

On the group of purely inseparable points of an abelian variety defined over a function field of positive characteristic II

Damian RÖSSLER*

July 29, 2020

Abstract

Let A be an abelian variety over the function field K of a curve over a finite field. We describe several mild geometric conditions ensuring that the group $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated and that the p -primary torsion subgroup of $A(K^{\text{sep}})$ is finite. This gives partial answers to questions of Scanlon, Ghioca and Moosa, and Poonen and Voloch. We also describe a simple theory (used to prove our results) relating the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of vector bundles to the structure of finite flat group schemes of height one over projective curves over perfect fields. Finally, we use our results to give a complete proof of a conjecture of Esnault and Langer on Verschiebung divisibility of points in abelian varieties over function fields.

Contents

1 Introduction	2
2 Intermediate results	13
2.1 Consequences of infinite generation of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$	13
2.2 Consequences of infiniteness of $\text{Tor}_p(A(K^{\text{sep}}))$ or $\text{Tor}_p(A(K^{\text{unr}}))$	14

*Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Andrew Wiles Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, United Kingdom

3 Semistable sheaves on curves	15
4 Finite flat group schemes over curves	18
4.1 Quotients by proper flat group schemes	18
4.2 The HN-filtration on the Lie algebra of a finite flat group scheme of height one	18
4.3 Quotients of semiabelian schemes by finite flat multiplicative group schemes	26
5 Proofs of the claims made in subsection 2.1	28
6 Proofs of the claims made in subsection 2.2	30
7 Proof of Theorem 1.1	34
8 Proof of Theorem 1.2	36
9 Proof of Theorem 1.4	38
9.1 The trace of an abelian variety over a function field: basic facts	38
9.2 The proof	39
A Rational points in families	52
B Ampleness of the Hodge bundle and inseparable points	55
C Specialisation of the Mordell-Weil group	57

1 Introduction

Let k be a finite field characteristic $p > 0$ and let S be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve over k . Let $K := \kappa(S)$ be its function field. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over K . Choose an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K . Let $K^{\text{perf}} \subseteq \bar{K}$ be the maximal purely inseparable extension of K , let $K^{\text{sep}} \subseteq \bar{K}$ be the maximal separable extension of K and let $K^{\text{unr}} \subseteq K^{\text{sep}}$ be the maximal separable extension of K , which is unramified above every place of K . Finally, we let \mathcal{A} be a smooth commutative group scheme over S such that $\mathcal{A}_K = A$. We shall write $\omega_{\mathcal{A}} := \epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S})$ for the restriction of the cotangent sheaf of

\mathcal{A} over S via the zero section $\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of \mathcal{A} . We shall say that $\omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the Hodge bundle of \mathcal{A} .

If G is an abelian group, we shall write

$$\mathrm{Tor}_p(G) := \{x \in G \mid \exists n \geq 0 : p^n \cdot x = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tor}^p(G) := \{x \in G \mid \exists n \geq 0 : n \cdot x = 0 \wedge (n, p) = 1\}.$$

The aim of this text is to prove the following two theorems and to give a proof of a conjecture of Esnault and Langer (see further below).

Theorem 1.1. (a) *Suppose that A is geometrically simple. If $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is finitely generated and of rank > 0 then $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{sep}}))$ is a finite group.*

(b) *Suppose that A is an ordinary (not necessarily simple) abelian variety. If $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{sep}}))$ is a finite group then $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is finitely generated.*

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a semiabelian scheme and that A is a geometrically simple abelian variety over K . If $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{sep}}))$ is infinite, then*

- (a) \mathcal{A} is an abelian scheme;
- (b) there is $r_A \geq 0$ such that $p^{r_A} \cdot \mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{sep}})) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$.

Furthermore, there is

- (c) an abelian scheme \mathcal{B} over S ;
- (d) an S -isogeny $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, whose degree is a power of p and such that the corresponding isogeny $\mathcal{A}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_K$ is étale;
- (e) an étale S -isogeny $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ whose degree is > 1 and is a power of p ,

and

- (f) (Voloch) if A is ordinary then the Kodaira-Spencer rank of A is not maximal;
- (g) if $\dim(A) \leq 2$ then $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{K}|\bar{k}}(A_{\bar{K}}) \neq 0$;
- (h) for all closed points $s \in S$, the p -rank of \mathcal{A}_s is > 0 .

Here $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{K}|\bar{k}}(A_{\bar{K}})$ is the $\bar{K}|\bar{k}$ -trace of $A_{\bar{K}}$. This is an abelian variety over \bar{k} . See subsection 9.1.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (b) have applications in the context of the work of Poonen and Voloch on the Brauer-Manin obstruction over function fields. In particular Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (b) show that the conclusion of [50, Th. B] holds whenever the underlying abelian variety is geometrically simple, has semistable reduction and violates any of the conditions in Theorem 1.2, in particular if it has a point of bad reduction. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (b) also feed into the "full" Mordell-Lang conjecture. See [56, after Claim 4.4] and [2, Intro.] for this conjecture. In particular, in conjunction with the main result of [19] Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (b) show that the "full" Mordell-Lang conjecture holds if the underlying abelian variety is ordinary, geometrically simple, has semistable reduction and violates any of the conditions in Theorem 1.2, in particular if it has a point of bad reduction.

Let now L be a field, which is finitely generated as a field over an algebraically closed field l_0 of characteristic p . Let C be an abelian variety over L .

Conjecture 1.3 (Esnault-Langer). *Suppose that for all $\ell \geq 0$ we are given a point $x_\ell \in C^{(p^\ell)}(L)$ and suppose that for all $\ell \geq 1$, we have $V_{C^{(p^\ell)}/L}(x_\ell) = x_{\ell-1}$. Then the image of x_0 in $C(L)/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0)$ is a torsion point, which is of order prime to p .*

See [15, Rem. 6.3 and after Lemma 6.5]. This conjecture is important in the theory of stratified bundles in positive characteristic; see [15, Question 3 in the introduction] for details.

Here $C^{(p^\ell)}$ is the base change of C by the ℓ -th power of the absolute Frobenius morphism on $\mathrm{Spec} L$ and $V_{C^{(p^\ell)}/L} : C^{(p^\ell)} \rightarrow C^{(p^{\ell-1})}$ is the Verschiebung morphism. The abelian variety $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)$ is the $L|l_0$ -trace of C (see subsection 9.1). It is an abelian variety over l_0 and the variety $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)_L$ comes with an injective morphism to C . This gives in particular an injective map $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0) \rightarrow C(L)$. The Lang-Néron theorem (see [35, chap. 6, Th. 2]) asserts that $C(L)/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0)$ is a finitely generated group. Thus $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0) \subseteq C(L)$ is precisely the subgroup of $C(L)$ consisting of divisible elements (ie elements divisible by any integer).

In the present text, we shall call a point $x_0 \in C(L)$ with the property described in Conjecture 1.3 an *indefinitely Verschiebung divisible point*. We shall write $\mathrm{IVD}(C) = \mathrm{IVD}(C, L) \subseteq C(L)$ for the subgroup of indefinitely Verschiebung divisible points.

We prove:

Theorem 1.4. *Conjecture 1.3 holds.*

Note that Theorem 1.4 has the following consequence, which is of independent interest: if C is as in Conjecture 1.3, C is ordinary and $\mathrm{Tr}_{L^{\mathrm{perf}}|l_0}(C_{L^{\mathrm{perf}}}) = 0$ then

$$\bigcap_{j \geq 0} p^j \cdot C(L^{\mathrm{perf}}) = \mathrm{Tor}^p(C(L^{\mathrm{perf}})).$$

To see this, let $x \in C(L^{\mathrm{perf}})$. Let $L_1|L$ be a finite purely inseparable extension, which is a field of definition for x . Remember that the multiplication by p endomorphism of C is the composition of the Verschiebung morphism with the relative Frobenius morphism, which is purely inseparable. Also, recall that since C is ordinary, the Verschiebung morphism is (by definition) separable. Note finally that since $\mathrm{Tr}_{L^{\mathrm{perf}}|l_0}(C_{L^{\mathrm{perf}}}) = 0$ we also have $\mathrm{Tr}_{L_1|l_0}(C_{L_1}) = 0$. In particular, if $x \in \bigcap_{j \geq 0} p^j \cdot C(L^{\mathrm{perf}})$ then x is an indefinitely Verschiebung divisible element of $C(L_1)$ and thus must lie in $\mathrm{Tor}^p(C(L_1)) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}^p(C(L^{\mathrm{perf}}))$ according to Theorem 1.4. The inclusion $\mathrm{Tor}^p(C(L^{\mathrm{perf}})) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \geq 0} p^j \cdot C(L^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is straightforward.

Outline of the paper. The basic strategy of the paper hinges on Lemma 4.8 below. This Lemma associates a maximal multiplicative subgroup scheme with any finite flat group scheme of height one over S . The existence of this subgroup scheme is not straightforward and follows from an analysis of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of (a Frobenius twist of) the coLie algebra of the group scheme. This analysis is carried out in subsection 4.2.

One can apply Lemma 4.8 to the kernel of the relative Frobenius morphism $F_{\mathcal{A}/S} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(p)}$, replace \mathcal{A} by the resulting quotient and repeat this construction ad infinitum, stopping only when the maximal multiplicative subgroup scheme is trivial.

It is then a basic (unresolved) question to determine minimal geometric conditions on \mathcal{A} ensuring that the resulting sequence of semiabelian schemes stops. This also makes sense (and seems important to us) if k is replaced by any perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ (not only when k is finite).

This question turns out to be intimately related to Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4. To explain why, we shall first quote a result, which improves on (and elucidates) Lemma B.2 in the Appendix. This result is proven in [52], which builds on the present article. We shall only need Lemma B.2 in the present text but for conceptual clarity, we shall present the improved result in this outline. Let $E \subseteq S$ be the finite set of points $s \in S$ where \mathcal{A}_s is not an abelian variety. Let $U := S \setminus E$. We first recall a classical result:

Theorem 1.5 (Artin-Milne). *There is a canonical injective group homomorphism*

$$A^{(p)}(K)/F_{A/K}(A(K)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_K(F_K^*(\omega_K), \Omega_{K/k}).$$

Here F_K is the absolute Frobenius endomorphism of K (the p -th power map). See [3,

III.3.5.6] for the proof, which works in a more general setting. In [52] this is refined as follows:

Theorem 1.6 (R.). *The image of the Artin-Milne map lies inside the subgroup $\mathrm{Hom}_C(F_S^*(\omega), \Omega_{S/k}(E))$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(F_K^*(\omega_K), \Omega_{K/k})$.*

Here F_S is the absolute Frobenius endomorphism of S . Here we write $\Omega_{S/k}(E) := \Omega_{S/k}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(E)$ and E is understood as a divisor with no multiplicities. Theorem 1.6 refines Lemma B.2 below (for the knowledgeable reader, in [52] it is even proven that the image of the Selmer group of the relative Frobenius morphism lies in $\mathrm{Hom}_C(F_S^*(\omega), \Omega_{S/k}(E))$). The group $\mathrm{Hom}_C(F_S^*(\omega), \Omega_{S/k}(E))$ can be understood as the target of an Abel-Jacobi map in logarithmic Higgs cohomology, although to give a precise meaning to this interpretation would require the development of a good theory of Higgs bundles in positive characteristic (which does not exist at the moment, to the author's knowledge). This theorem is proven by providing a geometric interpretation for the Artin-Milne map and analysing its poles, making essential use of Faltings-Chai's semistable compactification of the universal abelian scheme. The existence of this compactification allows us to show that the poles are at most logarithmic, which is in essence the content of Theorem 1.6. Let us now explain why Theorem 1.6 is relevant for Theorem 1.1. Consider eg (b) in Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is not finitely generated. We have

$$A(K^{\mathrm{perf}}) = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} A(K^{p^{-i}})$$

and by the Lang-Néron theorem (see also subsection 9.1) $A(K^{p^{-i}})$ is finitely generated. Hence for infinitely many $i \geq 0$, we must have

$$A^{(p^{i+1})}(K)/F_{A^{(p^i)}/K}(A(K)) \simeq A(K^{p^{-i-1}})/A(K^{p^{-i}}) \neq 0.$$

In particular, for infinitely many $i \geq 0$, we must have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_C(F_S^{\circ(i+1),*}(\omega), \Omega_{S/k}(E)) \neq 0$$

according to Theorem 1.6. If now the vector bundle ω were ample, this would lead to a contradiction, because if i is large enough and ω is ample then there cannot be any morphism from $F_S^{\circ(i+1),*}(\omega)$ to $\Omega_{S/k}(E)$. This was already noticed in the earlier article [54], where details are given. One can refine this line of reasoning as follows. If ω is not ample and A is ordinary then one can show that ω must have a certain non trivial quotient, which is semistable of degree 0. This non trivial quotient turns out to be induced by the maximal multiplicative subgroup scheme mentioned above. Calling it $G_{\mathcal{A}}$, we may then replace \mathcal{A} by

$\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}$. The group $(\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}})_K(K^{\text{perf}})$ will again be infinitely generated, since the morphism $A \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}})_K$ has finite kernel. Hence we can repeat the above reasoning for $\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}$ and we obtain an infinite sequence of isogenous abelian varieties. The next step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (b) is to show that in this sequence, there are finitely many isomorphism classes. This follows from the fact that the degrees of $\omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the same and more generally the degrees of the Hodge bundles of all the semiabelian schemes in the sequence are the same. This is a consequence of a computation involving the cotangent complex of the quotient morphism (see Lemma 4.12). It then follows from a classical reasoning involving moduli spaces of abelian varieties, familiar from Zarhin's proof of the Tate conjecture over function fields, that the sequence contains only finitely many isomorphism classes. We can thus conclude that, up to isogeny, A contains a non trivial finite endomorphism, whose kernel is multiplicative. The dual of this endomorphism is then separable and this shows that $\text{Tor}_p(A^{\vee})(K^{\text{sep}})$ is infinite (consider the kernels of its powers). Since A^{\vee} is isogenous to A , we see that $\text{Tor}_p(A)(K^{\text{sep}})$ is also infinite. This concludes our outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (b).

For Theorem 1.1 (a), we consider the quotients of A by finite subgroups of $\text{Tor}_p(A)(K^{\text{sep}})$ of increasing size. These quotients also run through finitely many isomorphism classes by a similar reasoning and we thus see that if $\text{Tor}_p(A)(K^{\text{sep}})$ is infinite then, up to isogeny, A is endowed with a separable finite endomorphism. The dual of this endomorphism is then purely inseparable and of degree a positive power of p , and if $A^{\vee}(K)$ is not finite, we may show that $A^{\vee}(K^{\text{perf}})$ is infinitely generated by considering the inverse images of $A(K)$ under the powers of this endomorphism. If now $A^{\vee}(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated, neither is $A(K^{\text{perf}})$, since A and A^{\vee} are isogenous. This concludes our outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (a).

In Theorem 1.2, we start out as in Theorem 1.1 (a) and we again obtain, up to isogeny, a separable finite endomorphism of degree a positive power of p . The rest of the theorem investigates the geometric consequences of the existence of this endomorphism. The most interesting consequence is the fact that it implies that \mathcal{A} must be an abelian scheme (if A is geometrically simple). This is (a) in Theorem 1.2). The main point here is that the endomorphism extends to an étale endomorphism of \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} had a fibre with a toric part then the endomorphism would induce an automorphism of the toric part, because tori only have infinitesimal p -primary subgroups in characteristic p and these are only étale if they are trivial. This fact forces the whole endomorphism to be an automorphism, which is impossible. The proof of (c), (d) and (e) are straightforward and not much more than a rewording of the fact that there are only finitely many isomorphism classes in the set of quotients described above. The proof of (b) follows essentially from a variant of the

fact that, under (a), the above endomorphism extends to an everywhere étale and finite endomorphism of \mathcal{A} . This also easily gives a proof of (h). The proof of (g) is based on class field theory and the Serre-Tate theory of canonical liftings. First, up to a finite extension, the field extension generated by the points of $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A)(K^{\mathrm{sep}})$ is everywhere unramified by (a) and (b). If $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A)(K^{\mathrm{sep}}) = \mathrm{Tor}_p(A)(\bar{K})$ then a simple application of the Serre-Tate theory of canonical liftings shows that $A_{\bar{K}}$ is the base change of an abelian variety defined over \bar{k} . Hence it must be contained in the Hilbert class field of K , which is but a constant field extension (ie comes from an extension of k), up to a finite extension. So if $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A)(K^{\mathrm{sep}})$ is infinite then it is an infinite torsion subset of $A(K\bar{k})$, which is finitely generated by the Lang-Néron theorem if the trace of A vanishes: contradiction.

We now turn to Theorem 1.4. Using a height argument due to Raynaud, Esnault and Langer prove in [15, Th. 6.2] that the image of x_0 in $C(L)/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0)$ is a torsion point under the assumption that C has everywhere potential good reduction in codimension one. Their argument works as follows. Choose a polarisation on C . This induces polarisations on all the $C^{(p^\ell)}$ by base change. A simple computation shows that if a point $x \in C(L)$ has a preimage y in $C^{(p)}(K)$ under the Verschiebung map then the height of x with respect to the polarisation is p times the height of y with respect to the base changed polarisation. Now if C has everywhere good reduction in codimension one, there is an abelian scheme \mathcal{C} extending C on an open subset with complement of codimension ≥ 2 of a normal complete model V of L and the polarisations on C and $C^{(p)}$ naturally extend to this open subset. This implies that the heights of x are y (with respect to the polarisations and a choice of ample line bundle on V) are integers, because they can then be computed in a completely geometric fashion. In particular, the height of x is an integer divisible by p . Repeating this argument with y , one sees that the height of x is divisible by arbitrarily high powers of p and one concludes that it must vanish. Then the conclusion follows from a theorem of Lang (see [11, Th. 9.15]). The argument described above breaks down in the presence of bad reduction in codimension one because the orders of the component groups of the special fibres of the local Néron models of the varieties $C^{(p^\ell)}$ increase with ℓ if they are not trivial and this introduces denominators in the heights.

Our approach to Theorem 1.4 is again via the infinite sequence of quotients described at the beginning of the outline. This sequence will effectively replace the sequence of the $C^{(p^\ell)}$. It has the advantage over the sequence of the $C^{(p^\ell)}$ that it falls inside a bounded family of abelian varieties (see below), making it possible to control the order of the (analogues of the) images of the x_ℓ in the component groups of the Néron models. This makes a similar height computation possible. The proof is in several steps.

Step (0). Reduction to the case where L is the function field of a smooth and projective curve B over l_0 . This follows from a Bertini type argument - see section C in the Appendix.

Step (1). We consider the images of the x_ℓ under the Artin-Milne map. A crucial point is that these images must be compatible under the Verschiebung morphisms (see diagram (8) below) and this constrains the image of x_1 under the Artin-Milne map. Using Lemma B.2 (or Theorem 1.6), the theory of semistable sheaves in positive characteristic and various global results on finite flat group schemes of height one in a global situation proven in section 4, we show that the image of x_1 under the Artin-Milne map must factor through the coLie algebra of the maximal multiplicative subgroup $(\ker F_{C/B})_\mu$ of $\ker F_{C/B}$. This implies that the image of x_1 in $(C^{(p)}/(\ker F_{C/B})_{\mu,L}^{(p)})(L) = (C^{(p)}/G_{C^{(p)},L})(L)$ maps to 0 under the Artin-Milne map. From the definitions, this means that the image of x_0 in $(C/G_{C,L})(L)$ is divisible by p in $(C/G_{C,L})(L)$. Suppose for simplicity that C has a semiabelian model \mathcal{C} over B . We can now repeat this process and we obtain a sequence of purely inseparable morphisms $\psi_i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$ of increasing degree, such that $\psi_{i,L}(x_0)$ in C_i is divisible by p^i in $C_i(L)$.

Step (2). We choose a polarisation $\phi_{D_0} : C \rightarrow C^\vee$. The image of x_0 under ϕ_{D_0} is of course also indefinitely Verschiebung divisible. We identify $\phi_{D_0}(x_0)$ with a line bundle M on C . Since $\phi_{D_0}(x_0)$ is indefinitely Verschiebung divisible, there are line bundles M_i on $C^{(p^i)}$ such that M is the pull-back of M_i by the morphism $C \rightarrow C^{(p^i)}$ arising by composing relative Frobenii. The morphism $C \rightarrow C^{(p^i)}$ factors through $\psi_{i,L}$ by construction. Hence there are line bundles J_i on the C_i such that $\psi_{i,L}^*(J_i) = M$.

Step (3). We now compute the height pairing between x_0 and M . This can easily be seen to equal the height pairing between $\psi_{i,L}(x_0)$ and J_i . Since $\psi_{i,L}(x_0)$ is divisible by p^i , we see that the height pairing between x_0 and M is divisible by p^i . If the \mathcal{C}_i were all abelian schemes we could deduce (like Raynaud-Esnault-Langer above) that the height pairing between x_0 and M must vanish, because then all the values of the various height pairing would be integral. However, we cannot assume this.

