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ABSTRACT. For \( n \geq 3 \), the natural map \( \text{Out}(F_n) \to \text{Aut}(K_n) \) from the outer automorphism group of the free group of rank \( n \) to the group of simplicial automorphisms of the spine of outer space is an isomorphism.

§1. Introduction

If a field \( F \) has no non-trivial automorphisms, then the fundamental theorem of projective geometry states that the group of incidence-preserving bijections of the projective space of dimension \( n \) over \( F \) is precisely \( \text{PGL}(n,F) \). In the early nineteen seventies Jacques Tits proved a far-reaching generalization of this theorem: under suitable hypotheses, the full group of simplicial automorphisms of the spherical building associated to an algebraic group is equal to the algebraic group — see [T, p.VIII]. Tits’s theorem implies strong rigidity results for lattices in higher-rank — see [M].

There is a well-developed analogy between arithmetic groups on the one hand and mapping class groups and (outer) automorphism groups of free groups on the other. In this analogy, the role played by the symmetric space in the classical setting is played by the Teichmüller space in the case of case of mapping class groups and by Culler and Vogtmann’s outer space in the case of \( \text{Out}(F_n) \). Royden’s Theorem (see [R] and [EK]) states that the full isometry group of the Teichmüller space associated to a compact surface of genus at least two (with the Teichmüller metric) is the mapping class group of the surface. An elegant proof of Royden’s theorem was given recently by N. Ivanov [Iv]. Ivanov’s proof, which illuminates the analogy with rigidity results for lattices, proceeds via the appropriate analogue of Tit’s theorem: for surfaces of genus at least two, the full group of simplicial automorphisms of the complex of curves [H] is the mapping class group.

One may think of the theorems of Ivanov, Royden and Tits, and indeed the fundamental theorem of projective geometry, as saying that the spaces under consideration are accurate geometric models for the groups being studied — the spaces have the correct symmetries and no others. Our purpose in this paper is to show that the standard geometric model for \( \text{Out}(F_n) \) is also accurate in this sense. Thus we shall prove an analogue of Royden’s theorem for the action of \( \text{Out}(F_n) \) on the spine of outer space.

Outer space \( X_n \) is contractible [CV] and the action of \( \text{Out}(F_n) \) on it is proper but not cocompact. The spine of outer space is an equivariant deformation retract \( K_n \subset X_n \) on which \( \text{Out}(F_n) \) acts properly and cocompactly; \( K_n \) is a simplicial complex and the action of \( \text{Out}(F_n) \) is by simplicial automorphisms. Let \( \text{Aut}(K_n) \) denote the group of simplicial automorphisms of \( K_n \).
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Theorem. For \( n \geq 3 \), the natural map \( \text{Out}(F_n) \to \text{Aut}(K_n) \) is an isomorphism.

The corresponding result is clearly false for \( n = 2 \); indeed \( \text{Aut}(K_2) \) is uncountable.

Tits’s theorem is a vital ingredient in Mostow’s theorem concerning the finiteness of outer automorphism groups of lattices in semisimple Lie groups [M], and in the same spirit one can use Ivanov’s theorem to establish the finiteness of outer automorphism groups of mapping class groups. Also in the same spirit, although the above theorem does not lead directly to a proof that \( \text{Out}(<Out(F_n)> \) is finite, we shall show in a subsequent paper that related ideas can be used to show that in fact this group is trivial (cf. [DF]).

As a direct consequence of our theorem one obtains a fixed point result for actions of lattices on outer space. Let \( G \) be a semisimple Lie group with finite centre and no compact factors and suppose the real rank of \( G \) is at least two. Let \( \Gamma \) be a non-uniform, irreducible lattice in \( G \). Then every homomorphism from \( \Gamma \) to \( \text{Out}(F_n) \) has finite image — see [BF]. Every finite subgroup of \( \text{Out}(F_n) \) has a fixed point in its action on \( K_n \) (see [C]). Thus, as a consequence of the above theorem we get:

Corollary 1.1. Let \( G \) be a semisimple Lie group with finite centre and no compact factors and suppose the real rank of \( G \) is at least two. Let \( \Gamma \) be a non-uniform, irreducible lattice in \( G \). Then every simplicial action of \( \Gamma \) on the spine of outer space has a fixed point.

The analogue of this corollary for actions of lattices on Teichmüller space was proved by Farb and Masur [FM]. In their result one does not need to assume that the lattice is non-uniform; in our setting this assumption is probably just an artifact of the proof.

In the remainder of this introduction we shall outline the proof of the above theorem. It is easy to see that the kernel of \( \text{Out}(F_n) \to \text{Aut}(K_n) \) is trivial; the problem is to show that it is surjective. The complex \( K_n \) is the geometric realization of a poset of finite marked graphs; the maximal elements in the partial ordering are trivalent and the minimal elements are roses, i.e. graphs with a single vertex. \textit{A priori} it is not clear that a simplicial automorphism of \( K_n \) must preserve the partial ordering on the vertices. However the vertices that are neither maximal nor minimal are characterized by the fact that their link can be expressed as a non-trivial join (5.1).

The link of every vertex in \( K_n \) is homotopic to a wedge of \((2n - 4)\) dimensional spheres. In order to distinguish the maximal vertices (trivalent graphs) from the minimal ones (roses) we calculate the number of spheres in these wedges (sections 3 and 4). As a result of these calculations one can see that any simplicial automorphism \( f \) of \( K_n \) must preserve the poset structure. Further calculation of the Euler characteristic of links then shows that \( f \) must preserve the homeomorphism type of marked graphs and from this it follows (after further argument) that if \( f \) leaves the star of a rose \( \rho_0 \) invariant then it acts on the star in the same manner as some element of the stabilizer of \( \rho_0 \) in \( \text{Out}(F_n) \). It follows that given any \( f \in \text{Aut}(K_n) \), we can compose it with a suitable element of \( \text{Out}(F_n) \) and assume that the composition \( f' \) acts trivially on \text{star}(\rho_0).

