Ellipticity in profinite groups

If f_w is a homomorphism (e.g. when G is an abelian group) then

$$w(G) = G_w = G_w^{*1}.$$

In general,

$$w(G) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_w^{*n}, \tag{1}$$

w has width m in G if $w(G) = G_w^{*m}$

 $m_G(w)$ denotes the least finite m such that whas width m in G; $m_G(w) = \infty$ if there is no such m

G is w-elliptic if $m_G(w)$ is finite G is verbally elliptic if it is w-elliptic for every word w. **Theorem 1** (P. Stroud) Every finitely generated abelian-by-nilpotent group is verbally elliptic.

Theorem 2 (DS) *Every virtually soluble minimax group is verbally elliptic.*

Theorem 3 (P. Stroud) The free two-generator \mathfrak{N}_2 -by-abelian group is not verbally elliptic.

Theorem 4 (A. Rhemtulla) Non-abelian free groups are not w-elliptic for any non-trivial, non-universal word w.

Important earlier contributions were made by *V. A. Romankov* and *K. George*. I will not discuss these results here; they are expounded at length in my book. They exemplify the general principle that verbal ellipticity is some sort of weak commutativity condition.

G profinite: then

$$G = \varprojlim \mathcal{F}(G)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(G) = \{G/N \mid N \triangleleft_o G\}$$

 $N \triangleleft_o G$ means 'N is an open normal subgroup of G'.

Properties of the *topological* group G reflect uniform properties of the family $\mathcal{F}(G)$ of finite groups. In particular:

1. G is (topologically) finitely generated iff d(G) is finite, and

$$\mathsf{d}(G) = \sup\{\mathsf{d}(Q) \mid Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)\}.$$

d(G): the minimal size of a *topological* generating set for G

2. Let w be a word. Then G is w-elliptic iff $m_G(w)$ is finite, and

$$m_G(w) = \sup\{m_Q(w) \mid Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)\}.$$

 $(m_G(w) \text{ and } w(G) \text{ are understood algebraically})$

Proposition 1 (B. Hartley) Let G be a profinite group and w a word. Then G is w-elliptic if and only if w(G) is closed.

Proof. Suppose $m_G(w) = m$ is finite. Then

$$w(G) = G_w^{*m}$$

= $\bigcup G_{+w}^{\varepsilon(1)} \dots G_{+w}^{\varepsilon(m)}$

where $(\varepsilon(1), \ldots, \varepsilon(m))$ ranges over $(\pm 1)^{(m)}$. For each such tuple, the set $G_{+w}^{\varepsilon(1)} \ldots G_{+w}^{\varepsilon(m)}$ is the image of

$$f_w^{\varepsilon} : G^{(k)} \to G$$

(g₁,..., g_m) $\mapsto w(g_1)^{\varepsilon(1)} \dots w(g_m)^{\varepsilon(m)}$

This map is continuous, so its image is compact, hence closed in G. Therefore w(G) is closed.

The converse is a little more subtle: it is an application of the Baire category theorem to the expression (1).

Definition. The word w is *elliptic* in a *family* of groups C if

 $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } m_G(w) \leq m \quad \forall G \in \mathcal{C}.$

A profinite group G is a pro-C group if $\mathcal{F}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Now combining **1.**, **2.** and Proposition 1 we get

Proposition 2 A word w is elliptic in a family of finite groups C if and only if w(G) is closed in G for every pro-C group G. A little history.

Lemma 1 If $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_d \rangle$ is nilpotent then the derived group G' satisfies

$$G' = [G, g_1] \dots [G, g_d].$$

This shows that the word [x, y] is elliptic in the class $\mathfrak{N} \cap \mathfrak{G}_d$ of *d*-generator nilpotent groups. It also implies that the word

$$v(x, y, z) = [x, y]z^p$$

(here p is any prime) is elliptic in $\mathfrak{N} \cap \mathfrak{G}_d$ since

$$v(G) = G'G^p = G' \cdot \{g^p \mid g \in G\}.$$

So Proposition 2 gives

Corollary 1 If G is a finitely generated prop group then the subgroups G' and $G'G^p$ are closed. Any *d*-generator group *H* with v(H) = 1 satisfies $|H| \le p^d$.

Definition. The word w is *d*-locally finite if

$$\beta(w) := |F_d/w(F_d)| < \infty.$$

Lemma 2 Let G be a d-generator profinite group and w a d-locally finite word. If w(G)is closed then w(G) is open.

Proof. If $w(G) \leq N \triangleleft_o G$ then G/N is a finite d-generator group killed by w, so $|G/N| \leq \beta(w)$. Hence there is a smallest such N, call it M, and as w(G) is closed we have

$$w(G) = \bigcap_{w(G) \le N \lhd_o G} N = M.$$

Remark (for later use): it's enough to assume that there is a finite upper bound $\overline{\beta}(d, w)$ for the size of each *finite* quotient of $F_d/w(F_d)$. Anyway, we can now deduce:

• if G is a finitely generated pro-p group then $G'G^p$ is open in G.

This implies that $G'G^p$ has finite index in G, hence $G'G^p$ is again a finitely generated pro-pgroup.

Now let K be any normal subgroup of finite index in G.

Exercise: G/K is necessarily a *p*-group.

