

HIGHER DIMENSIONAL FILLING AND DIVERGENCE FOR MAPPING CLASS GROUPS

JASON BEHRSTOCK AND CORNELIA DRUȚU

ABSTRACT. The main goals of this paper are to establish bounds for *higher dimensional* filling and divergence functions for mapping class groups of surfaces. We also show that both these families of functions exhibit phase transitions at the rank (as measured by $3 \cdot \text{genus} + \text{number of punctures} - 3$); this phase transition is analogous to a corresponding result for symmetric spaces which results from the combined work of Brady–Farb, Hindawi, Leuzinger, and Wenger.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important notion for non-positively curved manifolds and spaces is that of rank. Rank has been defined in a number of ways which are a priori distinct, but are conjecturally all equivalent (see, for instance, [Gro93a, §6. B_2]). Well-established notions of rank include: the *flat rank*, i.e., the maximal dimension of a Euclidean space which can be isometrically embedded in the given manifold/metric space; the *quasi-flat rank* or *geometric rank*, i.e., the maximal dimension of a Euclidean space which can be quasi-isometrically embedded in the given manifold/metric space; the smallest integer greater than the maximal order for which the filling functions are equivalent to the Euclidean ones; the minimal order for which the filling function is linear; etc.

It follows from work of Anderson-Schroeder [AS86], Gromov [Gro93a], and Kleiner [Kle99] that for a locally compact complete simply-connected $CAT(0)$ -space, the flat rank coincides with the quasi-flat rank. Therefore, the above discussion about equality between different kinds of ranks can also be undertaken for groups which act properly discontinuously and cocompactly on a complete locally-compact simply-connected $CAT(0)$ -space.

For symmetric spaces of non-compact type, as well as for groups acting properly discontinuously cocompactly by isometries on them, the notions of rank enumerated above all coincide. For groups acting properly discontinuously by isometries and with finite covolume, the situation is considerably more complicated, see, e.g. [BEW11][You].

The study can be extended further to groups which present some “non-positively curved features.” Among such groups, a critical and well-studied collection of examples are mapping class groups of surfaces.

Date: May 12, 2013.

The research of the first author was supported as an Alfred P. Sloan Fellow and by NSF grant #DMS-1006219.

The research of the second author was supported in part by the EPSRC grant “Geometric and analytic aspects of infinite groups”, by the project ANR Blanc ANR-10-BLAN 0116, acronym GGAA, and by the LABEX CEMPI.

Mapping class groups exhibit a number of features which are each characteristic of non-positive curvature, including:

- automaticity (and hence combability) [Mos95];
- every infinite order element has linear growth in the word metric [FLM01];
- they contain Morse geodesics [Beh06];
- they are coarsely median metric spaces [Bow13] (this is a coarse analogue of being a $CAT(0)$ -cube complex);
- they have quasi-isometric embeddings into a finite product of Gromov-hyperbolic spaces [BBF10];
- their asymptotic cones have bi-Lipschitz embeddings into products of real trees such that the image is median [BDS11].

The maximal rank of a free abelian subgroup of the mapping class group, $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$, of a surface S is equal to its *complexity*, as measured by $\xi(S) = 3g + p - 3$, where g is the genus and p is the number of boundary components of S (we do not require that mapping classes fix the “boundary”, so formally each boundary component should be considered as a “puncture”), this was established in [BLM83]. More recently, it was proven that the quasi-flat rank of the mapping class group is $\xi(S)$ [BM08, Ham].

In this paper, we prove that two other types of rank of the mapping class group coincide with the quasi-flat rank.

Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 *Let S be a compact orientable surface with complexity $\xi(S)$ and let Iso_k be the filling function of k -dimensional spheres by balls of dimension $k + 1$.*

If $k \leq \xi(S) - 1$ then $\text{Iso}_k(x) \asymp x^{k+1}$.

If $k \geq \xi(S)$ then $\text{Iso}_k(x) = o(x^{k+1})$; moreover, if S has either genus 0 or 1, or genus 2 and empty boundary, then $\text{Iso}_k(x) \asymp x^k$.

Note that it follows from the fact that the mapping class group is automatic [Mos95] that the higher-dimensional isoperimetric inequalities are polynomial; nonetheless, no stronger bounds were known except when $k = 1$, where automaticity implies that the bound is quadratic. We note that in the case of outer automorphisms of free groups, it has been known also for some time that the higher-dimensional isoperimetric inequalities are at most exponential [HV96] but better bounds are not known except in a few cases, see for instance [BV95].

Another set of invariants that can be used to detect the rank are the “higher-dimensional divergence functions,” which, in some sense, measure the spread of geodesics. Roughly speaking, given a fixed point x_0 in X , the k -divergence in r is the supremum over all $(k + 1)$ -volumes of $(k + 1)$ -disks which are disjoint from the open ball $B(x_0, \lambda r)$ and with boundary a k -sphere contained in the sphere $S(x_0, r)$ and of area at most $A r^k$. (The choices of the fixed center, x_0 , and of the parameters $A > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ do not affect the order of the k -divergence.) These functions also provide a way of studying the geometry of a group “at infinity.” Versions of these functions were defined for non-positively curved manifolds in [BF98] (there, spheres and disks are Lipschitz maps of the corresponding Euclidean spheres and disks to the given manifold, and their volumes are computed using the fact that such maps are differentiable almost everywhere) and for $CAT(0)$ spaces in [Wen06].

In this paper we use a different version of divergence. With this notion we prove the following.

Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 *Let S be a compact orientable surface with complexity $\xi(S)$ and let Div_k be the k -dimensional divergence of $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$.*

If $k < \xi(S)$ then $\text{Div}_k \succeq x^{k+2}$.

If $k \geq \xi(S)$ then $\text{Div}_k(x) = o(x^{k+1})$; further, when S is either genus 0 or 1, or genus 2 with empty boundary, then $\text{Div}_k(x) \asymp x^k$.

In particular, the above establishes that the 1-dimensional divergence is at least cubic for surfaces with $\xi(S) \geq 2$. We note that it is proved in [ABD⁺10] that for such surfaces the 1-divergence is at most x^4 .

In the setting of Hadamard spaces it is already known that the divergence can be used to distinguish the rank: for a symmetric space X of non-compact type, Div_k grows exponentially when $k = \text{Rank}(X) - 1$ [BF98, Leu00], while when $k \geq \text{Rank}(X)$ the divergence $\text{Div}_k = O(x^{k+1})$ [Hin05]. More generally, for a cocompact Hadamard space X and for a homological version of the divergence, defined in terms of integral currents, if $k = \text{Rank}(X) - 1$ then $\text{Div}_k \succeq x^{k+2}$, while if $k \geq \text{Rank}(X)$ then $\text{Div}_k \preceq x^{k+1}$ [Wen06].

In the course of proving the above theorems, we establish several results which are likely of independent interests, including the following which we think especially worthy of note.

We prove that the filling functions for any combable group of type $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ are at most Euclidean (Corollary 3.24). Along the same lines, Gromov previously studied homological filling functions by Lipschitz chains. In this context, Gromov proved that such homological fillings are at least Euclidean for Hadamard manifolds, and, more generally, for complete Riemannian manifolds satisfying a cone-type inequality (see for instance (7)) and for Banach spaces [Gro83]. Gromov's results were extended to Hadamard spaces in [Wen05], and then to complete metric spaces satisfying cone-type inequalities in [Wen05] via another homological version of filling functions using integral currents.

We also prove, for our definition of filling function, the following general result which we expect will be useful in the study of many other classes of groups: given a simplicial complex X with a bounded quasi-geodesic combing, such that for every asymptotic cone of X the maximal dimension of locally compact subsets in it is m , $\text{Iso}_k^X(x) = o(x^{k+1})$ for every $k \geq m$.

In the particular case of hyperbolic groups (where the part of the complex X can be played by the Rips complex) we prove linear filling in every dimension. The same result for filling functions of Lipschitz spheres by Lipschitz balls was proved in [Lan00]. For the homological version of the filling functions defined in terms of currents, a similar result was obtained for complete $CAT(K)$ -spaces with $K < 0$ in [Wen06].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic notions which we will need, in particular some combinatorial terminology and some results on mapping class groups. In Sections 3 and 4, we recall the definitions of the filling and divergence functions, and analyze their behavior in the presence of a combing. Section 5 contains the main results, discussed above, describing the behavior of filling and divergence functions in mapping class groups.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. General terminology and notation. We begin with some standard notions that arise in the study of quasi-isometry invariants; this section is primarily to establish notation. Given a constant $C \geq 1$ and two functions f, g which both map $\mathbb{R}_+$ to itself, we write $f \preceq_C g$ if

$$f(x) \leq Cg(Cx + C) + Cx + C \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

An equivalence relation on the set of functions $\mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ can be defined by setting $f \asymp_C g$ if and only if $f \preceq_C g$ and $g \preceq_C f$. When there is no risk of confusion we do not mention the constant C and remove the corresponding subscript.

We use the standard asymptotic notation, which we now recall. When f and g are both real-valued functions of one real variable, we write $f = O(g)$ to mean that there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq Lg(x)$ for every x ; in particular $f = O(1)$ means that f is uniformly bounded, and $f = g + O(1)$ means that $f - g$ is uniformly bounded. The notation $f = o(g)$ means that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$.

We use the notation $\mathcal{N}_R(A)$ for the *open R -neighborhood* of a subset A in a metric space (X, dist) , i.e., $\mathcal{N}_R(A) = \{x \in X : \text{dist}(x, A) < R\}$. In particular, if $A = \{a\}$ then $\mathcal{N}_R(A) = B(a, R)$ is the open R -ball centered at a .

We use the notation $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_R(A)$ and $\bar{B}(a, R)$ to designate the corresponding *closed neighborhoods* and *closed balls* defined by non-strict inequalities.

We make the convention that $B(a, R)$ and $\bar{B}(a, R)$ are the empty set for $R < 0$ and any $a \in X$.

Fix two constants $L \geq 1$ and $C \geq 0$. A map $\mathbf{q}: Y \rightarrow X$ is said to be (L, C) -*quasi-Lipschitz* if

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{q}(y), \mathbf{q}(y')) \leq L\text{dist}(y, y') + C, \quad \text{for all } y, y' \in Y.$$

If moreover

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{q}(y), \mathbf{q}(y')) \geq \frac{1}{L}(y, y') - C \quad \text{for all } y, y' \in Y$$

then $\mathbf{q}$ is called an (L, C) -*quasi-isometric embedding*.

An (L, C) -*quasi-isometry* is an (L, C) -quasi-isometric embedding $\mathbf{q}: Y \rightarrow X$ satisfying the additional assumption that $X \subset \mathcal{N}_C(\mathbf{q}(Y))$.

An (L, C) -*quasi-geodesic* in X is an (L, C) -quasi-isometric embedding $\mathbf{p}: I \rightarrow X$, where I is an interval of the real line. When $I = [a, \infty)$ (respectively $I = \mathbb{R}$) we call both $\mathbf{p}$ and its image $\mathbf{p}(I)$ an (L, C) -*quasi-geodesic ray* (respectively a *bi-infinite (L, C) -quasi-geodesic*).

We call $(L, 0)$ -quasi-isometries (quasi-geodesics) *L -bi-Lipschitz maps (paths)*. When the constants L, C are irrelevant they are not mentioned.

If an (L, C) -quasi-geodesic $\mathbf{q}$ is L -Lipschitz then $\mathbf{q}$ will be called an (L, C) -*almost geodesic*. Every (L, C) -quasi-geodesic in a geodesic metric space is at bounded (in terms of L, C) distance from an $(L + C, C)$ -almost geodesic with the same end points (i.e., an $(L + C, C)$ -quasi-geodesic which is $(L + C)$ -Lipschitz), see e.g., [BBI01, Proposition 8.3.4]. Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume in this text that all quasi-geodesics are in fact almost geodesics, in particular that they are continuous.

Given two subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$, a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be *coarsely increasing* if there exists a constant D such that for each a, b in A satisfying $a + D < b$, we have

that $f(a) \leq f(b)$. Similarly, we define *coarsely decreasing* and *coarsely monotonic* maps. A map between quasi-geodesics is coarsely monotonic if it defines a coarsely monotonic map between suitable nets in their domain.

Consider a metric space X . It is called *proper* if all its closed balls are compact. It is called *cocompact* if there exists a compact subset K in X such that all the translations of K by isometries of X cover X .

The space X is *periodic* if it is geodesic and for fixed constants $L \geq 1$ and $C \geq 0$ the action of the group of (L, C) -quasi-isometries of X is co-bounded, that is the orbit of some ball under $QI_{L,C}(X)$ covers X .

If X is geodesic, complete, simply connected and satisfies the $CAT(0)$ condition then we call it a *Hadamard space*. When X satisfies the additional condition that it is a smooth Riemannian manifold, then we call it a *Hadamard manifold*.

2.2. Combinatorial terminology. The usual setting for defining an n -dimensional filling function is that of an n -connected space X of dimension $n + 1$; of particular interest is when X is the universal cover of a compact CW-complex K , with fundamental group G . By the Simplicial Approximation Theorem, c.f., [Hat02, Theorem 2.C.2], K is homotopy equivalent to a finite simplicial complex K' of the same dimension; hence we may assume that both X and K are simplicial.

We briefly recall some combinatorial terminology, in the setting of simplicial complexes. This terminology is used as such in [Pap00], and it is used in a slightly more general but equivalent form (i.e., the cells need not be simplices but rather are polyhedra with a uniformly bounded number of faces) in [BH99, p. 153], [Bri02], and [Ril03, §2.3]. Note that when we speak of simplicial complexes in what follows we always endow their underlying polyhedra with the canonical topology.

For an n -dimensional simplicial complex, its *open* and *closed simplices* and its *boundaries of simplices* are defined inductively on n as follows.

A 0 -dimensional simplicial complex is a set with the discrete topology, its points are its 0 -dimensional closed simplices, there are no 0 -dimensional open simplices, and the *boundary* of each 0 -simplex is the simplex itself. Assume now that the notions have been defined for n . An $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex is defined as a quotient space obtained from an n -dimensional simplicial complex $\mathcal{C}$ and a family $(\Delta_i)_{i \in I}$ of $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplices through the following operation. Assume that there exists a simplicial map $\theta_i: \partial\Delta_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The quotient space $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ of the disjoint union $\mathcal{C} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \Delta_i$ via the attaching maps θ_i , $i \in I$, is called an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex.

A *simplicial map* is a continuous map of simplicial complexes such that its restriction to every open simplex (of every dimension) is a homeomorphism. A *simplicial isomorphism* is a homeomorphism between simplicial complexes such that both itself and its inverse are simplicial maps. A *singular simplicial map* is a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of simplicial complexes such that the image of each closed simplex is a closed simplex of the same dimension or less, and in case both are of the same dimension the map is a homeomorphism between their relative interiors. Note that this latter notion is the equivalent, in the context of underlying polyhedra, to the notion of abstract simplicial map (i.e., a map such that the images of the vertices of each simplex span a simplex). If the maps θ_i are singular simplicial, then the resulting complex is called an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional singular simplicial complex.

Throughout the paper we assume that all simplicial complexes are connected.

For every $k < n + 1$, the k -dimensional open and closed simplices and their boundaries in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are the images of the corresponding objects in $\mathcal{C}$. The $(n + 1)$ -dimensional open simplices of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are the images of the relative interiors of the simplices Δ_i , the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional closed simplices are the images of the simplices Δ_i , and the boundaries of $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplices are the images in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ of the subsets $\theta_i(\partial\Delta_i)$ in $\mathcal{C}$. The faces of a given simplex are simplices contained in its boundary. The i -skeleton of a simplicial complex $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{(i)}$, is the simplicial complex composed of all the k -closed simplices with $k \leq i$.

