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#### Abstract

We prove that any graph on $n$ vertices with max degree $d$ has at most $q\binom{d+1}{3}+\binom{r}{3}$ triangles, where $n=q(d+1)+r, 0 \leq r \leq d$. This resolves a conjecture of Gan-Loh-Sudakov.


## 1 Introduction

Fix positive integers $d$ and $n$ with $d+1 \leq n \leq 2 d+1$. Galvin [7] conjectured that the maximum number of cliques in an $n$-vertex graph with maximum degree $d$ comes from a disjoint union $K_{d+1} \sqcup K_{r}$ of a clique on $d+1$ vertices and a clique on $r:=n-d-1$ vertices. Cutler and Radcliffe [4] proved this conjecture. Engbers and Galvin [6] then conjectured that, for any fixed $t \geq 3$, the same graph $K_{d+1} \sqcup K_{r}$ maximizes the number of cliques of size $t$, over all $(d+1+r)$-vertex graphs with maximum degree $d$. Engbers and Galvin [6]; Alexander, Cutler, and Mink [1]; Law and McDiarmid [11]; and Alexander and Mink [2] all made progress on this conjecture before Gan, Loh, and Sudakov [9] resolved it in the affirmative. Gan, Loh, and Sudakov then extended the conjecture to arbitrary $n \geq 1$ (for any $d$ ).

Conjecture (Gan-Loh-Sudakov Conjecture). Any graph on $n$ vertices with maximum degree $d$ has at most $q\binom{d+1}{3}+\binom{r}{3}$ triangles, where $n=q(d+1)+r, 0 \leq r \leq d$.

They showed their conjecture implies that, for any fixed $t \geq 4$, any max-degree $d$ graph on $n=$ $q(d+1)+r$ vertices has at most $q\binom{d+1}{t}+\binom{r}{t}$ cliques of size $t$. In other words, considering triangles is enough to resolve the general problem of cliques of any fixed size.
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The Gan-Loh-Sudakov conjecture (GLS conjecture) has attracted substantial attention. Cutler and Radcliffe [5] proved the conjecture for $d \leq 6$ and showed that a minimal counterexample, in terms of number of vertices, must have $q=O(d)$. Gan [8] proved the conjecture if $d+1-\frac{9}{4096} d \leq r \leq d$ (there are some errors in his proof, but they can be mended). Using fourier analysis, the author [3] proved the conjecture for Cayley graphs with $q \geq 7$. Kirsch and Radcliffe [10] investigated a variant of the GLS conjecture in which the number of edges is fixed instead of the number of vertices (with still a maximum degree condition).

In this paper, we fully resolve the Gan-Loh-Sudakov conjecture.
Theorem 1. For any positive integers $n, d \geq 1$, any graph on $n$ vertices with maximum degree $d$ has at most $q\binom{d+1}{3}+\binom{r}{3}$ triangles, where $n=q(d+1)+r, 0 \leq r \leq d$.

Analyzing the proof shows that $q K_{d+1} \sqcup K_{r}$ is the unique extremal graph if $r \geq 3$, and that $q K_{d+1} \sqcup H$, for any $H$ on $r$ vertices, are the extremal graphs if $0 \leq r \leq 2$.

The heart of the proof is the following Lemma, of independent interest, which says that, in any graph, we can find a closed neighborhood whose removal from the graph removes few triangles. Theorem 1 will follow from its repeated application.

Lemma 1. In any graph $G$, there is a vertex $v$ whose closed neighborhood meets at most $\binom{d(v)+1}{3}$ triangles.

As mentioned above, Theorem 1, together with the work of Gan, Loh, and Sudakov [9], yields the general result, for cliques of any fixed size.

Theorem 2. Fix $t \geq 3$. For any positive integers $n, d \geq 1$, any graph on $n$ vertices with maximum degree $d$ has at most $q\binom{d+1}{t}+\binom{r}{t}$ cliques of size $t$, where $n=q(d+1)+r, 0 \leq r \leq d$.

Theorem 2 gives another proof of (the generalization of) Galvin's conjecture (to $n \geq 2 d+2$ ) that a disjoint union of cliques maximizes the total number of cliques in a graph with prescribed number of vertices and maximum degree.

Finally, the author would like to point out a connection to a related problem, that of determining the minimum number of triangles that a graph of fixed number of vertices $n$ and prescribed minimum degree $\delta$ can have. The connection stems from a relation, observed in [2] and [9], between the number of triangles in a graph and the number of triangles in its complement:

$$
|T(G)|+\left|T\left(G^{c}\right)\right|=\binom{n}{3}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v} d(v)[n-1-d(v)] .
$$

Lo [12] resolved this "dual" problem when $\delta \leq \frac{4 n}{5}$. His results resolve the GLS conjecture for regular graphs for $q=2,3$, and the GLS conjecture implies his results, up to an additive factor of $O\left(\delta^{2}\right)$, for $q=2,3$, and yields an extension of his results for $q \geq 4$ - these are the optimal results asymptotically, in the natural regime of $\frac{\delta}{n}$ fixed, and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## The Maximum Number of Triangles in a Graph of Given Maximum Degree