Any two roses in \( K_n \) can be connected by a sequence of roses that are Nielsen adjacent (see section 2) and we shall prove that because the intersection of the stars of each pair of Nielsen adjacent roses is large, any simplicial automorphism of \( K_n \) that fixes the star of a rose must also fix the star of all Nielsen adjacent roses (5.7). \( K_n \) is the union of the stars of roses, therefore we will have shown that \( f' \) is the identity, and hence \( f \in \text{Out}(F_n) \).
§2. Background

For the convenience of the reader, we briefly outline here the definition and some properties of the spine $K_n$ of outer space.

The spine $K_n$ is a simplicial complex. We first describe the vertices of $K_n$, which are called marked graphs of rank $n$. Fix a standard graph $R_n$ with one vertex and $n$ edges. A marked graph of rank $n$ is an equivalence class of pairs $(g, \Gamma)$, where $\Gamma$ is a connected graph all of whose vertices are at least trivalent, and $g: R_n \to \Gamma$ is a homotopy equivalence; pairs $(g, \Gamma)$ and $(g', \Gamma')$ are equivalent if there is a homeomorphism $h: \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ with $h \circ g \simeq g'$. We also assume that $\Gamma$ has no separating edges.

One may picture $(g, \Gamma)$ as a labelled graph in the following manner (see Figure 1): fix a basis for $F_n \cong \pi_1 R_n$; choose a maximal tree $T \subset \Gamma$ and a base-vertex $x \in T$; each edge $e \subset \Gamma - T$ determines an element $\epsilon \in \pi_1(\Gamma, x)$, and one labels $e$ with the reduced word representing $g^{-1}(\epsilon) \in F_n$. One can recover $(g, \Gamma)$ from this labelled graph, but there are many labelled graphs representing the same marked graph: the labelling depends on the choice of maximal tree and base-vertex as well as the choice of $(g, \Gamma)$ within its equivalence class.
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A set of edges $\phi \subset \Gamma$ is a forest if the corresponding subgraph of $\Gamma$ contains no cycles. Given a forest $\phi \subset \Gamma$, we define $\Gamma_\phi$ to be the graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by collapsing each edge of $\phi$ to a point. The quotient map $q_\phi: \Gamma \to \Gamma_\phi$ is called a forest collapse. Marked graphs represented by $(g, \Gamma)$ and $(g', \Gamma')$ are connected by an edge in $K_n$ if there is a forest $\phi \subset \Gamma$ such that $(g', \Gamma')$ is equivalent to the pair $(q_\phi \circ g, \Gamma_\phi)$. Marked graphs $\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_k$ span a $k$-simplex of $K_n$ if every pair $\gamma_i, \gamma_j$ is connected by an edge.

A special role is played by roses, which are marked graphs $\gamma = (g, \Gamma)$ such that $\Gamma$ has exactly one vertex, and by Nielsen graphs, which are marked graphs $(g, \Gamma)$ such that $\Gamma$ has exactly two vertices, one of which is trivalent with no loops (see Figure 2).

Roses at distance 1 from the same Nielsen graph are called Nielsen adjacent. An edge path in $K_n$ passing only through roses and Nielsen graphs is called a Nielsen path.

If we identify $F_n$ with $\pi_1(R_n)$, an element $\alpha \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ is represented by a unique homotopy class of maps $f_\alpha: R_n \to R_n$. The (right) action of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ on $K_n$ is given by $(g, \Gamma) \cdot \alpha = (g \circ f_\alpha, \Gamma)$. (If one portrays $(g, \Gamma)$ as a labelled graph, then the outer automorphism class of $\psi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ sends $(g, \Gamma)$ to the isomorphic graph in which each label $w$ is replaced by $\psi^{-1}(w)$.) Note that $\text{Out}(F_n)$ acts transitively on roses.
A Nielsen automorphism of $F_n$ is an automorphism given in terms of some basis $A = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ for $F_n$ by sending $u_1 \mapsto u_1 u_2$ and fixing all $u_i$ with $i > 1$. This Nielsen automorphism takes the rose whose petals are labelled by the basis $A$ to a Nielsen adjacent rose. Since Nielsen automorphisms together with the stabilizer of a rose generate $\text{Out}(F_n)$, it follows that any two roses can be joined by a Nielsen path.

Since a simplicial automorphism of $K_n$ must take each vertex to another vertex with an isomorphic link, we study the links of vertices with the aim of characterising $\text{Out}(F_n)$-orbits of vertices by their links. The link of $\gamma = (g, \Gamma)$ is the join $lk(\gamma) = lk_-(\gamma) \ast lk_+(\gamma)$, where $lk_-(\gamma)$ is the full subcomplex spanned by marked graphs which can be obtained from $\gamma$ by forest collapse, and $lk_+(\gamma)$ is the full subcomplex spanned by marked graphs which collapse to $\gamma$. The complex $K_n$ is $(2n - 3)$-dimensional, and it was shown in [V] that $K_n$ is Cohen-Macaulay; in particular the link of every vertex is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres of dimension $2n - 4$. In the first two sections, we estimate the number of spheres in this wedge if $\Gamma$ is trivalent (i.e. a maximal element of $K_n$) and if $\Gamma$ is a rose (a minimal element).

§3. The link of a trivalent marked graph

Let $\gamma = (g, \Gamma)$ be a maximal vertex of $K_n$; thus $\Gamma$ is a connected trivalent graph of rank $n$ (i.e. Euler characteristic $1 - n$), with no separating edges. The link of $\gamma$ in $K_n$ can be identified with the geometric realization of the partially ordered set (poset) $F(\Gamma)$ of all non-empty forests in $\Gamma$.