Let $\Phi(G) = G'G^p$. Then

 $\Phi^n(G) \le K$

for some n. As $\Phi^n(G)$ is open in G, K is also open. As every subgroup of finite index contains a normal subgroup of finite index, we have proved **Theorem 5** (Serre) *In a finitely generated pro-p group every subgroup of finite index is open.*

Serre's question (1975): *is the same true for finitely generated profinite groups in general*?

Theorem 6 (Nikolov/Segal) In a finitely generated profinite group every subgroup of finite index is open.

Hence

{finite-index subgroups} = {open subgroups}
= base for the neighbourhoods of 1

whence

Corollary 2 In a finitely generated profinite group the topology is uniquely determined by the group structure.

More generally:

• Every group homomorphism from a finitely generated profinite group to any profinite group is continuous.

Lemma 3 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let H be a finite group. Then there exists a d-locally finite word w such that w(H) = 1.

An algebraic theorem:

Theorem 7 (Nik/Seg) Every *d*-locally finite word is elliptic in the class of *d*-generator finite groups.

Proof of Theorem 6. Let G be a d-generator profinite group and K a normal subgroup of finite index in G. There exists a d-locally finite word w such that w(G/K) = 1. Theorem 7 implies that w(G) is closed, and then Lemma 2 shows that w(G) is open. As $K \ge w(G)$ it follows that K is open.

In the same work we established a companion result:

Theorem 8 (Nik/Seg) Let G be a profinite group and H a closed normal subgroup of G. Then the subgroup

$$[G,H] = \langle [g,h] \mid g \in G, h \in H \rangle$$

is closed in G.

Starting with H = G and arguing by induction we infer that each term $\gamma_n(G)$ of the lower central series is closed, and hence get

Corollary 3 For each d and n the word $\gamma_n = [x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is elliptic in the class of d-generator finite groups.

These results suggest the

Definition. The word w is *uniformly elliptic* in a class C if for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $f(w, d) \in$ \mathbb{N} such that

 $m_G(w) \leq f(w, (\mathsf{d}(G)))$

for every finite group $G \in \mathcal{C}$.

As we have seen,

 w is uniformly elliptic in C iff w(G) is closed in G for every finitely generated pro-C group G.

Are all words are uniformly elliptic? No!

V. A. Romankov: G'' is *not closed* in the free 3-generator pro-p group.

So the word $\delta_2 = [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]]$ is *not* uniformly elliptic in *p*-groups.

Identify words with elements of the free group

$$F = F(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$$

on countably many generators.

Theorem 9 (Jaikin-Zapirain) Let p be a prime and let $1 \neq w \in F$. The following are equivalent:

(a) w(G) is closed in G for every finitely generated pro-p group G;

(b) $w \notin F''(F')^p$;

(c) $G/\overline{w(G)}$ is virtually nilpotent for every finitely generated pro-p group G.

Definition. $w \in F$ is a *J*-word if $w \notin F''(F')^p$ for every prime p, or if w = 1.

This is a *necessary* condition for w to be uniformly elliptic in all finite groups. Is it *sufficient*? I don't know.

Definition. \mathcal{Y} denotes the smallest locallyclosed and residually-closed class of groups that contains all virtually soluble groups.

Theorem 10 (i) Let G be a finitely generated profinite group and w a J-word. If $G/w(G) \in \mathcal{Y}$ then w(G) is closed in G.

(ii) Let E be the free profinite group on $d \ge 2$ generators. If w(E) is closed in E then w is a J-word, and G/w(G) is virtually nilpotent for every d-generator profinite group G.

(iii) Let w be a word such that $F/w(F) \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then w is uniformly elliptic in all finite groups if and only if w is a J-word.

Note: $F/w(F) \in \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if the variety defined by w is generated by \mathcal{Y} -groups, for example by finite groups or by soluble groups.

Proof also uses results of Burns, Macedońska and Medvedev about group varieties.

Problem. (Well known!) Let $w = [x, y, \dots, y]$ be an Engel word. Is F/w(F) residually finite?

If the answer is 'yes', then w is uniformly elliptic.

If it is 'no', we have an example of a J-word that is not uniformly elliptic.

Burnside words

 x^q is not locally finite if q is large – negative solution of the Burnside Problem.

Positive solution of the Restricted Burnside Problem (RBP): this fact is invisible in the universe of finite groups!

But: there is a subtle way in which the infinitude of a Burnside group might manifest itself within finite group theory. See next lecture.

Theorem 11 For each $q \in \mathbb{N}$ the Burnside word x^q is uniformly elliptic in all finite groups.

This is the same as saying that the power subgroup G^q is closed in every finitely generated profinite group G. With the positive solution of RBP and a remark above, this in turn is equivalent to **Corollary 4** In a finitely generated profinite group G the power subgroups G^q are open.

In turn, this now implies

Theorem 12 Every non-commutator word is uniformly elliptic in all finite groups.

Proof. A non-commutator word takes the form

$$w = x_1^{e_1} \dots x_k^{e_k} u$$

where $u \in F'$ and at least one of the e_i is nonzero. Say $|e_i| = q > 0$. Let G be a finitely generated profinite group. Then $w(G) \ge G^q$ which is open, so w(G) is open, hence closed.