

MATH 3TP3 Assignment #7 Solutions

1. Read the derivation carefully, and get a feel for how it works.

2. (i) $\forall x : \forall y : (x + Sy) = S(x + y)$ (Axiom)
 $\forall y : (x + Sy) = S(x + y)$ (Spec)
 $(x + S0) = S(x + 0)$ (Spec)
 $\forall x : (x + 0) = x$ (Axiom)
 $(x + 0) = x$ (Spec)
 $S(x + 0) = Sx$ (Cong)
 $(x + S0) = Sx$ (Trans)
 $Sx = (x + S0)$ (Sym)
 $\forall x : Sx = (x + S0)$ (Gen)

- (ii) $\forall x : (x \cdot 0) = 0$ (Axiom)
 $(S0 \cdot 0) = 0$ (Spec)
 [
 - $(S0 \cdot x) = x$
 - $\forall x : \forall y : (x \cdot Sy) = ((x \cdot y) + x)$ (Axiom)
 - $\forall y : (S0 \cdot Sy) = ((S0 \cdot y) + S0)$ (Spec)
 - $(S0 \cdot Sx) = ((S0 \cdot x) + S0)$ (Spec)
 - $\forall x : Sx = (x + S0)$ (From (i))
 - $S(S0 \cdot x) = ((S0 \cdot x) + S0)$ (Spec)
 - $((S0 \cdot x) + S0) = S(S0 \cdot x)$ (Sym)
 - $(S0 \cdot Sx) = S(S0 \cdot x)$ (Trans)
 - $S(S0 \cdot x) = Sx$ (Cong)
 - $(S0 \cdot Sx) = Sx$ (Trans)
]
 - $\langle (S0 \cdot x) = x \supset (S0 \cdot Sx) = Sx \rangle$ (Fantasy)
 - $\forall x : \langle (S0 \cdot x) = x \supset (S0 \cdot Sx) = Sx \rangle$ (Gen)
 - $\forall x : (S0 \cdot x) = x$ (Induction)

- (iii) This one involves some awkward futzing with variables (see lines 15-19 and 39-44)...
 1. $\forall x : (x + 0) = x$ (Axiom)
 2. $((x + y) + 0) = (x + y)$ (Spec)
 3. $(y + 0) = y$ (Spec)
 4. $\forall x : x = x$ (Axiom)
 5. $x = x$ (Spec)

6. $(x + (y + 0)) = (x + y)$ (Cong)
7. $(x + y) = (x + (y + 0))$ (Sym)
8. $((x + y) + 0) = (x + (y + 0))$ (Trans 2,7)
9. $\forall y : ((x + y) + 0) = (x + (y + 0))$ (Gen)
10. $\forall x : \forall y : ((x + y) + 0) = (x + (y + 0))$ (Gen)

11. [
12. $\forall x : \forall y : ((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$
13. $\forall y : ((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$ (Spec)
14. $((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$ (Spec)
15. $\forall x : \forall y : (x + Sy) = S(x + y)$ (Axiom)
16. $\forall y : ((x + y') + Sy) = S((x + y') + y)$ (Spec)
17. $((x + y') + Sz) = S((x + y') + z)$ (Spec)
18. $\forall y' : ((x + y') + Sz) = S((x + y') + z)$ (Gen)
19. $((x + y) + Sz) = S((x + y) + z)$ (Spec)
20. $S((x + y) + z) = S(x + (y + z))$ (Cong 14)
21. $((x + y) + Sz) = S(x + (y + z))$ (Trans)
22. $\forall y : (x + Sy) = S(x + y)$ (Spec 15)
23. $(x + S(y + z)) = S(x + (y + z))$ (Spec)
24. $S(x + (y + z)) = (x + S(y + z))$ (Sym)
25. $((x + y) + Sz) = (x + S(y + z))$ (Trans 21,24)
26. $\forall y : (y' + Sy) = S(y' + y)$ (Spec 15)
27. $(y' + Sz) = S(y' + z)$ (Spec)
28. $\forall y' : (y' + Sz) = S(y' + z)$ (Gen)
29. $(y + Sz) = S(y + z)$ (Spec)
30. $S(y + z) = (y + Sz)$ (Sym)
31. $x = x$ (Carry 5)
32. $(x + S(y + z)) = (x + (y + Sz))$ (Cong)
33. $((x + y) + Sz) = (x + (y + Sz))$ (Trans 25,32)
34. $\forall y : ((x + y) + Sz) = (x + (y + Sz))$ (Gen)
35. $\forall x : \forall y : ((x + y) + Sz) = (x + (y + Sz))$ (Gen)
36.]
37. $\langle \forall x : \forall y : ((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z)) \supset \forall x : \forall y : ((x + y) + Sz) = (x + (y + Sz)) \rangle$ (Fantasy)

38. $\forall z : \forall x : \forall y : ((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$ (Induction)

39. $\forall x : \forall y : ((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$ (Spec)

40. $\forall y : ((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$ (Spec)
 41. $((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$ (Spec)
 42. $\forall z : ((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$ (Gen)
 43. $\forall y : \forall z : ((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$ (Gen)
 44. $\forall x : \forall y : \forall z : ((x + y) + z) = (x + (y + z))$ (Gen)

(iv) Here's a relatively neat way to get this. Note that in the inductive step, the inductive hypothesis (the premise of the fantasy) isn't actually used!