Given an n -dimensional simplicial complex $\mathcal{C}$, we call the closed simplices of dimension n the *chambers* of $\mathcal{C}$. A *gallery* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a finite sequence of chambers such that two consecutive chambers share a face of dimension $n - 1$.

An equivalence class of simplicial complexes with respect to simplicial isomorphisms is called a *simplicial structure*. By extension of the terminology, given a topological space we define a *simplicial structure* on it to be an equivalence class of simplicial complexes homeomorphic to the given space. When mentioning such a structure we tacitly identify the space with one of these simplicial complexes.

Given two simplicial structures $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}'$ on the same topological space, we say that $\mathcal{C}'$ is a *refinement* of $\mathcal{C}$ (or that $\mathcal{C}$ is *coarser* than $\mathcal{C}'$) if $\mathcal{C}'$ can be obtained from $\mathcal{C}$ by endowing every closed simplex with a simplicial structure in a way that agrees on the boundary.

Given a singular simplicial map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where X, Y are simplicial complexes, we define the *volume* of f to be the (possibly infinite) number of chambers such that f restricted to their interior is a homeomorphism.

Recall that a group G is of type $\mathcal{F}_k$ if it admits an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(G, 1)$ whose k -skeleton is finite.

Proposition 2.1 ([AWP99], Proposition 2). *If a group G acts cellularly on a CW-complex X , with finite stabilizers of points and such that $X^{(1)}/G$ is finite then G is finitely generated and quasi-isometric to X . Moreover, if X is n -connected and $X^{(n+1)}/G$ is finite then G is of type $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$.*

Conversely, it is easily seen that for a group of type $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ one can define an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional n -connected non-singular simplicial complex X on which G acts properly discontinuously by simplicial isomorphisms, with trivial stabilizers of vertices, such that X/G has finitely many cells. Any two such complexes X, Y are quasi-isometric, and the quasi-isometry, which can initially be seen as a bi-Lipschitz map between two subsets of vertices, can be easily extended to a simplicial map $X \rightarrow Y$ [AWP99, Lemma 12].

A group is of type $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ if and only if it is of type $\mathcal{F}_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It was proven in [ECH⁺92, Theorem 10.2.6] that every combable group is of type $\mathcal{F}_\infty$.

2.3. Mapping class groups and marking complexes. We will use a quasi-isometric model of a mapping class group, the *marking complex*, $\mathcal{K}(S)$, defined as follows (see [MM00] for details). Its vertices, called *markings*, consist of the following pair of data:

- *base curves*: a multicurve consisting of $3g + p - 3$ components, i.e., a maximal simplex in $\mathcal{C}(S)$. This collection is denoted $\text{base}(\mu)$.
- *transversal curves*: to each curve $\gamma \in \text{base}(\mu)$ is associated an essential curve. Letting T denote the complexity 1 component of $S \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{base } \mu, \alpha \neq \gamma} \alpha$, the transversal curve to γ is a curve $t(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}(T)$ with $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{C}(T)}(\gamma, t(\gamma)) = 1$.

Two vertices $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{K}(S)$ are connected by an edge if either of the two conditions hold:

- (1) *Twist*: μ and ν differ by a Dehn twist along one of the base curves: $\text{base}(\mu) = \text{base}(\nu)$ and all their transversal curves agree except for $t_\mu(\gamma)$, obtained from $t_\nu(\gamma)$ by twisting once about the curve γ .
- (2) *Flip*: The base curves and transversal curves of μ and ν agree except for one pair $(\gamma, t(\gamma)) \in \mu$ for which the corresponding pair consists of the same pair but with the roles of base and transversal reversed.

Note that after performing one Flip the new transversal curve may intersect several base curves. Nevertheless by [MM00, Lemma 2.4], there is a finite set of natural ways to resolve this issue, yielding a uniformly bounded number of flip moves which can be obtained by flipping the pair $(\gamma, t(\gamma)) \in \mu$; an edge connects each of these possible flips to μ .

Theorem 2.2 ([MM00]). *The graph $\mathcal{K}(S)$ is locally finite and the mapping class group acts cocompactly and properly discontinuously on it. In particular, the orbit map yields a quasi-isometry from $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ to $\mathcal{K}(S)$.*

A quasi-geodesic $\mathbf{g}$ in $\mathcal{K}(S)$ is $\mathcal{C}(S)$ -monotonic if one can associate a geodesic $\mathbf{t}$ in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ which *shadows* $\mathbf{g}$ in the sense that $\mathbf{t}$ is a path from a vertex of $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\text{base}(\mu))$ to a vertex of $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\text{base}(\nu))$ and there is a coarsely monotonic map $v: \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{t}$ such that $v(\rho)$ is a vertex in $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\text{base}(\rho))$ for every vertex $\rho \in \mathbf{g}$.

Let g be a pseudo-Anosov on S . By [MM00, Proposition 7.6], there exists a quasi-invariant axis for g , that is, there exists a bi-infinite geodesic $\mathbf{a}$ in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ such that for all $g^n \mathbf{a}$ is at Hausdorff distance $O(1)$ from $\mathbf{a}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set of distances $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{K}(S)}(\nu, g\nu)$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\nu)$ at distance $O(1)$ from $\mathbf{a}$ admits a minimum. Let μ be a point such that $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{K}(S)}(\mu, g\mu)$ is this minimum, and let $\mathbf{h}$ be a hierarchy path (i.e., a particular type of quasi-geodesic in $\mathcal{K}(S)$ as constructed in [MM00]) joining μ and $g\mu$, such that $\mathbf{h}$ shadows a tight geodesic $\mathbf{t}$ in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ at distance $O(1)$ from $\mathbf{a}$. We call the bi-infinite quasi-geodesic $\mathbf{p} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g^n \mathbf{h}$ a *quasi-axis* of g in $\mathcal{K}(S)$.

It follows from [Beh06] that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$ small enough, if the surface S has complexity at least 2, then g^{-n} and g^n may be joined in $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ by a path of length $\asymp n^2$ which is disjoint from the δn -ball around 1. Equivalently, for every $\mu \in \mathbf{p}$, $g^{-n}\mu$ and $g^n\mu$ may be joined in $\mathcal{K}(S)$ by a path of length $\asymp n^2$ and disjoint from the δn -ball around μ .

Let Δ be an arbitrary simplex in the curve complex $\mathcal{C}(S)$, sometime such a set is called a *multicurve* on S . We now recall some terminology from [BKML08, §2.1.8]. The *open subsurface* $\text{open}(\Delta)$ determined by Δ is the union of all the components of $S \setminus \Delta$ with complexity at least 1 and of all the annuli homotopic to curves in Δ . We call *components of an open subsurface* the list of all the components and annuli defined as above by a multicurve Δ . For $\Delta = \emptyset$ the whole surface S is the unique component of $\text{open}(\Delta)$.

In what follows we use the notation $W_1, \dots, W_k$, with $0 < k \leq \xi(S)$, for the list of components of $\text{open}(\Delta)$, and for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ we denote $S \setminus W_i$ by W_i^c .

Let Δ_i denote the boundary ∂W_i ; note that Δ is the union of the Δ_i , after removing any duplicate curves.

Define $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$ to be the set of elements of $\mathcal{K}(S)$ whose base curves contain Δ .

Lemma 2.3. (1) *If μ and ν are two markings in $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$ then there exist hierarchy paths joining them and entirely contained in $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$.*

(2) *The set $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$ is quasi-isometric to $\mathcal{K}(W_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}(W_k)$.*

Proof. (1) follows from the construction of hierarchy paths [MM00], while (2) follows from [BM08, Lemma 2.1]. $\square$

Given subsets $A_i \subseteq \mathcal{K}(W_i)$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, the product $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k$ can be identified with a subset of $\mathcal{K}(W_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}(W_k)$, and by Lemma 2.3, (2), it can also be (quasi-)identified with a subset of $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$. In what follows we use the same notation $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k$ for the subsets in $\mathcal{K}(W_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}(W_k)$ and in $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta_i)$.

Let Z be a subsurface of S ; throughout, all subsurfaces we consider are implicitly assumed to be essential subsurfaces. Following [Beh06], we consider a projection $\pi_{\mathcal{K}(Z)}: \mathcal{K}(S) \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{K}(Z)}$ defined as follows. For an arbitrary $\mu \in \mathcal{K}(S)$ we can build a marking on Z by first choosing an element $\gamma_1 \in \pi_{\mathcal{C}(Z)}(\mu)$, and then recursively choose γ_n from $\pi_{\mathcal{C}(Z \setminus \cup_{i < n} \gamma_i)}(\mu)$, for each $n \leq \xi(Z)$. Take these γ_i to be the base curves of a marking on Z . For each γ_i we define its transversal $t(\gamma_i)$ to be an element of $\pi_{\mathcal{A}(\gamma_i)}(\mu)$, where $\mathcal{A}(\gamma_i)$ is the annulus with core curve γ_i . This process yields a marking, see [Beh06] for details. Arbitrary choices were made in this construction, but two choices in building $\pi_{\mathcal{K}(Z)}(\mu)$ lead to elements of $\mathcal{K}(Z)$ whose distance is $O(1)$, where the bound depends only on $\xi(S)$ [Beh06].

Given a marking μ and a multicurve Δ , the projection $\pi_{\mathcal{K}(S \setminus \Delta)}(\mu)$ can be defined as above. This allows one to construct a point $\mu' \in \mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$ which, up to a uniformly bounded error, is closest to μ . See [BM08] for details. The marking μ' is obtained by taking the union of the (possibly partial collection of) base curves Δ with transversal curves given by $\pi_{\Delta}(\mu)$ together with the base curves and transversals given by $\pi_{\mathcal{K}(S \setminus \Delta)}(\mu)$. Note that the construction of μ' requires, for each subsurface W determined by the multicurve Δ , the construction of a projection $\pi_{\mathcal{K}(W)}(\mu)$. As explained previously, each $\pi_{\mathcal{K}(W)}(\mu)$ is determined up to uniformly bounded distance in $\mathcal{K}(W)$, thus μ' is well defined up to uniformly bounded distance, depending only on the topological type of S .

The following is a corollary of the distance formula in [MM00].

Corollary 2.4. *There exist $A \geq 1$ and $B \geq 0$ depending only on S such that for any subsurface $Z \subset S$, the projection of $\mathcal{K}(S)$ onto $\mathcal{K}(Z)$ is an (A, B) -quasi-Lipschitz map, that is for any two markings $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{K}(S)$ the following holds:*

$$\text{dist}_{\mathcal{K}(Z)}(\pi_{\mathcal{K}(Z)}(\mu), \pi_{\mathcal{K}(Z)}(\nu)) \leq A \text{dist}_{\mathcal{K}(S)}(\mu, \nu) + B.$$

Consequently the nearest point projection onto $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$ is a quasi-Lipschitz map.

Let g_i be an element in $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ that is pseudo-Anosov when restricted to W_i and is the identity on W_i^c , $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ (this includes the case of pseudo-Anosov, where $\Delta = \emptyset$). Let $\mathbf{p}_i$ be a quasi-axis of g_i in $\mathcal{K}(W_i)$.

Proposition 2.5. *There exists a quasi-Lipschitz map $\Phi_i: \mathcal{K}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{p}_i$ with the following properties:*

- (1) Φ_i is coarsely locally-constant in the complement of $\mathbf{p}_i \times \mathcal{K}(W_i^c)$, i.e. there exists constants $\lambda > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ with the property that for any point μ at distance $r \geq r_0$ from $\mathbf{p}_i \times \mathcal{K}(W_i^c)$, the diameter of $\Phi_i(B(\mu, \lambda r))$ is at most a uniform constant, c , which depends only on g_i ;

- (2) Φ_i restricted to $\mathfrak{p}_i \times \mathcal{K}(W_i^c)$ is at uniformly bounded distance from the projection onto the first component.

Proof. Follows immediately from the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 in [BM08]. $\square$

The map $\Phi: \mathcal{K}(S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{p}_k$ defined by $\Phi(\mu) = (\Phi_1(\mu), \dots, \Phi_k(\mu))$ is also quasi-Lipschitz.

The inclusion $\langle g_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle g_k \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{MCG}(S)$ is a quasi-isometric embedding [FLM01], and one can use the orbit map to construct a quasi-isometric embedding $\mathfrak{p}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{p}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(S)$, with constants depending on the chosen elements $g_1, \dots, g_k$. The composition of the latter inclusion with the map Φ is at uniformly bounded distance from the identity, since Φ is a sort of (quasi-)nearest point projection.

2.4. Mapping class groups and simplicial complexes. The mapping class group itself and finite index subgroups of it act properly discontinuously cocompactly on various other CW-complexes. Indeed, all finite index torsion-free subgroups of a mapping class group have classifying spaces given by finite CW-complexes, see [Iva91, Iva02]. For the mapping class groups themselves, concrete constructions of CW-complexes on which they act properly discontinuously and with compact quotient (complexes that are moreover cocompact models for classifying spaces for proper actions) are described in [JW10, Mis]. Any of these CW-complexes can be used to define filling functions for the mapping class group.

Another approach is to apply Theorem 10.2.6 in [ECH⁺92]. The mapping class groups are automatic [Mos95], hence combable, so they are $\mathcal{F}_\infty$. In particular, for every $n \geq 0$, they act by simplicial isomorphisms, properly discontinuously, with trivial stabilizers of vertices, on a non-singular simplicial complex X of dimension $n+1$ and n -connected, such that the quotient has finitely many cells.

For every surface S we denote by X_S a simplicial complex with properties as above. Note that we allow the case when S has several connected components.

Using the previous section and [AWP99, Lemma 12], the following properties can be established about these simplicial complexes, up to repeated barycentric subdivisions. We use the same generic objects defined in the previous section, with the same notation and terminology.

Given a pseudo-Anosov $g \in \mathcal{MCG}(S)$, there exists a bi-infinite almost geodesic $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $X_S^{(1)}$ such that g acts on it with compact quotient, and the points on it quasi-minimize the displacement by g . Such a path is a *quasi-axis* of g . Moreover, for every $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$, $g^{-n}x$ and g^nx may be joined in X_S by a curve of length $\asymp n^2$ and disjoint from the δn -ball around x .

For $\Delta, W_1, \dots, W_k$ defined as previously, there exists a quasi-isometric embedding which is also a singular simplicial map $\Lambda_\Delta: X_{W_1} \times \cdots \times X_{W_k} \rightarrow X_S$, with image a subcomplex such that every two points in it can be joined in it by a path that is an almost geodesic in X_S .

As before, given subsets B_i in X_{W_i} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, we let $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_k$ denote the subset in $X_{W_1} \times \cdots \times X_{W_k}$ and its image in X_S .

There exists a bounded perturbation of the nearest point projection $\hat{\pi}_\Delta: X_S \rightarrow X_{W_1} \times \cdots \times X_{W_k}$ which is a singular simplicial map, and a quasi-Lipschitz map. This allows to define a map with the same properties $\hat{\pi}_{W_i}: X_S \rightarrow X_{W_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Consider pure reducible elements g_i as before, and their quasi-axes $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_i$ in X_{W_i} .

The projections Φ_i defined in Proposition 2.5 allow to define a singular simplicial map $\widehat{\Phi}_i: X_S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is quasi-Lipschitz and coarsely locally-constant in the complement of $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i \times X_{W_i^c}$, while its restriction to $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i \times \mathcal{K}(W_i^c)$ is at uniformly bounded distance from the projection onto the first component. We can then define the map $\widehat{\Phi}: X_S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, $\widehat{\Phi} = (\widehat{\Phi}_1, \dots, \widehat{\Phi}_k)$ which is also quasi-Lipschitz.