The functions $e(\Gamma), v(\Gamma), \tau(\Gamma), t(\Gamma)$.

For any graph $\Gamma$ and edge $e$ of $\Gamma$, let $\Gamma - e$ denote the graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by removing $e$, and let $\Gamma/e$ denote the graph obtained by collapsing the edge $e$ to a point. Let $v(\Gamma)$ denote the number of vertices of $\Gamma$, and $e(\Gamma)$ the number of edges. Following [SV], we define $\tau(\Gamma) = \sum_\phi (-1)^{e(\phi)}$, where the sum is over all forests $\phi$ in $\Gamma$, including the empty forest. Thus $\tau(\Gamma) = 1$ if $\Gamma$ is a rose, and $\tau(\Gamma) = 1 - k$ if $\Gamma$ has two vertices with $k$ edges between them. Recall from [SV] the following elementary properties of $\tau$:

1. For any edge $e$ of $\Gamma$ which is not a loop, $\tau(\Gamma) = \tau(\Gamma - e) - \tau(\Gamma/e)$.
2. If $\Gamma$ is the disjoint union of $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$, then $\tau(\Gamma) = \tau(\Gamma_1) \tau(\Gamma_2)$.
3. If $\Gamma$ has a separating edge, then $\tau(\Gamma) = 0$.
4. $\tau(\Gamma) = \chi(|F(\Gamma)|) - 1$, where $|F(\Gamma)|$ is the geometric realization of $F(\Gamma)$.

Let $t(\Gamma)$ be the absolute value of $\tau(\Gamma)$. Note that if $e$ is not a loop, then $t(\Gamma) = t(\Gamma - e) + t(\Gamma/e)$. 

4
Definition. A CW-complex is d-spherical if it is d-dimensional and (d−1)-connected. The rank of a d-spherical complex K is the rank of the free abelian group $\tilde{H}_d(K) := \tilde{H}_d(K; \mathbb{Z})$. Note that if L is a d-spherical subcomplex of a d-spherical complex K, then rank(L) ≤ rank(K).

Lemma 3.1. Let Γ be a finite connected graph. The geometric realization $|F(Γ)|$ of the poset $F(Γ)$ is (v(Γ) − 2)-spherical of rank t(Γ).

Proof. (This is a quantitative version of the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [V].) If e is an edge which is a loop in Γ, then $F(Γ) = F(Γ − e)$, so we may assume Γ has no loops. If Γ has a separating edge e, then $ϕ → ϕ ∪ e → \{e\}$ is a poset map giving a deformation retraction of $|F(Γ)|$ to the point $\{e\}$, so the Lemma is true. In particular, if $e(Γ) = v(Γ) − 1$, the Lemma is true.

If $v(Γ) = 2$, then $t(Γ) = k − 1$, where k is the number of edges joining the two vertices, and $|F(Γ)|$ is a discrete set of k points, hence 0-spherical of rank $k − 1$.

The proof now proceeds by induction on $v(Γ)$ and $e(Γ)$. Fix an edge e of Γ. If e is separating, we are done. If not, the poset map $ϕ → ϕ − \{e\}$ induces a homotopy equivalence from $|F(Γ) − \{e\}|$ to $|F(Γ − e)|$, which is $(v(Γ) − 2)$-spherical of rank $t(Γ − e)$ by induction on $e(Γ)$. The link of $\{e\}$ in $|F(Γ)|$ is isomorphic to $F(Γ/\{e\})$ via the isomorphism $ϕ → ϕ/\{e\}$, and is therefore $(v(Γ) − 3)$-spherical of rank $t(Γ/\{e\})$, by induction on $v(Γ)$. The Van Kampen Theorem and Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $|F(Γ)| = |F(Γ) − \{e\}| \cup st(e)$ now show that $F(Γ)$ is $(v(Γ) − 2)$-spherical of rank $t(Γ − e) + t(Γ/\{e\}) = t(Γ)$.

We now specialize to the case where Γ is connected and trivalent, and estimate $t(Γ)$ in terms of n. Note that in this case $τ(Γ) = χ(|F(Γ)|) − 1 ≤ 0$.

Proposition 3.2. Let Γ be a connected trivalent graph of rank n. Then

$$t(Γ) ≤ 2^{n−1} \Pi_{i=2}^{n} \log_2(i).$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on n. For $n = 2$ there are two connected trivalent graphs Γ, one with $t(Γ) = 0$ and one with $t(Γ) = 2$, so the proposition is true. Now assume that $t(Δ) ≤ M_{n−1} := 2^{n−2} \Pi_{i=2}^{n−1} \log_2(i)$ for all connected trivalent graphs Δ of rank $n − 1$.

Let $k = k(Γ)$ be the length of the shortest edge-cycle in Γ. We will show first that $t(Γ) ≤ k · M_{n−1}$, and then that $k ≤ 2 \log_2(n)$, giving the result.

Claim 1. $t(Γ) ≤ k · M_{n−1}$

Proof. This is shown by induction on k. If $k = 1$, then Γ has a separating edge, so $t(Γ) = 0$.

If $k = 2$, let e be one edge in a cycle of length 2. Then some edge of $Γ/\{e\}$ is a loop; after removing this loop and the consequent single bivalent vertex, we obtain a trivalent graph with rank $n − 1$. Removing a bivalent vertex simply changes the sign of $τ$, leaving $t$ unchanged. The graph $Γ − e$ has two bivalent vertices; after removing these, this graph too becomes trivalent of rank $n − 1$. Thus the formula $τ(Γ) = τ(Γ − e) − τ(Γ/\{e\})$ becomes

$$t(Γ) = t(Γ − e) + t(Γ/\{e\}) ≤ 2 · M_{n−1}.$$
Now suppose $k \geq 3$. Let $e$ be an edge of a shortest cycle in $\Gamma$. The graph $\Gamma/e$ is equal to $\Gamma'/e'$ for a unique graph $\Gamma'$ whose shortest edge-cycle has length $k - 1$ (see Figure 3: collapsing $e$ creates an edge-cycle of length $k - 1$ in $\Gamma/e$; we can then expand $\Gamma/e$ in exactly one way to a trivalent graph $\Gamma'$ without expanding that edge-cycle.)

