29. Blow-up equation with u^p nonlinearity

There are many mathematical models of physical problems which result in solutions that develop singularities after a finite time. Sometimes it is a derivative of the solution that diverges to infinity, as with the formation of a shock with the inviscid Burgers' equation ($\rightarrow ref$), and sometimes the function value itself may "blow up". One of the simplest equations of the latter kind is the nonlinear heat (or reaction-diffusion) equation

$$u_t = \Delta u + f(u), \tag{1}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian and the nonlinear term f(u) satisfies $f(u) \to \infty$ as $u \to \infty$.

An extensively studied special case of (1) is the 1D nonlinear heat equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} + u^p \tag{2}$$

with p > 1. For definiteness let us suppose (2) is posed on a finite closed interval with u = 0 at the boundaries and initial condition $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$. The equation is governed by two opposing forces, one of explosive growth and one of diffusion. The linear 1D heat equation $(\rightarrow ref)$ only models diffusion, and all solutions smooth into a hump that decreases to 0. The nonlinear term, u^p , is reminiscent of the ODE $u' = u^p$, which blows up in finite time for positive u_0 . Whether or not the solution of (2) blows up depends on whether the diffusive term or the nonlinear term dominates. If u_0 is small enough, the energy will dissipate, and, after a finite time the solution will behave as if governed only by the linear heat equation. However, if u_0 is large enough the solution blows up at some finite time t^* at some point x^* :

$$u(x^*,t) \to \infty$$
 as $t \to t^*$

The singularity that develops about x^* narrows as $t \to t^*$. Much is known about the structure of this blow-up process. The position and time of blow-up depend upon the initial data, but close to blow-up the solution is almost independent of $u_0(x)$.



Figure 1 demonstrates the growth or decay of the solution to (2) on the interval [0,1] with p=2 and initial data

$$u(x,0) = \alpha \ e^x \sin \pi x, \qquad (3)$$

for various values of α . When α is between 1 and 6, the behaviour is eventually dominated by diffusion. However, as α increases the time it takes for the solution to smooth out increases. At about $\alpha = 6.8485$ the balance shifts, as illustrated in Figures 2 and 3.

If (2) is extended to the entire real line the behaviour may change, since



now sufficiently broad solutions may diffuse arbitrarily slowly. Fujita and Weissler showed that for the *n*-dimensional generalisation of (2), the behaviour depends on the relationship between pand n. For p > 1 + 2/n, sufficiently small initial data may generate bounded solutions valid for all time. For 1 , on the other hand, any positive initial condition leads to blow-upin finite time. In one dimension, the critical exponent is 3, so the behaviour of Figure 2 could nothave been observed for this value <math>p = 2 if the domain had been unbounded.

Along with (2), the other special case of (1) that has been most extensively studied is the equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} + e^u \tag{4}$$

 $(\rightarrow ref)$. The behaviours of (2) and (4) are similar, except that the nonlinear term of (4) does not "shut off" as $u \rightarrow 0$, with the consequence that blow-up occurs in general even on an unbounded domain.

References

C. BANDLE AND H. BRUNNER, Blowup in diffusion equations: a survey, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 97 (1998), 3-22.

M. BERGER AND R. V. KOHN, A rescaling algorithm for the numerical calculation of blowing-up solutions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 51 (1988), 841-863.

C. J. BUDD, W. HUANG, R. D. RUSSELL, Moving mesh methods for problems with blow-up, University of Bristol Mathematics Research Report AM-94-01.

H. FUJITA, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. Math., 16 (1966), 105–113.

H. A. LEVINE, The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems, SIAM Review, 32 (1990), 262-288.

A. A. SAMARSKII, V. A. GALAKTIONOV, S. P. KURDYUMOV AND A. P. MIKHAILOV, Blow-up in quasilinear parabolic equations, de Gruyter, 1995.

F. B. WEISSLER, Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation, Israel J. Math., 38 (1981), 292-295.