Step (4). All the C_i are essentially part of a bounded family of abelian varieties over L because the degrees of the Hodge bundles of the \mathcal{C}_i are all equal (see above in the outline). Using this, one can prove that there is an infinite set $I_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that if $i \in I_0$ the image of any element of $C_i(L)$ in the component groups of the Néron model of C_i has an order, which is bounded independently of i . This follows from Proposition A.2 (a) in the appendix. The gist of the argument is that in a bounded family of semiabelian varieties over B , it is possible to smoothly compactify the generic fibre, up to to normalisation in a finite extension of the function field of the parameter space. This would follow from resolution of singularities but in the present situation is a consequence of the work of Mumford, Chai-Faltings and

Künnemann (see [34, Th. 4.2]). This means that the abelian varieties in the family almost all have regular compactifications with a bounded number of geometric fibres over B . This bound is also a bound for the order of the image of a rational point in the component groups of the Néron model.

Step (5). In view of Step (4), if we replace x_0 by a certain multiple of x_0 , all the height pairing in sight are integers. Hence the divisibility argument envisaged in Step (3) can be carried out and yields that the height pairing of x_0 and M vanishes. This pairing is by construction twice the Néron-Tate height of x_0 with respect to the polarisation ϕ_{D_0} and we conclude from a theorem of Lang (op. cit.) that the image of x_0 in $C(L)/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0)$ is a torsion point. It remains to show that its order is prime to p .

Step (6). We first show that we may suppose that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C_{\bar{L}}) = 0$. This is not completely straightforward, because when one passes to a finite extension in Conjecture 1.3, one loses control of part of the torsion of $C(L)/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0)$. However, although the parasitical torsion subgroup that might appear is not known, its exponent only depends on the degree of the extension. This degree can be taken to be the same for all the Frobenius twists of C and the information one gathers from this suffices to prove the conjecture, provided one can prove it for a finite extension. Thus we may suppose that $\dim(\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C_{\bar{L}})) = \dim(\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C))$ and then, after quotienting by $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)$, that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C_{\bar{L}}) = 0$. Now recall that the C_i are essentially part of a bounded family of abelian varieties over L (see step (4)). Using this, and the fact that now $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C_{i,\bar{L}}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, one can prove that there is an infinite set $I_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that if $i \in I_0$, the cardinality of the torsion subgroup of $C_i(L)$ is uniformly bounded. This follows from Proposition A.2 (b) in the Appendix. To finish the proof of Conjecture 1.4, suppose that x_0 is a non-zero torsion point, which is indefinitely Verschiebung divisible. Since the image of x_0 in $C_i(L)$ is divisible by p^i , we see that the torsion group of $C_i(L)$ has an element of order p^{i+1} . This contradicts the above uniformity statement and shows that the order of x_0 must be prime to p .

The argument to prove the uniformity statement alluded to in Step (6) goes roughly as follows. One first notices that the torsion subgroup of a trace free abelian variety coincides with the set of elements of vanishing Néron-Tate height by the already quoted theorem of Lang. Thus they can be described as the points of a moduli space of sections, which is of finite type over l_0 , at least for those torsion points, whose image in the component groups of the Néron model of the abelian variety is trivial. Since the abelian variety is trace free, the torsion subgroup is finite and thus this moduli space is finite. Using the uniformity statement in Step (4), we may assume that the torsion points of the $C_i(L)$ have trivial images in the components of the corresponding Néron models, up to multiplication by a

fixed integer (independent of i running through an infinite set). The number of irreducible components of the moduli space of each C_i is now uniformly bounded, since the C_i are part of a bounded family. This gives a uniform bound for the torsion subgroups of the $C_i(L)$.

The reader may enjoy the talk [55] as an introduction to parts of the present article.

The structure of the article is as follows. In section 2, we state various intermediate results, from which we shall deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Theorem 2.1 in subsection 2.1 is of independent interest and is (we feel) likely to be useful for the study of the geometry of (especially ordinary) abelian varieties in general. The results in subsection 2.1 are deduced from some results in the theory of finite flat group schemes of height one over S , most of which follow from the existence of a Harder-Narasimhan filtration on their Lie algebras. These results on finite flat group schemes are proven in section 4 and for the convenience of the reader, we included a section (section 3) listing the results on semistable sheaves over curves in positive characteristic that we need. To the knowledge of the author, there are very few general results on the structure of finite flat group schemes in a global situation (eg when the base is not affine) and it seems that it is the first time that the theory of semistability of vector bundles is being used in this context. In [9] a similar idea is used in characteristic 0, where it is applied to the study of formal groups over curves (recall that all group schemes are smooth in characteristic 0, so the Lie algebras of finite flat group schemes vanish in characteristic 0). Lemma 4.4 below (which concerns finite flat group schemes of height one) is inspired by [9, Lemma 2.9]. A prototype of Lemma 4.4 can be found in [58, Lemma 9.1.3.1] but it is not applied to the study of group schemes there. The key results here are the Lemmata 4.4 and 4.8, which will hopefully lead to further generalisations (eg in the situation when the base scheme is of dimension higher than one - in this direction, see [38, Th. 7.3]). The results in subsection 2.2 do not require the theory of semistable sheaves and are based on geometric class field theory, the theory of Serre-Tate canonical liftings and on the existence of moduli schemes for abelian varieties. In section 5, we prove the various claims made in subsection 2.1 and in section 6 we prove the claims made in subsection 2.2. In section 7, we prove Theorem 1.1 and in section 8 we prove Theorem 1.2. In section 9.2, we give a proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is quite long and uses virtually all the other results proven in this text.

In his very interesting recent preprint [61], Xinyi Yuan uses some techniques which are also used in the present paper. They were discovered independently. His text focusses on the case where the base curve is the projective line. In particular, the "quotient process" used in step (2) of the proof of Theorem 1.4 and also in the proof of Theorem 1.2 also appears (over the projective line) in section 2.2 of [61]. Theorem 2.9 of [61] overlaps with the proof

of Lemma 4.11.

The prerequisites for this article are algebraic geometry at the level of the EGA, familiarity with the basic theory of finite flat group schemes, as expounded in [60] and a good knowledge of the theory of abelian schemes and varieties, as presented in [43], [45] and [44]. We also expect the reader to be familiar with the basic properties of Néron models (as in the chapter on basics of [8]) and to have a working knowledge of Grothendieck topologies.

Acknowledgments. My warm thanks to the referee for his/her careful reading and for many suggestions. The article would be much less clear without his/her help and encouragement. I would like to thank J.-B. Bost for his feedback, especially for pointing out the article [10], for suggesting Remark 2.4 and for providing [9, Lemma 2.9], whose positive characteristic analogue is technically at the root of the present text. Minhyong Kim's article [32] also played a fundamental role in the genesis of the present text; the construction described there pointed me in (what I hope is) the right direction when I started studying purely inseparable points on abelian varieties. I had many interesting discussions with him about his article. I am very grateful to J.-F. Voloch for many exchanges on the material of this article and for his remarks on the text and to P. Ziegler for many discussions on and around the "full" Mordell-Lang conjecture. Many thanks also to T. Scanlon for his interest and for interesting discussions around the group $A(K^{\text{perf}})$. Last but not least, many thanks to H el ene Esnault and her student Marco d'Addezio for their interest and for many enlightening discussions around Theorem 1.4. I also benefitted from A.-J. de Jong's and F. Oort's vast knowledge; they both very kindly took the time to answer some rather speculative messages.

Notation. If X is an integral scheme, we write $\kappa(X)$ for the local ring at the generic point of X (which is a field). If X is a scheme of characteristic p , we denote the absolute Frobenius endomorphism of X by F_X . If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism between two schemes of characteristic p and $\ell > 0$, abusing language, we denote by $X^{(p^\ell)}$ the fibre product of f and $F_Y^{\circ\ell}$, where $F_Y^{\circ\ell}$ is the ℓ -th power of the Frobenius endomorphism F_Y of Y . If $G \rightarrow X$ is a group scheme, we write $\epsilon_{G/X} : X \rightarrow G$ for the zero section of G and

$$\omega_{G/X} = \omega_G := \epsilon_{G/X}^*(\Omega_{G/X}).$$

If X is of characteristic p , we shall write $F_{G/X} : G \rightarrow G^{(p)}$ for the relative Frobenius morphism. If in addition G is flat and commutative, we shall write $V_{G^{(p)}/X} : G^{(p)} \rightarrow G$ for the corresponding Verschiebung morphism; we shall write $F_{G/X}^{(n)} : G \rightarrow G^{(p^n)}$ (resp. $V_{G^{(p^n)}/X}^{(j)} : G^{(p^n)} \rightarrow G^{(p^{n-j})}$) for the composition of morphisms

$$F_{G^{(p^{n-1})}/X} \circ \cdots \circ F_{G/X}$$

(resp. the composition of morphisms

$$V_{G^{(p^n-j+1)}/X} \circ V_{G^{(p^n-j+2)}/X} \circ \cdots \circ V_{G^{(p^n)}/X}$$

). See [25, Exp. VII_A, par. 4, "Frobeniusseries"] for the definition of the relative Frobenius morphism and the Verschiebung. If G is finite flat and commutative, we shall write G^\vee for the Cartier dual of G .

2 Intermediate results

We keep the notations and terminology of the introduction.

2.1 Consequences of infinite generation of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$

We shall write

$$\overline{\text{rk}}_{\min}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \text{rk}((F_S^{\circ\ell,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}))_{\min})$$

and

$$\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{deg}((F_S^{\circ\ell,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}))_{\min})}{p^\ell \cdot \text{rk}((F_S^{\circ\ell,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}))_{\min})}.$$

Here $F_S^{\circ\ell}$ is the ℓ -th power of the absolute Frobenius endomorphism of S and $(F_S^{\circ\ell,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}))_{\min}$ is the semistable quotient with minimal slope of the vector bundle $F_S^{\circ\ell,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}})$. See section 3 for details. Our main tool will be the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a (necessarily unique) multiplicative subgroup scheme $G_{\mathcal{A}} \hookrightarrow \ker F_{\mathcal{A}/S}$, with the following property: if H is a finite, flat, multiplicative group scheme of height one over S and $f : H \rightarrow \ker F_{\mathcal{A}/S}$ is a morphism of group schemes, then f factors through $G_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

If \mathcal{A} is ordinary and $\omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not ample then the order of $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $p^{\overline{\text{rk}}_{\min}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}})}$.

If $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of smooth commutative group schemes over S , then the restriction of ϕ to $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ factors through $G_{\mathcal{B}}$. Furthermore, we have $\text{deg}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}) = \text{deg}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}})$.

Here $\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the "fppf quotient" of \mathcal{A} by $G_{\mathcal{A}}$, which is also a smooth commutative group scheme over S . See Proposition 4.1 below for details.

Remark 2.2. Note that $\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}) > 0$ is equivalent to $\omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ being ample (see [4]).

Remark 2.3. Theorem 2.1 holds more generally if k is only supposed to be perfect (the proof does not use the fact that k is finite).

Remark 2.4. It would be interesting to provide an explicit example of an abelian variety A as in the introduction to this article, such that A is ordinary, \mathcal{A} is semiabelian, $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{K}|\bar{k}}(A_{\bar{K}}) = 0$ and $G_{\mathcal{A}} \neq 0$. It should be possible to construct such an example by considering mod p reductions of the abelian variety constructed in [10, Th. 1.3]. We hope to return to this question in a later article. The following question is also of interest: is there an ordinary abelian variety A as above, such that A has maximal Kodaira-Spencer rank, \mathcal{A} is semiabelian and $G_{\mathcal{A}} \neq 0$?

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that A is ordinary and that \mathcal{A} is semiabelian. Suppose that $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is not finitely generated. Then $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is of order > 1 and $\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is also semiabelian.*

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that A is ordinary and that \mathcal{A} is semiabelian over S . Suppose that $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is not finitely generated.*

Then there is a finite flat morphism

$$\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

where \mathcal{B} is a semiabelian over S and a finite flat morphism

$$\lambda : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

such that $\ker(\phi)$ and $\ker(\lambda)$ are multiplicative group schemes and such that the order of $\ker(\lambda)$ is > 1 .

2.2 Consequences of infiniteness of $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{sep}}))$ or $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{K}|\bar{k}}(A_{\bar{K}}) = 0$. Suppose that the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}|K)$ on $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}|K)^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Then $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ is finite.*

Here $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}|K)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is the maximal abelian quotient of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}|K)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that $\dim(A) \leq 2$ and that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{K}|\bar{k}}(A_{\bar{K}}) = 0$. Then $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ is finite.*

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{sep}}))$ is infinite. Then there is an étale K -isogeny*

$$\phi : A \rightarrow B$$

where B is an abelian variety over K and there is an étale K -isogeny

$$\lambda : B \rightarrow B$$

such that the order of $\ker(\lambda)$ is > 1 and such that the orders of $\ker(\lambda)$ and $\ker(\phi)$ are powers of p .

Theorem 2.10. *Suppose that there exists an étale K -isogeny $\phi : A \rightarrow A$, such that $\deg(\phi) = p^r$ for some $r > 0$. Suppose also that A is a geometrically simple abelian variety and that \mathcal{A} is a semiabelian scheme.*

Then \mathcal{A} is an abelian scheme and ϕ extends to an étale (necessarily finite) S -morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of group schemes.

3 Semistable sheaves on curves

Let Y be a scheme, which is smooth, projective and geometrically connected of relative dimension one over a field t_0 .

Suppose to begin with that t_0 is algebraically closed.

If V is a non zero coherent locally free sheaf on Y , we write as is customary

$$\mu(V) = \deg(V)/\mathrm{rk}(V)$$

where

$$\deg(V) := \int_Y c_1(V)$$

and $\mathrm{rk}(V)$ is the rank of V . The quantity $\mu(V)$ is called the *slope* of V . Recall that a non zero locally free coherent sheaf V on Y is called semistable if for any non zero coherent subsheaf $W \subseteq V$, we have $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$. Let V/Y be a non zero locally free coherent sheaf on Y . There is a unique filtration by coherent subsheaves

$$0 = V_0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq V_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_{\mathrm{hn}(V)} = V$$

such that all the sheaves V_i/V_{i-1} ($1 \leq i \leq \mathrm{hn}(V)$) are (locally free and) semistable and such that the sequence $\mu(V_i/V_{i-1})$ is strictly decreasing. This filtration is called the *Harder-Narasimhan filtration* of V (shorthand: HN filtration). One then defines

$$V_{\min} := V/V_{\mathrm{hn}(V)-1}, V_{\max}(V) := V_1$$

and

$$\mu_{\max}(V) := \mu(V_1), \mu_{\min}(V) := \mu(V_{\min}).$$

Let now $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. Suppose that $r \in \{\mu(V_1), \dots, \mu(V/V_{\mathrm{hn}(V)-1})\}$. Let $i(r) \in \mathbb{N}$ be the unique natural number such that $\mu(V_{i(r)}/V_{i(r)-1}) = r$. We shall write

$$V_{=r} := V_{i(r)}/V_{i(r)-1}$$

and

$$V_{\geq r} := V_{i(r)}.$$

We shall also write

$$V_{> r} := V_{j(r)}$$

where $j(r) \in \mathbb{N}$ is the largest natural number such that $\mu(V_{j(r)}/V_{j(r)-1}) > r$.

One basic property of semistable sheaves that we shall use repeatedly is the following. If V and W are non zero coherent locally free sheaves on Y and $\mu_{\min}(V) > \mu_{\max}(W)$ then $\text{Hom}_Y(V, W) = 0$. This follows from the definitions.

See [6, chap. 5] (for instance) for all these notions.

If V is a non zero coherent locally free sheaf on Y and t_0 has positive characteristic, we say that V is *Frobenius semistable* if $F_Y^{or,*}(V)$ is semistable for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The terminology *strongly semistable* also appears in the literature.

Theorem 3.1. *Let V be a non zero coherent locally free sheaf on Y . There is an $\ell_0 = \ell_0(V) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the quotients of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F_Y^{\ell_0,*}(V)$ are all Frobenius semistable.*

Proof. See eg [37, Th. 2.7, p. 259]. \square

Theorem 3.1 shows in particular that the following definitions :

$$\bar{\mu}_{\min}(V) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\min}(F_Y^{\ell,*}(V))/p^\ell,$$

$$\bar{\mu}_{\max}(V) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\max}(F_Y^{\ell,*}(V))/p^\ell,$$

$$\bar{\text{rk}}_{\min}(V) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \text{rk}((F_Y^{\ell,*}(V))_{\min}),$$

and

$$\bar{\text{rk}}_{\max}(V) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \text{rk}((F_Y^{\ell,*}(V))_{\max}).$$

make sense if V is a non zero locally free and coherent sheaf on Y .

Suppose now that t_0 is only perfect (not necessarily algebraically closed). If V is a non zero coherent sheaf on Y , then we shall write $\mu(V) := \mu(V_{\bar{t}_0})$ and we shall say that V is semistable if $V_{\bar{t}_0}$ is semistable. The HN filtration of $V_{\bar{t}_0}$ is invariant under $\text{Gal}(\bar{t}_0|t_0)$ by unicity and by a simple descent argument, we see that there is a unique filtration by coherent subsheaves

$$V_0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq V_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_{\text{hn}(V)}$$

such that

$$V_{0,\bar{t}_0} \subsetneq V_{1,\bar{t}_0} \subsetneq V_{2,\bar{t}_0} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_{\text{hn}(V),\bar{t}_0}$$

is the HN filtration of $V_{\bar{t}_0}$. We then define as before

$$\mu_{\max}(V) := \mu(V_1)$$

and

$$\mu_{\min}(V) := \mu(V/V_{\text{hn}(V)-1}).$$

Notice that we have $\mu_{\max}(V) = \mu_{\max}(V_{\bar{t}_0})$ and $\mu_{\min}(V) = \mu_{\min}(V_{\bar{t}_0})$.

Notice that if V and W are non zero coherent locally free coherent sheaves on Y and $\mu_{\min}(V) > \mu_{\max}(W)$ then we still have $\text{Hom}_Y(V, W) = 0$, since there is a natural inclusion

$$\text{Hom}_Y(V, W) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{Y_{\bar{t}_0}}(V_{\bar{t}_0}, W_{\bar{t}_0}).$$

If t_0 has positive characteristic, we shall say that V is Frobenius semistable if $V_{\bar{t}_0}$ is Frobenius semistable. Since Frobenius morphisms commute with all morphisms, this is equivalent to requiring that $F_Y^{r,*}(V)$ is semistable for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (with our extended definition of semistability).

We can now extend the range of the terminology introduced above:

$$V_{\max} := V_1, V_{\min} := V/V_{\text{hn}(V)-1},$$

$$\bar{\mu}_{\min}(V) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\min}(F_Y^{\circ\ell,*}(V))/p^\ell, \bar{\mu}_{\max}(V) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\max}(F_Y^{\circ\ell,*}(V))/p^\ell,$$

$$\bar{\text{rk}}_{\min}(V) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \text{rk}((F_Y^{\circ\ell,*}(V))_{\min}), \bar{\text{rk}}_{\max}(V) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \text{rk}((F_Y^{\circ\ell,*}(V))_{\max}).$$

Note that we have $\bar{\mu}_{\min}(V) = \bar{\mu}_{\min}(V_{\bar{t}_0})$, $\bar{\mu}_{\max}(V) = \bar{\mu}_{\max}(V_{\bar{t}_0})$, $\bar{\text{rk}}_{\min}(V) = \bar{\text{rk}}_{\min}(V_{\bar{t}_0})$, $\bar{\text{rk}}_{\max}(V) = \bar{\text{rk}}_{\max}(V_{\bar{t}_0})$ as expected.

If V is a non zero coherent locally free coherent sheaf on Y such that all the quotients of the HN filtration of V are Frobenius semistable, we shall say that V has a Frobenius semistable HN filtration. Note that by Theorem 3.1 above, for any non zero coherent locally free coherent sheaf V on Y , the sheaf $F^{or,*}(V)$ has a Frobenius semistable HN filtration for all but finitely many $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following simple lemma will also prove very useful. It was suggested by J.-B. Bost.

Lemma 3.2. *Let V and W be coherent locally free sheaves on Y . Suppose that $\mu(V) = \mu(W)$ and that $\text{rk}(V) = \text{rk}(W)$. Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be a monomorphism of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules. Then ϕ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We may suppose that V and W are of positive rank, otherwise the lemma is tautologically true. Let $M := \det(W) \otimes \det(V)^\vee$. The assumptions imply that $\deg(M) = 0$.

Let $\det(\phi) \in H^0(Y, M)$ be the section induced by ϕ . The zero scheme $Z(\det(\phi))$ of $\det(\phi)$ is a torsion sheaf since $\det(\phi)$ is non zero at the generic point of Y and the length of $Z(\det(\phi))$ is equal to the degree of M so $Z(\det(\phi))$ must be empty. In other words, M is the trivial sheaf and $\det(\phi)$ is a constant non zero section of M . In particular, ϕ is an isomorphism. \square

4 Finite flat group schemes over curves

The terminology of this section is independent of the introduction.

4.1 Quotients by proper flat group schemes

Let Y be a noetherian scheme. Let G be a commutative strongly quasiprojective flat group scheme over Y . See [8, 8.2, p. 211] for the definition of strong quasi-projectivity. Note that if Y is regular then G is strongly quasiprojective over Y if it is quasiprojective over Y .

