

MATH 3TP3 Assignment #4 Solutions

1. Yet more truth tables.
2. (a) I'll give a detailed proof, although I expected and accepted much less detailed answers.

First we prove:

Claim. *Given a truth assignment f , the truth value of α with respect to f is that of σ with respect to the truth assignment f' which is the same as f except that it assigns True to P iff τ is true with respect to f .*

Proof. Inductively assume the claim when σ is replaced by a shorter wff.

If $\sigma = P$, then $\alpha = \tau$ and the claim is clear.

If σ is a different propositional variable, then $\alpha = \sigma$ and the claim is again clear.

If $\sigma = \langle \sigma' \wedge \sigma'' \rangle$, then let α' and respectively α'' be the result of substituting all instances of P in σ' and respectively σ'' with τ ; the inductive hypothesis applies to these. Now $\alpha = \langle \alpha' \wedge \alpha'' \rangle$, and

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \alpha \text{ is true wrt } f' & \iff \\
 \alpha' \text{ is true wrt } f' \text{ and } \alpha'' \text{ is true wrt } f' & \iff \\
 \sigma' \text{ is true wrt } f \text{ and } \sigma'' \text{ is true wrt } f & \iff \\
 \sigma \text{ is true wrt } f. &
 \end{array}$$

The other cases are similar. □

Now we show that α is a tautology. Given a truth assignment f , by the claim α is true wrt f iff σ is true wrt f' ; but σ is a tautology, so it is true wrt f' ; hence α is true wrt f .

- (b) Apply part (a) to 1(i) twice, first replacing P with $\langle P \supset \langle R \wedge P \rangle$ and then Q with $\langle Q \supset P \rangle$.

3. (i) [

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \sim \langle \sim P \vee \sim Q \rangle \\
 \langle \sim \sim P \wedge \sim \sim Q \rangle
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\sim\sim P \\
P \\
\sim\sim Q \\
Q \\
\langle P \wedge Q \rangle \\
\sim\sim \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \\
] \\
\langle \sim \langle \sim P \vee \sim Q \rangle \supset \sim\sim \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \rangle \\
\langle \sim \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \supset \langle \sim P \vee \sim Q \rangle \rangle
\end{array}$$

(ii) Not a tautology, so by the Soundness theorem, no PROP-derivation exists.

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{(iii) [} \\
\langle P \supset \langle Q \wedge \sim Q \rangle \rangle \\
[\\
\sim\sim P \\
P \\
\langle P \supset \langle Q \wedge \sim Q \rangle \rangle \\
\langle Q \wedge \sim Q \rangle \\
[\\
\sim\sim \langle P \supset P \rangle \\
\sim Q \\
] \\
\langle \sim\sim \langle P \supset P \rangle \supset \sim Q \rangle \\
\langle Q \supset \sim \langle P \supset P \rangle \rangle \\
Q \\
\sim \langle P \supset P \rangle \\
] \\
\sim\sim P \supset \sim \langle P \supset P \rangle \\
\langle P \supset P \rangle \supset \sim P \\
[\\
P \\
] \\
\langle P \supset P \rangle \\
\sim P \\
] \\
\langle \langle P \supset \langle Q \wedge \sim Q \rangle \rangle \supset \sim P \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$4. \quad (i) \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} P \\ \sim\sim P \end{array} \right] \\ \langle P \supset \sim\sim P \rangle$$

(ii) trivial

(iii) trivial

$$(iv) \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \langle \sim P \wedge \sim Q \rangle \\ \sim P \\ \left[\begin{array}{l} \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \\ P \end{array} \right] \\ \langle \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \supset P \rangle \\ \langle \sim P \supset \sim \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \rangle \\ \sim \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \end{array} \right] \\ \langle \langle \sim P \wedge \sim Q \rangle \supset \sim \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \rangle$$

$$(v) \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \\ Q \\ \left[\begin{array}{l} P \\ Q \end{array} \right] \\ \langle P \supset Q \rangle \end{array} \right] \\ \langle \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \supset \langle P \supset Q \rangle \rangle$$

(vi) $\vdash \langle \langle \sim\sim P \wedge \sim Q \rangle \supset \sim \langle \sim P \vee Q \rangle \rangle$ by a substitution instance of (iv). So it suffices to find a derivation using this as an axiom:

$$\begin{array}{l}
[\\
\langle P \wedge \sim Q \rangle \\
P \\
\sim \sim P \\
\sim Q \\
\langle \sim \sim P \supset \sim Q \rangle \\
\langle \langle \sim \sim P \wedge \sim Q \rangle \supset \sim \langle \sim P \vee Q \rangle \rangle (axiom) \\
\sim \langle \sim P \vee Q \rangle \\
[\\
\langle P \supset Q \rangle \\
[\\
\sim \sim P \\
P \\
\langle P \supset Q \rangle \\
Q \\
] \\
\langle \sim \sim P \supset Q \rangle \\
\langle \sim P \vee Q \rangle \\
] \\
\langle \langle P \supset Q \rangle \supset \langle \sim P \vee Q \rangle \rangle \\
\langle \sim \langle \sim P \vee Q \rangle \supset \sim \langle P \supset Q \rangle \rangle \\
\sim \langle P \supset Q \rangle \\
] \\
\langle \langle P \wedge \sim Q \rangle \supset \sim \langle P \supset Q \rangle \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
(vii) [\\
\langle \sim P \wedge \sim Q \rangle \\
\sim P \\
[\\
\sim Q \\
\sim P \\
] \\
\langle \sim Q \supset \sim P \rangle \\
\langle P \supset Q \rangle \\
] \\
\langle \langle \sim P \wedge \sim Q \rangle \supset \langle P \supset Q \rangle \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{(viii) [} \\
\quad \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \\
\quad Q \\
\quad [\\
\quad \quad \sim P \\
\quad \quad Q \\
\quad] \\
\quad \langle \sim P \supset Q \rangle \\
\quad \langle P \vee Q \rangle \\
\quad] \\
\langle \langle P \wedge Q \rangle \supset \langle P \vee Q \rangle \rangle
\end{array}$$

(ix) Essentially the same as the last one.

(x) Easy.