Note that $e'$ is separating if and only if removing the closure of $e$ disconnects $\Gamma$. If this is the case, replace $e$ by $a_1$. If removing the closure of $a_1$ also disconnects $\Gamma$, then $b$ is a separating edge of $\Gamma$. If removing the closure of $b$ also disconnects $\Gamma$, then $t(\Gamma) = 0$ and the proposition is true. Otherwise, we have that $e'$ is non-separating in $\Gamma'$. The formulas $\tau(\Gamma) = \tau(\Gamma - e) = \tau(\Gamma/e)$ and $\tau(\Gamma') = \tau(\Gamma' - e') - \tau(\Gamma'/e')$ then combine to give

$$\tau(\Gamma) = \tau(\Gamma - e) + \tau(\Gamma') - \tau(\Gamma' - e').$$

After removing the bivalent vertices, $\Gamma' - e'$ is trivalent. Since $e'$ is non-separating $\tau(\Gamma)$, $\tau(\Gamma - e)$, $\tau(\Gamma')$ and $\tau(\Gamma' - e')$ are all negative, and by induction on $k$, $t(\Gamma') \leq (k - 1) \cdot M_{n-1}$. Thus the formula above gives

$$t(\Gamma) \leq t(\Gamma) + t(\Gamma')$$
$$\leq M_{n-1} + (k - 1) \cdot M_{n-1}$$
$$= k \cdot M_{n-1}.$$

**Claim 2.** $k \leq 2 \log_2(n)$

**Proof.** Since $\Gamma$ is trivalent and the shortest cycle in $\Gamma$ has length $k$, the maximal trivalent tree $T_{k-1}$ of diameter $k - 1$ embeds in $\Gamma$. If $k$ is even, $T_{k-1}$ has $2 \cdot 2^\frac{k}{2} - 2$ vertices; if $k$ is odd, $T_{k-1}$ has $3 \cdot 2^\frac{k-1}{2} - 2 = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot 2^\frac{k}{2} - 2$ vertices. In either case, $\Gamma$ has at least $2 \cdot 2^\frac{k}{2} - 2$ vertices. Since $\Gamma$ is trivalent, it has $3v(\Gamma)/2$ edges, and since it has Euler characteristic $(1 - n)$ we have hence $v(\Gamma) = (1 - n) + 3v(\Gamma)/2$, so $\Gamma$ has exactly $2n - 2$ vertices. Thus

$$2n - 2 \geq 2 \cdot 2^\frac{k}{2} - 2,$$

giving $n \geq 2^\frac{k}{2}$, or $2 \log_2(n) \geq k$.  \hfill $\square$
§4. The link of a rose

In this section we shall calculate the homotopy type of the links of roses in $K_n$. The proof will be given in the language of partitions and ideal edges. The reader unfamiliar with $K_n$ is unlikely to see the beautiful geometric picture hidden in this description. We therefore suggest that such readers may wish to look at the pictures on pages 422–428 of [B], which show the link of a rose in $K_3$ and its symmetries.

The link of a rose $\rho$ can be identified with a certain partially ordered set of partitions of the oriented edges of the rose. For the convenience of the reader, we briefly review this below (for details, see [CV]).

**Definition.** Let $A$ be a finite set, and $\alpha = (X \mid A - X)$ a partition of $A$ into two parts (the parts are unordered, so that $(X \mid A - X) = (A - X \mid X)$). The size of $\alpha$ is the minimum of the orders of the subsets $X$ and $A - X$. The partition $\alpha$ is said to be thick if it has size at least two. Two partitions $(X \mid A - X)$ and $(Y \mid A - Y)$ are compatible if one of the following intersections is empty:

$$X \cap Y, \quad X \cap (A - Y), \quad Y \cap (A - X), \quad (A - X) \cap (A - Y)$$

We form a simplicial complex $W(A)$ with vertices the set of thick partitions of $A$. A set of $k + 1$ partitions forms a $k$-simplex if each pair of partitions in the set is compatible.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $A = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ be a set with $k$ elements. Then $W = W(A)$ is $(k - 4)$-spherical of rank $(k - 2)!$.

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on $k$. If $k = 4$, $W$ consists of three mutually incompatible partitions, so is 0-spherical of rank 2.

Let $\alpha$ be the partition $(X \mid A - X)$ with $X = \{p_1, p_2\}$, and let $W_1$ be the subcomplex of $W$ spanned by thick partitions of $A$ which are compatible with $\alpha$. Then $W_1$ is a cone with cone point $\alpha$, so is contractible.

If $\beta$ is not in $W_1$, then $\beta$ can be written as $(X_\beta \mid A - X_\beta)$, with $p_1 \in X_\beta$ and $p_2 \in A - X_\beta$. We add vertices of $W$ to $W_1$ in order of decreasing size of the sets $X_\beta$, as follows:

Let $W_i$ be the subcomplex of $W$ spanned by $W_1$ and $\{\beta \mid \beta \notin W_1 \text{ and } |X_\beta| \geq k - i\}$. We have $W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \ldots \subset W_{k-3} \subset W_{k-2} = W$.