Suppose that H is a closed subgroup scheme of G , which is proper and flat over Y . The Y -scheme G (resp. H) defines a functor \underline{G} (resp. \underline{H}) from the category of Y -schemes to the category of abelian groups. Both functors are fppf sheaves by a classical result of Grothendieck. We may thus form the quotient $\underline{G}/\underline{H}$ of \underline{G} and \underline{H} in the category of fppf sheaves.

The following proposition describes the quotient construction that we use in this text.

Proposition 4.1. *The fppf sheaf $\underline{G}/\underline{H}$ is representable by a group scheme G/H over Y , which is also strongly quasiprojective. The natural morphism $q : G \rightarrow G/H$ is proper and faithfully flat and makes G into an $H_{G/H}$ -torsor over G/H .*

Proof. See [8, Th. 8.12, p. 220]. \square

Note that if G is semiabelian and Y is normal then G is quasiprojective over Y (combine [44, VI.3.1] with [51, XI.1.4]). In particular if Y is regular and G is semiabelian then G is strongly quasiprojective over Y .

4.2 The HN-filtration on the Lie algebra of a finite flat group scheme of height one

Let S be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve over a perfect field k . Suppose that $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$.

The following preliminary lemma will be very useful.

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a finite flat commutative group scheme over S . Let $T \rightarrow S$ be a flat, radicial and finite morphism and let $\phi : H \hookrightarrow G_T$ be a closed subgroup scheme, which is finite, flat and multiplicative. Then there is a finite flat closed subgroup scheme $\phi_0 : H_0 \hookrightarrow G$, such that $\phi_{0,T} \simeq \phi$.*

Proof. Taking Cartier duals, we get a morphism

$$\phi^\vee : G_T^\vee \rightarrow H^\vee.$$

Notice that H^\vee is étale over T , since H is multiplicative. By radicial invariance of étale morphisms, there is a finite flat group scheme $J_0 \rightarrow S$, such that $J_{0,T} \simeq H^\vee$. Notice also that the morphism ϕ^\vee is given by a section of the first projection

$$G_T^\vee \times_T H^\vee \rightarrow G_T^\vee$$

and since H^\vee is étale over T , the image of this section is open and closed (see [42, Cor. 3.12]). Since the projection morphism

$$G_T^\vee \times_T H^\vee \rightarrow G^\vee \times_S J_0$$

is also radicial, this open set comes from a unique open subset of $G \times_S J_0$ and this open subset defines an open and closed subscheme of $G^\vee \times_S J_0$, which is isomorphic to G^\vee via the first projection. Hence the morphism ϕ^\vee comes from a unique morphism $G^\vee \rightarrow J_0$. Taking the Cartier dual of this morphism gives the morphism ϕ_0 . \square

Recall that a commutative finite flat group scheme $\psi : G \rightarrow S$ over S is said to be of *height one* if $F_{G/S} = \epsilon_{G/S} \circ \psi$. Recall also that a (sheaf in) commutative p -Lie algebras (resp. p -coLie) algebras V over S is a coherent locally free sheaf V on S together with a morphism of \mathcal{O}_S -modules $F_S^*(V) \rightarrow V$ (resp. $V \rightarrow F_S^*(V)$). A morphism of commutative p -Lie (resp. p -coLie) algebras $V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism of \mathcal{O}_S -modules from V to W satisfying an evident compatibility condition. There is a covariant functor $\text{Lie}(\cdot)$ (resp. contravariant functor $\text{coLie}(\cdot)$) from the category of commutative finite flat group schemes of height one over S to the category of commutative p -Lie (resp. p -coLie) algebras, which sends a group scheme G over S to $\text{Lie}(G) := \epsilon_{G/S}^*(\Omega_{G/S})^\vee$ (resp. $\text{coLie}(G) := \epsilon_{G/S}^*(\Omega_{G/S})$), together with the morphism

$$\text{Lie}(V_{G^{(p)}/S}) := (V_{G^{(p)}/S}^*)^\vee : F_S^*(\text{Lie}(G)) = \text{Lie}(G^{(p)}) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G)$$

(resp.

$$\text{coLie}(V_{G^{(p)}/S}) := V_{G^{(p)}/S}^* : \text{coLie}(G) \rightarrow F_S^*(\text{coLie}(G^{(p)})) = \text{coLie}(G^{(p)})$$

)

Here $(V_{G^{(p)}/S}^*)^\vee$ (resp. $V_{G^{(p)}/S}^*$) is the dual of the pull-back morphism $V_{G^{(p)}/S}^*$ (resp. is the pull-back morphism) on differentials induced by the Verschiebung morphism $V_{G^{(p)}/S}$.

The category of sheaves in commutative p -Lie algebras is tautologically antiequivalent to the category of sheaves in commutative p -coLie algebras.

It can be shown that Lie is an equivalence of additive categories (see [25, Exposé VIIA, rem. 7.5]). In particular, a sequence of finite flat group schemes of height one

$$0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact if and only if the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G') \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G'') \rightarrow 0$$

is a sequence of commutative p -Lie algebras. Furthermore, we have

$$\text{order}(G) = p^{\text{rk}(\text{Lie}(G))}$$

(see [45, Proof of Th., p. 139, par. 14].)

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be a morphism of commutative p -Lie algebras. Then the image $\text{Im}(\phi)$ (resp. the kernel $\ker(\phi)$) of ϕ as a morphism of \mathcal{O}_S -modules is endowed with a unique structure of commutative p -Lie algebra, such that the morphism $\text{Im}(\phi) \rightarrow W$ (resp. $\ker(\phi) \rightarrow V$) is a morphism of commutative p -Lie algebras.*

Proof. Left to the reader. \square

If $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ is an injective morphism of commutative p -Lie algebras, we shall say that $\text{Im}(\phi)$ is a subsheaf in commutative p -Lie algebras. Beware that in this situation, the arrow ϕ might have no cokernel in the category of commutative p -Lie algebras. So in particular, $\text{Im}(\phi)$ might not correspond to a subgroup scheme. On the other hand, if the quotient of \mathcal{O}_S -modules $W/\text{Im}(\phi)$ is locally free, then $W/\text{Im}(\phi)$ can be endowed with an evident commutative p -Lie algebra structure, making it into a cokernel of W by $\text{Im}(\phi)$ in the category of commutative p -Lie algebras. In that case, $\text{Im}(\phi)$ corresponds to a subgroup scheme.

We shall say that a finite flat commutative group scheme G of height one (or its associated commutative p -Lie algebra) is *biinfinitesimal* if the associated morphism $F_S^*(\text{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G)$ is nilpotent. To say that $F_S^*(\text{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G)$ is nilpotent means that for some $n \geq 1$, the composition

$$F_S^{\circ n, *}(\text{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow F_S^{\circ(n-1), *}(\text{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_S^*(\text{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow 0$$

vanishes. We notice without proof that if

$$0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G'' \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of commutative finite flat group schemes, then G' and G'' are biinfinitesimal if and only if G is biinfinitesimal. Note also that a finite flat commutative group scheme G of height one is multiplicative iff the associated morphism $F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is an isomorphism. This implies that if G_1 and G_2 are finite flat group schemes of height one over S , where G_1 is biinfinitesimal and G_2 is multiplicative then there are no non-zero morphisms of group schemes from G_1 to G_2 and also no non-zero morphisms of group schemes from G_2 to G_1 .

We inserted the following alternative proof of a special case of Lemma 4.2 to show the mechanics of p -Lie algebras at work in a simple situation.

Second proof of Lemma 4.2 when G is of height one and T is smooth.

We may assume that $T \simeq S$ and that $T \rightarrow S$ is a power $F_S^{\circ n}$ of F_S . By induction on n , we are reduced to prove the statement for $n = 1$.

We are given a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & & & \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & F_T^*(\mathrm{Lie}(H)) & \xrightarrow{F_T^*(\mathrm{Lie}(\phi))} & F_T^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)_T) & & \\
& & \downarrow \mathrm{Lie}(V_{H/T}) & & \downarrow \mathrm{Lie}(V_{G_T/T}) & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Lie}(H) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Lie}(\phi)} & \mathrm{Lie}(G)_T & & \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

With the above reductions in place, this gives a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & & & \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(H)) & \xrightarrow{F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(\phi))} & F_S^{\circ 2,*}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) & & \\
& & \downarrow \mathrm{Lie}(V_{H/S}) & & \downarrow F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(V_{G/S})) & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Lie}(H) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Lie}(\phi)} & F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(H)) & \xrightarrow{F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(\phi))} & F_S^{\circ 2,*}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \\
\downarrow \mathrm{Lie}(V_{H/S}) & \searrow & \downarrow F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(V_{G/S})) \\
\mathrm{Lie}(H) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Lie}(\phi)} & F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \\
& \searrow & \downarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G) \\
& & \mathrm{Lie}(G)
\end{array}$$

where the diagonal arrows are defined so that the diagram becomes commutative. The labelling of the arrows shows that the upper triangle is the base change by F_S of the lower triangle. Hence the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(\phi)$ is the base change by F_S of the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$, since $\mathrm{Lie}(V_{H/S})$ is an isomorphism. So H_0 can be defined as the group scheme of height one associated with the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let V be a sheaf in commutative p -Lie algebras V over S . Suppose that the HN filtration*

$$0 = V_0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq V_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_{\mathrm{hn}(V)} = V$$

of V is Frobenius semistable. Then for any V_i such that $\mu_{\min}(V_i) \geq 0$, V_i is a subsheaf in commutative p -Lie algebras V over S . If $\mu_{\min}(V_i) > 0$ then V_i is biinfinitesimal.

Proof. For the first statement, consider the morphism $\phi : F_S^*(V_i) \rightarrow V$ given by the composition of the inclusion $F_S^*(V_i) \rightarrow F_S^*(V)$ with the morphism $F_S^*(V) \rightarrow V$ given by the commutative p -Lie algebra structure. We have to check that the image of ϕ lies in V_i . The composition of ϕ with the quotient morphism $V \rightarrow V/V_i$ gives a morphism $F_S^*(V_i) \rightarrow V/V_i$ and it is equivalent to check that this morphism vanishes. Now compute

$$\mu_{\min}(F_S^*(V_i)) = p \cdot \mu(V_i/V_{i-1})$$

and

$$\mu_{\max}(V/V_i) = \mu(V_{i+1}/V_i) < \mu(V_i/V_{i-1})$$

and thus $\mu_{\min}(F_S^*(V_i)) > \mu_{\max}(V/V_i)$. We conclude that $\mathrm{Hom}_S(F_S^*(V_i), V/V_i) = 0$ (see the discussion after Theorem 3.1) which concludes the proof of the first statement. To prove the second statement, it is sufficient by the remarks preceding the lemma to show that V_i/V_{i-1} is biinfinitesimal for all indices i such that $\mu(V_i/V_{i-1}) > 0$. By the above computation, we have

$$\mu_{\min}(F_S^*(V_i/V_{i-1})) = \mu(F_S^*(V_i/V_{i-1})) = p \cdot \mu(V_i/V_{i-1})$$

and thus $\mu_{\min}(F_S^*(V_i/V_{i-1})) > \mu(V_i/V_{i-1})$. Again, this implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_S(F_S^*(V_i/V_{i-1}), V_i/V_{i-1}) = 0$, showing that V_i/V_{i-1} is biinfinitesimal. \square

Remark 4.5. As explained in the introduction, a characteristic 0 analog of Lemma 4.4 can be found in [9, Lemma 2.9]. See also [58, Lemma 9.1.3.1], where a variant of a special case of Lemma 4.4 is proven under the assumption that p is sufficiently large.

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be a commutative finite flat group scheme of height one over S and suppose given an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow G_{\text{binf}} \rightarrow G \rightarrow G_\mu \rightarrow 0$$

of finite flat group schemes such that G_μ is multiplicative and G_{binf} is biinfinitesimal. Then the sequence splits and this splitting is unique.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\text{Lie}(V_{G_{\text{binf}}^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n)})) & \longrightarrow & \ker(\text{Lie}(V_{G^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n)})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_S^{\text{on},*}(\text{Lie}(G_{\text{binf}})) & \longrightarrow & F_S^{\text{on},*}(\text{Lie}(G)) & \longrightarrow & F_S^{\text{on},*}(\text{Lie}(G_\mu)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow =0 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie}(G_{\text{binf}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie}(G_\mu) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $n \geq 0$ is chosen so that $V_{G_{\text{binf}}^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n),*} = 0$. Then the image of the arrow

$$F_S^{\text{on},*}(\text{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G)$$

splits the bottom sequence. For the unicity of the splitting, note that for any two splittings σ_1, σ_2 of the bottom sequence the morphism $\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 : \text{Lie}(G_\mu) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G)$ of vector bundles factors through the image of $\text{Lie}(G_{\text{binf}})$. It thus defines a morphism of vector bundles $\text{Lie}(G_\mu) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G_{\text{binf}})$, which is by construction a morphism of p -Lie algebras. Such a morphism must vanish (see the discussion after Lemma 4.3). Thus $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let G be a commutative finite flat group scheme of height one over S . Suppose that $\text{Lie}(G)$ is Frobenius semistable of slope 0. Let $n \geq 0$ be such that $\text{rk}(\ker(V_{G^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n),*}))$ is maximal. Then there is a canonical decomposition*

$$G^{(p^n)} \simeq H_{\text{binf}} \times_S H_\mu$$

where H_{binf} (resp. H_μ) is a biinfinitesimal (resp. multiplicative) finite flat group scheme over S .

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & F_S^{\circ n, *}(\ker(\mathrm{Lie}(V_{G^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n)}))) & \longrightarrow & F_S^{\circ n, *}(\ker(\mathrm{Lie}(V_{G^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n)}))) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & F_S^{\circ n, *}(\ker(\mathrm{Lie}(V_{G^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n)}))) & \longrightarrow & F_S^{\circ(2n), *}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) & \longrightarrow & F_S^{\circ n, *}(W) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow =0 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\mathrm{Lie}(V_{G^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n)})) & \longrightarrow & F_S^{\circ n, *}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

where $n \geq 0$ is such that $\mathrm{rk}(\ker(\mathrm{Lie}(V_{G^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n)})))$ is maximal and W is the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(V_{G^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n)})$. The two bottom rows and the two leftmost columns in this diagram are exact by construction. Furthermore the map $F_S^{\circ n, *}W \rightarrow W$ is a monomorphism for otherwise $\mathrm{rk}(\ker(\mathrm{Lie}(V_{G^{(p^n)}/S}^{(n)})))$ is not maximal. The diagram thus has exact rows and columns. Since the second row gives a surjection

$$F_S^{\circ(2n), *}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow F_S^{\circ n, *}(W)$$

we have $\mu_{\min}(F_S^{\circ n, *}(W)) \geq 0$. Also, since the second column gives an injection

$$F_S^{\circ n, *}(W) \hookrightarrow F_S^{\circ n, *}(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$$

we have $\mu_{\max}(F_S^{\circ n, *}(W)) \leq 0$. Thus $F_S^{\circ n, *}(W)$ is of slope 0. Thus W is also of slope 0. Hence by Lemma 3.2, the monomorphism

$$F_S^{\circ n, *}(W) \rightarrow W$$

is an isomorphism. Now we see that the image of the morphism $F_S^{\circ(2n), *}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow F_S^{\circ n, *}(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ splits the bottom sequence. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let G be a finite flat commutative group scheme of height one over S . There exists a (necessarily unique) multiplicative subgroup scheme $G_\mu \hookrightarrow G$, such that if H is a multiplicative subgroup scheme of height one over S and $f : H \rightarrow G$ is a morphism of group schemes, then f factors through G_μ . Furthermore, for any $n \geq 0$, we have $(G_\mu)^{(p^n)} = (G^{(p^n)})_\mu$. If G is multiplicative over a dense open subset of S and $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ has Frobenius semistable HN filtration then $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\leq 0}$ and G_μ corresponds to the subgroup scheme associated with $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0}$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.2, we may replace G by $G^{(p^n)}$ for any $n \geq 0$ and in particular suppose that $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ has a Frobenius semistable HN filtration. Let $f : H \rightarrow G$ be a morphism of group schemes and consider the corresponding map

$$\mathrm{Lie}(f) : \mathrm{Lie}(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G).$$

Since H is multiplicative, $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ is Frobenius semistable of slope 0 (this is a consequence of Theorem 3.1). Thus the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(f)$ lies in $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\geq 0}$. According to Lemma 4.4 there is an exact sequence of p -Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{>0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0} \rightarrow 0$$

and we may assume according to Lemma 4.7 that there is a splitting

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0} \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mathrm{binf}} \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mu}$$

of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0}$ into multiplicative and biinfinitesimal part (we might have to twist G some more for this). The inverse image of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mu}$ by π gives a p -Lie subalgebra $\pi^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mu})$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\geq 0}$. This gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mu}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mathrm{binf}} \rightarrow 0$$

Since $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ is multiplicative, the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mathrm{binf}}$ vanishes and thus the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ lies in $\pi^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mu})$. On the other hand by Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.4, we have again a canonical decomposition

$$\pi^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mu})_{\mu} \oplus \pi^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mu})_{\mathrm{binf}}$$

into multiplicative and binfinitesimal part and thus the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(f)$ lies in $\pi^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mu})_{\mu}$. Now $\pi^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0,\mu})_{\mu}$ is a multiplicative p -Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ and it defines the required subgroup scheme.

If G is multiplicative over an open subset of S then we have an injection

$$F_S^{\mathrm{on},*}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)$$

(obtained by composition) for any $n \geq 0$ and thus if $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ has Frobenius semistable HN filtration then we must have $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\leq 0}$. Secondly the morphism $F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ then induces an injection

$$F_S^*(\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0}$$

and since both source and target in this map have the same rank and the same slope, we deduce from Lemma 3.2 that this map must be an isomorphism. Thus $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{=0}$ is multiplicative and by the explicit construction above, it is associated with G_{μ} . \square

Remark 4.9. Note that the "connected étale" decomposition of G_K^{\vee} (see the beginning of [60]) gives a canonical exact sequence of group schemes

$$0 \rightarrow (G_K^{\vee})_{\mathrm{inf}} \rightarrow G_K^{\vee} \rightarrow (G_K^{\vee})_{\mathrm{et}} \rightarrow 0$$

over K , where $(G_K^\vee)_{\text{inf}}$ is an infinitesimal group scheme and $(G_K^\vee)_{\text{et}}$ is an étale group scheme over K . The group scheme $(G_K^\vee)_{\text{et}}$ corresponds to a representation of $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}|K)$ into a finite p -group E and one might be tempted to think that G_μ is the Cartier dual of the group scheme corresponding to the largest unramified quotient of E , ie the largest quotient of E , such that the action of $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}|K)$ factors through the fundamental group $\pi_1(S)$. This not so, however. Indeed, consider a finite flat commutative group scheme G of height one, which is such that $\bar{\mu}_{\text{max}}(\text{Lie}(G)) < 0$. Then $G_\mu = 0$ and for any finite flat base change $S' \rightarrow S$, we also have $(G_{S'})_\mu = 0$. On the other hand $(G_K^\vee)_{\text{et}}$ will become constant (and hence entirely unramified) after a finite separable field extension $K'|K$.

4.3 Quotients of semiabelian schemes by finite flat multiplicative group schemes

Let S be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve over a perfect field k .

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ be a semiabelian scheme. Suppose that there is an open dense subset $U \subseteq S$, such that $\mathcal{A}_U \rightarrow U$ is an abelian scheme. Suppose that $G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a finite, flat, closed subgroup scheme. Then the quotient scheme \mathcal{A}/G is also a semiabelian scheme and $(\mathcal{A}/G)_U \rightarrow U$ is an abelian scheme.*

Proof. Since the quotient morphism $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/G$ is faithfully flat, the group scheme \mathcal{A}/G also has geometrically regular fibres (and is flat). Hence \mathcal{A}/G is smooth over S . Over U , its fibres are proper since the quotient morphism is also proper and they are thus abelian varieties. In other other words, $(\mathcal{A}/G)_U \rightarrow U$ is an abelian scheme. Now let $s \in S$. Since $(\mathcal{A}/G)_s$ is smooth, we know by the Barsotti-Chevalley theorem (see [40, Th. 10.25, p. 157]) that $(\mathcal{A}/G)_s$ sits in the middle of an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}/G)_s \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

where A_1 is an abelian variety over s and E_1 is a connected affine algebraic group variety over s . The subgroup variety E_1 is maximal among connected affine subgroup varieties of $(\mathcal{A}/G)_s$ (see [40, Th. 10.5, p. 153 and proof] and [40, Th. 10.24, p. 156]). Finally it has the form $E_1 = T_1 \times_s U$, where T_1 is a torus and U is a connected unipotent group variety (see [40, chap. 10.(i), p. 161]). When we write that the sequence (1) is exact, we mean that the third morphism is faithfully flat and that its kernel is E_1 .

By assumption, the corresponding presentation for \mathcal{A}_s is

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow 0$$

where T is a torus and A_0 is an abelian variety, both over s .