## 2 Notation

Denote by $E$ the edge set of $G$; for two vertices $u, v$, we write " $u v \in E$ " if there is an edge between $u$ and $v$ and " $u v \notin E$ " otherwise - in particular, for any $u, u u \notin E$. For a vertex $v$, let $\left|T_{N[v]}\right|$ denote the number of triangles with at least one vertex in the closed neighborhood $N[v]:=\{u: u v \in E\} \cup\{v\}$, and let $|T(G-N[v])|$ denote the number of triangles with all vertices in the graph $G-N[v]$ (the subgraph induced by the vertices not in $N[v]$ ). Finally, $d(v)$ denotes the degree of $v$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

For a graph $G$, let $W(G)=\{(x, u, v, w): u x, v x, w x \in E, u v, u w, v w \notin E\}$.
Lemma 2. For any graph $G, 6 \sum_{v}\left|T_{N[v]}\right|+|W(G)|=\sum_{v} d(v)^{3}$.
Proof. Let $\Omega=\{(z, u, v, w): u v, u w, v w \in E$ and $[z u \in E$ or $z v \in E$ or $z w \in E]\}, \Sigma=\{(x, u, v, w): u x, v x, w x \in$ $E\}$, and $W=W(G)$. Note that repeated vertices in the 4-tuples are allowed. First observe that, since there are 6 ways to order the vertices of a triangle, $\sum_{v} 6\left|T_{N[v]}\right|=|\Omega|$. Any 4-tuple in $\Sigma, W$, or $\Omega$ gives rise to one of the induced subgraphs shown below, since one vertex must be adjacent to all the others.
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Since $|\Sigma|=\sum_{v} d(v)^{3}$, it thus suffices to show that for each of the induced subgraphs above, the number of times it comes from a 4 -tuple in $\Sigma$ is the sum of the number of times it comes from 4 -tuples in $\Omega$ and $W$. Any fixed copy of $A$, say on vertices $u$ and $v$, comes 0 times from a 4 -tuple in $\Omega$ (since it has no triangles), and 2 times from each of $W$ and $\Sigma((u, v, v, v),(v, u, u, u))$. Any fixed copy of $B$, say on vertices $u, v, w$ with $v u, v w \in E$, comes 0 times from $\Omega$, and 6 times from each of $W$ and $\Sigma$ $((v, u, u, w),(v, u, w, u),(v, u, w, w),(v, w, u, u),(v, w, u, w),(v, w, w, u))$. Any fixed copy of $C$ comes 18 times from each of $\Omega$ and $\Sigma$ ( 3 choices for the first vertex and then 6 for the ordered triangle), and 0 times from $W$. Similarly, any fixed copy of $D$ comes 6 times from each of $W$ and $\Sigma$, and 0 times from $\Omega$; finally, $F, H, I$ come $6,12,24$ times, respectively, from each of $\Omega$ and $\Sigma$, and 0 times from $W$.

We now prove our key lemma, previously mentioned in the introduction.
Lemma 1. In any graph $G$, there is a vertex $v$ whose closed neighborhood meets at most $\binom{d(v)+1}{3}$ triangles, i.e. $\left|T_{N[v]}\right| \leq\binom{ d(v)+1}{3}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, since $|W(G)| \geq|\{(x, u, u, u): u x \in E\}|=\sum_{x} d(x)$, we have $\sum_{v}\left|T_{N[v]}\right| \leq \sum_{v} \frac{1}{6}\left[d(v)^{3}-\right.$ $d(v)]$. By the pigeonhole principle, there is some $v$ with

$$
\left|T_{N[v]}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}\left[d(v)^{3}-d(v)\right]=\binom{d(v)+1}{3} .
$$
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Lemma 3. For any positive integers $a \geq b \geq 1$, it holds that $\binom{a}{3}+\binom{b}{3} \leq\binom{ a+1}{3}+\binom{b-1}{3}$. Consequently, for any positive integers $a, b$ and any positive integer $c$ with $\max (a, b) \leq c \leq a+b$, it holds that $\binom{a}{3}+\binom{b}{3} \leq$ $\binom{c}{3}+\binom{a+b-c}{3}$.

Proof. $\binom{a+1}{3}-\binom{a}{3}=\binom{a}{2}$, and $\binom{b}{3}-\binom{b-1}{3}=\binom{b-1}{2}$. Iterate to get the consequence.
We now finish the proof of Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. With a fixed $d$, we induct on $n$. For $n=1$, the result is obvious. Take some $n \geq 2$, and suppose the theorem holds for all smaller values of $n$. Let $G$ be a max-degree $d$ graph on $n$ vertices. By Lemma 1, we may take $v$ with $\left|T_{N[v]}\right| \leq\binom{ d(v)+1}{3}$. Write $n=q(d+1)+r$ for $0 \leq r \leq d$. Note $|T(G)|=|T(G-N[v])|+\left|T_{N[v]}\right|$. Since $G-N[v]$ has maximum degree (at most) $d$, if $d(v)+1 \leq r$, then induction and Lemma 3 give

$$
|T(G)| \leq q\binom{d+1}{3}+\binom{r-(d(v)+1)}{3}+\binom{d(v)+1}{3} \leq q\binom{d+1}{3}+\binom{r}{3}
$$

and if $d(v)+1>r$, then induction and Lemma 3 give

$$
|T(G)| \leq(q-1)\binom{d+1}{3}+\binom{d+1+r-(d(v)+1)}{3}+\binom{d(v)+1}{3} \leq q\binom{d+1}{3}+\binom{r}{3} .
$$

The maximum degree condition ensured $d+1+r-(d(v)+1) \geq 0$ and $d(v)+1 \leq d+1$.
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