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \forall x : x = x \\
 & 0 = 0 \\
 & \langle 0 = 0 \supset \langle 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \supset 0 = 0 \rangle \rangle \quad \text{(Tautology)} \\
 & \langle 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \supset 0 = 0 \rangle \\
 & [\\
 & \quad \langle (x \cdot x) = 0 \supset x = 0 \rangle \\
 & \quad [\\
 & \quad \quad (Sx \cdot Sx) = 0 \\
 & \quad \quad \forall x : \forall y : (x \cdot Sy) = ((x \cdot y) + x) \\
 & \quad \quad \forall y : (Sx \cdot Sy) = ((Sx \cdot y) + Sx) \\
 & \quad \quad (Sx \cdot Sx) = ((Sx \cdot x) + Sx) \\
 & \quad \quad \forall x : \forall y : (x + Sy) = S(x + y) \\
 & \quad \quad \forall y : ((Sx \cdot x) + Sy) = S((Sx \cdot x) + y) \\
 & \quad \quad ((Sx \cdot x) + Sx) = S((Sx \cdot x) + x) \\
 & \quad \quad (Sx \cdot Sx) = S((Sx \cdot x) + x) \\
 & \quad \quad S((Sx \cdot x) + x) = (Sx \cdot Sx) \\
 & \quad \quad S((Sx \cdot x) + x) = 0 \\
 & \quad] \\
 & \quad \langle (Sx \cdot Sx) = 0 \supset S((Sx \cdot x) + x) = 0 \rangle \\
 & \quad \forall x : \sim Sx = 0 \\
 & \quad \sim S((Sx \cdot x) + x) = 0 \\
 & \quad \langle \sim S((Sx \cdot x) + x) = 0 \supset \sim (Sx \cdot Sx) = 0 \rangle \\
 & \quad \sim (Sx \cdot Sx) = 0 \\
 & \quad \langle \sim (Sx \cdot Sx) = 0 \supset \langle (Sx \cdot Sx) = 0 \supset Sx = 0 \rangle \rangle \quad \text{(Tautology)} \\
 & \quad \langle (Sx \cdot Sx) = 0 \supset Sx = 0 \rangle \\
 &] \\
 & \langle \langle (x \cdot x) = 0 \supset x = 0 \rangle \supset \langle (Sx \cdot Sx) = 0 \supset Sx = 0 \rangle \rangle \\
 & \forall x : \langle \langle (x \cdot x) = 0 \supset x = 0 \rangle \supset \langle (Sx \cdot Sx) = 0 \supset Sx = 0 \rangle \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall x : \langle (x \cdot x) = 0 \supset x = 0 \rangle$$

3. Consider the structure $\mathbb{N}^* := \langle \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}; S, +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$ where we extend the usual definitions of $S, +, \cdot$ on \mathbb{N} to ∞ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\infty) &:= \infty; \\ x + \infty &:= \infty && \text{for all } x; \\ \infty + x &:= \infty && \text{for all } x; \\ x \cdot \infty &:= \infty && \text{for all } x; \\ \infty \cdot 0 &:= 0; \\ \infty \cdot x &:= \infty && \text{for all } x \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can check that \mathbb{N}^* satisfies the axioms of TNT'. Note that $\infty \cdot 0 = 0$, but $0 \cdot \infty = \infty$... this is necessary to make the axioms work out.

Now does \mathbb{N}^* satisfy

$$\forall x : \langle x = 0 \vee \exists y : Sy = x \rangle?$$

Yes, it does! ∞ *is* the successor of something, namely ∞ !

We can't set $S\infty$ to anything else without contradicting one of the axioms - 0 is not allowed to be a successor, and nothing can be the successor of two distinct elements.

So in fact, the hint I gave in the question was entirely misleading! Sorry about that.

However, our old friend $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{N})$ **does** provide an example. Thanks to Michael Birch for pointing this out!

$$\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{N}) \not\models \forall x : \langle x = 0 \vee \exists y : Sy = x \rangle,$$

since e.g. consider

$$\begin{matrix} 10 \\ 00 \end{matrix}$$

The structure \mathbb{N}^* is still an interesting model of TNT' to consider, though. It demonstrates, for example, that

$$\text{TNT}' \not\models \forall x : \sim Sx = x.$$