\text{-q.s.}$$

equivalently: every process taking values in $(K_t^*)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}$ can be extended as a martingale.

Our contribution

Denote by \mathcal{S}^0 the class of strictly consistent price systems. Moreover,

$$\pi_K(G) := \inf \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists H \text{ adm. s.t. } y\mathbf{1}_d + H_T - G \in K_T, \quad \mathcal{P}\text{-q.s.}\}.$$

Theorem (Bayraktar, B. ('18+))

Assume “NA” for (K, \mathcal{P}) . For any Borel-measurable random vector G ,

$$\pi_K(G) = \sup_{(Z, \mathbb{Q}) \in \mathcal{S}^0} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[G \cdot Z_T].$$

Moreover, the superhedging price is attained when $\pi_K(G) < \infty$.

Our contribution

Main difficulty: The collection of sets

$$\tilde{K}_t^* := K_t^* \cap K_{t+1}^\#$$

is not Borel measurable so one cannot replicate the construction of Bouchard et al.;

The idea: Choose an appropriate family of priors $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ such that

$$\hat{P} \left(\hat{S}_t \in \text{int}(\tilde{K}_t^*), \forall t \in \mathcal{I} \right) = 1, \forall \hat{P} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}};$$

Generalization: A duality result can be derived for the case of portfolio constraints (with \mathcal{S}^0 suitably replaced).

CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions

- We have considered a martingale selection problem in absence of a reference probability and characterize existence of solutions;
- We provided a FTAP for various market models, from frictionless to general illiquidity frameworks;
- We showed how one can derive the superhedging duality, via randomization, through the solutions of the MSP.

Conclusions

- We have considered a martingale selection problem in absence of a reference probability and characterize existence of solutions;
- We provided a FTAP for various market models, from frictionless to general illiquidity frameworks;
- We showed how one can derive the superhedging duality, via randomization, through the solutions of the MSP.

Thank you for your kind attention.