The almost geodesics $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X_{W_i}$ (which can also be seen as simplicial maps) define an inclusion $\Upsilon_\Delta: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow X_{W_1} \times \dots \times X_{W_k}$ which is simplicial, a quasi-isometric embedding, and equivariant with respect to the action of $\langle g_1 \rangle \times \langle g_2 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle g_k \rangle$. Hence, we have an inclusion $\Upsilon: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow X_S$, $\Upsilon = \Lambda_\Delta \circ \Upsilon_\Delta$ with the same properties; moreover, we also have that $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \Upsilon$ is at uniformly bounded distance from the identity.

3. HIGHER DIMENSIONAL FILLING FUNCTIONS

3.1. Definitions and properties. There exist several versions of filling functions, measuring how spheres can be filled with balls or, given a manifold pair $(M, \partial M)$, how a copy of ∂M in a space X can be filled with a copy of M , or how a cycle can be filled with a chain. The meaning of a ‘sphere’, ‘manifold’ or ‘cycle’ in a metric space also varies, from the measure theoretical notion of integral current [AK00, Wen06, Wen05] to that of (singular) cellular map [Bri02, Ril03, BBFS09] or of Lipschitz map defined on the proper geometric object. For a comparison between the various versions of filling functions we refer to [Gro07, Groa, Grob].

In the setting of finitely generated groups endowed with word metrics the most frequently used approach is to refer to a proper cocompact action of the group on a CW-complex. More precisely, the n -th dimensional filling function is defined for groups that are of type F_n , that is groups G with a classifying space $K(G, 1)$ with finite $(n+1)$ -skeleton. One can define the n -th dimensional filling function using the $(n+1)$ -skeleton of $K(G, 1)$, or any other $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex on which the group G acts properly discontinuously cocompactly. In particular one can use the finite $(n+1)$ -presentation of a group to construct an $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex with fundamental group G . This is due to the quasi-isometry invariance of filling functions proved in [AWP99]. Since a finite $(n+1)$ -presentation of a group composed only of simplices can always be found, it suffices to restrict to simplicial complexes.

In what follows we therefore define filling functions for simplicial complexes with a cocompact action.

Convention 3.1. *For the rest of the section, we fix a simplicial complex X in which the filling problem is to be considered. We assume that X is the universal cover of a compact singular simplicial complex K with fundamental group G , that it has dimension $n+1$ and it is n -connected.*

Notation 3.2. *Throughout the paper, we denote by V an arbitrary m -dimensional connected compact sub-manifold of $\mathbb{R}^m$, where $m \geq 2$ is an integer and V is smooth or piecewise linear, and with boundary. We denote its boundary by ∂V , which may not be connected.*

Given V as above, a V -domain in X is a singular simplicial map $\mathfrak{d}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ to $X^{(m)}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a simplicial structure on V . When the manifold V is irrelevant we simply call $\mathfrak{d}$ a domain of dimension m (somewhat abusively, since it might have its entire image inside $X^{(m-1)}$); we also abuse notation by using the same notation,

$\mathfrak{d}$, for both the map and for its image. We let $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Vol}}$ denote the set of chambers for which the restriction of $\mathfrak{d}$ to the interior of such a chamber is a homeomorphism. The *volume of the domain* $\mathfrak{d}$, $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{d})$, is the number of chambers in the set $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Vol}}$.

A ∂V -hypersurface in X is a singular simplicial map $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ to $X^{(m-1)}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a simplicial structure of the boundary ∂V . Again, we abuse notation by letting $\mathfrak{h}$ also denote the image of the above map, and we also call both $\mathfrak{h}$ and its image a *hypersurface of dimension $m - 1$* .

Given a hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}$, we let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$ denote the set of chambers in $\mathcal{M}$ for which $\mathfrak{h}$ restricted to the interior of each such chamber is a homeomorphism. The *volume of the hypersurface* $\mathfrak{h}$, denoted $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$, is the cardinality of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$.

In both cases above we call the chambers in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Vol}}$, respectively $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$, *$\mathfrak{d}$ -non-collapsed chambers* (respectively *$\mathfrak{h}$ -non-collapsed chambers*). When there is no possibility of confusion we simply refer to them as non-collapsed chambers.

Given a vertex v we write $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{Vol}}$ (or $v \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$) to signify that v is a vertex in a non-collapsed chamber.

We sometimes say that the domain $\mathfrak{d}$ is *modeled on V* and $\mathfrak{h}$ is *modeled on ∂V* .

When V is a closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^m$, we call $\mathfrak{d}$ an *m -dimensional ball* and $\mathfrak{h}$ an *$(m - 1)$ -dimensional sphere*.

We say that a domain $\mathfrak{d}$ *fills* a hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}$ if this pair corresponds to a $(k + 1)$ -dimensional connected compact smooth sub-manifold with boundary V in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ satisfying $\mathcal{D} \cap \partial V = \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathfrak{d}|_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathfrak{h}$, possibly after pre-composing $\mathfrak{h}$ with a simplicial equivalence of $\mathcal{M}$.

The *filling volume* of the hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}$, $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h})$, is the infimum of all the volumes of domains filling $\mathfrak{h}$. If no domain filling $\mathfrak{h}$ exists then we set $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h}) = \infty$. Note that if $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h})$ is finite then it is attained.

Remark 3.3. These notions are equivalent to the analogous ones defined using admissible maps and their volumes as in [BBFS09] and [You], as well as the definitions in [AWP99], and those in [BH99, p.153], [Bri02], [Ril03, §2.3] using more polyhedra than just simplices.

Indeed, every domain and hypersurface as above is an admissible map with the same volume. Conversely, consider an admissible map $f: W \rightarrow X$ defined on an m -dimensional domain or boundary of a domain, i.e., a continuous map $f: W \rightarrow X^{(m)}$, such that $f^{-1}(X^{(m)} \setminus X^{(m-1)})$ is a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} B_i$ of open m -dimensional balls, each mapped by f homeomorphically onto an m -simplex of X . Recall that the volume of f is the cardinality of I (by compactness of W , this is finite).

The submanifold with boundary $W \setminus \bigsqcup_{i \in I} B_i$ admits a triangulation. We apply the Cellular Approximation Theorem [Hat02, Theorem 4.8] to the restriction $f: W \setminus \bigsqcup_{i \in I} B_i \rightarrow X^{(m-1)}$ and obtain that it is homotopy equivalent to a singular simplicial map $\bar{f}: W \setminus \bigsqcup_{i \in I} B_i \rightarrow X^{(m-1)}$. Due to the homotopy equivalence with f it follows that for every $i \in I$, $\bar{f}(\partial B_i)$ and $f(\partial B_i)$ coincide as sets. We may then extend $\bar{f}$ to a singular simplicial map $\hat{f}: W \rightarrow X^{(m)}$, with the same volume as f , homotopy equivalent to f , and such that the sets $\hat{f}(B_i)$ and $f(B_i)$ coincide for every $i \in I$.

In filling problems, when dealing with extensions of maps from boundaries to domains, one may use an argument as above and the version of the Cellular Approximation Theorem ensuring that if a continuous map between CW-complexes is

cellular on a sub-complex A then it is homotopic to a cellular map by a homotopy which is stationary on A [Hat02, Theorem 4.8].

For more on equivalent definitions of (filling) volumes and functions we refer to [Gro07, Groa, Grob].

Among the m -dimensional domains $\mathfrak{d}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X^{(m)}$ filling a given hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X^{(m-1)}$ one can define an ordering as follows. To each such filling domain $\mathfrak{d}$, let n_i denote the number of chambers of $\mathfrak{d}$ whose images in X is a simplex of dimension i and then associate to $\mathfrak{d}$ the finite sequence of integers $\mathbf{n}_{\mathfrak{d}} = (n_m, n_{m-1}, \dots, n_0)$. Two domains are ordered $\mathfrak{d} \succ \mathfrak{d}'$ when in the lexicographic order $\mathbf{n}_{\mathfrak{d}} \geq \mathbf{n}_{\mathfrak{d}'}$, namely, $n_i = n'_i$ for $m \geq i \geq k \geq 0$ and either $k = 0$ or $n_{k-1} > n'_{k-1}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Given a hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X^{(m-1)}$, consider $\mathfrak{d}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X^{(m)}$ a domain filling $\mathfrak{h}$ and minimal with respect to the order relation $\succ$.*

Then for every interior vertex in $\mathcal{D}$ (i.e., a vertex not contained in $\mathcal{M}$) its image by $\mathfrak{d}$ is contained in the image of a non-collapsed chamber.

Proof. Let v be a vertex in $\mathcal{D}^{(0)} \setminus \mathcal{M}^{(0)}$.

We argue by induction on the minimal length ℓ of a gallery $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_\ell$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that v is a vertex of Δ_1 and Δ_ℓ is non-collapsed.

For $\ell = 1$ the statement is obvious.

For ℓ_2 we have that there exists a gallery Δ_1, Δ_2 with Δ_2 non-collapsed and Δ_1 collapsed and containing v . It follows that $\mathfrak{d}(\Delta_1) = \mathfrak{d}(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2)$, whence $\mathfrak{d}(v) \in \mathfrak{d}(\Delta_2)$.

Assume the statement is true for ℓ and consider a vertex v for which the minimal length of a gallery as required is $\ell + 1$. Let $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_\ell, \Delta_{\ell+1}$ be such a gallery. By hypothesis $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_\ell$ are collapsed. If $\mathfrak{d}(v) \in \mathfrak{d}(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2)$ then we are done, by applying the induction hypothesis to the vertex w in $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ with $\mathfrak{d}(v) = \mathfrak{d}(w)$.

Assume therefore that $\mathfrak{d}(v) \notin \mathfrak{d}(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2)$. Since Δ_1 is collapsed it follows that there exist distinct vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \in \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ such that $\mathfrak{d}(v_1) = \mathfrak{d}(v_2) = \dots = \mathfrak{d}(v_k)$. We assume that $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ is a maximal set of vertices with image composed of a singleton. Let Θ be the face spanned by $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$ and Φ be the face of $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ spanned by the vertices not in $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$, and let F be the maximal face of Δ_1 disjoint from Θ , i.e., the face spanned by v, Φ .

Given any other chamber Δ' in the star of Θ we denote by F' the maximal face of Δ' disjoint from Θ .

If all of $\text{star}(F')$ contains at least three chambers then in the simplicial complex $\mathcal{D}$ we identify v_1 and v_2 , i.e., we replace all the chambers Δ' by codimension one simplices, thus obtaining a new filling domain which is strictly smaller than $\mathfrak{d}$ with respect to the order relation $\succ$.

Assume that $\text{star}(F)$ contains only two chambers Δ_1 and Δ'_1 (the case of an arbitrary F' is similar). Then the image of $\text{star}(F)$ by $\mathfrak{d}$ is the simplex σ spanned by $\mathfrak{d}(v), \mathfrak{d}(v_1)$ and $\mathfrak{d}(\Phi)$. There exists a continuous retraction which maps the relative interiors of σ and the face τ opposite to $\mathfrak{d}(v_1)$ to the remaining boundary $\partial'\sigma$ of σ . This retraction can be made simplicial by considering the barycentric subdivision of τ and identifying the segment joining the barycenter and $\mathfrak{d}(v_1)$ to $\mathfrak{d}(v_1)$.

By considering the barycentric subdivision of the face spanned by v and Φ and the corresponding subdivision of Δ_1 defined by it, the map $\mathfrak{d}'$ obtained from $\mathfrak{d}$ by taking as domain the new simplicial structure $\mathcal{D}'$ and post-composing with the

above mentioned retraction is still a filling domain for the same hypersurface, but it is strictly smaller than $\mathfrak{d}$ with respect to the order relation $\succ$. $\square$

A simplicial complex X may be endowed with a “large scale metric structure” by assuming that all edges have length one and taking the shortest path metric on the 1-skeleton $X^{(1)}$. We say that a metric space Y (or, another simplicial complex Z) is (L, C) -quasi-isometric to X if Y (respectively $Z^{(1)}$) is (L, C) -quasi-isometric to $X^{(1)}$.

The metric defined above allows us to define a notion of filling radius.

Definition 3.5. Given a hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ and a domain $\mathfrak{d}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X$ filling it, the *radius* $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{d})$ of the domain $\mathfrak{d}$ is the minimal R such that $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{D}^{(1)})$ is in the closed tubular neighborhood of radius R of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$.

The *filling radius* $\text{FillRad}(\mathfrak{h})$ of the hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}$ is the infimum of all the filling radii of domains realizing $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h})$.

We recall the following two standard results which we will use below. The first is an immediate consequence of Alexander duality and the second is the Jordan-Schoenflies Theorem.

Proposition 3.6. (1) *Given M a k -dimensional compact connected smooth or piecewise linear sub-manifold without boundary of $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ (or $\mathbb{S}^{k+1}$), its complement in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ (respectively $\mathbb{S}^{k+1}$) has two connected components.*

(2) *When $k = 1$ and M is a simple closed curve, there exists a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$ transforming M into the unit circle.*

Definition 3.7. Given a ∂V -hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$, ∂V of dimension k , a *partition* of $\mathfrak{h}$ is a finite family of hypersurfaces $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_q$, where $\mathfrak{h}_i: \mathcal{M}_i \rightarrow X$ are singular simplicial maps defined on simplicial structures $\mathcal{M}_i$ on k -dimensional boundaries ∂V_i , with the following properties. There exist topological embeddings φ_i and φ of V_i and V , respectively, into $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ and a simplicial structure on $\varphi_i(\partial V_i)$ equivalent to $\mathcal{M}_i$, and one on $\varphi(\partial V)$ equivalent to $\mathcal{M}$ such that:

- (1) $\varphi(V)$ is a (set-wise) union of $\varphi_i(V_i)$;
- (2) the relative interiors of $\varphi_i(V_i)$ are pairwise disjoint; and,
- (3) the simplicial structures on $\varphi_i(\partial V_i)$ and on $\varphi(\partial V)$ coincide on pairwise intersections.

Each of the hypersurfaces $\mathfrak{h}_i$ is called a *contour of the partition*.

We are mainly interested in mapping class groups and in groups acting properly discontinuously cocompactly on $CAT(0)$ -spaces. Therefore when discussing problems of filling we will often assume the existence of a combing, as defined below.

We say that a simplicial complex X has a *bounded (L, C) -quasi-geodesic combing*, where $L \geq 1$ and $C \geq 0$, if for every $x \in X^{(1)}$ there exists a way to assign to every element $y \in X^{(1)}$ an (L, C) -quasi-geodesic $\mathfrak{q}_{xy}$ connecting y to x in $X^{(1)}$, such that

$$\text{dist}(\mathfrak{q}_{xy}(i), \mathfrak{q}_{xa}(i)) \leq L \text{dist}(y, a) + L,$$

for all $x, y, a \in X^{(1)}$ and $i \in \mathbb{R}$. Here the quasi-geodesics are assumed to be extended to $\mathbb{R}$ by constant maps.

We say that X has a *bounded (L, C) -quasi-geodesic bicombing* if it has a way to assign to every pair of elements x, y in $X^{(1)}$ an (L, C) -quasi-geodesic $\mathfrak{q}_{xy}$ connecting them in $X^{(1)}$, such that

$$\text{dist}(\mathfrak{q}_{xy}(i), \mathfrak{q}_{ab}(i)) \leq L [\text{dist}(x, a) + \text{dist}(y, b)] + L,$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{R}$ and x, y, a, b in $X^{(1)}$.