Let D be the identity component of the closed subgroup scheme $q_s^{-1}(U \times 0)$ of \mathcal{A}_s (see [40, Prop. 1.14] for details). Since s is perfect the closed subscheme D_{red} of D is a closed subgroup scheme of D (see [40, Cor. 1.25, p. 24]). Moreover D and hence D_{red} is affine, since q_s is finite. Since T is the maximal connected affine subgroup variety of \mathcal{A}_s , we see that D_{red} must be contained in T . However, every closed subgroup scheme of a multiplicative group over s is multiplicative (see [26, 8.1, Exp. IX]) and thus D_{red} is multiplicative. Thus D_{red} is contained in the kernel of the morphism $q_s^{-1}(U \times 0) \rightarrow U \times 0$ (because there are no non trivial morphisms between multiplicative and unipotent algebraic groups - see [40, Cor. 15.18, p. 255]). Now notice that $q_s^{-1}(U \times 0)(\bar{s})/D(\bar{s})$ is a finite set (see [40, Prop. 1.14, p. 21]). On the other hand $q_s(D(\bar{s})) = \{0\}$ by the above so $U(\bar{s})$ must be finite. Since U is smooth, it must thus be trivial. This shows that $(\mathcal{A}/G)_s$ is an extension of an abelian variety by a torus. Since $s \in S$ was arbitrary, we see that \mathcal{A}/G is a semiabelian scheme. \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let $G \rightarrow S$ be a finite flat group scheme of multiplicative type. Then there is a finite étale morphism $T \rightarrow S$ such that G_T is a diagonalisable group scheme.*

Proof. See [26, Exp. IX, Intro.]. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ be a smooth commutative group scheme. Suppose that $G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a finite, flat, closed subgroup scheme, which is multiplicative. Then*

$$\deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}) = \deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}/G})$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.11, we may assume that G is diagonalisable. In particular, we may assume that there is a finite group scheme $G_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ such that $G_{0,S} \simeq G$. Let $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A}/G$. Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ and $g : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow S$ be the structural morphisms and let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the quotient morphism. The triangle of cotangent complexes associated with the morphisms π , g and f gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(\text{CT}(\pi)) \rightarrow \pi^*(\Omega_g) \rightarrow \Omega_f \rightarrow \Omega_\pi \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

where $\text{CT}(\pi)$ is the cotangent complex of π and $\mathcal{H}_1(\text{CT}(\pi))$ is its first homology sheaf. Now π makes \mathcal{A} into a torsor over \mathcal{B} and under $G_{\mathcal{B}}$. Hence there is a faithfully flat morphism $T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ (for instance, we may take $T = \mathcal{A}$), such that $\mathcal{A}_T \simeq (G_{\mathcal{B}}) \times_{\mathcal{B}} T$. In particular we have

$$\Omega_{\pi_T} \simeq \Omega_{G_0/k,T}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(\pi_T)) \simeq \mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(G_0/k))_T$$

because the homology sheaves of the cotangent complex of G_0 over k are flat (since they are k -vector spaces).

On the other hand, since $T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is flat, we have

$$\Omega_{\pi_T} \simeq \Omega_{\pi, T}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(\pi_T)) \simeq \mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(\pi))_T$$

Finally, notice that $\Omega_{G_0/k, T}$ and $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(G_0/k))_T$ are flat and thus by flat descent, the sheaves $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(\pi))$ and Ω_π are flat (in other words: locally free). Hence the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(\pi))) \rightarrow \epsilon_{\mathcal{B}/S}^*(\Omega_g) \rightarrow \epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\Omega_f) \rightarrow \epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\Omega_\pi) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

is also exact. Furthermore, we then have

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(\pi))) \simeq \mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(G_0/k))_S$$

and

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\Omega_\pi) \simeq \Omega_{G_0/k, S}$$

and thus the sheaves $\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(\pi)))$ and $\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\Omega_\pi)$ are trivial sheaves. In particular, we have $\deg(\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\mathcal{H}_1(\mathrm{CT}(\pi)))) = \deg(\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\Omega_\pi)) = 0$ and by the additivity of $\deg(\cdot)$, we deduce from the existence of the sequence (3) that $\deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}) = \deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}/G})$. \square

Remark 4.13. The computation of the cotangent complex made in the proof of Lemma 4.11 is in essence also contained in [14, Prop. 1.1] (but the assumptions made there are not quite the right ones for us).

5 Proofs of the claims made in subsection 2.1

We now use the terminology of the introduction. So let k be a finite field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let S be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve over k . Let $K := \kappa(S)$ be its function field. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over K . Fix an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K . Let $K^{\mathrm{perf}} \subseteq \bar{K}$ be the maximal purely inseparable extension of K and let $K^{\mathrm{unr}} \subseteq K^{\mathrm{sep}}$ be the maximal separable extension of K , which is unramified

above every place of K . Finally, we let \mathcal{A} be a smooth commutative group scheme over S such that $\mathcal{A}_K = A$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Recall the statement: there exists a (necessarily unique) multiplicative subgroup scheme $G_{\mathcal{A}} \hookrightarrow \ker F_{\mathcal{A}/S}$, with the following property: if H is a multiplicative, finite and flat group scheme of height one over S and $f : H \rightarrow \ker F_{\mathcal{A}/S}$ is a morphism of group schemes, then f factors through $G_{\mathcal{A}}$. If A is ordinary and $\omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not ample then the order of $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $p^{\overline{\text{rk}}_{\min}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}})}$. If $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of smooth commutative group schemes over S , then the restriction of ϕ to $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ factors through $G_{\mathcal{B}}$. Furthermore, we have $\deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}) = \deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}})$.

In spite of its lengthy statement, the proof Theorem 2.1 readily follows from Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.12. More precisely, we simply have to define $G_{\mathcal{A}} := (\ker F_{\mathcal{A}/S})_{\mu}$ in the notation of Lemma 4.8. The equality $\deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}) = \deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}})$ now follows from Lemma 4.12.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. Recall the assumptions of Proposition 2.5: A is ordinary, \mathcal{A} is semiabelian and $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated. We have to prove that $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is of order > 1 and that $\mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is also semiabelian.

We know that $\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}) \geq 0$ by Lemma 4.8 and since $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated, we know by Theorem B.1 that $\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}) = 0$. Proposition 2.5 now follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 4.10.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. Recall the assumptions of Proposition 2.6: A is ordinary, \mathcal{A} is semiabelian over S and $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated. We have to prove that there a finite flat morphism

$$\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

where \mathcal{B} is a semiabelian over S and a finite flat morphism

$$\lambda : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

such that $\ker(\phi)$ and $\ker(\lambda)$ are multiplicative group schemes and such that the order of $\ker(\lambda)$ is > 1 .

Consider now $\mathcal{A}_1 := \mathcal{A}/G_{\mathcal{A}}$. By Lemma 4.10, the group scheme \mathcal{A}_1 is also semiabelian and of course $A_1 := \mathcal{A}_{1,K}$ is also an ordinary abelian variety. We also have that $A_1(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated, since the natural map $A(K^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow A_1(K^{\text{perf}})$ has finite kernel. Finally, the quotient morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$ is finite, flat, with multiplicative kernel and $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is non trivial by Proposition 2.5.

Repeating the above procedure for \mathcal{A}_1 in place of \mathcal{A} and continuing this way, we obtain an

infinite sequence of semiabelian schemes over S

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \dots \quad (4)$$

where all the connecting morphisms are finite, flat, of degree > 1 and with multiplicative kernel. Applying Lemma 4.12, we see that

$$\deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}}) = \deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}_1}) = \deg(\omega_{\mathcal{A}_2}) = \dots$$

Let now K' be a finite separable extension of K such that $A(K)[n] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2\dim(A)}$ for some $n \geq 3$ such that $(p, n) = 1$. Let S' be the normalisation of S in K' . After base-change, we obtain an infinite sequence of semiabelian schemes over S'

$$\mathcal{A}_{S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{1,S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2,S'} \rightarrow \dots \quad (5)$$

and applying a theorem of Zarhin (see [53, Th. 3.1] for a statement, explanations and further references), we conclude that in the sequence (5), there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of semiabelian schemes over S' . On the other hand, applying a basic finiteness result in Galois cohomology proven by Borel and Serre (see [49, par. 3, p. 69]), we can now conclude that in the sequence (4), there are also only finitely many isomorphism classes of semiabelian schemes over S .

Hence there are integers $j > i \geq 0$ and an isomorphism

$$I : \mathcal{A}_i \simeq \mathcal{A}_j$$

over S . Letting $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$ be the constructed morphism and letting λ be the constructed morphism $\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_j$ composed with I^{-1} , we can now conclude the proof of Proposition 2.6.

6 Proofs of the claims made in subsection 2.2

We start with the proof of Theorem 2.7. We recall the statement:

Suppose that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{K}|\bar{k}}(A_{\bar{K}}) = 0$. Suppose that the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}|K)$ on $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}|K)^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Then $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ is finite.

For the proof, let $L|K$ be the maximal subextension of $K^{\mathrm{unr}}|K$, which is Galois with abelian Galois group. Since S is geometrically integral, $K \otimes_k \bar{k}$ is a field and L contains a subfield isomorphic to $K \otimes_k \bar{k}$ (note that $\bar{k} = k^{\mathrm{sep}}$ and that $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}|k) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, which is an abelian group). Furthermore, geometric class field theory (see eg [59, Cor. 1.3]) tells us that $\mathrm{Gal}(L|K \otimes_k \bar{k})$ is a finite group. In particular, the field L is finitely generated (as a field) over \bar{k} , since $K \otimes_k \bar{k}$

is finitely generated over \bar{k} . Now suppose to obtain a contradiction that $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ were infinite. By assumption, we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}})) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}_p(A(L))$$

Thus $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(L))$ is infinite as well. By the Lang-Néron theorem, this implies that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L|\bar{k}}(A_L) \neq 0,$$

contradicting the first assumption.

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 2.8. We recall the statement:

Suppose that $\dim(A) \leq 2$ and that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{K}|\bar{k}}(A_{\bar{K}}) = 0$. Then $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ is finite.

For the proof, notice that if $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ is infinite then we have

$$\bigcap_{\ell \geq 0} p^\ell \cdot \mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}})) \neq 0$$

This follows from the fact that for each $n \geq 0$, the set

$$\{x \in \mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}})) \mid p^n \cdot x = 0\}$$

is finite (the details are left to the reader). Let $G \subseteq \bigcap_{\ell \geq 0} p^\ell \cdot (\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}})))$ be the subgroup of elements annihilated by the multiplication by p map.

If $G = 0$ then there the conclusion holds, because then $\bigcap_{\ell \geq 0} p^\ell \cdot (\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))) = 0$ and thus $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ is finite by the above remark.

Suppose now that $\#G = p$. Then $\bigcap_{\ell \geq 0} p^\ell \cdot \mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$ is infinite and it is a union of cyclic groups of p -power order (use the classification theorem for finite abelian groups). Thus the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}|K)$ on $\bigcap_{\ell \geq 0} p^\ell \cdot (\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}})))$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}|K)^{\mathrm{ab}}$. But this contradicts Theorem 2.7 and thus we must have $\#G > p$. If $\#G > p$ then by the assumption that $\dim(A) \leq 2$, we see that we must have $\#G = p^2$ and thus the inclusions

$$\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}})) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{sep}})) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}_p(A(\bar{K}))$$

are both equalities. In particular, A is an ordinary abelian surface. Let now $s \in S$ be a closed point such that \mathcal{A}_s is an ordinary abelian variety over s . Let $W := \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S,s}^{\mathrm{sh}}})$ be the spectrum of the completion of the strict henselisation of the local ring at s and write $\widehat{K_s^{\mathrm{sh}}}$ for the fraction field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S,s}^{\mathrm{sh}}}$. The abelian scheme $\mathcal{A}_W \rightarrow W$ gives rise to an element e of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T_p(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{s}}(\bar{s})) \otimes T_p(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{s}}^{\vee}(\bar{s})), \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S,s}^{\mathrm{sh}}}^*).$$

Here $T_p(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{s}}(\bar{s}))$ and $T_p(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{s}}^{\vee}(\bar{s}))$ are the p -adic Tate modules of $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{s}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{s}}^{\vee}$ respectively and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S,s}^{\text{sh}}}$ is the group of multiplicative units of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S,s}^{\text{sh}}}$. The element e is called the Serre-Tate pairing associated with \mathcal{A}_W . See [31] for the construction of this pairing. We have $e = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}_W \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\bar{s}} \times_{\bar{s}} W$. Furthermore, the fact that

$$\text{Tor}_p(\mathcal{A}(W)) = \text{Tor}_p(A(\widehat{K_s^{\text{sh}}})) = \text{Tor}_p(A(K^{\text{unr}})) = \text{Tor}_p(A(\widehat{K_s^{\text{sh}}}))$$

in our situation shows that $e = 0$. This follows directly from the definition of the Serre-Tate pairing in the ordinary case (see the definition of the morphism "pⁿ" in [31, p.151]). Thus we have $\mathcal{A}_W \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\bar{s}} \times_{\bar{s}} W$ and in particular $\text{Tr}_{\bar{K}|\bar{k}}(A_{\bar{K}}) \neq 0$ by Proposition 9.1 (c) below. This contradicts one of our assumptions. We conclude that $G = 0$, so that the conclusion must hold.

We shall now prove Theorem 2.9. Recall the statement:

Suppose that $\text{Tor}_p(A(K^{\text{sep}}))$ is infinite. Then there is an étale K -isogeny

$$\phi : A \rightarrow B$$

where B is an abelian variety over K and there is an étale K -isogeny

$$\lambda : B \rightarrow B$$

such that the order of $\ker(\lambda)$ is > 1 and such that the orders of $\ker(\lambda)$ and $\ker(\phi)$ are powers of p .

For the proof, note that in [53, Th. 1.4], this statement is proven under the supplementary assumption that there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $(n, p) = 1$ and $n > 3$ and such that $A[n](\bar{K}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2\dim(A)}$. Using [49, par. 3, "Finiteness Theorem for Forms", p. 69] in the proof, it can be seen that this assumption is not necessary. A completely parallel argument is described in the proof of Proposition 2.6. We leave the details to the reader.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2.10. Recall the statement:

Suppose that there exists an étale K -isogeny $\phi : A \rightarrow A$, such that $\deg(\phi)$ is strictly larger than 1 and that $\deg(\phi) = p^r$ for some $r > 0$. Suppose also that A is a geometrically simple abelian variety and that \mathcal{A} is a semiabelian scheme.

Then \mathcal{A} is an abelian scheme and ϕ extends to an étale S -morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of group schemes.

For the proof, notice first that by a result of Raynaud (see [51, IX, Cor. 1.4, p. 130]), the morphism ϕ extends uniquely to an S -morphism $\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of group schemes. Since $\bar{\phi}$ is étale over K , we have an exact sequence of coherent sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\phi}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}$$

on \mathcal{A} . Let $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{A}, \det(\bar{\phi}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}))^\vee \otimes \det(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}))$ be the corresponding section. Since $\sigma_K \in H^0(A, \det(\phi^*(\Omega_{A/K}))^\vee \otimes \det(\Omega_{A/K}))$ has an empty zero-scheme, the zero scheme $Z(\sigma)$ is supported on a finite number of closed fibres of \mathcal{A} . Hence there exists a finite number P_1, \dots, P_n of closed point of S , such that $Z(\sigma) = \coprod_{i=1}^n n_i \mathcal{A}_{P_i}$ (as Weil divisors) for some $n_i \geq 0$. On the other hand, the Weil divisor $Z(\sigma)$ is rationally equivalent to 0, since $\det(\phi^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}))^\vee \otimes \det(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}) \simeq \det(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S})^\vee \otimes \det(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Now notice that the morphism $p^* : \text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A})$ of Picard groups is injective, because it is split by the map $\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^* : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$. Hence the Weil divisor $\coprod_{i=1}^n n_i P_i$ is rationally equivalent to 0 on S , which implies that $n_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In other words, we have $Z(\sigma) = \emptyset$ and thus the morphism $\bar{\phi}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}$ is an isomorphism. By [21, III, Prop. 10.4], this implies that $\bar{\phi}$ is étale.

Let now $s \in S$ be a closed point such that \mathcal{A}_s has a presentation

$$0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow A_0^0 \rightarrow 0$$

where G is a torus over s of dimension $d > 0$ and A_0^0 is an abelian variety over s . The morphism $\bar{\phi}_s|_G : G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s$ factors through G , since there is no non-constant s -morphism $G \rightarrow A_0^0$. Call $\gamma : G \rightarrow G$ the resulting morphism. The morphism γ is étale. Indeed, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \gamma^*(\iota^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_s/s})) & \longrightarrow & \gamma^*(\Omega_{G/s}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{G/s} \\ \downarrow \sim & & & & \downarrow = \\ \iota^*(\bar{\phi}_s^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_s/s})) & \longrightarrow & \iota^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_s/s}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{G/s}. \end{array}$$

and in the lower row of this diagram all the arrows are surjective. Thus the arrow

$$\gamma^*(\Omega_{G/s}) \rightarrow \Omega_{G/s}$$

must also be surjective and hence an isomorphism. Since G is smooth over $\kappa(s)$, we conclude that γ is smooth by [21, III, Prop. 10.4]. In particular γ is faithfully flat, because it is a morphism of group schemes and G is connected (see eg [25, SGA 3.1, Exp. IV-B, Cor. 1.3.2]). Now recall that there is a K -morphism $\psi : A \rightarrow A$ such that $\psi \circ \phi = [p^{\deg(\phi)}]_A$ (because finite commutative group schemes over K are annihilated by their order; see [48, Theorem (Deligne), p. 4]). The morphism ψ extends uniquely to $\bar{\psi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and thus by unicity, we have $\bar{\psi} \circ \bar{\phi} = [p^{\deg(\phi)}]_{\mathcal{A}}$. In particular, $\ker(\gamma)$ is a closed subscheme of $\ker([p^{\deg(\phi)}]_G)$. Since $\ker([p^{\deg(\phi)}]_G)$ is an infinitesimal group scheme and γ is étale, we see that $\ker(\gamma) = 0$ (since $\ker(\gamma)$ is étale over s). Thus γ is an isomorphism.

Now choose a \bar{s} -isomorphism $G_{\bar{s}} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^d$ (here \bar{s} is the spectrum of the algebraic closure of $\kappa(s)$). The morphism $\gamma_{\bar{s}}$ is described by a matrix $M \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$ (because the group scheme dual to $G_{\bar{s}}$ is the diagonalisable group scheme over \bar{s} associated with \mathbb{Z}^d). Hence there exists a monic polynomial $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, such that $P(0) = \pm 1$ and such that $P(\gamma_{\bar{s}}) = 0$.

Finally, choose a prime $l \neq p$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_s^{\mathrm{sh}}$ be the completion of the strict henselisation of the local ring of S at s . Let $\widehat{K}_s^{\mathrm{sh}}$ be the fraction field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_s^{\mathrm{sh}}$ and let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The closed subgroup scheme $G_{\bar{s}}[l^j]$ of $G_{\bar{s}}$ extends uniquely to a finite and étale subgroup scheme \widetilde{G}_{l^j} of $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_s^{\mathrm{sh}}}$ over $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_s^{\mathrm{sh}}$. See [26, Th. 3.6 and Th. 3.6 bis]. Furthermore the natural map $\widetilde{G}_{l^j}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_s^{\mathrm{sh}}) \rightarrow G_{\bar{s}}[l^j](\bar{s})$ is a bijection, since $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_s^{\mathrm{sh}}$ is strictly henselian and \widetilde{G}_{l^j} is étale (see [42, Prop. 1.4.4]). Hence $P(\phi)(\widetilde{G}_{l^j}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_s^{\mathrm{sh}})) = 0$. On the other hand, the image of the group $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \widetilde{G}_{l^j}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_s^{\mathrm{sh}})$ in $A_{\widehat{K}_s^{\mathrm{sh}}}$ is dense, because A is geometrically simple and the group $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \widetilde{G}_{l^j, \bar{s}}(\mathcal{O}_s^{\mathrm{sh}})$ is infinite. Hence $P(\phi) = 0$ and since $P(0) = \pm 1$, we see that ϕ is an automorphism, which is a contradiction. \square

7 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Recall the statement:

- (a) *Suppose that A is geometrically simple. If $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is finitely generated and of rank > 0 then $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{sep}}))$ is a finite group.*
- (b) *Suppose that A is an ordinary (not necessarily simple) abelian variety. If $\mathrm{Tor}_p(A(K^{\mathrm{sep}}))$ is a finite group then $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is finitely generated.*

We shall need the following

Lemma 7.1. *Let B be an abelian variety over K and let $\gamma : B \rightarrow B$ be a K -isogeny such that $\deg(\phi) > 1$. Suppose that B is geometrically simple. Let $H \subseteq A(\bar{K})$ be a finitely generated subgroup. Then the set*

$$\bigcap_{r \geq 0} \gamma^{or}(H)$$

is a finite group.