**Claim.** $W_{i-1}$ is a deformation retract of $W_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 3$.

**Proof.** If $\beta \not\in W_1$ and $|X_\beta| \geq 3$, we can define a new thick partition $p(\beta) = (X_\beta - p_1 \mid A - (X_\beta - p_1))$ by “pushing $\beta$ off $p_1$”. If $\beta \in W_i - W_{i-1}$, the intersection of the link of $\beta$ with $W_{i-1}$ is a cone on $p(\beta)$, so is contractible. Since no two vertices of $W_i - W_{i-1}$ are compatible, this shows $W_i$ collapses onto $W_{i-1}$.

The only vertices of $W$ not in $W_{k-3}$ are the $k - 2$ partitions $\beta$ of the form $X_\beta = \{p_1, p_j\}$ with $j \geq 3$. Since $p_1$ and $p_j$ are always on the same side of any partition compatible with $\beta$, the map that sends $p_1$ and $p_j$ to a single point $\bar{p}$ gives a natural isomorphism from the intersection of $W_{k-3}$ with the link of $\beta$ to the complex $W(\{\bar{p}, p_2, \ldots, p_j, \ldots, p_k\})$. By induction, this is $(k - 1)$-spherical of rank $(k - 3)!$. Since $W_{k-3}$ is contractible, the Van Kampen and Mayer Vietoris theorems apply to give the result. $\Box$
Ideal edges.

A rose \( \rho = (g, R) \) is determined by a basis \( B = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \) of \( F_n \), up to permutation and inversion of the basis elements. We identify the set of oriented edges of \( R \) with the set \( B \cup B^{-1} \), which we denote \( E(\rho) \). Recall from [CV] that a thick partition \( (X | E(\rho) - X) \) of \( E(\rho) \) is called an ideal edge of \( \rho \) if the partition separates some \( a_i \) from its inverse.

The link of \( \rho \) can be identified with the barycentric subdivision of the subcomplex of \( W(E(\rho)) \) spanned by the ideal edges. To see this, note that a marked graph \( \gamma = (g, \Gamma) \) is in the link of \( \rho \) if and only if there is a maximal tree \( T \) in \( \Gamma \) with the oriented edges of \( \Gamma - T \) labeled by the elements of \( E(\rho) \). To each edge \( e \) of \( T \), we associate a partition of \( E(\rho) \): two elements of \( E(\rho) \) are in the same subset of the partition if the corresponding oriented edges of \( \Gamma \) terminate in the same component of \( T - e \). The set of partitions obtained from edges of \( T \) are pairwise compatible. They are thick since \( \Gamma \) has no univalent or bivalent vertices, and are “ideal” since \( \Gamma \) has no separating edges.

The marked graph \( (g, \Gamma) \) can be reconstructed from the associated set of ideal edges of \( E(\rho) \). For example, the ideal edge \( \alpha = (X | E(\rho) - X) \) corresponds to the graph \( \Gamma(\alpha) \) which has two vertices joined by an unlabelled edge, has oriented edges labelled by elements of \( X \) terminating at one vertex, and oriented edges labelled by elements of \( E(\rho) - X \) terminating at the other vertex (see Figure 4). An ideal edge \( \alpha \) has size 2 if and only if the graph \( \Gamma(\alpha) \) is a Nielsen graph in the link of \( \rho \).

\[
\alpha = \{\{a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, a_2^{-1}, a_3, a_3^{-1}\}, \{a_4, a_4^{-1}\}\}
\]

Figure 4

Let \( \Gamma(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \) denote the marked graph in the link of \( \rho \) determined by a set \( \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\} \) of pairwise compatible ideal edges of \( \rho \). If \( \alpha_i = (X_i | E(\rho) - X_i) \), it will be sometimes convenient to replace the notation \( \Gamma(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \) by \( \Gamma_\rho(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \). If \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are compatible ideal edges, note that \( \Gamma(\alpha) \) and \( \Gamma(\beta) \) have distance 2 in the link of \( \rho \), since they are joined by the path \( \Gamma(\alpha) \to \Gamma(\alpha, \beta) \to \Gamma(\beta) \) (i.e. they have distance 1 in the complex \( W(E(\rho)) \)).

Motivated by the above description of the link of a rose, we now consider finite sets \( A \) where some of the elements are paired. Define a thick partition \( \alpha = (X | A - X) \) to be
ideal if neither $X$ nor $A - X$ is a union of pairs, and let $W_\pi(A)$ be the subcomplex of $W(A)$ spanned by ideal partitions. By Theorem 3.1 of [V], $W_\pi(A)$ is always $(|A| - 4)$-spherical. Since $lk(\rho)$ can be identified with the barycentric subdivision of $W_\pi(E(\rho))$, where each edge in $E(\rho)$ is paired with its inverse, we are particularly interested in sets of the form $A_{2k} = \{p_1, p_1^+, \ldots, p_k, p_k^\pm\}$, where all elements are paired. To help with the induction, we also consider sets $A_{2k-1} = \{p_1, p_2, p_2^\pm, \ldots, p_k, p_k^\pm\}$, where all but one of the elements are paired.

**Proposition 4.2.** For $n = 2k$ or $n = 2k - 1$, $W_\pi(A_n)$ is $(n - 4)$-spherical of rank at least $(2k - 3) \cdot \text{rank}(W_\pi(A_{n-1}))$.

**Proof.** The fact that $W_\pi(A_n)$ is $(n - 4)$-spherical is proved in [V]. It remains to prove the rank statement.

Let $\alpha = (X | A_n - X)$, with $X = \{p_1, p_2\}$. Exactly as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we see that the subcomplex $W_{n-3}$ of $W_\pi(A)$ spanned by ideal partitions $\beta$ which are either compatible with $\alpha$ or “pushable” off $p_1$ is contractible.