The result below is well known in various contexts (CW-complexes, Riemannian geometry etc), see for instance [ECH⁺92, Theorems 10.2.1, 10.3.5 and 10.3.6]. We reproduce a proof here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a simplicial complex with a bounded (L, C) -quasi-geodesic combing. For every hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}$,*

$$\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h}) \preceq \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}) \text{diam}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Proof. Consider an arbitrary hypersurface, $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a simplicial structure of the boundary ∂V .

Consider the cut locus Cut of V relative to its boundary, and the normal map $p : V \rightarrow \text{Cut}$. Note that V is homeomorphic to the mapping cylinder of $p|_{\partial V}$. See for instance [Gro83, §3.1.A''].

Fix a vertex x_0 in $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M})$. Endow V with a simplicial structure constructed roughly as follows: for each chamber Δ in ∂V cut the mapping cylinder of $p|_{\Delta}$ into as many cylinders as the integer part of $\text{dist}(x_0, \mathfrak{h}(\Delta))$. The main problem is to construct simplicial structures on each cylinder that agree with each other on the boundary. This can be done inductively on the number of chambers in a gallery, by adding a mapping cylinder of a new chamber and cutting it so that it agrees with the adjacent cylinders.

The map $\mathfrak{h}$ restricted to the 1-skeleta defines a (λ, κ) -quasi-Lipschitz map, where $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\kappa \geq 0$ depend on the constants of the combing. Extend the map $\mathfrak{h}$ to a quasi-Lipschitz map on the 1-skeleton of the newly defined combinatorial structure on V as follows: the whole set Cut is sent onto x_0 and for every vertex $v \in \mathcal{M}$ the geodesic $[v, p(v)]$ is sent to the quasi-geodesic in the combing joining x_0 and $\mathfrak{h}(v)$. This extension is a (λ', κ') -quasi-Lipschitz map, where $\lambda' \geq 1$ and $\kappa' \geq 0$ depend on L, C . The extension can be transformed into a singular simplicial map as in [AWP99, Lemma 12]. Note that [AWP99, Lemma 12] (unnecessarily) assumes a hypothesis that the complex X is what they call m -Dehn, but do not use this hypothesis in the proof. Nonetheless, by [AWP99, Theorem 1, p. 92], the simplicial complex X that we use satisfies the m -Dehn condition.

Note also that the construction applied in the proof of [AWP99, Lemma 12] shows that if a chamber Δ has image $\mathfrak{h}(\Delta)$ inside the $(k-1)$ -skeleton, then the image of the cylinder over Δ is inside the k -skeleton. Compare with the argument in [ECH⁺92, Lemma 10.2.5].

We have thus obtained a domain filling $\mathfrak{h}$ of volume $\preceq \text{diam}(\mathfrak{h}) \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$. $\square$

Definition 3.9. The *k -th filling function* of a simplicial complex X is the function $\text{Iso}_k : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\text{Iso}_k(x)$ is the supremum of the filling volume $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h})$ over all k -dimensional spheres $\mathfrak{h}$ of volume at most Ax^k .

The *k -th filling radius* of the simplicial complex X is the function $\text{Rad}_k : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\text{Rad}_k(x)$ is the supremum of all filling radii $\text{FillRad}(\mathfrak{h})$ over all k -dimensional spheres $\mathfrak{h}$ of volume at most Ax^k .

We may generalize the functions above, using instead of the sphere and its filling with a ball, a hypersurface and its filling with a domain, both modeled on a $(k+1)$ -dimensional submanifold with boundary V in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. We then define as above the filling function and radius, denoted Iso_V and Rad_V , respectively.

Proposition 3.10 ([BBFS09]). *Assume that V has dimension $k+1$ at least 4.*

(1) *Assume that ∂V is connected.*

For every hypersurface $\mathfrak{h} : \partial V \rightarrow X$ there exists a singular simplicial map $f : \mathbb{B}^k \rightarrow \partial V$ defined on the k -dimensional unit ball with image $\mathcal{B}$ containing all the chambers that contribute to the volume of $\mathfrak{h}$, and a ball $\mathfrak{b} : \mathbb{B}^k \rightarrow X^{(k-1)}$ filling the sphere $\mathfrak{h} \circ f|_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}}$. In particular

$$(1) \quad \text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h}) \leq \text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{s}) + \text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h}')$$

where $\mathfrak{s}$ is the sphere defined by adjoining the ball $\mathfrak{h} \circ f$ to the ball $\mathfrak{b}$, and $\mathfrak{h}'$ is the hypersurface with image in $X^{(k-1)}$ obtained by adjoining $\mathfrak{h}|_{\partial V \setminus \mathcal{B}}$ to the ball $\mathfrak{b} : \mathbb{B}^k \rightarrow X^{(k-1)}$, $\mathfrak{h}'$ with filling volume zero.

(2) *If either ∂V is connected or $\text{Iso}_k(x)$ is super-additive then the following inequality holds:*

$$\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq \text{Iso}_k(x).$$

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof in [BBFS09, Remark 2.6, (4)]. $\square$

Remark 3.11. A stronger result than Proposition 3.10 is Corollary 1 in [Grob] which removes the hypothesis that ∂V be connected. We do not need that generality to obtain the results of this paper.

Remark 3.12. In what follows the constant $A > 0$ from Definition 3.9 is fixed, but not made precise. Note that changing the constant A to A' is the same as changing the variable x to $\left(\frac{A'}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} x$, thus two filling functions corresponding to two different values of A are equivalent in the sense of the relation $\asymp$ defined in the beginning of Section 2.1.

Theorem 3.13 (Theorem 1 and Corollary 3 in [AWP99]). *Let X_1 and X_2 be two n -connected locally finite CW-complexes such that for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ a group G_i acts on X_i cellularly and such that $X_i^{(n+1)}/G_i$ has finitely many cells.*

If X_1 is quasi-isometric to X_2 then for every $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$\text{Iso}_k^{X_1} \asymp \text{Iso}_k^{X_2}.$$

Remark 3.14. Theorem 3.13 allows us to define n -dimensional filling functions for groups of type $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$, as we shall now do.

Definition 3.15. Let G be a group acting properly discontinuously by simplicial isomorphisms on an n -connected simplicial complex such that $X^{(n+1)}/G$ has finitely many cells. For every $1 \leq k \leq n$, the k -th filling function of G is defined to be the k -th filling function of X .

According to Theorem 3.13 any pair of choices of simplicial complexes as in Definition 3.15 yield filling functions which are $\asymp$ -equivalent, thus the definition is well-defined. This definition is also equivalent to the definitions appearing in [AWP99, Bri02, Ril03, BBFS09]. For further discussion of the relationship between the various versions of definitions of filling functions we refer to [Gro07, Groa, Groa].

3.2. Filling radius estimates deduced from filling functions estimates. The balls realizing the filling volume of a sphere have particular geometric features which we now describe.

Consider a singular simplicial map $\mathbf{c}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$ representing either a domain $\mathfrak{d}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X$ modeled on a sub-manifold V of $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, or a hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ modeled on ∂V . Let v be a vertex of $\mathcal{C}$ and let $r > 0$.

Notation 3.16. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(v, r)$ the maximal sub-complex of $\mathcal{C}$ composed of chambers that can be connected to v by a gallery whose 1-skeleton is entirely contained in $\mathbf{c}^{-1}(\bar{B}(\mathbf{c}(v), r))$. Here $\bar{B}(\mathbf{c}(v), r)$ represents the closed ball centered in $\mathbf{c}(v)$ with respect to the distance dist on $X^{(1)}$. Let $\partial\mathcal{C}(v, r)$ denote the boundary of this subcomplex.

When $\mathbf{c}$ is a sphere or a ball, modulo some slight modifications preserving the volume, its restriction to $\mathcal{C}(v, r)$ is either a domain or the whole sphere, while its restriction to $\partial\mathcal{C}(v, r)$ is a hypersurface. The same is true when $\mathbf{c}$ is a domain and r is strictly less than the distance in $X^{(1)}$ between $\mathbf{c}(v)$ and $\mathbf{c}(\partial\mathcal{C}^{(1)})$.

Indeed, in case $\mathcal{C}(v, r)$ is not a whole sphere, it is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ or of $\mathbb{R}^k$, and small neighborhoods of points in it are either open sets in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, respectively $\mathbb{R}^k$, or are homeomorphic to half-balls, or, for points in the interiors of some simplices of dimension $< k$ on the boundary, may have some other structures. It suffices to cut along all these latter simplices of dimension $< k$ (this can be done while remaining inside $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, respectively $\mathbb{R}^k$, and the boundary can be made into a smooth hypersurface) to obtain a complex $\mathcal{C}(v, r)^{\text{cut}}$ which is modeled on a smooth compact sub-manifold with boundary of $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, respectively $\mathbb{R}^k$. If $\mathcal{G}_r^{\text{cut}}: \mathcal{C}(v, r)^{\text{cut}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(v, r)$ is the map gluing back along the simplices of dimension $< k$ where the cutting was done, then the restriction of $\mathbf{c}$ to $\mathcal{C}(v, r)$ must be pre-composed with $\mathcal{G}_r^{\text{cut}}$.

Notation 3.17. We denote by $\mathbf{c}(v, r)$ and by $\partial\mathbf{c}(v, r)$ the domain, respectively the hypersurface defined by restricting $\mathbf{c}$ to $\mathcal{C}(v, r)$, respectively to $\partial\mathcal{C}(v, r)$, and pre-composing it with $\mathcal{G}_r^{\text{cut}}$.

Proposition 3.18. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer.

If $k \neq 2$ then assume that $\text{Iso}_k(x) \leq Bx^\alpha$ for $\alpha \geq k$ and some constant $B > 0$; while if $k = 2$ then assume that for every compact closed surface V in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq Bx^\alpha$, where $\alpha \geq 2$ and $B > 0$ are independent of the surface.

Consider an arbitrary connected hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$, and a filling domain $\mathfrak{d}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X$ realizing $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h})$.

For every vertex v of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Vol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$ and for every $i < \text{dist}(\mathfrak{d}(v), \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}))$ the following holds:

- (1) If $\alpha = k$ then the volume of $\mathfrak{d}(v, i)$ is at least $(1+C)^{i-1}$, where $C = \frac{A}{(k+1)B^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}}$.
- (2) If $\alpha > k$ then the volume of $\mathfrak{d}(v, i)$ is at least $Di^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}}$ where $D > 0$ is a constant depending on A, B, k and α .
- (3) If $\alpha > k$ and if for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough and for x larger than some x_0 , $\text{Iso}_k(x) \leq \epsilon x^\alpha$ (respectively $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq \epsilon x^\alpha$ for every surface V , when $k = 2$) then for every i as above, moreover $i \geq Bx_0^\alpha$, the volume of $\mathfrak{d}(v, i)$ is at least

$$D(i - D)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}}.$$

Here $D = \frac{\mu}{\epsilon^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}}}$, where $\mu = \mu(A, k, \alpha)$.

Proof. Consider $i < \text{dist}(\mathfrak{d}(v), \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}))$. The filling domain $\mathfrak{d}_i = \mathfrak{d}(v, i)$ realizes the filling volume of the hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}_i = \partial\mathfrak{d}(v, i)$, since if not this would contradict the minimality of the volume of $\mathfrak{d}$. We denote by z_i and y_i the respective volumes of $\mathfrak{d}_i$ and of $\mathfrak{h}_i$. We denote $x_i = \left(\frac{y_i}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

Since the vertex v is, by assumption, in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Vol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$, the volumes z_i are at least 1 for every $i \geq 1$. By the isoperimetric inequality this implies that $y_i \geq 1$, whence $x_i \geq \frac{1}{A^{\frac{1}{k}}} > 0$.

When $k = 1$, by Proposition 3.6(1), we have that $\mathcal{D}(v, i)$ is homeomorphic to a disk with holes having pairwise disjoint interiors. In particular, $\mathcal{D}(v, i)$ is contained in a simplicial disk $\mathcal{D}_i \subset \mathcal{D}$ whose boundary $\mathcal{S}_i$ is inside a connected component of $\partial\mathcal{D}(v, i)$. It follows that

$$z_i \leq \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{d}|_{\mathcal{D}_i}) \leq B \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{d}|_{\mathcal{S}_i})}{A} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{k}} \leq B x_i^\alpha.$$

Assume now that $k \geq 2$. By Proposition 3.6(2), if $\partial\mathcal{D}(v, i)$ is composed of several closed connected k -dimensional submanifolds $\mathfrak{s}_1, \mathfrak{s}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_q$ then $\mathcal{D}(v, i)$ is the intersection of connected components of $\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathfrak{s}_i$, one component for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$. For one $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ the connected component does not contain the boundary $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_i$ be that connected component. It is a domain modeled on a manifold V such that ∂V is connected and has a simplicial structure isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}_i$. Then

$$z_i \leq \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{d}|_{\mathcal{D}_i}) \leq \text{Iso}_V \left(\left(\frac{\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{d}|_{\mathfrak{s}_i})}{A} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \leq \text{Iso}_V(x_i).$$

If $k \geq 3$ then $\text{Iso}_V(x_i) \leq \text{Iso}_k(x_i)$ by Proposition 3.10.

If $k = 2$ then $\text{Iso}_V(x_i) \leq B x_i^\alpha$ by hypothesis.

Thus, in all cases we obtained that

$$(2) \quad z_i \leq B x_i^\alpha.$$

Since i is strictly less than $\text{dist}(\mathfrak{d}(v), \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}))$, the volume z_{i+1} of $\mathfrak{d}_{i+1}$ is at least the volume z_i of $\mathfrak{d}_i$ plus $\frac{1}{k+1}$ -th of the volume y_i of $\mathfrak{h}_i$.

Indeed, every codimension one face in $\mathfrak{h}_i$ is contained in two chambers Δ, Δ' , such that Δ is in $\mathcal{D}(v, i)$ and Δ' is not. If Δ' is collapsed then $\mathfrak{d}(\Delta') = \mathfrak{d}(\Delta \cap \Delta')$, whence Δ' is in $\mathcal{D}(v, i)$ too. This contradicts the fact that the codimension one face $\Delta \cap \Delta'$ is in the boundary of $\mathcal{D}(v, i)$. It follows that Δ' is not collapsed, and it is in $\mathcal{D}(v, i+1) \setminus \mathcal{D}(v, i)$.

Whence

$$(3) \quad z_{i+1} \geq z_i + C z_{i+1}^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \geq z_i + C z_i^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}, \text{ where } C = \frac{A}{(k+1)B^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}}.$$

Part (1). Assume that $k = \alpha$. Then the above gives $z_{i+1} \geq (1+C)z_i$, hence by induction $z_{i+1} \geq (1+C)^i$.

Part (2). Assume that $\alpha > k$. We prove by induction on $i \leq \text{dist}_{\text{comb}}(v, \mathcal{M})$ that

$$(4) \quad z_i \geq D i^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} \text{ for } D \text{ small enough.}$$

The statement is obvious for $i = 1$, and if we assume it for i then

$$z_{i+1} \geq D i^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} + C D^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} i^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}}.$$

Thus it suffices to prove that

$$D \left[(i+1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} - i^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} \right] \leq C D^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} i^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}}.$$

A standard application of the Mean Value Theorem proves that the latter holds if D is small enough compared to C .

Part (3). Assume $\alpha > k$ and moreover that for every $x \geq x_0$, $\text{Iso}_k(x) \leq \epsilon x^\alpha$ (respectively $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq \epsilon x^\alpha$ for every surface V , when $k = 2$).