Proof. (of Lemma 7.1) Let $G := \bigcap_{r \geq 0} \gamma^{or}(H)$. Let $F := G/\mathrm{Tor}(G)$ be the quotient of G by its torsion subgroup. We may suppose without restriction of generality that $\mathrm{rk}(G) > 0$ for otherwise the lemma is proven. Since γ is a group homomorphism, we have $\gamma(\mathrm{Tor}(G)) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}(G)$ and thus γ gives rise to a group homomorphism $F \rightarrow F$ that we also denote by γ . By construction, we have $\gamma(F) = F$ and thus $\gamma : F \rightarrow F$ is a bijection, since F is a finitely

generated free \mathbb{Z} -module. Let

$$P(t) := t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1t + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

be the characteristic polynomial of $\gamma : F \rightarrow F$. We have $P(\gamma) = 0$ by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem and since γ is an automorphism, we have have

$$P(0) = a_0 = \pm 1 = \det(\gamma).$$

Hence

$$(-a_0)^{-1} \cdot (\gamma^{\circ, n-1} + a_{n-1} \cdot \gamma^{\circ, n-2} + \cdots + a_1 \cdot \text{Id}_F)$$

is the inverse of $\gamma : F \rightarrow F$. Now let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the K -group scheme homomorphism

$$\tilde{\gamma} := (-a_0)^{-1} \cdot (\gamma^{\circ, n-1} + a_{n-1} \cdot \gamma^{\circ, n-2} + \cdots + a_1 \cdot \text{Id}_B)$$

from B to B . Suppose first that the morphism of K -group schemes $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \gamma - \text{Id}_B$ is not the zero morphism. Then it is surjective, because B is simple. Furthermore the group G is dense in $B_{\bar{K}}$, since B is geometrically simple. Thus the group $(\tilde{\gamma} \circ \gamma - \text{Id}_B)(G)$ is dense in $B_{\bar{K}}$. On the other hand, by construction $(\tilde{\gamma} \circ \gamma - \text{Id}_B)(G) \subseteq \text{Tor}(G)$. Since $\text{Tor}(G)$ is a finite group, it is not dense in $B_{\bar{K}}$ and thus we deduce that $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \gamma - \text{Id}_B$ must be the zero morphism. Hence γ is invertible (with inverse $\tilde{\gamma}$), which contradicts the assumption that $\deg(\gamma) > 1$. We conclude that we cannot have $\text{rk}(G) > 0$ and thus $G = \text{Tor}(G)$ is a finite group. \square

For the proof of Theorem 1.1 (a), suppose first that $\text{Tor}_p(A(K^{\text{sep}}))$ is not a finite group. Then by Theorem 2.9, there exists an abelian variety B over K , which is K -isogenous to A and which carries an étale K -endomorphism $B \rightarrow B$, whose degree is > 1 and is a power of p . The dual of B hence carries an isogeny ϕ , which is purely inseparable (because the dual of a finite étale group scheme over a field is an infinitesimal group scheme) and thus we have

$$B^\vee(K^{\text{perf}}) = \bigcap_{r \geq 0} \phi^{\circ r}(B^\vee(K^{\text{perf}}))$$

By Lemma 7.1, $B^\vee(K^{\text{perf}})$ is thus either finite or not finitely generated and the same holds for A , since A is isogenous to B^\vee . This proves (a).

We now turn to the proof of statement (b). Note that by Grothendieck's semiabelian reduction theorem, there is a finite and separable extension $K_1|K$ such that A_{K_1} extends to a semiabelian scheme over the normalisation S_1 of S in K_1 . The scheme S_1 might not be geometrically connected over k but there a finite extension k_1 of k , such that the connected

components of S_{1,k_1} are geometrically connected. We choose one of these connected components, say S_2 . The extension of function fields corresponding to the morphism $S_2 \rightarrow S$ is separable by construction so we may (and do) assume that \mathcal{A} is semiabelian to begin with. Suppose that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated and that A is ordinary. Then by Proposition 2.6, there is an abelian variety B over K , which is K -isogenous to A and which carries a K -isogeny $B \rightarrow B$, whose kernel is a multiplicative group scheme of order > 1 . The dual ϕ of this isogeny is an étale isogeny of B^\vee , which has degree p^r for some $r > 0$. Thus $\text{Tor}_p(B^\vee(K^{\text{sep}}))$ is an infinite group and the same holds for A , since A is isogenous to B^\vee . This proves (b).

8 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall the statement:

Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a semiabelian scheme and that A is a geometrically simple abelian variety over K . If $\text{Tor}_p(A(K^{\text{sep}}))$ is infinite, then

- (a) \mathcal{A} is an abelian scheme;
- (b) there is $r_A \geq 0$ such that $p^{r_A} \cdot \text{Tor}_p(A(K^{\text{sep}})) \subseteq \text{Tor}_p(A(K^{\text{unr}}))$;

Furthermore, there is

- (c) an abelian scheme \mathcal{B} over S ;
- (d) a generically étale S -isogeny $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, whose degree is a power of p ;
- (e) an étale S -isogeny $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ whose degree is > 1 and is a power of p .

Finally

- (f) if A is ordinary then the Kodaira-Spencer rank of A is not maximal;
- (g) if $\dim(A) \leq 2$ then $\text{Tr}_{\bar{K}|\bar{k}}(A_{\bar{K}}) \neq 0$.
- (h) for all closed points $s \in S$, the p -rank of \mathcal{A}_s is > 0 .

Proof of (a): note that by Theorem 2.9, the abelian variety A is isogenous to an abelian variety B over K , which is endowed with an étale endomorphism of degree a positive power of p . Since A extends to a semiabelian scheme over S so does B . This is a consequence of a

theorem of Grothendieck (see [1, 5.] for a nice presentation). Thus, by Theorem 2.10 we see that B extends to an abelian scheme \mathcal{B} over S . Using the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich (see [57]), we see that A also extends to an abelian scheme over S . By the uniqueness of semiabelian models (see [51, IX, Cor. 1.4, p. 130]), this extension must be \mathcal{A} and thus \mathcal{A} is an abelian scheme.

Proof of (b): Let $H := \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}|K^{\text{unr}})$. For $i \geq 0$, let $G_i := A(K^{\text{sep}})[p^i]$. The group G_i is the group of K -rational points of an étale finite group scheme \underline{G}_i over K , which is naturally a closed subgroup scheme of A . Let $A_i := A/\underline{G}_i$ and for $i \leq j$ let $\phi_{i,j} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ be the natural morphism. Let \mathcal{A}_i be the connected component of the zero section of the Néron model of A_i over S . By (a) and the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich (see [57]), this is an abelian scheme. Furthermore, by [51, IX, Cor. 1.4, p. 130] the morphisms $\phi_{i,j}$ extend to morphisms $\bar{\phi}_{i,j} : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_j$ and we have the classical exact sequence

$$\bar{\phi}_{i,j}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_j/S}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S} \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{\phi}_{i,j}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the morphism $\bar{\phi}_{i,j}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_j/S}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S}$ is injective over the generic point of \mathcal{A}_i , because $\phi_{i,j} = \bar{\phi}_{i,j,K}$ is smooth by construction. On the other hand both $\bar{\phi}_{i,j}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_j/S})$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S}$ are locally free and thus it follows that $\bar{\phi}_{i,j}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_j/S}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S}$ is also injective. Hence we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\phi}_{i,j}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_j/S}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S} \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{\phi}_{i,j}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (6)$$

Let $\pi_i : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow S$ be the structural morphism. We have a functorial isomorphism

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i} \simeq \pi_i^*(\pi_{i,*}(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S}))$$

and thus there is a coherent sheaf $T_{i,j}$ on S , which is a torsion sheaf, such that $\pi_i^*(T_{i,j}) \simeq \Omega_{\bar{\phi}_{i,j}}$ and the sequence (6) is the pull-back by π_i^* of a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_{j,*}(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_j/S}) \rightarrow \pi_{i,*}(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S}) \rightarrow T_{i,j} \rightarrow 0$$

and in particular

$$\deg_S(\pi_{j,*}(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_j/S})) + \deg_S(T_{i,j}) = \deg_S(\pi_{i,*}(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S})).$$

Now recall that $\deg_S(\pi_{i,*}(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S})) \geq 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ (see [16, V, Prop. 2.2, p. 164]). Thus, for $i = 0, 1, \dots$ the sequence $\deg_S(\pi_{i,*}(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_i/S}))$ is a non-increasing sequence of natural numbers. Hence for large enough i , say i_0 , it reaches its minimum. We conclude that $T_{i_0,j} = 0$ for $j > i_0$, so that the morphism $\bar{\phi}_{i_0,j}$ is étale and finite. Now $\phi_{0,i_0}(G_j(K^{\text{sep}}))$ lies by construction in the kernel of $\phi_{i_0,j}$. Thus

$$\phi_{0,i_0}(G_j(K^{\text{sep}})) \subseteq A_{i_0}(K^{\text{unr}})$$

when $j > i_0$. In other words, for any $x \in G_j(K^{\text{sep}})$ and any $\gamma \in H$, we have

$$\gamma(x) - x \in G_{i_0}(K^{\text{sep}}).$$

In particular, we have

$$\gamma(p^{i_0} \cdot x) = p^{i_0} \cdot \gamma(x) = p^{i_0} \cdot x$$

In particular, since $j > i_0$ was arbitrary, we see that

$$\gamma(p^{i_0} \cdot x) = p^{i_0} \cdot x$$

for all $x \in \text{Tor}_p(A(K^{\text{sep}}))$ and all $\gamma \in H$. Setting $r_A = i_0$ concludes the proof of (b).

Proof of the existence statements (c), (d), (e): this is a consequence of (a) and Theorems 2.9 and 2.10.

Proof of (f): this is contained in a theorem of J.-F. Voloch; see [62, Proposition on p. 1093].

Proof of (g): this is a consequence of (b) and Proposition 2.8.

Proof of (h): This follows from (a) and (e).

9 Proof of Theorem 1.4

9.1 The trace of an abelian variety over a function field: basic facts

Let E be an abelian over a field F . Let $F_0 \subseteq F$ be a subfield.

The $F|F_0$ trace $(\text{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E), \lambda)$ (if it exists) of E over F_0 is an abelian variety $\text{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E)$ over F_0 together with a homomorphism $\lambda : \text{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E)_F \rightarrow E$ of abelian varieties over F . They have the following universal property. For any abelian E_0 over F_0 and a homomorphism $\phi : E_{0,F} \rightarrow E$ of abelian varieties, there is a unique morphism $\tilde{\phi} : E_{0,F} \rightarrow \text{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E)_F$ such that $\phi = \lambda \circ \tilde{\phi}$. This means that $\text{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E)$ and λ are uniquely determined if they exist.

Here are some known facts about $\text{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E)$. Before stating them, we record the fact for any finite morphism of abelian varieties $f : E' \rightarrow E$ over F , the natural morphism $E'/\ker(f) \rightarrow E$ is a closed immersion. Here $E'/\ker(f)$ is the quotient described in Proposition 4.1. To see this, consider that the morphism $E'/\ker(f) \rightarrow E$ is by definition a monomorphism of fppf sheaves over F_0 and hence a monomorphism of schemes. On the other hand, it is proper and of finite type and thus a closed immersion (see [13, EGA IV.4, 18.12.6]) for this). We shall call $\text{Im}(f)$ the abelian variety $E'/\ker(f)$ viewed as an abelian subvariety of E .

The field extension $F|F_0$ is called primary (resp. regular) if the algebraic closure of F_0 in F is purely inseparable over F_0 (if F_0 is algebraically closed in F and F is separable over F_0). Note that if F is the function field of a smooth and geometrically integral variety over F_0 then $F|F_0$ is regular.

Proposition 9.1. [see [11, Th. 6.4 and Th. 6.12]]

- (a) *If $F|F_0$ is primary then the $F|F_0$ trace $(\mathrm{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E), \lambda)$ of E over F_0 exists and the kernel of λ is finite over F .*
- (b) *If $F|F_0$ is regular then the kernel of the morphism λ is connected and so is its Cartier dual.*
- (c) *If $F_1|F$ and $F|F_0$ are primary extensions then $(\mathrm{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E)_{F_1}, \lambda_{F_1})$ is an $F_1|F_0$ -trace of E_{F_1} .*
- (d) *We have $\mathrm{Tr}_{F|F_0}(A/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)) = 0$.*

We also recall the *Lang-Néron theorem* (see [11, Th. 7.1] and [35, chap. 6, Th. 2]): if $F|F_0$ is a finitely generated regular extension then the quotient group $E(F)/\mathrm{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E)(F_0)$ is finitely generated. Here $\mathrm{Tr}_{F|F_0}(E)(F_0)$ is viewed as a subgroup of $E(F)$ via λ and the natural base change map from F_0 to F .

9.2 The proof

We now use the notations of Conjecture 1.3.

Let $\lambda : \mathrm{Tr}_{L|L_0}(C) \rightarrow C$ be the canonical morphism. We write $C/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)$ for the quotient of C by $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)$ in the sense of Proposition 4.1.

We begin with the

Proposition 9.2. *If $\mathrm{IVD}(C/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda), L) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}^p((C/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda))(L))$ then $\mathrm{IVD}(C, L) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}^p(C(L))$.*

For the proof of Proposition 9.2, we shall need the following

Lemma 9.3. *Let N be a finite flat infinitesimal group scheme over a field J of characteristic p . There is a finite field extension $J'|J$ such that for any $n \geq 0$ and any element $\alpha \in H^1(J, N^{(p^n)})$, the image $\alpha_{J'}$ of α in $H^1(J', N^{(p^n)}_{J'})$ vanishes.*

Here $H^1(J, N^{(p^n)})$ is the first cohomology group of $N^{(p^n)}$ viewed as a sheaf in the fppf topology. More concretely, it is the group of isomorphism classes of torsors of $N^{(p^n)}$ over J .

In the following proof, we shall write $J^{p^{-m}} \subseteq \bar{J}$ for the subfield of \bar{J} consisting of elements of the form $x^{p^{-m}}$, where $x \in J$.

Proof. (of Lemma 9.3) First suppose that N has a filtration by finite closed subgroup schemes, whose quotients are isomorphic to either $\alpha_{p,J}$ or $\mu_{p,J}$. Let $m \geq 0$ be the number of non vanishing quotients. We shall prove by induction on m that the image of α in $H^1(J^{p^{-m}}, N^{(p^n)})$ vanishes for all $n \geq 0$ (under the supplementary assumption on N), for any field J of characteristic p . If $m = 0$ the statement holds tautologically, so we shall suppose that it holds for $1, \dots, m-1$. Let

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow N_{J_1} \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow 0$$

be a presentation of N where F_2 is isomorphic to either $\alpha_{p,J}$ or $\mu_{p,J}$ and F_1 has a filtration as above, whose number of non vanishing quotients is $\leq m-1$. This induces exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(J^{p^{-1}}, (F_{1,J^{p^{-1}}})^{(p^n)}) \rightarrow H^1(J^{p^{-1}}, (N_{J^{p^{-1}}})^{(p^n)}) \rightarrow H^1(J^{p^{-1}}, (F_{2,J^{p^{-1}}})^{(p^n)})$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(J^{p^{-m}}, (F_{1,J^{p^{-m}}})^{(p^n)}) \rightarrow H^1(J^{p^{-m}}, (N_{J^{p^{-m}}})^{(p^n)}) \rightarrow H^1(J^{p^{-m}}, (F_{2,J^{p^{-m}}})^{(p^n)})$$

(observe that $H^0(J^{p^{-m}}, (F_{2,J^{p^{-m}}})^{(p^n)}) = 0$ since F_2 is infinitesimal). Since $F_2^{(p^n)}$ is of height one, the image of α in $H^1(J^{p^{-1}}, (F_{2,J^{p^{-1}}})^{(p^n)})$ vanishes by [41, Lemma III.3.5.7]. The element α is thus the image of an element $\beta \in H^1(J^{p^{-1}}, (F_{1,J^{p^{-1}}})^{(p^n)})$. By the inductive hypothesis, the image of β in $H^1(J^{p^{-m}}, (F_{1,J^{p^{-m}}})^{(p^n)})$ vanishes and thus the image of α in $H^1(J^{p^{-m}}, (N_{J^{p^{-m}}})^{(p^n)})$ vanishes, proving the claim.

Now according to [27, par. 2.4, p. 28] there is a finite extension J_1 of J such that N_{J_1} has a filtration by finite closed subgroup schemes, whose quotients are isomorphic to either α_{p,J_1} or μ_{p,J_1} . This extension will by construction also work for all the group schemes $N^{(p^n)}$ and the number of non vanishing quotients of all the group schemes $N^{(p^n)}_{J_1}$ is constant, say it is m . Hence the extension $J' := J_1^{p^{-m}}$ has the required property. \square

Proof. (of Proposition 9.2). Now suppose that $\text{IVD}(C/\text{Im}(\lambda), L) \subseteq \text{Tor}^p((C/\text{Im}(\lambda))(L))$. We want to show that $\text{IVD}(C, L) \subseteq \text{Tor}^p(C(L))$.

Write

$$\lambda^{(p^n)} : \text{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)^{(p^n)} \rightarrow C^{(p^n)}$$

for the base change of λ by $F_L^{\circ n}$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(\lambda)(L) \rightarrow C(L) \rightarrow (C/\text{Im}(\lambda))(L)$$

and we have $(C/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda))^{(p^n)} \simeq C^{(p^n)}/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda^{(p^n)})$. Let now

$$x_0 \in C(L), x_1 \in C^{(p)}(L), x_2 \in C^{(p^2)}(L), \dots$$

be a sequence of points such $V_{C^{(p)}/L}(x_1) = x_0$, $V_{C^{(p^2)}/L}(x_2) = x_1$ etc. Then we know from the above supposition that the image of x_n in $(C^{(p^n)}/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda^{(p^n)}))(L)$ is a prime to p torsion point for all $n \geq 0$. In particular, the order m of the image of x_n in $(C^{(p^n)}/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda^{(p^n)}))(L)$ is independent of n , because the degree of the Verschiebung is always a power of p . Let m be the order of x_0 (and hence of all the x_n). Then $m \cdot x_n \in \mathrm{Im}(\lambda^{(p^n)})(L)$ for all n and thus $m \cdot x_0$ is indefinitely Verschiebung divisible in $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)(L)$ (because the Verschiebung morphism commutes with morphisms of commutative group schemes). It now suffices to prove that $m \cdot x_0$ is of finite and prime to p order in $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)(L)$. Hence, we may and do assume that the morphism $\lambda : \mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C) \rightarrow C$ is a surjection.

Now λ is also finite and purely inseparable by [11, Th. 6.12] and it is thus a bijection. We are now given infinitely many L -morphisms

$$\dots (\lambda^{(p^n)})^*(x_n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (\lambda^{(p)})^*(x_1) \rightarrow \lambda^*(x_0)$$

where $(\lambda^{(p^n)})^*(x_n)$ is the base change by $\lambda^{(p^n)}$ of x_n viewed as a closed subscheme of $C^{(p^n)}$. The L -scheme $(\lambda^{(p^n)})^*(x_n)$ is a torsor under the group scheme $(\ker \lambda)^{(p^n)} \simeq \ker \lambda^{(p^n)}$ and according to Lemma 9.3, there is a finite extension L' , which splits all the $(\lambda^{(p^n)})^*(x_n)$. We thus obtain an indefinitely Verschiebung divisible point x'_0 in $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(L')$, whose image in $C(L')$ is x_0 . Now $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)_{L'}$ is by definition the base change to L' of an abelian variety over l_0 ; so we are reduced to showing Theorem 1.4 for abelian varieties C that arise by base-change from l_0 . Lemma 9.4 below thus concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 9.4. *We have $\mathrm{IVD}(C, L) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}^p(C(L))$ if $C \simeq C_0 \times_{l_0} L$, where C_0 is an abelian variety over l_0 .*

Proof. (of Lemma 9.4) By [15, Th. 6.2 and afterwards] there is an $m \geq 1$ so that $m \cdot x_0 \in C_0(l_0)$. Since l_0 is algebraically closed, this implies that $x_0 \in C_0(l_0)$, concluding the proof. \square

Proof. (of Theorem 1.4.)

We begin with a couple of reductions.

(1) *We may assume in the statement of Theorem 1.4 that L is the function field of a smooth and proper curve B over l_0 .*

Using Proposition 9.2 and Proposition 9.1 (d), we see that when carrying out reduction (1), we may assume that $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C) = 0$. Reduction (1) now follows from a standard spreading

out argument together with Proposition C.1 in the Appendix. Here one could probably appeal instead to Hilbert's irreducibility theorem (as in [35, chap. 9, cor. 6.3]) but for lack of an adequate reference in the case of function fields, we prefer to use Proposition C.1.

(2) We may assume in the statement of Theorem 1.4 that $\dim(\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C_{\bar{L}})) = \dim(\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C))$.