Ideal partitions of the form $\beta = (X_\beta, A_n - X_\beta)$ with $X_\beta = \{p_1, p_2^\pm\}$ or $X_\beta = \{p_1, p_i^\pm\}, i \geq 3$ are not in $W_{n-3}$. The intersection of the link of any such $\beta$ with $W_{n-3}$ is $(n-5)$-spherical by [V], and contains $W_\pi(A_{n-1})$ as an $(n-5)$-spherical subcomplex. Therefore, for both $n = 2k$ and $n = 2k - 1$ the subcomplex $V$ of $W_\pi(A_n)$ spanned by $W_{n-3}$ and these $2n - 3$ vertices $\beta$ is $(n - 4)$-spherical of rank at least $(2k - 3) \cdot \text{rank}(W_\pi(A_{n-1}))$. Since $W_\pi(A_n)$ is itself $(n - 4)$-spherical, we have rank($W_\pi(A_n)$) $\geq (2k - 3) \cdot \text{rank}(W_\pi(A_{n-1}))$.

**Corollary 4.3.** For $n \geq 2$, the link of a rose is $(2n - 4)$-spherical of rank at least $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(2k - 1)^2$. The inequality is strict for $n \geq 3$.

**Proof.** The link of a rose is the barycentric subdivision of $W_\pi(E(\rho))$ with the natural pairing of each edge with its inverse. If $n = 2$, $W_\pi(E(\rho))$ consists of exactly two points, so has rank 1. The corollary is now immediate from Proposition 4.2.

---

### §5. Simplicial automorphisms of $K_n$

The following proposition shows that a simplicial automorphism cannot take a rose or trivalent graph to a vertex of $K_n$ of any other type.

We emphasize that in the following discussion, as elsewhere, distance (hence diameter etc.) is combinatorial distance in the 1-skeleton of the complex under consideration.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $\gamma = (g, \Gamma)$ be a vertex of $K_n$. If $\Gamma$ is not a rose or a trivalent graph, then $lk(\gamma)$ has diameter 2. If $\Gamma$ is a rose or a trivalent graph, $lk(\gamma)$ has diameter $> 2$.

**Proof.** In general, $lk(\gamma)$ is the join of the “lower link” $lk_-(\gamma)$, consisting of marked graphs which can be obtained from $\gamma$ by a forest collapse, and the “upper link” $lk_+(\gamma)$, consisting of marked graphs which collapse to $\gamma$. If $\Gamma$ is neither trivalent nor a rose, then both $lk_-(\gamma)$ and $lk_+(\gamma)$ are non-empty, so the join $lk_-(\gamma) \ast lk_+(\gamma)$ has diameter 2.

If $\Gamma$ is a rose we have seen that $lk(\gamma) = lk_+(\gamma)$ can be identified with the geometric realization of the poset of sets of pairwise-compatible ideal edges in $\gamma$. If $\alpha$ is an ideal edge of $\gamma$, the graphs at distance 1 from $\Gamma(\alpha)$ are all of the form $\Gamma(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$, with $\alpha_1 = \alpha$
and $\alpha_i$ compatible with $\alpha$ for $i > 1$. Therefore, if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are ideal edges of $\gamma$ which are not compatible, the graphs $\Gamma(\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\beta)$ have distance greater than 2 in the link.

If $\Gamma$ is trivalent, we have seen that $lk(\gamma) = lk_-(\gamma)$ can be identified with the geometric realization of the poset of forests in $\Gamma$. Let $T$ be a maximal tree in $\Gamma$, and $e$ an edge of $\Gamma$ which is not a loop and is not in $T$ (such an edge exists because $\Gamma$ has no separating edges). Then $d(e, T) > 2$, since there is no forest which is both contained in $T$ and contains $e$.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 5.2.** Every simplicial automorphism of $K_n$ takes roses to roses.

**Proof.** By Proposition 5.1, a rose must be sent either to another rose or to a trivalent graph. By Propositions 3.2 and 4.3, the link of a rose is not homotopy equivalent to the link of any trivalent graph for all $n \geq 4$, since $(2n - 3)! > 2^{n-1} \Pi_{k=2}^n \log_2(k)$. The link of a rose is not isomorphic to the link of any trivalent graph by inspection if $n < 4$. (For $n = 2$ this is trivial. For $n = 3$ one can see, for example, that roses have 72 neighbouring vertices whereas trivalent graphs have at most 16 neighbours.) \[\square\]

**Proposition 5.3.** Every simplicial automorphism of $K_n$ preserves the poset order on the 0-skeleton. In particular, simplicial automorphisms preserve the number of vertices in a marked graph.

**Proof.** There is one rose in the star of $\gamma = (g, \Gamma)$ for each maximal tree in $\Gamma$. Suppose $\gamma$ is obtained from $\gamma' = (\Gamma', g')$ by collapsing an edge $e$. Then the roses in the link of $\gamma$ correspond to maximal trees of $\Gamma'$ which contain $e$. Since $e$ is not separating, there is a maximal tree of $\Gamma'$ which does not contain $e$; this corresponds to a rose in the link of $\gamma'$ that is not in the link of $\gamma$. This shows that for any $\gamma < \eta$, the link of $\eta$ contains more roses than the link of $\gamma$; since a simplicial automorphism sends roses to roses by Corollary 5.2, it must therefore preserve the partial ordering. The fact that the number of vertices in $\Gamma$ is preserved follows since a maximal chain must be sent to a maximal chain, and every marked graph can be put in a maximal chain. \[\square\]