For $i \geq i_0 = Bx_0^\alpha$ we have that $x_i \geq x_0$. Thus the domain $\mathfrak{d}_i$ filling $\mathfrak{h}_i$ and realizing the filling volume has

$$\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{d}_i) \leq \epsilon x_i^\alpha$$

This implies that for $i \geq i_0$

$$(5) \quad z_{i+1} \geq z_i + C_\epsilon z_i^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}, \text{ where } C_\epsilon = \frac{A}{(k+1)\epsilon^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}}.$$

Let $D = \frac{\mu}{\epsilon^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}}}$, where $\mu = \left(\frac{A(\alpha-k)}{\alpha 2^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}} (k+1)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}}$.

Consider j_0 large enough so that $z_{j_0+1} \geq D$. We can take j_0 to be the integer part of D . We prove by induction that for every $i \geq j_0 + 1$

$$z_i \geq D(i - j_0)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}}.$$

Assume that the statement is true for i . According to (5),

$$z_{i+1} \geq D(i - j_0)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} + C_\epsilon D^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} (i - j_0)^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}}.$$

The right hand side of the inequality is larger than $D(i+1 - j_0)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}}$ if

$$(6) \quad D \left[(i+1 - j_0)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} - (i - j_0)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} \right] \leq C_\epsilon D^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} (i - j_0)^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}}.$$

We may apply the Mean Value Theorem to bound the left hand side of (6) from above and write

$$D \left[(i+1 - j_0)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} - (i - j_0)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} \right] \leq D \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k} (i+1-j_0)^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}} \leq D \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k} 2^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}} (i-j_0)^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}}$$

Thus the inequality (6) holds true if

$$D \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k} 2^{\frac{k}{\alpha-k}} \leq C_\epsilon D^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}.$$

The value chosen for D implies that we have equality. $\square$

A relation can now be established between the filling radius and the filling function. Note that for $k = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$ this relation was first proved in [Pap96, Proposition pg. 799].

Proposition 3.19. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. If $k \neq 2$ then assume that $\text{Iso}_k(x) \leq Bx^\alpha$ for $\alpha \geq k$ and some constant $B > 0$; while if $k = 2$ then assume that for every compact closed surface V in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq Bx^\alpha$, where $\alpha \geq 2$ and $B > 0$ are independent of V .*

Let $\text{Rad}(x)$ be the supremum of all $\text{Rad}_V(x)$, with V a connected compact $(k+1)$ -dimensional smooth or piecewise-linear submanifold with boundary in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$.

(1) *If $\alpha = k$ then $\text{Rad}(x) \leq L \ln x + L$, where $L > 0$ depends on A, B and k .*

(2) If $\alpha > k$ then

$$\text{Rad}(x) \leq Lx^{\alpha-k},$$

where $L > 0$ is a constant depending on A, B, k and α .

(3) If $\alpha > k$ and moreover if for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough and for x larger than some x_0 , $\text{Iso}_k(x) \leq \epsilon x^\alpha$ (respectively $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq \epsilon x^\alpha$ for every surface V , when $k = 2$) then

$$\text{Rad}(x) \leq \lambda \epsilon x^{\alpha-k} + \lambda \epsilon^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}} + Bx_0^\alpha,$$

where λ is a constant depending only on k, α and A .

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ be a hypersurface modeled on some ∂V of dimension k , $\mathfrak{h}$ of volume at most Ax^k , and let $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X$ be a domain realizing $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h})$ and minimal with respect to the order relation $\succ$.

Let v be a vertex of $\mathfrak{d}$ realizing the radius of $\mathfrak{d}$, $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{d})$, within an error of at most 1. According to Lemma 3.4, without loss of generality we may assume that $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{Vol}}$.

(1) follows immediately from Proposition 3.18, (1).

(2) Proposition 3.18, (2), implies that $D\text{FillRad}(\mathfrak{h})^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} \leq Bx^\alpha$, whence $\text{Rad}(x) \leq Lx^{\alpha-k}$.

(3) According to Proposition 3.18, (3), we obtain that either $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{d}) \leq i_0 + j_0$ or that

$$D(\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{d}) - j_0)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-k}} \leq \epsilon x^\alpha.$$

This implies that

$$\text{Rad}(x) \preceq \mu^{-\frac{\alpha-k}{\alpha}} \epsilon x^{\alpha-k} + \frac{A}{k+1} \epsilon^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}} + Bx_0^\alpha.$$

□

Two types of filling function estimates, listed below, play an important part in the theory.

A simplicial complex X is said to *satisfy a cone-type inequality for k* , where $k \geq 1$ is an integer, if for every k -dimensional sphere $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ its filling volume satisfies the inequality:

$$(7) \quad \text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h}) \preceq \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}) \text{diam}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

In the above inequality the diameter $\text{diam}(\mathfrak{h})$ is the diameter of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ measured with respect to the metric of the 1-skeleton $X^{(1)}$.

A simplicial complex X is said to *satisfy a Euclidean filling inequality for k* if:

$$(8) \quad \text{Iso}_{k,X}(x) \preceq x^{k+1}.$$

An immediate consequence of Proposition 3.19 is the following.

Corollary 3.20. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and assume that X satisfies a Euclidean filling inequality, if $k \neq 2$, or an inequality of the form $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq Bx^3$ for every compact closed surface V in $\mathbb{R}^3$, if $k = 2$.*

Then the filling radius defined in Proposition 3.19 satisfies $\text{Rad}(x) \preceq x$.

3.3. Partition into round hypersurfaces. Among the k -dimensional hypersurfaces, there is a particular type for which the cone-type inequality (7) implies the Euclidean inequality.

Definition 3.21. A k -dimensional hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}$ is called η -round for a constant $\eta > 0$ if $\text{diam}(\mathfrak{h}) \leq \eta \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

Note that for $k = 1$ the hypersurfaces, i.e. the closed curves, are always round. In what follows we extend, for $k \geq 2$, a result from Riemannian geometry to the setting of simplicial complexes. Our arguments are inspired by [Gro83] and [Wen05]. There are nevertheless several difficulties to overcome which arise in the class of fillings that we are interested in.

Proposition 3.22 (partition into round hypersurfaces). *Consider an integer $k \geq 2$. If $k \neq 3$ then assume that $\text{Iso}_{k-1}(x) \leq Bx^k$ for some constant $B > 0$. If $k = 3$ then assume that for every compact closed surface V in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq Bx^3$, where $B > 0$ is independent of the surface. Then for every λ in $(0, 1)$ there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ satisfying the following: every k -dimensional sphere $\mathfrak{h}$ has a partition with contours $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_n$ and $\mathfrak{r}$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_i$ are η -round hypersurfaces for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\mathfrak{r}$ is a disjoint union of k -dimensional spheres adjoined with hypersurfaces of volume and filling volume zero, and*

- (1) $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \leq (1 + \lambda) \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$;
- (2) $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}) \leq \epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$, where $\epsilon = 1 - \frac{1-\lambda}{6^k}$.

Proof. The proof is done in several steps. Let $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ be a k -dimensional sphere. We will denote by $F > 0$ a fixed constant which is determined by the argument below.

If the volume of $\mathfrak{h}$ is zero then we simply take $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{h}$. In what follows we therefore assume that $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}) > 0$. For an arbitrary vertex $y \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$ define $r_0(y)$ to be the maximum of all $r > 0$ such that $\mathfrak{h}(y, r)$ has volume at least Fr^k . Note that $\mathfrak{h}(y, 1)$ contains at least one chamber. We therefore assume that $F \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$, thus ensuring that for every y the radius $r_0(y)$ is at least 2.

STEP 1. Let r_1 be the maximum of the $r_0(y)$ for $y \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$, and let $y_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$ be such that $r_1 = r_0(y_1)$. Then consider $Y_2 = \mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}} \setminus \mathcal{M}(y_1, 6r_1)$, the maximum r_2 of the $r_0(y)$ for $y \in Y_2$, and $y_2 \in Y_2$ such that $r_2 = r_0(y_2)$. Assume that we have found inductively $y_1, \dots, y_j$ and in $Y_{j+1} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^j \mathcal{M}(y_i, 6r_i)$ consider the maximal radius $r_0(y)$ denoted by r_{j+1} and a point $y_{j+1} \in Y_{j+1}$ such that $r_0(y_{j+1}) = r_{j+1}$.

We thus find a sequence $y_1, \dots, y_N$ of vertices and a non-increasing sequence $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_N$ of radii, and we clearly have that for $i \neq j$ the sets $\mathcal{M}(y_i, 2r_i)$ and $\mathcal{M}(y_j, 2r_j)$ do not contain a common chamber. For N large enough we have that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{M}(y_i, 6r_i)$ is empty. For each i , either $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, 6r_i))$ equals $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i))$ or it is strictly larger than $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i))$. In the latter case, we can write:

$$\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, 6r_i)) \leq F6^k r_i^k \leq 6^k \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)) .$$

In both cases we can write:

$$\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, 6r_i)) \leq 6^k \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)) .$$

We may therefore conclude that the domains $\{\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ contain at least $\frac{1}{6^k}$ of the volume of $\mathfrak{h}$.

If for some j we have that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}} \subset \mathcal{M}(y_j, 6r_j)$ then $Fr_j^k \leq \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}) \leq F(6r_j)^k$ and this may be seen as a particular case of the above, with the set of domains $\{\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ replaced by the singleton set $\{\mathfrak{h}(y_j, r_j)\}$.

In what follows we assume that for every i , $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$ is not contained in any $\mathcal{M}(y_i, 6r_i)$.

STEP 2. Fix $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and define the function $\beta_i(r) = \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r))$. By the definition of r_i we have that $\beta_i(r_i) \geq Fr_i^k$ while $\beta_i(r_i + 1) \leq F(r_i + 1)^k$.

Assume that $\text{Vol}(\partial\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)) > 0$. We may write that

$$\beta(r_i + 1) \geq \beta(r_i) + \frac{1}{k} \text{Vol}(\partial\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)),$$

whence, according to the Mean Value theorem,

$$\text{Vol}(\partial\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)) \leq Fk^2(r_i + 1)^{k-1} \leq CFr_i^{k-1}$$

where $C = 2^{k-1}k^2$.

If $\partial\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)$ is empty, i.e. $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)$ then the inequality above is automatically satisfied.

In what follows we denote $r_i + 1$ by r'_i .

If $k = 2$ then $\mathcal{M}(y_i, r'_i)$ is either a disk with holes with disjoint interiors or it is the whole sphere, i.e., $\partial\mathcal{M}(y_i, r'_i)$ is either empty or a union of circles. We fill the $\mathfrak{h}$ -image of each circle in X with a disk of area quadratic in the length of the circle, and transform $\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)$ into a sphere $\mathfrak{h}_i$ of area at most $Fr_i^2 + C^2F^2r_i^2$. For F small enough this is at most $(1 + \lambda)\beta_i(r_i) \leq (1 + \lambda)\beta_i(r'_i)$.

On the other hand, we consider the remainder of the complex $\mathcal{M} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{M}(y_i, r'_i)$ to which we add $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \partial\mathcal{M}(y_i, r'_i)$. We fill in X the $\mathfrak{h}$ -image of each circle in $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \partial\mathcal{M}(y_i, r'_i)$ with a disk of area quadratic in the length of the circle, and transform $\mathfrak{h}|_{\mathcal{M} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{M}(y_i, r'_i)}$ into a disjoint union of 2-spheres, denoted by $\mathfrak{r}$.

If $k \geq 3$ then $\mathcal{M}(y_i, r'_i)$ has boundary composed of $(k - 1)$ -dimensional hypersurfaces and by using a similar argument, the hypothesis on the $(k - 1)$ -filling and Proposition 3.10(2), when $k \geq 4$, we transform each $\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)$ into a hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}_i$ of volume at most $(1 + \lambda)\beta_i(r_i)$, and the remaining complex $\mathcal{M} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{M}(y_i, r'_i)$ into a disjoint union composed of a k -sphere and of k -hypersurfaces. Proposition 3.10(1), allows to write each of these hypersurfaces as spheres of the same volume adjoined with k -hypersurfaces contained in $X^{(k-1)}$ with volume and filling volume zero. We again denote this union by $\mathfrak{r}$.

The hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}_i$ has volume $\asymp r_i^k$ and diameter $\preceq r_i$, since $\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)$ has diameter at most $2r'_i$, and for the filling domain of each component of $\partial\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)$ the radius is $\preceq r'_i$ by Proposition 3.19.

In both cases $k = 2$ and $k \geq 3$ we obtain that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \leq (1 + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)).$$

Since for every $i \neq j$ the sets of chambers in $\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i) \cap \mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$ and respectively in $\mathfrak{h}(y_j, r_j) \cap \mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}}$ are disjoint,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)) \leq \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

The union $\mathfrak{r}$ is obtained by replacing the domains $\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)$ with unions of filling domains of their boundary components. It therefore has volume at most $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}) - \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i))$. We combine this with the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)) \geq \frac{1}{6^k} \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$ and obtain (2). $\square$

We are now ready to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.23. *Consider an integer $k \geq 2$. If $k \neq 3$ then assume that $\text{Iso}_{k-1}(x) \leq Bx^k$ for some constant $B > 0$. If $k = 3$ then assume that for every compact closed surface V in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq Bx^3$, where $B > 0$ is independent of the surface.*

If for every round k -hypersurface of volume at most Ax^k its filling volume is at most Bx^α with $\alpha \geq k$, then $\text{Iso}_k(x) \leq \kappa Bx^\alpha$, where κ is a universal constant.

Proof. Proposition 3.22 allows to find constants $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $\eta > 0$ such that for every $i \geq 1$, an arbitrary k -dimensional sphere $\mathfrak{h}$ admits a partition with contours $\mathfrak{h}_1^i, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_{n_i}^i, \mathfrak{r}_i$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_1^i, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_{n_i}^i$ are η -round, moreover:

- (1) $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}_i) \leq \epsilon^i \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$;
- (2) $\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_j^i) \leq (1 + \lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \epsilon^\ell \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$,

where $\epsilon = 1 - \frac{1-\lambda}{6^k}$.

Indeed, the above can be proved by induction on $i \geq 1$, where the conclusion of Proposition 3.22 yields the initial case $i = 1$.

Assume that we found the required partition for i . We apply Proposition 3.22 to the disjoint union of k -spheres composing $\mathfrak{r}_i$, once the k -dimensional hypersurfaces of volume and filling volume zero are removed.

We obtain $\mathfrak{k}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{k}_m$ η -round hypersurfaces and $\mathfrak{r}_{i+1}$ disjoint union of k -spheres and of k -dimensional hypersurfaces of volume and filling volume zero such that

- (1) $\sum_{i=1}^m \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{k}_i) \leq (1 + \lambda) \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}_i) \leq (1 + \lambda) \epsilon^i \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$;
- (2) $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}_{i+1}) \leq \epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}_i) \leq \epsilon^{i+1} \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$.