To see this, suppose for the space of this paragraph that we know that Theorem 1.4 is true in general under restrictions (1) and (2). Let $L'|L$ be a finite extension such that $\dim(\mathrm{Tr}_{L'|l_0}(C_{L'}))$ is maximal among all finite extensions of L . In particular we then have $\dim(\mathrm{Tr}_{L'|l_0}(C_{L'})) = \dim(\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C_{\bar{L}}))$. According to Proposition 9.1 (c), we may assume that $L'|L$ is separable. Replacing L' by the Galois closure of L' over L , we may even suppose that $L'|L$ is Galois. Let $y_0 \in C(L)$ be an indefinitely Verschiebung divisible element. Suppose $y_0 \neq 0$. Applying our assumptions to $C_{L'}$ and to the normalisation B' of B in L' , we see that the image of y_0 in $C_{L'}(L')$ is indefinitely Verschiebung divisible. Thus for some integer m_{y_0} , which is prime to p , the element $m_{y_0} \cdot y_0$ is divisible in the group $C_{L'}(L')$. Now there is a natural group homomorphism $u : C_{L'}(L') \rightarrow C(L)$ (the trace) given by the formula

$$u(z) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L'/L)} \sigma(z)$$

Hence $m_{y_0} \cdot u(y_0) = m_{y_0} \cdot [L' : L] \cdot y_0$ is divisible in the group $C(L)$ and hence

$$m_{y_0} \cdot [L' : L] \cdot y_0 \in \mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0).$$

Now if the order of the image of y_0 in $C(L)/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0)$ is prime to p then we are done. Otherwise, we may (and do) replace y_0 by a multiple such that the image in $C(L)/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0)$ of y_0 is a non-zero element of order p . In the rest of the argument, we shall derive a contradiction from the existence of this element. Let $i \geq 1$. Let $y_i \in C^{(p^i)}(L)$ be such that $V_{C^{(p^i)}/L}^{(i)}(y_1) = y_0$. The variety

$$(C^{(p^i)})_{L'} = (C_{L'})^{(p^i)} \cong C_{L'}^{(p^i)}$$

also has the property that $\dim(\mathrm{Tr}_{L'|l_0}(C_{L'}^{(p^i)})) = \dim(\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C_{\bar{L}}^{(p^i)}))$ since $C^{(p^i)}$ is isogenous to C over L . Hence, repeating the above reasoning, there is an integer m_{y_i} , which is prime to p , such that $m_{y_i} \cdot [L' : L] \cdot y_i \in \mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C^{(p^i)})(L)$. Now according to Proposition 9.1 (c), the natural morphism $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)_L^{(p^i)} \rightarrow C^{(p^i)}$ obtained by base change under $F_C^{\circ i}$ from the morphism $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)_L \rightarrow C$ makes $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)^{(p^i)}$ into the trace of $C^{(p^i)}$. Thus the map $V_{C^{(p^i)}/L}^{(i)}(\bar{L})$ (resp. $F_{C/L}^{(i)}(\bar{L})$) induces a surjective map

$$C^{(p^i)}(\bar{L})/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)^{(p^i)}(l_0) \rightarrow C(\bar{L})/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0)$$

(resp. a bijective map

$$C(\bar{L})/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0) \rightarrow C^{(p^i)}(\bar{L})/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)^{(p^i)}(l_0)$$

). Since $V_{C^{(p^i)}/L}^{(i)}(\bar{L}) \circ F_{C/L}^{(i)}(\bar{L}) = p^i$, we see that the order of y_i in

$$C^{(p^i)}(L)/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C^{(p^i)})(l_0) \subseteq C^{(p^i)}(\bar{L})/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C^{(p^i)})(l_0)$$

is p^{i+1} . This is a contradiction if i is chosen large enough so that p^i is not a divisor of $[L' : L]$. We conclude that the order of the image of y_0 in $C(L)/\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)(l_0)$ is prime to p and this concludes reduction step (2).

We now assume that we are given an abelian variety C over L and that C satisfy the assumptions of 1.4 as well as (1) and (2).

Let as before $\lambda : \mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C)_L \rightarrow C$ be the canonical morphism. According to Proposition 9.2, it will be sufficient to prove that $\mathrm{IVD}(C/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda), L) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}^p((C/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda))(L))$. By Theorem 9.1 (d), we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{L|l_0}(C/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)) = 0$ and since we work under supplementary assumption (2), we even have $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)) = 0$. Thus we may replace C by $C/\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)$ and assume from now on that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C) = 0$. Finally, since we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{L}|l_0}(C) = 0$, we may replace without restriction of generality L by a finite extension L' and B by its normalisation B' in L' . We may thus assume that there is an integer $m \geq 3$, with $(m, p) = 1$ and such that $C[m] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{2\dim(C)}$ and $C^\vee[m] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{2\dim(C^\vee)}$.

By a theorem of Raynaud (see [1, Prop. 5.10]), the connected component of the Néron model of C will then be a semiabelian scheme. We call it \mathcal{C} .

Now suppose as in the statement of Conjecture 1.3 that we are given points $x_\ell \in C^{(p^\ell)}(L)$ and suppose that for all $\ell \geq 1$, we have $V_{C^{(p^\ell)}/L}(x_\ell) = x_{\ell-1}$. We want to show that $x_0 \in \mathrm{Tor}^p(C(L))$.

By Lemma B.2 and the discussion preceding it we have a canonical map

$$\alpha : C^{(p)}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p)}}, \Omega_{B/l_0}(E)) \tag{7}$$

such that $\alpha(x) = 0$ iff $x \in F_{C/L}(C(L))$. Here $E = E(\mathcal{C})$ is the reduced divisor, which is the union of the closed point $b \in B$ such that \mathcal{C}_b is not proper over $\kappa(b)$. Note that we have $E(\mathcal{C}) = E(\mathcal{C}^{(p)}) = E(\mathcal{C}^{(p^2)}) = \dots$. The map α is naturally compatible with isogenies (we skip the verification) and so there is an infinite commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C^{(p)}(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_B(\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p)}}, \Omega_{B/l_0}(E)) \\
V_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^2)}/L} \uparrow & & V_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^2)}/B}^* \uparrow \\
C^{(p^2)}(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_B(\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^2)}}, \Omega_{B/l_0}(E)) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots
\end{array} \tag{8}$$

Remember that we have

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^n)}} \simeq F_B^{\circ n, *}(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}).$$

Now choose $n_1 \geq 1$ so that

- $\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}}$ has a Frobenius semistable HN filtration;
- $(\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}})_{=0} \simeq (\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}})_{=0, \mathrm{binf}} \oplus (\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}})_{=0, \mu}$ splits into a biinfinitesimal and a multiplicative commutative coLie-algebra (see Lemmata 4.4 and 4.7).

Note that if some $n_1 \geq 1$ has the two above properties, than any higher n_1 will as well (by definition for the first property and tautologically for the second one).

Choose $n_2 > n_1$ so that

(I) the image of the map

$$V_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_2})}/B}^{(n_2-n_1),*} : \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_2})}}$$

lies in $(\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_2})}})_{\geq 0} \simeq F_B^{\circ(n_2-n_1),*}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}})_{\geq 0})$;

(II) the image of the map of coLie algebras

$$V_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_2})}/B}^{(n_2-n_1),*} : (\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}})_{=0} \rightarrow F_B^{\circ(n_2-n_1),*}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}})_{=0}) = (\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_2})}})_{=0}$$

is $F_B^{\circ(n_2-n_1),*}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}})_{=0, \mu})$. Note that this is possible because the biinfinitesimal part of $(\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}})_{=0}$ will be sent to 0 by sufficiently many composed Verschiebung morphisms (by definition).

Note that under (I) for any $n_3 > n_2$ the image of the map

$$V_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_3})}/B}^{(n_3-n_2),*} : (\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_2})}})_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_3})}}$$

and hence of the map

$$V_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_3})}/B}^{(n_3-n_1),*} : \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_3})}}$$

automatically lies in $(\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_3})}})_{\geq 0} \simeq F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1),*}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}})_{\geq 0})$.

Choose $n_3 > n_2$ so that

(III) the map

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_3})} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/l_0}(E)$$

given by x_{n_3} factors through its quotient $(F_B^{\circ(n_3,*)}(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}))_{\leq 0} \simeq F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{\leq 0})$;

(IV) the image of the map

$$V_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_3})/B}^{(n_3-n_2),*} : F_B^{\circ(n_2-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{=0}) \rightarrow F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_2,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_2})})_{=0})$$

is $F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_2,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_2})})_{=0,\mu}) \simeq F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{=0,\mu})$.

Now we shall exploit the compatibility between the morphism

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})} \xrightarrow{c(x_{n_1})} \Omega_{B/k}(E)$$

induced by x_{n_1} and the morphism

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_3})} \xrightarrow{c(x_{n_3})} \Omega_{B/k}(E)$$

induced by x_{n_3} . According to the diagram (8), this compatibility gives the equality

$$c(x_{n_3}) \circ V_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_3-n_1})/B}^* = c(x_{n_1}).$$

In other words the composition of morphisms

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})} \xrightarrow{V_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_3-n_1})/B}^*} \omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_3})} \xrightarrow{c(x_{n_3})} \Omega_{B/k}(E)$$

is $c(x_{n_1})$. Furthermore, in view of (I) and (III) the map $c(x_{n_1})$ factors as follows:

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})} \xrightarrow{V_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_3})/B}^{(n_3-n_1),*}} F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{\geq 0}) \rightarrow F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{=0}) \rightarrow F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{\leq 0}) \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k}(E)$$

and by (I) the map

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})} \xrightarrow{V_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_3})/B}^{(n_3-n_1),*}} F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{=0})$$

factors as follows

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})} \xrightarrow{V_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})/B}^{(n_2-n_1),*}} F_B^{\circ(n_2-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{\geq 0}) \rightarrow F_B^{\circ(n_2-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{=0}) \xrightarrow{V_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})/B}^{(n_3-n_2),*}} F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{=0})$$

and thus by (IV) and (II) the image of this last map is precisely $F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1,*)}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})})_{=0,\mu})$.

We have thus constructed a multiplicative quotient of the p -coLie algebra $\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})}$. On the other hand the p -coLie algebra $\omega_{\mathcal{C}(p^{n_1})}$ is the p -coLie algebra of the finite flat group scheme

ker $F_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}/B}$. By the equivalence of categories recalled in subsection 4.2, this quotient corresponds to a multiplicative subgroup scheme of ker $F_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}/B}$. By Lemma 4.8, this subgroup scheme embeds in the canonical largest multiplicative subgroup scheme $(\ker F_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}/B})_\mu$ of ker $F_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}/B}$ (in fact, it coincides with it, but we shall not need this). Finally note that

$$(\ker F_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}/B})_\mu \simeq ((\ker F_{\mathcal{C}/B})_\mu)^{(p^{n_1})},$$

by the last part of Lemma 4.8.

Let $G := (\ker F_{\mathcal{C}/B})_\mu$. Note that $G = G_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the notation of Theorem 2.1. Now consider the quotient $\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{C}/G$ (which is a semiabelian scheme by 4.10) and let $\psi_1 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$ be the quotient morphism. The point x_{n_1} and its image y_{n_1} in $\mathcal{C}_1(L)$ give a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1),*}((\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})})=0,\mu}) \\
\uparrow & \nearrow & \uparrow \\
\omega_{G^{(p^{n_1})}} & \longleftarrow F_B^{\circ(n_3-n_1),*}(\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}}) & \downarrow \\
\uparrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\
\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{(p^{n_1})}} & \xrightarrow{c(x_{n_1})} & \Omega_{B/k}(E) \\
\uparrow \psi_1^* & & \downarrow \simeq \\
\omega_{\mathcal{C}_1^{(p^{n_1})}} & \xrightarrow{c(y_{n_1})} & \Omega_{B/k}(E)
\end{array}$$

where the left column is an exact sequence and $c(y_{n_1})$ is the morphism induced by y_{n_1} .

Thus $c(y_{n_1})$ vanishes. In particular, y_{n_1} lies in the image of $F_{\mathcal{C}_1^{(p^{n_1-1})}/B}(\mathcal{C}_1^{(p^{n_1-1})}(L))$. Using the fact that

$$[p]_{\mathcal{C}_1^{(p^{n_1-1})}} = V_{\mathcal{C}_1^{(p^{n_1})}/B} \circ F_{\mathcal{C}_1^{(p^{n_1-1})}/B},$$

we conclude that y_{n_1-1} has a p -th root in $\mathcal{C}_1^{(p^{n_1-1})}(L)$. Hence y_0 also has a p -th root in $\mathcal{C}_1(L)$. Now since G is independent of x_0 , we conclude that the image of any indefinitely Verschiebung divisible point of $C(L)$ in $\mathcal{C}_1(L)$ has a p -th root. Since G is compatible with twists, we also see that for any $n \geq 0$ the image of any indefinitely Verschiebung divisible point of $C^{(p^n)}(L)$ in $\mathcal{C}_1^{(p^n)}(L)$ has a p -th root. From this, by an elementary combinatorial consideration, we see that the image of any indefinitely Verschiebung divisible point of $C(L)$ in $\mathcal{C}_1(L)$ has a p -th root, which is indefinitely Verschiebung divisible.

By the discussion above, **the image of IVD(C) in $\mathcal{C}_1(L)$ lies in $p \cdot \text{IVD}(\mathcal{C}_{1,L})$** . This is the crucial fact that the rest of the proof will exploit.

Let $\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{C}/G_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\mathcal{C}_2/G_{\mathcal{C}_1}, \dots$ be the sequence of smooth commutative group schemes obtained by successively quotienting by the canonical subgroup schemes described in Theorem 2.1.

Note that all the \mathcal{C}_i are semiabelian by Lemma 4.10. We shall denote by ψ_i the morphism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$ obtained by composition. Write $C_i := \mathcal{C}_{i,L}$ for convenience.

Let m_{00} be an integer such that $m_{00} \cdot x_0 =: v_0$ extends to an element \tilde{v}_0 of $\mathcal{C}(B)$.

Now let D_0 be a line bundle on C . We suppose that $[-1]_C^*(D_0) \simeq D_0$ (ie D_0 is symmetric), where $[-1]_C$ is the inversion morphism given by the group scheme structure of C over B . We also suppose that D_0 is a relatively ample line bundle. If $x \in \mathcal{C}(B)$, write $\tau_x : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for the translation by x morphism. We use the same notation for $x \in C(L)$.

Now consider the isogeny $\phi_{D_0} : C \rightarrow C^\vee$ from C to its dual abelian variety, which is induced by D_0 (this is the polarisation induced by D_0). Since $v_0 \in \text{IVD}(C)$, we also have $\phi_{D_0}(v_0) \in \text{IVD}(C^\vee)$, since relative Frobenius morphisms are naturally compatible with morphisms of abelian varieties. The point $\phi_{D_0}(v_0)$ corresponds to the line bundle

$$M = \tau_{v_0}^*(D_0) \otimes D_0^\vee$$

on C (see [45, III.13]). Since the morphism dual to the Verschiebung morphism is the relative Frobenius morphism (this is very often the definition of the Verschiebung), we see that the fact that $\phi_{D_0}(v_0) \in \text{IVD}(C^\vee)$ translates to the fact that there exist line bundles M_i on $C^{(p^i)}$ for all $i \geq 1$, such that

$$F_{C/L}^*(M_1) \simeq M, F_{C^{(p)}/L}^*(M_2) \simeq M_1, F_{C^{(p^2)}/L}^*(M_3) \simeq M_2, \dots$$

Since ψ_i factors by construction through $F_{C^{(p^{i-1})}/L} \circ F_{C^{(p^{i-2})}/L} \circ \dots \circ F_{C/L}$, we see that for each $i \geq 1$, there is a line bundle J_i on C_i such that $\psi_{i,L}^*(J_i) \simeq M$.

Now recall that D_0 extends uniquely (up to isomorphism) to a line bundle \mathcal{D}_0 on \mathcal{C} , if we require D_0 to be trivial along the unit section of \mathcal{C} (see [44, Prop. 2.6, p. 21]). Similarly the line bundle M extends uniquely (up to isomorphism) to a line bundle \mathcal{M} on \mathcal{C} with the same property. We shall write \mathcal{J}_i for the line bundle similarly associated with J_i on \mathcal{C}_i . Notice that by unicity, we have $\psi_i^*(\mathcal{J}_i) \simeq \mathcal{M}$.

We shall now make a height computation. We shall need the

Lemma 9.5. *Let \mathcal{W} be a line bundle on \mathcal{C} , which is trivial when restricted to the unit section and such that \mathcal{W}_L is algebraically equivalent to 0. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}(B)$. Then $\deg(x^*(\mathcal{W}))$ is the Néron-Tate height pairing of $x_L \in C(L)$ and \mathcal{W}_L .*

Proof. This follows from [44, III.3.2 and 3.3] and the definition of polarisations. \square

We shall also need the crucial

Proposition 9.6. (a) *There exists a constant $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and an infinite set $I_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for any $i \in I_0$ and any $P \in C_i(L)$, the element $m_0 \cdot P$ extends to an element of $C_i(B)$.*
(b) *There is a constant $c_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and an infinite set $I_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for any $i \in I_0$ and any $P \in \text{Tor}(C_i(L))$ we have $c_0 \cdot P = 0$.*

We shall prove this proposition later, using Proposition A.2 in the Appendix.

Let $i \in I_0$. For the next computation, recall that $\psi_{i,L}(v_0)$ is divisible by p^i in $C_i(L)$. Let z_i be an element of $C_i(L)$ such that $p^i \cdot z_i = \psi_{i,L}(v_0)$. According to Proposition 9.6 (a), $m_0 \cdot z_i$ extends to an element u_i of $C_i(B)$. By construction, we have $p^i \cdot u_i = m_0 \cdot \psi_i(\tilde{v}_0)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \deg([m_0](\tilde{v}_0)^*(\mathcal{M})) &= \deg([m_0](\tilde{v}_0)^*(\psi_i^*(J_i))) = \deg([m_0](\psi_i(\tilde{v}_0))^*(J_i)) \\ &= \deg([p^i](u_i)^*(J_i)) = \deg(u_i^*([p^i]^*(J_i))) \\ &= \deg(u_i^*(J_i^{\otimes p^i})) = p^i \cdot \deg(u_i^*(J_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Here $[m_0]$ refers to the multiplication by m_0 morphism (in particular $[m_0](\tilde{v}_0) = m_0 \cdot \tilde{v}_0$). Now suppose for contradiction that $\deg([m_0](\tilde{v}_0)^*(\mathcal{M})) \neq 0$. If we choose i large enough so that p^i is not a divisor of $\deg([m_0](\tilde{v}_0)^*(\mathcal{M}))$ then we obtain a contradiction. Thus $\deg([m_0](\tilde{v}_0)^*(\mathcal{M})) = 0$. We may also compute

$$\deg([m_0](\tilde{v}_0)^*(\mathcal{M})) = \deg(\tilde{v}_0^*([m_0]^*(\mathcal{M}))) = \deg(\tilde{v}_0^*(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes m_0})) = m_0 \cdot \deg(\tilde{v}_0^*(\mathcal{M})).$$

In particular, by Lemma 9.5, the Néron-Tate height pairing of v_0 and M vanishes. Now notice that M is by definition the image of v_0 under the polarisation induced by the symmetric ample line bundle D_0 . Hence the Néron-Tate pairing of v_0 and M is twice the Néron-Tate height of v_0 with respect to the polarisation induced by D_0 . In particular, the Néron-Tate height of v_0 with respect to D_0 vanishes. By a theorem of Lang (see [11, Th. 9.15]) we conclude that the image of v_0 in $C(L)$ is an element of finite order. Thus the image of x_0 in $C(L)$ is also an element of finite order.

Now we show that $x_0 \in \text{Tor}^p(C(L))$. For contradiction, suppose that $x_0 \notin \text{Tor}^p(C(L))$. We thus may (and do) replace x_0 by one of its multiples and suppose that $p \cdot x_0 = 0$ and $x_0 \neq 0$. We know that $\psi_{i,L}(x_0)$ is divisible by p^i in $C_i(L)$ and since $\psi_{i,L}$ is injective we conclude that there is an element of order p^{i+1} in $C_i(L)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus contradicts Proposition 9.6 (b) so we are done.

Proof of Proposition 9.6. We need some preliminaries on moduli spaces of abelian varieties. Let $n \geq 3$ with $(n, p) = 1$ and $g \geq 1$. We shall choose particular values for g and n later.

Let $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ be the functor from the category of locally noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -schemes to the category of sets, such that

$$\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(B) = \{ \text{isomorphism classes of the following objects :} \\ \text{principally polarized abelian schemes over } B \text{ endowed} \\ \text{with a symplectic isomorphism } (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})_B^{2g} \simeq \mathcal{A}[n] \}$$

D. Mumford proves (see [46]) that the functor $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ is representable by a scheme, which is separated and of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p . We shall also denote this scheme by $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$.

Furthermore, in [16, V, 2., Th. 2.5], C. Chai and G. Faltings prove that there exists

- a scheme $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{g,n}$ (resp. $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}^*$), which is proper over \mathbb{F}_p ;
- an open immersion $\mathbf{A}_{g,n} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{g,n}$ (resp. an open immersion $\mathbf{A}_{g,n} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n}^*$);
- a semiabelian scheme \mathcal{U} over $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{g,n}$, such that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{A}_{g,n}}$ is isomorphic to the universal abelian scheme over $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$.
- a morphism $\bar{\pi} : \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n}^*$ compatible with the above open immersions of $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$;
- a line bundle ω^0 on $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}^*$, which is ample and such that $\bar{\pi}^*(\omega^0) = \omega_{\mathcal{U}/\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{g,n}}$.