**Proposition 5.4.** Every simplicial automorphism of $K_n$ preserves the homeomorphism type of two-vertex graphs.

**Proof.** Let $\gamma = (g, \Gamma)$ have two vertices $v$ and $w$ with $r$ edges between them, $s$ loops at $v$ and $t = n - r - s + 1$ loops at $w$. Then there are $r$ roses in the link of $\gamma$, so a simplicial automorphism must take $\gamma$ to another marked graph with the same value of $r$. We now fix $r$ and count the number of three-vertex graphs in the link of $\gamma$. We do this by choosing a rose $\rho$ in the link of $\gamma$ and writing $\gamma = \Gamma(\alpha)$ for an ideal edge $\alpha = (X \mid E(\rho) - X)$; we then count the number of ideal edges compatible with $\alpha$. Any ideal edge compatible with $\alpha$ can be written $(Y \mid E(\rho) - Y)$, with either $Y \subset X$ or $Y \subset E(\rho) - X$. Interchanging $X$ and $E(\rho) - X$ if necessary, we may assume $X$ has $2s + r - 1$ elements. We count the number of subsets $Y$ of $X$ which give ideal edges to get

$$[2^{2s+r-1} - 2 - (2s + r - 1)] - (2^s - 1)$$
ideal edges. Adding the number of allowable subsets $Y$ of $E(\rho) - X$ gives
\[
h(r, s, t) = 2^{2s+r-1} + 2^{2t+r-1} - 2^s - 2^t - 2r + 2s + 2t - 4
\]
\[
= 2^{r-1}(2^{2s} + 2^{2t}) - (2^s + 2^t) - 2n - 2.
\]
Since $r$ is fixed, $s + t = n + 1 - r$ is constant, so $2^{n+r-1} = 2^s2^t = C$ is constant. Set $u = 2^s$, so $2^t = C/u$. Writing $h$ as a function of $u$, we get
\[
h(u) = 2^{r-1}(u^2 + (C/u)^2) - (u + C/u) - 2n - 2.
\]
The function $h(u)$ has a local minimum at $u = 2^{n+r-1}$ and no other positive real critical points. Since $2^s$ is positive for all $s$, this shows that $h$ is monotone decreasing as a function of $s$, for $s \leq \frac{n+r-1}{2}$. In particular, different values of $s$ give different values of $h$, for $s \leq \frac{n+r-1}{2}$, so that non-homeomorphic graphs with a fixed value for $r$ contain different numbers of trivalent graphs in their links. 

**Stabilizers.**

In the proofs that follow we shall need to understand the stabilizers in $Out(F_n)$ of certain marked graphs $(g, \Gamma) \in K_n$. In each case the description that we shall give is an easy consequence of the fact that this stabilizer is precisely the full automorphism group of the graph $\Gamma$. (This follows easily from [C] and the description of the action that we gave in section 1.)

**Lemma 5.5.** If a simplicial automorphism $f$ of the link of a rose $\rho$ fixes all Nielsen graphs in the link, then $f$ fixes the entire link.

**Proof.** Any ideal edge $\alpha$ of $\rho$ is determined by the set of size two ideal edges of $\rho$ with which it is compatible, i.e. the set of Nielsen graphs at distance two from $\Gamma(\alpha)$ in $lk(\rho)$. If all Nielsen graphs are fixed by $f$, then $\Gamma(\alpha)$ must also be fixed by $f$. An arbitrary graph $\Gamma(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ in the link of $\rho$ is the unique graph at distance 1 from all $\Gamma(\alpha_i)$, so must also be fixed by $f$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 5.6.** If a simplicial automorphism $f$ of $K_n$ fixes a rose $\rho$, then the composition of $f$ with some element of $stab(\rho) \subset Out(F_n)$ fixes the entire star of $\rho$.

**Proof.** Let $G_0$ be the stabilizer of $\rho$ under the action of $Out(F_n)$, and let $E(\rho) = \{a_1, a_1^{-1}, \ldots, a_n, a_n^{-1}\}$. Then $G_0 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n S_n$ is a wreath product: $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ is generated by the $n$ “flips” interchanging $a_i$ and $a_i^{-1}$, and the symmetric group $S_n$ permutes these flips.

The group $G_0$ acts transitively on Nielsen graphs in the link of $\rho$, so we may assume $f$ fixes $\Gamma_\rho(\{a_1, a_2\})$. (Here we use the alternate notation $\Gamma_\rho(\{a_1, a_2\}) = \Gamma(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = (\{a_1, a_2\} \mid \{E(\rho) - \{a_1, a_2\}\}$.)

The graph $\Gamma' = \Gamma_\rho(\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}\})$ (see Figure 5) is the only 3-vertex graph in the link of $\rho$ which is at distance 1 from $\Gamma_\rho(\{a_1, a_2\})$, connects $\Gamma_\rho(\{a_1, a_2\})$ to another Nielsen graph in the link of $\rho$, and has only five roses in its link. (The marked graphs of the form $\Gamma_\rho(\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_{i}^{\pm1}, a_{j}^{-1}\}$ or $\Gamma_\rho(\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_{i}^{-1}, a_{j}^{\pm1}\}$ have six roses in their link,
while those of the form \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1,a_2\},\{a_i^{\pm 1},a_j^{\pm 1}\}) \) have eight. Therefore \( \Gamma' \) must be fixed by \( f \), which implies in turn that \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1^{-1},a_2^{-1}\}) \) must be fixed by \( f \), because it is the only Nielsen graph in \( st(\rho) \) other than \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1,a_2\}) \) that is adjacent to \( \Gamma' \).

The graphs \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1,a_2^{-1}\}) \) and \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1^{-1},a_2\}) \) are the only Nielsen graphs in the link of \( \rho \) which are at distance more than 2 from both \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1,a_2\}) \) and \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1^{-1},a_2^{-1}\}) \), since distance 2 is equivalent to compatibility of the corresponding ideal edges. The automorphism interchanging \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) fixes \( \rho \), \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1,a_2\}) \) (and \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1^{-1},a_2^{-1}\}) \)), and interchanges \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1,a_2^{-1}\}) \) and \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1^{-1},a_2\}) \); so we may assume \( f \) fixes both of these last two vertices.