We then consider the set of hypersurfaces

$$\{\mathfrak{h}_1^{i+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_{n_{i+1}}^{i+1}\} = \{\mathfrak{h}_1^i, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_{n_i}^i, \mathfrak{k}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{k}_m\}.$$

For large enough i the problem of filling $\mathfrak{h}$ is thus reduced to the problem of filling the round hypersurfaces $\mathfrak{h}_j^i$. These are filled by a volume $\leq B \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_j^i)^{\frac{\alpha}{k}} \leq B\kappa \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})^{\frac{\alpha}{k}}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.24 (the Federer-Fleming inequality for groups). *Assume that the simplicial complex X has a bounded quasi-geodesic combing. Then for every $k \geq 1$, $\text{Iso}_k(x) \preceq x^{k+1}$. Moreover for $k = 2$ the supremum of $\text{Iso}_V(x)$ over all surfaces V is $\preceq x^3$.*

Proof. For $k = 1$ the cone filling inequality implies the quadratic filling inequality. For $k = 2$ consider an arbitrary surface $\mathfrak{h}$ modeled on ∂V in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It can be cut into round surfaces as in Proposition 3.22. Indeed, with the notations in the proof of that Proposition, the $\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)$ are modeled on sub-surfaces with boundary of ∂V . By filling the circles composing the boundary of each $\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i)$, the surface $\mathfrak{h}$ is cut into round surfaces $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_n$, and a disjoint union of surfaces $\mathfrak{r}$ with $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}) \leq \epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$. By iterating this decomposition, as in the proof of Theorem 3.23, we reduce the problem of filling $\mathfrak{h}$ to that of filling round surfaces $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_q$ with $\sum_{j=1}^q \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_j) \leq (1 + \lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^\ell \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$. Lemma 3.8 allows us to deduce that $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \leq B(\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i))^{\frac{3}{2}}$, where B depends only on the constants of the combing and on η ; whence $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h}) \leq B'(\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}))^{\frac{3}{2}}$, where B' depends only on B and on λ .

By inducting on k , Theorem 3.23 and Lemma 3.8 allow us to deduce the result for all $k \geq 3$. $\square$

In the case of fillings of Riemannian hypersurfaces in Banach spaces the above inequality was proven by Gromov [Gro83].

3.4. Decomposition into thick and round spheres. We now decompose spheres into thick and thin parts. This argument adapts an argument in [Wen11b].

Given a k -dimensional hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}$, we define its (γ, ρ) -thin part by the following:

$$\text{Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho) = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Vol}} \mid \exists r \in [1, \rho] \text{ such that } \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y, r)) \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^k} \gamma r^k \right\}.$$

We assume that $\gamma < 1$, thus for $r = 1$ we know that

$$\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y, r)) > \gamma r^k.$$

Proposition 3.25. *Consider an integer $k \geq 2$. If $k \neq 3$ then assume that $\text{Iso}_{k-1}(x) \leq Bx^k$ for some constant $B > 0$. If $k = 3$ then assume that for every compact closed surface V in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq Bx^3$, where $B > 0$ is independent of the surface.*

For every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that for every k -dimensional sphere $\mathfrak{h}$ and every $\rho > 0$ there exists a partition of $\mathfrak{h}$ with contours $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_q$ and $\mathfrak{r}$, where $\mathfrak{h}_i$ are hypersurfaces and $\mathfrak{r}$ is a disjoint union of k -dimensional spheres adjoined with hypersurfaces of volume and filling volume zero, such that

- (1) $0 < \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \leq (1 + \lambda) \gamma \frac{\rho^k}{6^k}$;
- (2) $\text{diam}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \leq \frac{\sigma}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{k}}} \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i)^{\frac{1}{k}}$, where σ depends on the filling constants A, B and k ;
- (3) $\sum_{i=1}^q \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \geq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^k} \text{card Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho)$;
- (4) $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}) + \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^q \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \leq \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$.

Proof. We assume that $\text{Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho) \neq \emptyset$, otherwise we take $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{h}$ and no $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_q$. We use the notation introduced in 3.16 and 3.17. For every $y \in \text{Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho)$ consider $\beta_y(r) = \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y, r))$. Define

$$R_*(y) = \inf \left\{ r \in [1, \rho] \mid \beta_y(r) < \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^k} \gamma r^k \right\},$$

and

$$r_*(y) = \sup \{ r \in [1, R_*(y)] \mid \beta_y(r) > \gamma r^k \}.$$

Note that $\gamma r_*(y)^k < \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^k} \gamma R_*(y)^k$, whence $r_*(y) < \frac{R_*(y)}{6}$.

Lemma 3.26. *There exists $r(y) \in (r_*(y), \frac{R_*(y)}{6})$ such that the following holds:*

- (1) $\beta_y(6r(y)) \leq 6^k \beta_y(r(y))$;
- (2) $\beta_y(r(y)) \leq \gamma r(y)^k$;
- (3) $\text{Vol}(\partial \mathfrak{h}(y, r(y) + 1)) \leq C_k \gamma^{\frac{1}{k}} \beta_y(r(y))^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$, where $C_k = 2k(k+1)3^{k-1}$.

Proof. In what follows, for simplicity we write β instead of β_y and r_*, R_* instead of $r_*(y), R_*(y)$. Define

$$r'_* = \inf \left\{ r \in \left[r_*, \frac{R_*}{6} \right] ; \beta(6r) > 6^k \beta(r) \right\}.$$

If the above infimum is over an empty set then take $r'_* = \frac{R_*}{6}$.

Note that even if the above infimum is not over an empty set one still has that $r'_* > r_*$. Otherwise

$$\beta(6r_*) > \gamma (6r_*)^k,$$

contradicting the maximality of r_* .

For every $r \in (r_*, r'_*)$ properties (1) and (2) are satisfied. Assume that for all such r ,

$$\text{Vol}(\partial \mathfrak{h}(y, r + 1)) > k \gamma^{\frac{1}{k}} 3^{k-1} 2(k+1) (\beta(r))^{\frac{k-1}{k}}.$$

This in particular implies that for every $r \in (r_*, r'_*)$, $\text{Vol}(\partial \mathfrak{h}(y, r + 1)) > 0$.

For $r = r_* + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ is small, we can write that

$$\beta(r + 1) \geq \beta(r) + \frac{1}{k+1} \text{Vol}(\partial \mathfrak{h}(y, r)) > \gamma r_*^k + k \gamma^{\frac{1}{k}} 3^{k-1} 2 \gamma^{\frac{k-1}{k}} r_*^{k-1}$$

The right-hand side equals $\gamma r_*^k + 2k \gamma (3r_*)^{k-1}$, and the latter is larger than $\gamma(r+1)^k$, by a standard application of the Mean Value Theorem, combined with the fact that $r_* \geq 1$ and that ϵ is small enough. This contradicts the maximality of r_* , hence there exists $r \in (r_*, r'_*)$ satisfying property (3) as well. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.25 continued. Consider r_1 to be the maximum of all $r(y)$ with $y \in \text{Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho)$ and $y_1 \in \text{Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho)$ such that $r(y_1) = r_1$. Then consider r_2 to be the maximum of all the $r(y)$ with $y \in \text{Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho) \setminus \mathcal{M}(y_1, 6r_1)$ and y_2 a point in the previous set such that $r(y_2) = r_2$. Inductively we find vertices $y_1, \dots, y_q$ and radii $r_1, \dots, r_q$ and define r_{q+1} as the maximum of all the $r(y)$ with $y \in \text{Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^q \mathcal{M}(y_i, 6r_i)$ and y_{q+1} as a point such that $r(y_{q+1}) = r_{q+1}$.

For large enough q the two sequences thus constructed have the following list of properties:

- (1) $\frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^k} \gamma r_i^k \leq \beta_{y_i}(r_i) \leq \gamma r_i^k$;
- (2) $r_i \leq \frac{\rho}{6}$;
- (3) $\mathcal{M}(y_i, 2r_i)$ and $\mathcal{M}(y_j, 2r_j)$ have no chamber in common when $i \neq j$;
- (4) $\text{Vol}(\partial \mathfrak{h}(y_i, r_i + 1)) \leq C_k \gamma^{\frac{1}{k}} \beta_{y_i}(r_i)^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$, where $C_k = k(k+1)3^{k-1}2$;
- (5) $\sum_{i=1}^q \beta_{y_i}(r_i) \geq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^k} \text{card Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho)$.

Indeed, properties (1), (2) and (4) follow from the properties of $r(y)$ while (3) follows from the construction of the sequences (y_i) and (r_i) . Property (5) follows for q large enough because the process can continue until $\text{Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^q \mathcal{M}(y_i, 6r_i)$ is empty, in which case

$$\text{card Thin}(\mathfrak{h}, \gamma, \rho) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_{y_i}(6r_i) \leq 2 \cdot 6^k \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_{y_i}(r_i).$$

Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$. We denote $r_i + 1$ by r'_i . If $k = 2$ then $\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)$ is a disk with holes with disjoint interiors. In that case fill each of the boundary circles with a quadratic area. This will yield a surface $\mathfrak{h}_i$ of area $\leq \beta_{y_i}(r'_i)(1 + C_2^2 \gamma)$, and the remainder $\mathfrak{r}$ is a disjoint union of spheres.

If $k = 3$ then $\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)$ is a handlebody with other handlebodies removed from its interior. We fill each of the surfaces that compose $\partial \mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)$ with cubic volumes and obtain a 3-dimensional hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}_i$ of volume $\leq \beta_{y_i}(r'_i)(1 + C_3^{3/2} \gamma^{1/2})$; the remainder is a disjoint union of a 3-sphere with 3-dimensional hypersurfaces, and we apply Proposition 3.10 (1). A similar argument works in the case $k \geq 4$.

Note that for γ small enough we obtain in all cases that

$$\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i) < (1 + \lambda) \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}(y_i, r'_i)).$$

All the properties in the list are satisfied due to the properties listed above of y_i, r_i and to Proposition 3.18 providing the appropriate upper bound for the radius of each filling domain. $\square$

Proposition 3.27 (thick-thin decomposition). *Consider an integer $k \geq 2$. If $k \neq 3$ then assume that $\text{Iso}_{k-1}(x) \leq Bx^k$ for some constant $B > 0$. If $k = 3$ then assume that for every compact closed surface V in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\text{Iso}_V(x) \leq Bx^3$, where $B > 0$ is independent of the surface.*

For every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ the following holds. Every k -dimensional sphere $\mathfrak{h}$ admits a partition with contours $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_q$ and $\mathfrak{r}$, where $\mathfrak{h}_i$ are hypersurfaces and $\mathfrak{r}$ is composed of a disjoint union of k -dimensional spheres which are each adjoined with a hypersurface of volume and filling volume zero, let $\mathfrak{r}' : \mathcal{R}' \rightarrow X$ and $\mathfrak{r}'' : \mathcal{R}'' \rightarrow X$ denote the singular simplicial maps representing the union of k -dimensional spheres, respectively the union of adjoined hypersurfaces, such that:

- (1) *every vertex v in $\mathcal{R}'_{\text{Vol}}$ and every $r \in \left[1, 6\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r})^{\frac{1}{k}}\right]$ have the property that*

$$\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}'(v, r)) \geq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^k} \gamma r^k;$$

- (2) *for every i , $0 < \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \leq (1 + \lambda) \epsilon^k \gamma \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$;*

- (3) *$\text{diam}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \leq \frac{\sigma}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{k}}} \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i)^{\frac{1}{k}}$, where $\sigma = \sigma(A, B, k)$;*

- (4) *$\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}) + \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^q \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \leq \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$.*

Proof. The proof is by recursive applications of Proposition 3.25. We apply Proposition 3.25 to the sphere $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\rho = 6\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})^{\frac{1}{k}}$. We obtain a partition $\mathfrak{r}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_{q_1}$, where $\mathfrak{r}_1$ is a disjoint union of k -dimensional spheres each adjoined with a hypersurface of volume and filling volume zero. Let $\mathfrak{r}'_1$ be the union of disjoint k -dimensional spheres and $\mathfrak{r}''_1$ denote the union of hypersurfaces of volume and filling volume zero adjoined to each of the spheres.

At step i we apply Proposition 3.25 to the union of spheres $\mathbf{r}'_{i-1}$ and to $\rho_{i-1} = 6\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathbf{r}_{i-1})^{\frac{1}{k}}$ and we obtain a partition of $\mathbf{r}_{i-1}$ with contours $\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{h}_{q_{i-1}+1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{q_i}$.

We have that

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbf{r}_i) + \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \text{Vol}(\mathbf{h}_j) \leq \text{Vol}(\mathbf{h}).$$

This in particular implies that the sum must be finite, hence the process must stabilize at some point. Hence at some step i we must find that $\text{Thin}(\mathbf{r}'_i, \gamma, \rho_i)$ is empty.

We take $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r}'_i$ and we know that $\text{Thin}(\mathbf{r}', \gamma, 6\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{k}})$ is empty. $\square$

Note that “thick” does not imply “round”. Indeed, one can take a maximal $[6\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{k}}]$ -separated net, its cardinality is at most a number $N = N(\gamma, \epsilon)$, but its $[6\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{k}}]$ -neighborhood only covers $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Vol}}$, not $\mathcal{R}$.

Proposition 3.28 (decomposition of thick into thick and round). *Assume that the simplicial complex X has a bounded quasi-geodesic combing and let $k \geq 2$ be an integer.*

For every two numbers γ and ϵ in $(0, 1)$ there exists $N = N(\gamma, \epsilon, k)$ such that the following holds. Consider an arbitrary disjoint union of k -dimensional spheres $\mathbf{r} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{r}) \geq V_0$ for some large enough constant V_0 .

Assume that $\mathbf{r}$ is (ϵ, γ) -thick, in the sense that

() every vertex v in $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Vol}}$ and every $r \in [0, 6\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{k}}]$ has the property that*

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbf{r}(v, r)) \geq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^k} \gamma r^k;$$

Then $\mathbf{r}$ has a partition into a union $\mathbf{r}_0$ of hypersurfaces of volume zero and m spheres of dimension k , $\mathbf{r}_i : \mathcal{R}^{(i)} \rightarrow X$ with $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $m \leq N$, such that

(1) for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, every vertex v in $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Vol}}^{(i)}$ and every $r \in [0, 6\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{k}}]$ has the property that

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbf{r}_i(v, r)) \geq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^k} \gamma r^k;$$

(2) $\text{diam}(\mathbf{r}_i) \leq \frac{\kappa}{\gamma \epsilon^{k-1}} \text{Vol}(\mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{k}}$, where κ depends only on the constants L and C of the combing;

(3) $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{r}_i) \geq \frac{\gamma \epsilon^k}{2} \text{Vol}(\mathbf{r})$.

Proof. If $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ then take $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{r}$. We assume that $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{r}) > 0$.

Let q be the maximal number of connected components of $\mathbf{r}$ with positive volume. Property (1) implies that q has a uniform upper bound depending on γ . Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{r}$ is one k -dimensional sphere.

Let v be an arbitrary vertex in $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Vol}}$. Let W denote $24\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

We choose the constant V_0 in the hypothesis large enough so that $W \geq 6$.

Suppose that for every $r \in [W, \frac{17}{\gamma \epsilon^k} W]$, $\mathcal{R}(v, r+W) \setminus \mathcal{R}(v, r)$ contains a chamber from $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Vol}}$.

We divide $\left[W, \frac{17}{\gamma\epsilon^k}W\right]$ into consecutive intervals with disjoint interiors $[r_1 - 2W, r_1 + 2W], \dots, [r_q - 2W, r_q + 2W]$. Each $\mathcal{R}(v, r_i + W) \setminus \mathcal{R}(v, r_i)$ contains a chamber from $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Vol}}$, and for a vertex v_i of that chamber the chambers in $\mathcal{R}(v_i, W)$ are all contained in $\mathcal{R}(v, r_i + 2W) \setminus \mathcal{R}(v, r_i - 2W)$. Therefore the sets of chambers in $\mathcal{R}(v_i, W)$ are pairwise disjoint, and the cardinality of each set intersected with $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Vol}}$ is at least $\frac{\gamma}{2 \cdot 6^k} W^k$ by property (*).