Now write $Z := B \times_{l_0} \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*$. Recall that the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}(Z/l_0)$ is a scheme, which represents the functor

$$T \mapsto \{ \text{closed subschemes of } Z_T, \text{ which are proper and flat over } T \}$$

from the category of locally noetherian scheme T over l_0 to the category of sets. It is locally of finite type over l_0 (see [28]).

Let $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ be a polynomial with rational coefficients and L_0/Z an ample line bundle. By definition, the l_0 -scheme $\text{Hilb}_\Phi(Z/l_0)$ represents the functor

$$T \mapsto \{ \text{closed subschemes } W \text{ of } Z_T, \text{ which are proper and flat over } T \\ \text{and such that } \chi(W_t, L_{0,W_t}^{\otimes \lambda}) = \Phi(\lambda) \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and all } t \in T \}$$

from the category of locally noetherian scheme T over l_0 to the category of sets. Here W_t is the fibre at $t \in T$ of the morphism $W \rightarrow T$ and L_{0,W_t} is the pull-back of L to W_t by the natural morphism $W_t \rightarrow Z$. The symbol $\chi(\cdot)$ refers to the Euler characteristic. By definition

$$\chi(W_t, L_{W_t}^{\otimes \lambda}) = \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r \dim_{\kappa(t)} H^r(W_t, L_{W_t}^{\otimes \lambda}).$$

(this is called the Hilbert polynomial of W_t with respect to L_{W_t}). It is shown in [28], that $\text{Hilb}_\Phi(Z/l_0)$ is projective over l_0 (as a consequence of the projectivity of Z). Notice that by construction, we have a disjoint union

$$\text{Hilb}(Z/l_0) = \coprod_{\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]} \text{Hilb}_\Phi(Z/l_0)$$

Finally, it is shown in [17, part II, 5.23] that the functor $\text{Mor}_{l_0}(B, \mathbf{A}_{g,n}^*)$ from locally noetherian l_0 -schemes T to the category of sets, such that

$$\text{Mor}_{l_0}(B, \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*)(T) = \{T\text{-morphisms from } B_T \text{ to } \mathbf{A}_{g,n,T}^*\}$$

is representable by an open subscheme of $\text{Hilb}(Z/l_0)$. More precisely, the natural transformation of functors

$$T\text{-morphism } f \text{ from } B_T \text{ to } \mathbf{A}_{g,n,T}^* \mapsto \text{graph of } f$$

is represented by an open immersion

$$\text{Mor}_{l_0}(B, \mathbf{A}_{g,n}^*) \hookrightarrow \text{Hilb}(B \times_{l_0} \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*/l_0).$$

Let now D be an ample line bundle on B . We choose L_0 to be the line bundle $D \boxtimes \omega_{l_0}^0$ on $Z = B \times_{l_0} \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*$.

Recall that the Hodge bundles of the C_i all have the same degree by Lemma 4.12. Let $d_0 := \deg(\omega_{C/B})$ be this common degree. Our aim is to use this to show that all the C_i embed in a bounded family of abelian varieties and apply Proposition A.2.

Notice that $C_i[m] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{2 \dim(C_i)}$ and $C_i^\vee[m] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{2 \dim(C_i^\vee)}$. Indeed, since $\psi_{i,L}$ is purely inseparable, it induces an isomorphism $C[m] \rightarrow C_i[m]$ and thus $C_i[m] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{2 \dim(C_i)}$ by (iv) above. For the isomorphism $C_i^\vee[m] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{2 \dim(C_i^\vee)}$, notice that the dual morphism $\psi_{i,L}^\vee : C_i^\vee \rightarrow C^\vee$ is separable (because its kernel is the Cartier dual of a multiplicative group scheme) and of order a power of p . Hence, since $(p, m) = 1$ it also induces an isomorphism $C_i^\vee[m] \rightarrow C^\vee[m]$ (we leave the details to the reader).

Now let $E_i := (C_i \times_L C_i^\vee)^4$. By Zarhin's trick (see [44, IX.1.1]) E_i carries a principal polarisation. Furthermore, by the last paragraph, we also have $E_i[m] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{2 \dim(E_i)}$. Notice also that the identity component of the Néron model of C_i is semiabelian, since C_i is semiabelian. Hence the identity component of the Néron model of C_i^\vee is also semiabelian, since C_i^\vee is isogenous to C_i (see [1, Prop. 5.8 (4)] for a neat presentation). Since the formation of the Néron model is compatible with products, we conclude that the identity component \mathcal{E}_i of the Néron model of E_i is also semiabelian. We also see $\mathcal{E}_i|_{B \setminus E(C)}$ is an

abelian scheme over $B \setminus E(\mathcal{C})$ (where $E(\mathcal{C})$ is as in (7)). Finally, we have $\deg(\omega_{\mathcal{E}_i/B}) = 8 \cdot d_0$ by [16, V.3, Lemma 3.4, p. 166].

Let now $g = \dim(E_i) = 8 \cdot \dim(C)$ and $n = m$. By definition, E_i is associated with an l_0 -morphism $\text{Spec } L \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}$. By the valuative criterion of properness, this morphism extends to a morphism $\phi_i : B \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*$ (resp. to a morphism $\bar{\phi}_i : B \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{g,n,l_0}$). By unicity, we have $\bar{\pi} \circ \bar{\phi}_i = \phi_i$. Thus, since semiabelian extensions are unique (see [51, IX, Cor. 1.4, p. 130]), we have $\phi_i^*(\omega_{l_0}^0) \simeq \omega_{\mathcal{E}_i/B}$. The morphism ϕ_i is by definition associated with an element of $\text{Mor}_{l_0}(B, \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*)(l_0)$. We can now compute the Hilbert polynomial of the graph Γ_{ϕ_i} of ϕ_i with respect to the line bundle L_0 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\Gamma_{\phi_i}, L_0^{\otimes \lambda}) &= : Q(\lambda) = \chi(B, (D \otimes \phi_i^*(\omega_{l_0}^0))^{\otimes \lambda}) = \deg_B((D \otimes \phi_i^*(\omega_{l_0}^0))^{\otimes \lambda}) + 1 - g(B) \\
&= \lambda \cdot \deg_B(D \otimes \phi_i^*(\omega_{l_0}^0)) + 1 - g(B) \\
&= \lambda \cdot \deg_B(D \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{E}_i/B}) + 1 - g(B) \\
&= \lambda \cdot \deg_B(D) + \lambda \cdot \deg_B(\omega_{\mathcal{E}_i/B}) + 1 - g(B) \\
&= \lambda \cdot \deg_B(D) + \lambda \cdot 8 \cdot d_0 + 1 - g(B).
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Here $g(B)$ is the genus of B . The second equality is justified by the Riemann-Roch theorem on B . We thus see that the Hilbert polynomial $Q(\lambda)$ of the graph of ϕ_i with respect to L_0 is independent of i . Thus the element of $\text{Mor}_{l_0}(B, \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*)(l_0)$ corresponding to \mathcal{E}_i lies in the scheme

$$\text{Mor}_{l_0}(B, \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*)(l_0) \cap \text{Hilb}_{Q(\lambda)}(B \times_{l_0} \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*/l_0)$$

which is of finite type over l_0 by the above discussion. We now let Y be the Zariski closure in $\text{Mor}_{l_0}(B, \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*)(l_0) \cap \text{Hilb}_{Q(\lambda)}(B \times_{l_0} \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*/l_0)$ of the set all the elements of $(\text{Mor}_{l_0}(B, \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*)(l_0) \cap \text{Hilb}_{Q(\lambda)}(B \times_{l_0} \mathbf{A}_{g,n,l_0}^*/l_0))(l_0)$ which correspond to some ϕ_i ($i \geq 0$). Finally we let H_{00} be some irreducible component of Y , which meets infinitely many such points. Let $\eta_{00} := \kappa(H_{00})$. By construction, we have an H_{00} -morphism

$$B \times_{l_0} H_{00} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n,H_{00}}^*$$

which sends $(B \setminus E(\mathcal{C}))_{\eta_{00}}$ into $\mathbf{A}_{g,n,\eta_{00}} \subseteq \mathbf{A}_{g,n,\eta_{00}}^*$ (because by construction, $(B \setminus E(\mathcal{C}))_x$ is sent into $\mathbf{A}_{g,n,x}$ for a dense sent of points $x \in H_{00}$). Let

$$\gamma_0 : B_{\eta_{00}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n,\eta_{00}}^*$$

be the induced morphism over η_{00} . Now recall that there is a proper morphism $\bar{\pi} : \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n}^*$. By the valuative criterion of properness, there is a unique η_{00} -morphism $\gamma : B_{\eta_{00}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{g,n,\eta_{00}}$

such that $\bar{\pi}_{\eta_0} \circ \gamma = \gamma_0$. The morphism γ extends over an open subset H_0 of H_{00} , yielding an H_0 -morphism

$$\tilde{\gamma} : B \times_{l_0} H_0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{g,n,H_0}.$$

Replacing H_0 by one of its open subsets, we may suppose that H_0 is normal. Let now \mathcal{B}_0 be the base change of \mathcal{U} by $\tilde{\gamma}$. A theorem of Moret-Bailly (see [44, VI.3.1]) together with a result of Raynaud ([51, XI.1.4]) then shows that \mathcal{B}_0 can be endowed with a relatively ample line bundle, which is symmetric and trivial along the zero section. Let also $t_0 := l_0$, $C := B \times_{l_0} H_0$. If we now apply Proposition A.2 (a) with this choice of H_0, t_0, C and \mathcal{B}_0 , we reach the conclusion that there is an infinite set $I_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ and a constant n_0 , such that for $i \in I_0$, and any $P \in E_i(L)$, the element $n_0 \cdot P$ extends to an element of $\mathcal{E}_i(L)$. Since \mathcal{C}_i is a direct factor of \mathcal{E}_i , we may replace E_i (resp. \mathcal{E}_i) by C_i (resp. \mathcal{C}_i) in the last sentence. This proves (a), with $m_0 = n_0$. For (b), note that $\text{Tr}_{L|l_0}(E_i) = 0$ (since E_i is a product of abelian varieties isogenous to C) and apply Proposition A.2 (b) to the same situation. \square

A Rational points in families

The terminology of this section is independent of the terminology of the rest of the article and its appendices.

Let t_0 be an algebraically closed field. Let H_0 be an integral scheme of finite type over t_0 . Let $\pi : C \rightarrow H_0$ be a smooth curve over H_0 , with geometrically connected fibres. Let \mathcal{B}_0 be a semiabelian scheme over C . Suppose that there exists a line bundle L on \mathcal{B}_0 , which is ample relatively to C , symmetric and trivial along the zero section. Let $\eta_0 := \kappa(H_0)$ and let $\lambda_0 := \kappa(C)$. Note that λ_0 lies over η_0 via π and that λ_0 is also the generic point of C_{η_0} viewed as a subset of C . We suppose that $\mathcal{B}_{0,\lambda_0}$ is an abelian variety over λ_0 .

In the next proposition, we shall need the following lemma, which is well known from the theory of minimal models of curves.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth varieties over t_0 . Suppose also that there is a dense open set $Y_1 \subseteq Y$, such that $\phi|_{Y_1} : \phi^{-1}(Y_1) \rightarrow Y_1$ is smooth. Denote by X^{sm} the maximal open subscheme of X , such that $\phi|_{X^{\text{sm}}} \rightarrow Y$ is smooth.*

Let $\sigma \in X(Y)$ be a section of ϕ . Then $\sigma \in X^{\text{sm}}(Y) \subseteq X(Y)$.

Proof. See [39, Ex. 4.3.25]. \square

Proposition A.2. (a) *There is a natural number n_0 and a dense open set $V \subseteq H_0$ with*

the following properties. For any $x \in V(t_0)$, $\mathcal{B}_{0,\kappa(C_x)}$ is an abelian variety and for any $P_x \in \mathcal{B}_0(\kappa(C_x))$, the point $n_0 \cdot P_x \in \mathcal{B}_0(\kappa(C_x))$ extends to an element of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{0,\kappa(C_x)})^0(C_x)$.

(b) Suppose that C is proper over H_0 . Suppose that there is a set $T_0 \subseteq H_0(t_0)$, which is dense in H_0 and such that for any $x \in T_0$ we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{\kappa(C_x)|t_0}(\mathcal{B}_{0,\kappa(C_x)}) = 0$. Then there is a dense open set $V \subseteq H_0$ and a natural number b_0 such that for all $x \in V(t_0)$, we have $\#\mathrm{Tor}(\mathcal{B}_0(\kappa(C_x))) \leq b_0$.

Here $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{0,\kappa(C_x)})^0$ is the connected component of the identity of the Néron model $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{0,\kappa(C_x)})$ of $\mathcal{B}_{0,\kappa(C_x)}$ over C_x .

Proof. We start with (a). We shall write $\bar{\eta}_0$ for an algebraic closure of η_0 . Consider the semiabelian scheme $\mathcal{B}_{0,\bar{\eta}_0}$ over $C_{\bar{\eta}_0}$. According to [34, Th. 4.2], there is an open immersion

$$\mathcal{B}_{0,\bar{\eta}_0} \hookrightarrow S_1 \tag{10}$$

of $C_{\bar{\eta}_0}$ -schemes, with the following properties: S_1 is a regular scheme, which is projective over $C_{\bar{\eta}_0}$ and the open immersion $\mathcal{B}_{0,\bar{\eta}_0} \hookrightarrow S_1$ is an isomorphism when restricted to the open subset of $C_{\bar{\eta}_0}$ over which $\mathcal{B}_{0,\bar{\eta}_0}$ is an abelian scheme. In particular S_1 is smooth over $\bar{\eta}_0$, since $\bar{\eta}_0$ is perfect. There is a finite field extension $\eta \rightarrow \eta_0$ and a morphism

$$\mathcal{B}_{0,\eta} \rightarrow S \tag{11}$$

of C_η -schemes, which is model of (10). By flat descent, the morphism $\mathcal{B}_{0,\eta} \rightarrow S$ is also an open immersion and S is also smooth over η and projective over C_η . Again by flat descent $\mathcal{B}_{0,\eta} \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism when restricted to the open subset of C_η over which $\mathcal{B}_{0,\eta}$ is an abelian scheme.

We now let $g : H \rightarrow H_0$ be the normalisation of H_0 in η . Slightly abusing notation, we also denote by η the generic point of H . Note that g is a finite morphism (see eg [13, IV.7.8]). We let \mathcal{B} be the semiabelian scheme on C_H obtained by base change and we let λ be the generic point of C_H . Again λ lies over η via the second projection and is also the generic point of the C_η . By an elementary constructibility argument, there is a non empty open set $U \subseteq H$ and an open immersion

$$\mathcal{B}_{C_U} \hookrightarrow \tilde{S}$$

of C_U -schemes, where \tilde{S} is smooth over U and projective over C_U . Furthermore, we may assume that there is an open subset $U' \subseteq C_U$, which surjects onto U , with the property that $\mathcal{B}_{U'}$ is an abelian scheme over U' and that the induced morphism $\mathcal{B}_{U'} \hookrightarrow \tilde{S}_{U'}$ is an isomorphism.

Let N_0 be the supremum of the set of values of the function, which associates with any $q \in C_U$ the number of geometric irreducible components of the fibre \tilde{S}_q of \tilde{S} over q . This function is constructible (see [13, IV.9.7.9]) and so N_0 is finite.

Now let $y \in U(t_0)$. By construction \mathcal{B}_{C_y} is then a generically abelian semiabelian scheme over C_y . We have a canonical C_y -morphism $f : (\tilde{S}_{C_y})^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa(C_y)})$ by the definition of the Néron model. Let $P_y \in \mathcal{B}(\kappa(C_y))$. The section P_y extends uniquely to an element of $(\tilde{S}_{C_y})^{\text{sm}}(C_y)$ by the valuative criterion of properness and Lemma A.1. It also extends uniquely to an element of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa(C_y)})(C_y)$ by the definition of the Néron model. By unicity, these two extensions are compatible with the morphism f . Let $s \in C_y(t_0)$. Since the number of irreducible components of $(\tilde{S}_{C_y})_s^{\text{sm}}$ is $\leq N_0$, we see that the images of the multiples $P_y, 2 \cdot P_y, \dots$ of P_y in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa(C_y)})(s)$ are contained in at most N_0 components of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa(C_y)})_s$. Hence the order of the image of P_y in the component group of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa(C_y)})_s$ is $\leq N_0$. Since s was arbitrary, we see that $N_0! \cdot P_y$ extends to an element of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa(C_y)})^0(C_y)$. Note also (for use in (b) below) that since \mathcal{B}_{C_y} is semiabelian, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa(C_y)})^0(C_y)$ naturally identifies with \mathcal{B}_{C_y} by the unicity of semiabelian extensions.

Finally let V be the open set $H_0 \setminus g(H \setminus U)$. By construction, we have $g^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$. Thus every point of $V(t_0)$ lifts to a point of $U(t_0)$ (since g is finite) and we see that V has the required properties.

For the proof of (b) we first let U be as in the proof of (a). We let $\underline{\text{Sec}}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)$ the functor from locally noetherian U -schemes T to sets, such that

$$\underline{\text{Sec}}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)(T) = \{\text{sections } \sigma \text{ of } \mathcal{B}_{C_T} \rightarrow C_T \text{ such that } \deg((\sigma^*(L))_{C_t}) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in T\}.$$

As \mathcal{B}_{C_U} is quasi-projective over U , this functor is representable by a scheme $\text{Sec}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)$ of finite type over U . See eg [47, Ex. before 5.6.3]. See the proof of Proposition 9.6 for a similar construction. We leave the details to the reader. Now let $x \in g^{-1}(T_0) \cap U$. We have an identification

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sec}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)_x(t_0) &= \text{Sec}_x^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_x}/C_x)(t_0) \\ &= \{P \in \mathcal{B}_{C_x}(C_x) \mid \text{the Néron-Tate height of } P \text{ with respect to } L_{\mathcal{B}_{C_x}} \text{ vanishes}\} \end{aligned}$$

See [44, III.3.2 and 3.3]. Since $\text{Tr}_{\kappa(C_x)|t_0}(\mathcal{B}_{0,\kappa(C_x)}) = 0$, a theorem of Lang (see [11, Th. 9.15]) implies that $\text{Sec}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)_x(t_0)$ consists of torsion sections. Furthermore, by the Lang-Néron theorem, $\text{Sec}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)_x(t_0)$ is finite. Hence $\text{Sec}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)_x$ is quasi-finite. Since quasi-finiteness is a constructible property (see [13, IV.9.6.1 (vii)]) and $g^{-1}(T_0) \cap U$ is dense in U (because g is finite and T_0 is dense in H_0), this implies that the scheme $\text{Sec}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)$ is quasi-finite over an open subset of U . Now replace U by one of its open

subschemes so that $\mathrm{Sec}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)$ becomes quasi-finite over U . Let b_{00} be an upper bound for the cardinality of the fibres of $\mathrm{Sec}_U^0(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U) \rightarrow U$. Using (a), we conclude that we have

$$\#(n_0 \cdot \mathrm{Tor}(\mathcal{B}_0(\kappa(x)))) \leq b_{00}$$

for all $x \in U(t_0)$. In particular $b_{00}! \cdot n_0 \cdot \mathrm{Tor}(\mathcal{B}_0(\kappa(x)))$ is the trivial group. Thus by the structure of finite subgroups of abelian varieties, we have

$$\#\mathrm{Tor}(\mathcal{B}_0(\kappa(x))) \leq (b_{00}! \cdot n_0)^{2 \dim(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)}.$$

and we choose $b_0 := (b_{00}! \cdot n_0)^{2 \dim(\mathcal{B}_{C_U}/C_U)}$. Finally we let as before V be the open set $H_0 \setminus g(H \setminus U)$. By construction, we have $g^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$. Thus every point of $V(t_0)$ lifts to a point of $U(t_0)$ (since g is finite) and we see that V has the required properties. \square

B Ampleness of the Hodge bundle and inseparable points

The terminology of this section is independent of the terminology of the rest of the article and its appendices. In this appendix, we shall prove a mild extension of the main result of [54].

Let k be a perfect field and let S be a geometrically connected, smooth and proper curve over k . Let $K := \kappa(S)$ be its function field. Suppose from now on that k has characteristic $p > 0$.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ be a smooth commutative group scheme and let $A := \mathcal{A}_K$ be the generic fibre of \mathcal{A} . Let $\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the zero-section and let $\omega := \epsilon_{\mathcal{A}/S}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/S}^1)$ be the Hodge bundle of \mathcal{A} over S .

Theorem B.1. *Suppose that \mathcal{A}/S is semiabelian and that A is an abelian variety. Suppose that $\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\omega) > 0$. Then there exists $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such the natural injection $A(K^{p^{-\ell_0}}) \hookrightarrow A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is surjective (and hence a bijection).*

N.B. In [54, Th. 1.1], Theorem B.1 was proven under the assumption that A is principally polarised and that k is algebraically closed. It can be shown that the condition $\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\omega) > 0$ is equivalent to the requirement that ω is an ample bundle (see [54, Introduction] for detailed references).

Proof. Notice first that in our proof of Theorem B.1, we may replace K by a finite extension field K' without restriction of generality. We may thus suppose that A is endowed with an m -level structure for some $m \geq 3$ with $(m, p) = 1$.