Let \( G_1 \) be the intersection of \( G_0 \) with the stabilizers of \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1,a_2\}) \) and \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1,a_2^{-1}\}) \). Then \( G_1 \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-2} \). \( S_{n-2} \) is a wreath product: \( (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-2} \) is generated by the \((n-2) \) “flips” interchanging \( a_i \) and \( a_i^{-1} \), where \( i = 3,\ldots,n \), and the symmetric group permutes these flips. Note that \( G_1 \) acts transitively on graphs of the form \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_2,a_3^{\pm 1}\}) \), with \( j \geq 3 \). Therefore we may assume \( f \) fixes \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_2,a_3\}) \), and hence \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_2,a_3^{-1}\}) \).

The graph \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_2,a_3^{-1}\}) \) is the only Nielsen graph in the star of \( \rho \) which is at distance more than 2 from \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1,a_2\}) \), \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_1^{-1},a_2\}) \) and \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_2^{-1},a_3\}) \), so must be fixed by \( f \); consequently, \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_2^{-1},a_3\}) \) must also be fixed by \( f \).

Continuing in this manner, we may assume \( f \) fixes \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_i^{\pm 1},a_{i+1}^{\pm 1}\}) \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \). Now for any \( a = a_i^{\pm 1} \) and \( b = a_j^{\pm 1} \) with \( i < j \), \( \Gamma\rho(\{a,b\}) \) is the only graph at distance more than 2 from all of \( \Gamma\rho(\{a,a_{i+1}\}) \), \( \Gamma\rho(\{a,a_{i+1}^{-1}\}) \), \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_{j-1},b\}) \) and \( \Gamma\rho(\{a_{j-1}^{-1},b\}) \), so must be fixed by \( f \). Thus we may assume that \( f \) fixes all Nielsen graphs in the link of \( \rho \).

By Lemma 5.5, this implies that \( f \) fixes the entire star of \( \rho \). \( \square \)
Proposition 5.7. Let $f$ be a simplicial automorphism of $K_n$ which fixes the star of a rose $\rho$. Then $f$ is the identity on $K_n$.

Proof. Since $K_n$ is the union of the stars of roses, and every rose is connected to $\rho$ by a Nielsen path, it suffices to show that $f$ fixes the star of any rose $\rho'$ which is Nielsen adjacent to $\rho$.

Let $\rho'$ be Nielsen adjacent to $\rho$, and let $\gamma$ be the Nielsen graph at distance 1 from both $\rho$ and $\rho'$. The link of $\gamma$ contains exactly three roses, $\rho$, $\rho'$ and $\rho''$, so a simplicial automorphism fixing $\rho$ must either fix $\rho'$ and $\rho''$ or interchange them. If $E(\rho) = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}, X\}$, with $\gamma = \Gamma_\rho(\{a, b\})$, then $E(\rho') = \{a, \bar{a}, ab, b\bar{a}, X\}$ and $E(\rho'') = \{b, \bar{b}, ab, b\bar{a}, X\}$ (see Figure 6).

![Figure 6](image-url)

The graph $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_\rho(\{a, b\}, \{a, \bar{a}, b\})$ in the star of $\rho$ has only two graphs in its link homeomorphic to $\Gamma_\rho(\{a, \bar{a}, b\})$; since $\Gamma_\rho(\{a, \bar{a}, b\})$ is fixed by $f$, the other one, which is $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{\rho'}(\{a, \bar{a}, b\bar{a}\})$, must also be fixed by $f$. The graph $\Gamma_2$ is in the link of $\rho'$ but is not in the link of $\rho''$, showing that $f$ cannot interchange $\rho'$ and $\rho''$.

Since $f$ fixes the star of $\rho$, it must fix $st_+(\gamma) = st(\rho) \cap st(\rho')$. 
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Note that $\Gamma_\rho({a, b}) = \Gamma_{\rho'}({b, a})$. If an ideal edge $\beta = (X_\beta | E(\rho') - X_\beta)$ of $E(\rho')$ is compatible with $({a, b})|E(\rho')-({a, b})$, then $f$ fixes $\rho'$, $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$ and $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$, $X_\beta \in st_{\gamma}(\gamma)$, so must fix $\Gamma_{\rho'}(X_\beta)$, since that is the only other 2-vertex graph in $lk(\rho')$ adjacent to $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$ and $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$. The graphs $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$ and $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$ are the only graphs which are at distance more than 2 in $lk(\rho')$ from both $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$ and $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$, so $f$ must either fix or interchange them. But $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$ has distance 2 from $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b, b})$, which is fixed by $f$, as we saw above, while $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$ has distance greater than 2 from $\Gamma_2$. Therefore $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$ and $\Gamma_{\rho'}({a, b})$ must both be fixed by $f$. We now proceed as in the proof of Proposition 5.6 to conclude that every Nielsen graph $\Gamma_{\rho'}(X)$ is fixed by $f$, and therefore by Lemma 5.5, the entire star of $\rho'$ is fixed by $f$. \hfill $\Box$

**Theorem 5.8.** Every simplicial automorphism $f$ of $K_n$ is given by the action of an element of $Out(F_n)$.

**Proof.** By Corollary 5.2, $f$ sends the standard rose $\rho_0$ to another rose $\rho$. There is an outer automorphism $\phi_0$ of $F_n$ taking $\rho$ back to $\rho_0$, so that $\phi_0 \circ f$ fixes $\rho_0$. By Proposition 5.6, we can choose $\phi_1$ in the stabilizer of $\rho_0$ so that $\phi_1 \circ \phi_0 \circ f$ fixes $st(\rho_0)$. By Proposition 5.7, $\phi_1 \circ \phi_0 \circ f$ is the identity on $K_n$, i.e. $f = \phi_0^{-1} \circ \phi_1^{-1}$. \hfill $\Box$
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