It follows that $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r})$ is at least $\frac{\frac{17}{\gamma\epsilon^k}W - W}{4W} \frac{\gamma}{2 \cdot 6^k} W^k \geq \frac{\frac{16}{\gamma\epsilon^k}W}{8W} \gamma\epsilon^k \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r}) \geq 2\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r})$. This is a contradiction.

We conclude that there exists $r \in \left[W, \frac{17}{\gamma\epsilon^k}W\right]$ such that $\mathcal{R}(v, r + W) \setminus \mathcal{R}(v, r)$ does not contain a chamber from $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Vol}}$. The boundary of $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{R}(v, r + W/2)$ has no volume. Assume for a contradiction that there exists a $(k - 1)$ -chamber H of the boundary which is sent by $\mathfrak{r}$ homeomorphically inside X . Then there exists a non-collapsed chamber containing H , and hence contained in $\mathcal{R}(v, r + W)$, but not in $\mathcal{R}(v, r + W/2)$. This contradicts the hypothesis.

It follows that the boundary of $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{R}(v, r + W/2)$ has no volume, same as $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$. This boundary is composed of hypersurfaces contained in $X^{(k-2)}$, each can be filled with a domain inside $X^{(k-1)}$ using the combing (see Lemma 3.8). For $k \geq 3$, $\mathfrak{r}_1$ becomes a sphere $\mathfrak{r}'_1: \mathcal{R}'_1 \rightarrow X$ with a hypersurface $\mathfrak{r}''_1$ of volume and filling volume zero adjoined to it, and $\mathfrak{r} \setminus \mathfrak{r}_1$ becomes $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_1$, a disjoint union of spheres with hypersurfaces of volume and filling volume zero adjoined to them. We denote the disjoint union of spheres by $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}'_1$ and the union of hypersurfaces by $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}''_1$.

For $k = 2$ $\mathfrak{r}_1$ becomes a sphere $\mathfrak{r}'_1$, while $\mathfrak{r}$ restricted to $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$ becomes a disjoint union of spheres $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}'_1$.

We prove that, for all $k \geq 2$, both $\mathfrak{r}'_1$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}'_1$ satisfy the property (*). Indeed, the part added to $\mathfrak{r}_1$ to become $\mathfrak{r}'_1$, and to $\mathfrak{r}$ restricted to $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$ to become the union of $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}'_1$ with $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}''_1$ does not contribute to the volume, it suffices therefore to check (*) for vertices a in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{Vol}}$, respectively in $(\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_1)^{\text{Vol}}$.

Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{Vol}}$. Then a is in a non-collapsed chamber in $\mathcal{R}(v, r)$, since $\mathcal{R}_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}(v, r)$ does not contain non-collapsed chambers. It follows that $\mathcal{R}(a, \frac{W}{4}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(v, r + \frac{W}{2})$. Thus, for every $t \in [0, \frac{W}{4}]$, $\mathfrak{r}_1(a, t) = \mathfrak{r}(a, t)$, hence (*) is satisfied for $\mathfrak{r}_1$.

Likewise, let a be in $(\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_1)^{\text{Vol}}$. Hence $a \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}(v, r + W)$. If $\mathcal{R}(a, \frac{W}{4})$ would intersect $\mathcal{R}_1$ then $\text{dist}_X(\mathfrak{r}(a), \mathfrak{r}(v)) \leq r + \frac{3W}{4}$, therefore $\mathcal{R}(a, \frac{W}{4}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(v, r + W)$, in particular a would be in $\mathcal{R}(v, r + W)$, a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{R}(a, \frac{W}{4})$ is in $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$ and as before we conclude that $\mathfrak{r}$ restricted to $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$ satisfies (*).

In particular the volume of $\mathfrak{r}'_1$ is at least $\frac{\gamma\epsilon^k}{2} \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r})$.

The diameter of $\mathfrak{r}'_1$ is at most $\kappa_1(r + W)$, where κ_1 depends on the constants L and C of the combing. An upper bound for $r + W$ is $\left(\frac{17}{\gamma\epsilon^k} + 1\right)W \leq \frac{18}{\gamma\epsilon^k} 24\epsilon \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r})^{\frac{1}{k}} = \frac{\kappa_2}{\gamma\epsilon^{k-1}} \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{r})^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

We thus obtain the estimate in (2) for the diameter of $\mathfrak{r}'_1$.

We repeat the argument for $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}'_1$, and find $\mathfrak{r}_2$ etc. The process must stop after finitely many steps because of inequality (3). $\square$

Corollary 3.29. *Let X be a simplicial complex with a bounded quasi-geodesic combing, let $k \geq 2$ be an integer.*

If for every k -dimensional sphere of volume at most Ax^k that is round and thick, in the sense of (1), its filling volume is at most Bx^α with $\alpha \geq k$, then $\text{Iso}_k(x) \leq \kappa Bx^\alpha$, where κ is a universal constant.

Proof. The result follows from the Propositions 3.27 and 3.28. $\square$

Corollary 3.30. *Let X be a simplicial complex with a bounded quasi-geodesic combing, such that for every asymptotic cone of X the maximal dimension of locally compact subsets in it is m . If $k \geq m$ then $\text{Iso}_k(x) = o(x^{k+1})$.*

Proof. According to Corollary 3.29, it suffices to prove the statement for a filling function defined only for spheres that are round and thick. We argue for a contradiction and assume that there exists a sequence $\mathfrak{r}_n$ of k -dimensional spheres that are round and thick, of volume $\asymp x_n^k$ and of filling volume at least λx_n^{k+1} , where λ is a positive constant and $x_n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathfrak{d}_n$ be filling $(k+1)$ -dimensional balls realizing $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{r}_n)$ and minimal with respect to the order relation $>$.

One can then apply the argument in [Wen11a, pp. 11-13] for $T_n = \mathfrak{r}_n$ and $S_n = \mathfrak{d}_n$, and obtain that an asymptotic cone of X contains a compact subset of dimension $k+1$, a contradiction. $\square$

As a consequence of the decompositions described previously, we obtain that hyperbolic groups have linear filling in every dimension also for the filling functions that we use. The same result has been proven for the filling functions in terms of Lipschitz spheres and Lipschitz balls in [Lan00].

Corollary 3.31. *Let G be a finitely generated Gromov-hyperbolic group. For every $k \geq 1$, $\text{Iso}_k^G(x) \asymp x^k$.*

Proof. We can consider a Rips complex X for the group G . It suffices to prove the statement for the filling functions defined for spheres that are round and thick. Using the same argument as in [Lan00], the problem reduces to the problem of estimating the filling for such spheres in a real hyperbolic space. The linear filling is then given by the same hyperbolic cone as constructed in [Lan00]. $\square$

4. DIVERGENCE.

4.1. The 0-dimensional divergence. We begin by recalling various equivalent definitions of the 0-dimensional divergence, closely related to the divergence function defined by S. Gersten in [Ger94b] and [Ger94a].

The main reference for the first part of this section is [DMS10, §3.1]. We will let X , or sometimes to be explicit (X, dist) , denote a geodesic metric space. Also, we will fix two constants $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\gamma \geq 0$.

For an arbitrary triple of distinct points $a, b, c \in X$ we define $\text{div}_\gamma(a, b, c; \delta)$ to be the infimum of the lengths of paths connecting a, b and avoiding the ball $B(c, \delta \cdot \text{dist}(c, \{a, b\}) - \gamma)$. If no such path exists, define $\text{div}_\gamma(a, b, c; \delta) = \infty$.

The *divergence function* $\text{Div}_\gamma^X(n, \delta)$ of the space X is defined as the supremum of all numbers $\text{div}_\gamma(a, b, c; \delta)$ with $\text{dist}(a, b) \leq n$. When there is no danger of confusion, we drop the superscript X .

In order to ensure the finiteness of the divergence function, a few more general properties must be added to the space X .

A metric space is said to *satisfy the hypothesis* $(\text{Hyp}_{\kappa,L})$ for some $\kappa \geq 0$ and $L \geq 1$ if it is one-ended, proper, periodic, and every point is at distance less than κ from a bi-infinite L -biLipschitz path.

Example 4.1. *A Cayley graph of a finitely generated one-ended group satisfies the hypothesis $(\text{Hyp}_{\frac{1}{2},1})$.*

Lemma 4.2 (Lemma 3.4 in [DMS10]). *Assume that X satisfies $(\text{Hyp}_{\kappa,L})$ for some $\kappa \geq 0$ and $L \geq 1$. Then for $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{1+L^2}$ and every $\gamma \geq 4\kappa$ the function $\text{Div}_\gamma(n, \delta_0)$ takes only finite values.*

One can add an extra restriction on the point c in a triple a, b, c and obtain a smaller divergence function, as follows.

Let $\lambda \geq 2$. The *small divergence function* $\text{div}_\gamma(n; \lambda, \delta)$ is the supremum of all numbers $\text{div}_\gamma(a, b, c; \delta)$ with $0 \leq \text{dist}(a, b) \leq n$ and

$$(9) \quad \lambda \text{dist}(c, \{a, b\}) \geq \text{dist}(a, b).$$

Finally, one can further restrict the choice of c , and obtain two more versions of divergence functions. This last and strongest restriction refers to choices of geodesics joining any pair of points: in a geodesic metric space X , for every pair of points $a, b \in X$, we choose and fix a geodesic $[a, b]$ joining them, such that if x, y are points on the geodesic $[a, b]$ chosen for a, b , the sub-geodesic $[x, y] \subseteq [a, b]$ is chosen for x, y .

We define $\text{Div}'_\gamma(n; \delta)$ and $\text{div}'_\gamma(n; \lambda, \delta)$ same as Div_γ and div_γ before, but restricting c to the set of points on $[a, b]$. Clearly $\text{Div}'_\gamma(n; \delta) \leq \text{Div}_\gamma(n; \delta)$ and $\text{div}'_\gamma(n; \lambda, \delta) \leq \text{div}_\gamma(n; \lambda, \delta)$ for every λ, δ .

More importantly, all the versions of divergence considered above are equivalent under appropriate conditions:

Proposition 4.3 (Corollary 3.12 in [DMS10]). *Let X be a space satisfying the hypothesis $(\text{Hyp}_{\kappa,L})$ for some constants $\kappa > 0$ and $L \geq 1$, and let $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{1+L^2}$ and $\gamma_0 = 4\kappa$.*

- (1) *Up to the equivalence relation $\asymp$, the functions $\text{div}'_\gamma(n; \lambda, \delta)$ and $\text{Div}'_\gamma(n; \delta)$ with $\delta \leq \delta_0$ and $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ are independent of the choice of geodesics $[a, b]$ for every pair of points a, b .*
 - (2) *For every $\delta \leq \delta_0$, $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$, and $\lambda \geq 2$*
- $$(10) \quad \text{Div}_\gamma(n; \delta) \asymp \text{Div}'_\gamma(n; \delta) \asymp \text{div}_\gamma(n; \lambda, \delta) \asymp \text{div}'_\gamma(n; \lambda, \delta).$$

Moreover all the functions in (10) are independent of $\delta \leq \delta_0$ and $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ (up to the equivalence relation $\asymp$).

- (3) *The function $\text{Div}_\gamma(n; \delta)$ is equivalent to $\text{div}'_\gamma(n; 2, \delta)$ as a function in n . Thus in order to estimate $\text{Div}_\gamma(n, \delta)$ for $\delta \leq \delta_0$ it is enough to consider points a, b, c where c is the midpoint of a (fixed) geodesic segment connecting a and b .*

The 0-dimensional divergence is important for several reasons. One is the invariance by quasi-isometry of its $\asymp$ -equivalence class, for metric spaces satisfying condition $(\text{Hyp}_{\kappa,L})$. This follows from Proposition 4.3. Another one is its relationship to the topology of asymptotic cones, which holds in a very general setting.

Proposition 4.4 (Proposition 1.1 in [DMS10]). *Let X be a geodesic metric space.*

- (i) *If there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ such that $\text{Div}_\gamma(n; \delta) = O(n)$ then every asymptotic cone of X is without cut-points.*
- (ii) *Assume that for some constants c, λ, κ , every point in X is at distance at most c from a bi-infinite (λ, κ) -quasi-geodesic.*
If every asymptotic cone of X is without cut-points then for every $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{54}$ and every $\gamma \geq 0$, $\text{Div}_\gamma(n; \delta) = O(n)$.

In [DMS10, Proposition 1.1], in the statement (ii) it is assumed that X is periodic. However, the periodicity condition is only used to ensure the existence of bi-infinite quasi-geodesics near every point.

4.2. The higher dimensional divergence. In this section we discuss an extension to higher dimensions of the divergence function defined above. In an arbitrary dimension, the divergence may be seen as a filling function with obstructions.

The notion has been used mostly in the setting of non-positive curvature (see [BF98] for a version defined for Hadamard manifolds, and [Wen06] for another version in Hadamard spaces). Among other things, in a Hadamard spaces the divergence can distinguish the rank. Indeed, for a symmetric space X of non-compact type, Div_k grows exponentially when $k = \text{Rank}(X) - 1$ [BF98, Leu00], while when $k \geq \text{Rank}(X)$ the divergence $\text{Div}_k = O(x^{k+1})$ [Hin05]. More generally, for a cocompact Hadamard space X and for a homological version of the divergence, defined in terms of integral currents, if $k = \text{Rank}(X) - 1$ then $\text{Div}_k \succeq x^{k+2}$, while if $k \geq \text{Rank}(X)$ then $\text{Div}_k \preceq x^{k+1}$ [Wen06].

In what follows, we define a version of the higher dimensional divergence functions in the setting of simplicial complexes, in particular of groups of type $\mathcal{F}_n$. Therefore, we fix an n -connected (non-singular) simplicial complex X of dimension $n + 1$ which is the universal cover of a compact simplicial complex K with fundamental group G . Recall that we assume edges in X to be of length one, and that we endow $X^{(1)}$ with the shortest path metric. We also fix two constants $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\gamma \geq 0$.

Given a vertex c in X , a k -dimensional sphere $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ such that $k \leq n + 1$, and a number $r > 0$ that is at most the distance from c to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$, the divergence $\text{div}_\gamma(\mathfrak{h}, c; r, \delta)$ is the infimum of all volumes of balls filling $\mathfrak{h}$ and disjoint from $B(c, \delta r - \gamma)$. If no such ball exists then we set $\text{div}_\gamma(\mathfrak{h}, c; r, \delta) = \infty$.

Definition 4.5. The k -dimensional divergence function (or the k -th divergence function) of X , $\text{Div}_\gamma^{(k)}(r, \delta)$, is the supremum of all $\text{div}_\gamma(\mathfrak{h}, c; r, \delta)$ with the distance from c to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ at least r and $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$ at most Ar^k .

In the above, as for the filling functions, we fix the constant $A > 0$ once and for all, and we do not mention it anymore.

Other versions of Definition 4.5 would be to define the divergence of a k -dimensional sphere $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to a vertex c in X , without any reference to an additional number $r > 0$, as the infimum of all volumes of balls filling $\mathfrak{h}$ and disjoint from $B(c, \delta \text{dist}(c, \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})) - \gamma)$; then to define the general function $\text{Div}_\gamma^{(k)}(r, \delta)$ as the supremum of all $\text{div}_\gamma(\mathfrak{h}, c; \delta)$ with $\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})$ at most Ar^k , and the distance from c to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ either at least $\lambda \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{h})^{\frac{1}{k}}$ (which roughly corresponds to the small divergence function div_γ in dimension zero), or at least r . For all these alternative definitions, the estimates that we provide in this paper hold as well.

An immediate consequence of Proposition 3.19 is that when the k -th filling function is smaller than the Euclidean one, the k -th divergence function coincides with the k -th filling function.