If $Z \rightarrow W$ is a W -scheme and W is a scheme of characteristic p , then for any $n \geq 0$ we shall write $Z^{[n]} \rightarrow W$ for the W -scheme given by the composition of arrows

$$Z \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{F_W^n} W.$$

Now fix $n \geq 1$ and suppose that $A(K^{p^{-n}}) \setminus A(K^{p^{-n+1}}) \neq \emptyset$.

Fix $P \in A^{(p^n)}(K) \setminus A^{(p^{n-1})}(K) = A(K^{p^{-n}}) \setminus A(K^{p^{-n+1}})$. The point P corresponds to a commutative diagram of k -schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A \\ & \nearrow P & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } K^{[n]} & \xrightarrow{F_K^n} & \text{Spec } K \end{array}$$

such that the residue field extension $K|_{\kappa(P(\text{Spec } K^{[n]}))}$ is of degree 1 (in other words P is birational onto its image). In particular, the map of K -vector spaces $P^*(\Omega_{A/k}^1) \rightarrow \Omega_{K^{[n]}/k}^1$ arising from the diagram is non zero.

Now recall that there is a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_K^*(\Omega_{K/k}^1) \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore the map $F_K^{n,*}(\Omega_{K/k}^1) \xrightarrow{F_K^{n,*}} \Omega_{K^{[n]}/k}^1$ vanishes. Also, we have a canonical identification $\Omega_{A/K}^1 = \pi_K^*(\omega_K)$ (see [8, chap. 4., Prop. 2]). Thus the natural surjection $P^*(\Omega_{A/k}^1) \rightarrow \Omega_{K^{[n]}/k}^1$ gives rise to a non-zero map

$$\phi_n = \phi_{n,P} : F_K^{n,*}(\omega_K) \rightarrow \Omega_{K^{[n]}/k}^1.$$

The next crucial lemma examines the poles of the morphism ϕ_n .

We let E be the reduced closed subset, which is the union of the points $s \in S$, such that the fibre \mathcal{A}_s is not complete.

Lemma B.2. *The morphism ϕ_n extends to a morphism of vector bundles*

$$F_S^{n,*}(\omega) \rightarrow \Omega_{S^{[n]}/k}^1(E).$$

Proof. (of B.2). First notice that there is a natural identification $\Omega_{S^{[n]}/k}^1(\log E) = \Omega_{S^{[n]}/k}^1(E)$, because there is a sequence of coherent sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{S^{[n]}/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S^{[n]}/k}^1(\log E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow 0$$

where the morphism onto \mathcal{O}_E is the residue morphism. Here the sheaf $\Omega_{S^{[n]}/k}^1(\log E)$ is the sheaf of differentials on $S^{[n]}\setminus E$ with logarithmic singularities along E . See [29, Intro.] for this result and more details on these notions.

We may also suppose without restriction of generality that A is principally polarised. Indeed, consider the following reasoning. By Zarhin's trick, the abelian variety $B := (A \times_K A^\vee)^4$ is principally polarised. Also, B can be endowed with an m -level structure compatible with the given m -level structure on A , since A^\vee is isogenous to A . Let $\mathcal{B} := (\mathcal{A} \times_K \mathcal{A}^\vee)^4$, where (abusing language) we have written \mathcal{A}^\vee for the connected component of the zero-section of the Néron model of A^\vee . The group scheme \mathcal{A}^\vee is also semiabelian, since A^\vee is isogenous to A over K . The morphism $P \times 0 \times 0 \times \cdots \times 0$ (seven times) gives a point in $B^{(p^n)}(K)$ and there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_K^{n,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{B},K}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{n,P \times 0 \times \dots}} & \Omega_{K^{[n]}/k}^1 \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow = \\ F_K^{n,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{A},K}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{n,P}} & \Omega_{K^{[n]}/k}^1 \end{array} \quad (12)$$

where the vertical arrow on the left is the pull-back map induced by the closed immersion $\lambda \mapsto \lambda \times 0 \times 0 \times \cdots \times 0$ (seven times). Now since B is principally polarised, we know that if Lemma B.2 holds for principally polarised abelian varieties, the upper row of the diagram (12) extends to a morphism $F_S^{n,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow \Omega_{S^{[n]}/k}^1(E)$ (note that the set of points, where \mathcal{B} is not complete coincides with the set of points, where \mathcal{A} is not complete). Since $F_S^{n,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a direct summand of $F_S^{n,*}(\omega_{\mathcal{B}})$, we see that Lemma B.2 holds for A if it holds for B , thus completing the reduction of Lemma B.2 to the principally polarised case. \square

The rest of the proof of Theorem B.1 is identical word for word with the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [54] (from the beginning of the proof of Lemma 2.1). \square

C Specialisation of the Mordell-Weil group

The terminology of this section is independent of the terminology of the rest of the article and its appendices.

In this section, we shall prove a geometric analog of Néron's result on the specialisation of the generic Mordell-Weil group to a fibre in a family of abelian varieties over number fields (see [35, chap. 9, Cor. 6.3]). The following results are reminiscent of some results proven by Hrushovski in a mixed characteristic context (see [23]) and they are probably already known to many people but we include complete proofs for lack of a reference.

Let l_0 be an algebraically closed field. Let U be a smooth and connected quasi-projective variety over l_0 . Let \mathcal{B} be an abelian scheme over U . Suppose given an immersion $\iota : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ for some $N \geq 0$. Let K be the function field of U and let $B := \mathcal{B}_K$.

Proposition C.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{B}(U)$ is finitely generated. For almost all linear subspaces $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of codimension $\dim(U) - 1$, the intersection $C := L \cap U$ is smooth, connected, non empty, the specialisation map*

$$\mathcal{B}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_C(C)$$

is injective and $\mathrm{Tr}_{\kappa(C)|l_0}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa(C)}) = 0$.

Recall that the linear subspaces $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of codimension $\dim(U) - 1$ are classified by the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(\dim(U) - 1, N)$, which is smooth and projective over l_0 . The words "almost all" stand for "for all the l_0 -rational points of some dense Zariski open subset of $\mathrm{Gr}(\dim(U) - 1, N)$ ".

Recall that by a theorem of Weil, the restriction map $\mathcal{B}(U) \rightarrow B(K)$ is a bijection. Thus, by the Lang-Néron theorem, the condition that $\mathcal{B}(U) = B(K)$ is finitely generated is equivalent to the condition $\mathrm{Tr}_{K|l_0}(B) = 0$.

For the proof of Proposition C.1, we shall need a few lemmata:

Lemma C.2. *Let N be a finite étale group scheme over U . Let $t \in H_{\mathrm{et}}^1(U, N)$ and suppose that $t \neq 0$. Then for almost all linear subspaces $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of codimension $\dim(U) - 1$, the intersection $C := L \cap U$ is smooth, connected, non empty and the restriction $t_C \in H_{\mathrm{et}}^1(C, N_C)$ of t to C does not vanish.*

Proof. Let $T \rightarrow U$ be a torsor under N . Note that the torsor T is non trivial iff for all the irreducible components T' of T , the (automatically flat and finite) morphism $T' \rightarrow U$ has degree > 1 . The same remark applies to the restriction of T to a smooth and connected closed subscheme of U .

Let (T_i) be the set of irreducible components of T .

By Bertini's theorem in Jouanolou's presentation (see [30, p. 89, Cor. 6.11]), for almost all linear subspaces $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of codimension $\dim(U) - 1$,

- the intersection $C := L \cap U$ is smooth, connected and non empty;

and

- all the $T_{i,C}$ are irreducible.

Let C be in this class. Suppose that $T \rightarrow U$ is not trivial. By construction, the irreducible components of T_C are the $T_{i,C}$. Since $T_{i,C} \rightarrow C$ is flat and finite of the same degree as

$T_i \rightarrow U$, we see that the irreducible components of T_C all have degree > 1 over C . Hence the torsor T_C is not trivial. \square

Lemma C.3. *Let N be a finite étale group scheme over U . Suppose that $N(U) = 0$. Then for almost all linear subspaces $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of codimension $\dim(U) - 1$, the intersection $C := L \cap U$ is smooth, connected, non empty and $N_C(C) = 0$.*

Proof. Let (N_i) be the set of irreducible components of N , excluding the component of the identity. The condition that $N(U) = 0$ is equivalent to the condition that for all i , the morphism $N_i \rightarrow U$ has degree > 1 .

As before, by Bertini's theorem, for almost all linear subspaces $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of codimension $\dim(U) - 1$,

- the intersection $C := L \cap U$ is smooth and connected;

and

- all the $N_{i,C}$ are irreducible.

Let C be in this class. By construction, the irreducible components of N_C outside of the component of the identity are the $N_{i,C}$. Since $N_{i,C} \rightarrow C$ is flat and finite of the same degree as $N_i \rightarrow U$, we see that the irreducible components of N_C outside of the component of the identity all have degree > 1 over C . Hence $N_C(C) = 0$. \square

Lemma C.4. *Let $G \subseteq \mathcal{B}(U)$ be a finite group. For almost all linear subspaces $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of codimension $\dim(U) - 1$, the intersection $C := L \cap U$ is smooth and connected and the reduction map*

$$G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_C(C)$$

is injective.

Proof. Left to the reader. \square

Finally, we need an elementary but very insightful lemma, due to in essence to Néron. The following version is due to Hrushovski (see [23, lemma 1]):

Lemma C.5 (Néron-Hrushovski). *Let $r : G \rightarrow H$ be a map of abelian groups. Let l be a prime number. Suppose that $\text{Tor}_1(H) = 0$ and that the induced map $G/lG \rightarrow H/lH$ is injective. Then $\ker r \subseteq \bigcap_{j \geq 0} l^j G$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \ker r$. Suppose for contradiction that $g \notin \bigcap_{j \geq 0} l^j G$. Let $m \geq 0$ be the smallest natural number such that $g \notin l^m G$. Then there is $g' \in G$ such that $l^{m-1} g' = g$

and thus $r(g') \in \text{Tor}_l(H)$ so that from the assumptions we have $r(g') = 0$. Since the map $G/lG \rightarrow H/lH$ is injective, there is $g'' \in G$ such that $lg'' = g'$. Hence $g = l^m g''$, a contradiction. \square

Proof. (of Proposition C.1). Let l be a prime number such that $\text{Tor}_l(\mathcal{B}(U)) = 0$ and such that l is not the characteristic of l_0 . Note that for any closed subscheme C of U , we have an injection $\delta_C : \mathcal{B}(C)/l\mathcal{B}(C) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(C, \ker [l]_{\mathcal{B},C})$ and this injection is functorial for restrictions to smaller closed subschemes $C_1 \hookrightarrow C$. According to Lemmata C.3, C.2 and C.4, for almost all linear subspaces $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of codimension $\dim(U) - 1$,

- the intersection $C := L \cap U$ is smooth and connected;
- the restriction map $H^1(U, \ker [l]_{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow H^1(C, \ker [l]_{\mathcal{B},C})$ is injective on the image of δ_U ;
- $(\ker [l]_{\mathcal{B},C})(C) = 0$;
- the restriction map $\text{Tor}(\mathcal{B}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(C)$ is injective.

Let C be in this class. By construction, the map $\mathcal{B}(U)/l\mathcal{B}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(C)/l\mathcal{B}(C)$ is injective and $\text{Tor}_l(\mathcal{B}(C)) = 0$. Let F be a free subgroup of $\mathcal{B}(U)$, which is a direct summand of $\text{Tor}(\mathcal{B}(U))$. We have $F \cap (\bigcap_{j \geq 0} l^j \mathcal{B}(U)) = 0$ since $\mathcal{B}(U)$ is finitely generated and F is free. Applying Lemma C.5 to $G = \mathcal{B}(U)$ and $H = \mathcal{B}(C)$, we see that the restriction map $F \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(C)$ is injective. Since the restriction map $\text{Tor}(\mathcal{B}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(C)$ is also injective, we thus see that the restriction map $\mathcal{B}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(C)$ is injective. Finally, we have $\text{Tr}_{\kappa(C)|l_0}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa(C)}) = 0$, for otherwise, we would have $\text{Tor}_l(\mathcal{B}(C)) \neq 0$. \square

References

- [1] Ahmed Abbes, *Réduction semi-stable des courbes d'après Artin, Deligne, Grothendieck, Mumford, Saito, Winters, ...*, Courbes semi-stables et groupe fondamental en géométrie algébrique (Luminy, 1998), Progr. Math., vol. 187, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000, pp. 59–110 (French).
- [2] Dan Abramovich and José Felipe Voloch, *Toward a proof of the Mordell-Lang conjecture in characteristic p* , Internat. Math. Res. Notices **5** (1992), 103–115, DOI 10.1155/S1073792892000126.
- [3] M. Artin and J. S. Milne, *Duality in the flat cohomology of curves*, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 111–129, DOI 10.1007/BF01390135.
- [4] Charles M. Barton, *Tensor products of ample vector bundles in characteristic p* , Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 429–438.
- [5] Jean-Benoît Bost, *Germes of analytic varieties in algebraic varieties: canonical metrics and arithmetic algebraization theorems*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004, pp. 371–418.

- [6] Holger Brenner, Jürgen Herzog, and Orlando Villamayor, *Three lectures on commutative algebra*, University Lecture Series, vol. 42, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. Lectures from the Winter School on Commutative Algebra and Applications held in Barcelona, January 30–February 3, 2006; Edited by Gemma Colomé-Nin, Teresa Cortadellas Benítez, Juan Elias and Santiago Zarzuela.
- [7] Michel Brion, *Some structure theorems for algebraic groups*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics. Arxiv 1509.03059.
- [8] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud, *Néron models*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [9] Jean-Benoît Bost, *Germes of analytic varieties in algebraic varieties: canonical metrics and arithmetic algebraization theorems*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004, pp. 371–418.
- [10] Fabrizio Catanese and Michael Dettweiler, *Vector bundles on curves coming from variation of Hodge structures*, Internat. J. Math. **27** (2016), no. 7, 1640001, 25, DOI 10.1142/S0129167X16400012.
- [11] Brian Conrad, *Chow’s K/k -image and K/k -trace, and the Lang-Néron theorem*, Enseign. Math. (2) **52** (2006), no. 1-2, 37–108.
- [12] A. J. de Jong, *Homomorphisms of Barsotti-Tate groups and crystals in positive characteristic*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), no. 2, 301–333.
- [13] J. Dieudonné and A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **4**, **8**, **11**, **17**, **20**, **24**, **28**, **32** (1960-1967).
- [14] Torsten Ekedahl, *Canonical models of surfaces of general type in positive characteristic*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **67** (1988), 97–144.
- [15] Hélène Esnault and Adrian Langer, *On a positive equicharacteristic variant of the p -curvature conjecture*, Doc. Math. **18** (2013), 23–50.
- [16] Gerd Faltings and Ching-Li Chai, *Degeneration of abelian varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 22, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. With an appendix by David Mumford.
- [17] Barbara Fantechi, Lothar Göttsche, Luc Illusie, Steven L. Kleiman, Nitin Nitsure, and Angelo Vistoli, *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 123, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. Grothendieck’s FGA explained.
- [18] Dragos Ghioca, *Elliptic curves over the perfect closure of a function field*, Canad. Math. Bull. **53** (2010), no. 1, 87–94, DOI 10.4153/CMB-2010-019-9.
- [19] Dragos Ghioca and Rahim Moosa, *Division points on subvarieties of isotrivial semi-abelian varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Not., posted on 2006, Art. ID 65437, 23, DOI 10.1155/IMRN/2006/65437.
- [20] Phillip A. Griffiths, *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds. III. Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **38** (1970), 125–180.
- [21] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

- [22] Ehud Hrushovski, *The Mordell-Lang conjecture for function fields*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. 3, 667–690, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-96-00202-0.
- [23] ———, *Proof of Manin’s theorem by reduction to positive characteristic*, Model theory and algebraic geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1696, Springer, Berlin, 1998, pp. 197–205.
- [24] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [25] Philippe Gille and Patrick Polo (eds.), *Schémas en groupes (SGA 3). Tome I. Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes*, Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], vol. 7, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011 (French). Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962–64. [Algebraic Geometry Seminar of Bois Marie 1962–64]; A seminar directed by M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck with the collaboration of M. Artin, J.-E. Bertin, P. Gabriel, M. Raynaud and J.-P. Serre; Revised and annotated edition of the 1970 French original.
- [26] *Schémas en groupes. II: Groupes de type multiplicatif, et structure des schémas en groupes généraux*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64 (SGA 3). Dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 152, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962/1964.
- [27] Alexandre Grothendieck, *Groupes de Barsotti-Tate et cristaux de Dieudonné*, Les Presses de l’Université de Montréal, Montreal, Que., 1974 (French). Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, No. 45 (Été, 1970).
- [28] Alexander Grothendieck, *Techniques de construction et théorèmes d’existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 6, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995, pp. Exp. No. 221, 249–276 (French).
- [29] Luc Illusie, *Réduction semi-stable et décomposition de complexes de de Rham à coefficients*, Duke Math. J. **60** (1990), no. 1, 139–185.
- [30] Jean-Pierre Jouanolou, *Théorèmes de Bertini et applications*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 42, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1983 (French).
- [31] N. Katz, *Serre-Tate local moduli*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 868, Springer, Berlin, 1981, pp. 138–202.
- [32] Minhyong Kim, *Purely inseparable points on curves of higher genus*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), no. 5, 663–666, DOI 10.4310/MRL.1997.v4.n5.a4.
- [33] Teruhisa Koshikawa, *Overconvergent unit-root F -isocrystals and isotriviality*. arXiv:1511.02884.
- [34] Klaus Künnemann, *Projective regular models for abelian varieties, semistable reduction, and the height pairing*, Duke Math. J. **95** (1998), no. 1, 161–212, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-98-09505-9.
- [35] Serge Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [36] Adrian Langer, *Moduli spaces of sheaves and principal G -bundles*, Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 80, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 273–308.
- [37] ———, *Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 1, 251–276.
- [38] ———, *Generic positivity and foliations in positive characteristic*, Adv. Math. **277** (2015), 1–23, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2015.02.015.

- [39] Qing Liu, *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 6, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Ern e; Oxford Science Publications.
- [40] J. S. Milne, *Algebraic groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 170, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017. The theory of group schemes of finite type over a field.
- [41] ———, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, 2nd ed., BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006.
- [42] James S. Milne, * tale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [43] J. S. Milne, *Abelian varieties*, Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984), Springer, New York, 1986, pp. 103–150.
- [44] Laurent Moret-Bailly, *Pinceaux de vari t s ab liennes*, Ast risque **129** (1985), 266 (French, with English summary).
- [45] David Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 5, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; Oxford University Press, London, 1970.
- [46] David Mumford, John Fogarty, and Frances Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, 3rd ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)], vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [47] Nitin Nitsure, *Construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes*, Fundamental algebraic geometry, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 123, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 105–137.
- [48] John Tate and Frans Oort, *Group schemes of prime order*, Ann. Sci.  cole Norm. Sup. (4) **3** (1970), 1–21.
- [49] Y. G. Zarkhin and A. N. Parshin, *Finiteness Problems in Diophantine Geometry* (Ben Silver, ed.), American Mathematical Society Translations, Series 2, vol. 143, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989.
- [50] Bjorn Poonen and Jos  Felipe Voloch, *The Brauer-Manin obstruction for subvarieties of abelian varieties over function fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 511–532, DOI 10.4007/annals.2010.171.511.
- [51] Michel Raynaud, *Faisceaux amples sur les sch mas en groupes et les espaces homog nes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 119, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970 (French).
- [52] Damian R ssler, *Le groupe de Selmer des isog nies de hauteur un*. arXiv:1904.03687.
- [53] ———, *Infinitely p -divisible points on abelian varieties defined over function fields of characteristic $p > 0$* , Notre Dame J. Form. Log. **54** (2013), no. 3-4, 579–589.
- [54] ———, *On the group of purely inseparable points of an abelian variety defined over a function field of positive characteristic*, Comment. Math. Helv. **90** (2015), no. 1, 23–32.
- [55] ———, *Talk given at IHP on 24/06/2019*, Video. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TKLElpNsyDI>.
- [56] Thomas Scanlon, *A positive characteristic Manin-Mumford theorem*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 6, 1351–1364.

- [57] Jean-Pierre Serre and John Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 492–517, DOI 10.2307/1970722.
- [58] Nicholas Shepherd-Barron, *Miyaoka's theorems on the generic seminegativity of TX and on the Kodaira dimension of minimal regular threefolds*, Astérisque **211** (1992), 103–114.
- [59] Tamás Szamuely, *Corps de classes des schémas arithmétiques*, Astérisque **332** (2010), Exp. No. 1006, viii–ix, 257–286 (French, with French summary). Séminaire Bourbaki. Volume 2008/2009. Exposés 997–1011.
- [60] John Tate, *Finite flat group schemes*, Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995), Springer, New York, 1997, pp. 121–154.
- [61] Yuan Xinyi, *Positivity of Hodge bundles of abelian varieties over some function fields*. arXiv:1808.03960.
- [62] José Felipe Voloch, *Diophantine approximation on abelian varieties in characteristic p* , Amer. J. Math. **117** (1995), no. 4, 1089–1095.