Proposition 4.6. *Assume that for $k \neq 2$, $\text{Iso}_k(x) = o(x^{k+1})$, respectively that for $k = 2$ the supremum of $\text{Iso}_V(x)$, taken over all compact closed surfaces V in $\mathbb{R}^3$, is $o(x^3)$. Then $\text{Div}_k(x) = \text{Iso}_k(x)$ for every x large enough.*

Proof. Proposition 3.19, (3), implies that $\text{Rad}_k(x) = o(x)$. It follows that for every k -dimensional hypersurface $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ of area at most Ar^k , there exists a filling domain $\mathfrak{d}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X$ realizing $\text{FillVol}(\mathfrak{h})$ and with the image $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{D}^{(1)})$ entirely contained in a tubular neighborhood of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ of radius $o(r)$. Therefore, if $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ is disjoint from a ball $B(c, r)$ then, for r large enough, $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{D}^{(1)})$ is disjoint from the $(\delta r - \gamma)$ -ball around c . $\square$

A variant of Proposition 4.6 for homological versions of filling and divergence functions, defined using integral currents, can be found in [Wen06, Proposition 1.8].

5. HIGHER DIMENSIONAL FILLING AND DIVERGENCE FOR MAPPING CLASS GROUPS

5.1. Filling estimates for mapping class groups. In this section we will consider a surface S with $\xi(S) > 0$ and apply the results of Section 3 to isoperimetric functions for $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$.

As in Section 3.1 we will consider, for every surface S , a simplicial complex X_S on which $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ acts properly discontinuously and such that the quotient of each skeleton $X_S^{(m)}$ is composed of finitely many m -cells. From the above it follows that X_S has a bounded (L, C) -quasi-geodesic combing.

By Corollary 3.24, all the filling functions in X_S are at most as large as the k -Euclidean filling functions, i.e., for every integer $k \geq 1$, $\text{Iso}_k(x) \preceq x^{k+1}$. Moreover, when $k \leq \xi(S) - 1$, we have that $\text{Iso}_k(x) \asymp x^{k+1}$. This is due to the presence of quasi-flats of dimension k inside the mapping class groups (see Proposition 5.5 for a construction of some such quasi-flats) and [AWP99, Theorem 2].

Theorem 5.1. *The k -th filling function in the mapping class group of a surface satisfies $\text{Iso}_k(x) = o(x^{k+1})$ for $k \geq \xi(S)$.*

Proof. The result follows from Corollary 3.30, and from the facts that mapping class groups are automatic [Mos95], and that the maximal dimension of locally compact subsets in an arbitrary asymptotic cone of $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ is $\xi(S)$ [BM08]. $\square$

For $k \geq \xi(S)$ we conjecture that $\text{Iso}_k(x) \asymp x^k$. This result holds in some low genus cases:

Theorem 5.2. *Given a surface S of genus 0 or 1, or of genus 2 and without boundary, the k -th filling function in the mapping class group of S satisfies $\text{Iso}_k(x) \asymp x^k$ for $k \geq \xi(S)$.*

Proof. It was recently established that the mapping class group of a surface has a cocompact classifying space for proper actions of dimension equal to the virtual cohomological dimension [HOP12, AMP13]. The virtual cohomological dimension

for the mapping class group of S is: $p - 3$ if $g = 0$; $4g - 5$ if $p = 0$; and $4g + p - 4$ if both g and p are positive [Har86].

Since the surfaces in the hypothesis of the theorem thus have $\text{vcd}(\mathcal{MCG}(S)) = \xi(S)$, the result then follows from the fact that if a group has a cocompact classifying space for proper actions of dimension r , then $\text{Iso}_k(x) \asymp x^k$ for all $k \geq r$ [AWP99, Theorem 3]. $\square$

5.2. Higher dimensional divergence of mapping class groups. In the mapping class group, the value for rank analogous to that in a symmetric space is the quasi-flat rank, i.e. the maximal dimension of a quasi-flat in the Cayley graph of the group. As discussed previously, for $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ this rank is given by $\xi(S) = 3g + p - 3$ [BM08, Ham]. Below we show how, analogous to the case of symmetric spaces, this rank plays a critical role for divergence in mapping class groups as well.

Theorem 5.3. *Given a surface S and an arbitrary integer $k \geq \xi(S)$, the k -dimensional divergence in $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ satisfies $\text{Div}_k(x) = o(x^{k+1})$.*

If moreover S is of genus 0 or 1, or of genus 2 and without boundary, then $\text{Div}_k(x) \asymp x^k$.

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 4.6, and from Theorems 5.1 and 5.2. $\square$

Theorem 5.4. *For any S and for any integer $0 \leq k < \xi(S)$, the k -dimensional divergence in $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ satisfies $\text{Div}_k \succeq x^{k+2}$.*

We use the terminology introduced in Sections 2.3 and 2.4. In particular we consider a compact connected orientable surface S of complexity $m = \xi(S) \geq 2$, and a non-singular m -connected simplicial complex X_S of dimension $m + 1$ on which $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ acts by simplicial isomorphisms, properly discontinuously, with trivial stabilizers of vertices and such that the quotient has finitely many cells. Theorem 5.4 follows as a direct consequence of Proposition 5.5. Indeed, in this proposition we show that for every $0 < k \leq \xi(S)$ there exist naturally arising $(k - 1)$ -dimensional spheres in X_S which have divergence $\asymp x^{k+1}$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let Δ be a multicurve on S and let $W_1, \dots, W_k$ be the components of $\text{open}(\Delta)$, $0 < k \leq \xi(S)$. For $i = 1, \dots, k$, consider g_i elements in $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ that are pseudo-Anosov when restricted to W_i and the identity on $W_i^c = S \setminus W_i$, and a simplicial map $\Upsilon: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow X_S$ defining a quasi-flat and equivariant for the action of $\langle g_1 \rangle \times \langle g_2 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle g_k \rangle$. Let $x_0 = \Upsilon(0)$, let $\mathcal{S}_n^{(k-1)}$ denote the boundary of the minimal simplicial subcomplex of $\mathbb{R}^k$ covering the cube $\{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k; |x_i| \leq n, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and let $\mathfrak{s}_n^{(k-1)}$ be the $(k - 1)$ -dimensional sphere in X_S defined by the restriction of Υ to $\mathcal{S}_n^{(k-1)}$.*

- (1) *There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that for every small enough $\delta \in (0, 1)$, every k -disk $\mathfrak{d}$ filling the sphere $\mathfrak{s}_n^{(k-1)}$ in X_S and disjoint from the δn -ball around x_0 has area at least $C_1 n^{k+1}$.*
- (2) *There exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that, if at least one of the surfaces W_i has complexity ≥ 2 (which implies, in particular, that $k < 3g + p - 3$), then for every $\delta > 0$ small enough there exists a k -disk $\mathfrak{d}$ filling the sphere $\mathfrak{s}_n^{(k-1)}$, disjoint from the δn -ball around x_0 , and with area at most $C_2 n^{k+1}$.*

Remark 5.6. Proposition 5.5, (1), implies that the k -dimensional quasi-flats $\Upsilon(\mathbb{R}^k)$ in X_S and $\langle g_1 \rangle \times \langle g_2 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle g_k \rangle$ in $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ are maximal in the sense that no

quasi-flat of strictly larger dimension quasi-contains them. This is a subtle point, even though it is obvious when considering quasi-flats of the same type.

Proof. (1) Let $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow X_S$ be a k -dimensional disk which fills $\mathfrak{s}_n^{(k-1)}$ in X_S and is disjoint from the δn -ball around x_0 . Then $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \mathfrak{d}$ is a disk filling $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \mathfrak{s}_n^{(k-1)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$. Since $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \Upsilon$ is at uniformly bounded distance from the identity, $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \mathfrak{s}_n^{(k-1)}$ is at uniformly bounded distance from $\mathcal{S}_n^{(k-1)}$.

In particular, for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, the image of $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \mathfrak{d}$ covers the full cube in $\mathbb{R}^k$ centered in x_0 and of edge length ϵn . We denote the latter by Cube_ϵ and the initial full cube of edge length $2n$ by Cube_2 .

For ease of notation, we explain the argument in the case $k = 2$ and then, after each step, how it can be modified to yield the general case, when the required modifications are not obvious.

For any choice of $\eta < n/2$ we may consider a grid subdividing Cube_2 into squares with edge length 2η (to simplify the discussion we will assume that both n and ϵn are integral multiples of 2η ; otherwise an additional discussion of boundary rectangles is needed, which adds only notational complications, see, e.g., [LS97]) and for each of the squares composing it consider the sub-square of edge η obtained by shrinking with factor $\frac{1}{2}$ around the center. Let Π be one such full square that is moreover contained in Cube_ϵ . Consider the ℓ_1 -geodesic $\mathfrak{g}$ starting in the midpoint a of the upper horizontal edge of the square, going through the center of the square and ending in the midpoint b of the right hand side vertical edge.

In what follows we denote the map $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by f .

Step 1. The first step is to prove that for some constant $\lambda \ll \eta$ the set $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a connected component $\mathfrak{C}$ for which $f(\mathfrak{C})$ intersects the λ -ball around each of the two points a and b respectively. This argument is inspired from the proof of [LS97, Proposition 3.2].

Assume on the contrary that this is not true. Since the map f is simplicial, defined on a triangulation of $\mathbb{D}^2$, there are finitely many connected components of $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$. According to our hypothesis all the images of components that intersect $B(a, \lambda)$ do not intersect $B(b, \lambda)$. Let G be the union of the components with image by f intersecting $B(a, \lambda)$ but not $B(b, \lambda)$. Since both G and $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \setminus G$ are compact there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $U_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{D}^2 ; \text{dist}(x, G) < \varepsilon\}$ intersects $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ only in G , and $f(U_\varepsilon)$ intersects the boundary of the square Π only in the upper horizontal edge of the square.

In what follows we construct a continuous map F from the 2-dimensional disk $\mathbb{D}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$, which coincides with f outside U_ε and on $f^{-1}(\partial\Pi)$ (here $\partial\Pi$ is the boundary of Π) and with image not containing the geodesic segment $\mathfrak{c} = [\mathfrak{g} \setminus \{a\}] \cap B(a, \lambda)$. This will finish this step, since it will imply that $\partial\Pi$, contractible in $F(\mathbb{D}^2)$, is therefore contractible in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathfrak{c}$, a contradiction.

Consider the map $F : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined as follows. For $x \notin U_\varepsilon$ let $F(x) = f(x)$. For x in U_ε let $\mathfrak{g}_x$ be the ℓ_1 geodesic through $f(x)$, contained in Π , composed of a vertical and a horizontal segment with common endpoint on the diagonal from the upper right corner to the lower left corner. Let a_x be the intersection point of $\mathfrak{g}_x$ with the upper horizontal edge of $\partial\Pi$, and let $d(x)$ be the ℓ^1 -distance from a_x to $f(x)$.

Let ℓ be the length of the geodesic $\mathbf{g}$ and let $t(x) = \ell \left[1 - \frac{\text{dist}(x, G)}{\varepsilon} \right]$. We then define $F(x)$ to be the point on $\mathbf{g}_x$ with distance to the upper horizontal edge along $\mathbf{g}_x$ equal to the maximum between 0 and $d(x) - t(x)$.

In other words, if $d(x)$ is at most $t(x)$, then $F(x)$ equals a_x ; while if $d(x)$ is larger than $t(x)$, then $F(x)$ equals the point on $\mathbf{g}_x$ at distance $d(x) - t(x)$ from a_x .

The map F is continuous, coincides with f outside U_ε and on the boundary of Π , and its image does not contain the piece of geodesic $\mathbf{c} = [\mathbf{g} \setminus \{a\}] \cap B(a, \lambda)$. This provides a contradiction which, as noted above, finishes the step when $k = 2$.

In the general case of dimension k one may consider, instead of the upper right square bounded by $\mathbf{g}$ and the boundary of Π , a cube of edge length half the length of the edge of Π with one vertex the center of Π and half of its faces contained in $\partial\Pi$. The geodesic $\mathbf{g}$ is to be replaced by the ℓ_1 geodesic joining the endpoints of a big diagonal not containing the center; denote this new geodesic also by $\mathbf{g}$. It suffices to prove that $f^{-1}(\mathbf{g})$ has one connected component $\mathfrak{C}$ such that $f(\mathfrak{C})$ intersects the λ neighborhoods of both endpoints of $\mathbf{g}$. This is completed as above by showing that for each cube Π there exists a connected set $\mathfrak{C}_\Pi$ in $f^{-1}(\mathbf{g})$ such that $f(\mathfrak{C}_\Pi)$ covers the ℓ^1 geodesic constructed as above, except eventually the λ -neighborhoods of its endpoints.

Step 2. We denote by $\mathbf{g}_\Pi$ the subgeodesic of $\mathbf{g}$ covered by $f(\mathfrak{C}_\Pi)$. Let V_Π be the set of vertices of simplices in the triangulation $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathbb{D}^2$ that intersect $\mathfrak{C}_\Pi$. Since the image by f of every simplex has diameter at most 1 it follows that the Hausdorff distance between $f(V_\Pi)$ and $\mathbf{g}_\Pi$ is at most 1.

Note that since C_Π is a connected set, the set $\mathfrak{d}(V_\Pi)$ is 1-coarsely connected in the sense that: for every two vertices $x, y \in \mathfrak{d}(V_\Pi)$, there exists a finite sequence of vertices $z_1 = x, z_2, \dots, z_n = y$ such that for each i we have $z_i \in \mathfrak{d}(V_\Pi)$ and $\text{dist}(z_i, z_{i+1}) \leq 1$.

In each V_Π we fix a vertex x_Π such that $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \mathfrak{d}(x_\Pi)$ is at distance at most 1 from the center of Π . Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all shrunken squares Π that appear in the grid and are contained in Cube_ε , and let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of vertices $\{x_\Pi ; \Pi \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Note that there are approximately $\frac{\varepsilon^2 n^2}{\eta^2}$ elements in $\mathcal{V}$. Fix a small constant $\beta > 0$.

Case 1. Assume that at least half of the points in $\mathcal{V}$ have the property that their images by $\mathfrak{d}$ are at distance $\geq \frac{\beta}{2}n$ from $X_{W_1} \times \dots \times X_{W_k}$. Denote this subset of $\mathcal{V}$ by $\mathcal{V}'$.

If a vertex w is at distance $\geq \frac{\beta}{2}n$ from $X_{W_1} \times \dots \times X_{W_k}$ then there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that w is at distance $\geq \alpha n$ from $X_{W_i} \times X_{W_i^c}$, where α is a fixed constant depending only on β and $\xi(S)$. This follows from the corresponding statement in the marking complex: if a point μ is at distance $\geq \frac{\beta'}{2}n$ from $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$ then there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that it is at distance $\geq \alpha' n$ from $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta_i)$, where α' is a fixed constant depending only on β' and $\xi(S)$. The latter statement can be proved by induction on $\xi(S)$ and the fact that if μ is at distance $\leq \alpha n$ from all $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta_i)$ then its projection on $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta_1)$ is at distance $\leq L\alpha n$ from $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_i)$ and hence μ is at distance $\leq (L+1)\alpha n$ from $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_i)$.

We may thus assume that at least $\frac{1}{k}$ of the points in $\mathcal{V}'$ are such that their image by $\mathfrak{d}$ is at distance $\geq \alpha n$ from $X_{W_i} \times X_{W_i^c}$ for a fixed i . Note that for each of these vertices x_Π the corresponding set $\mathfrak{d}(V_\Pi)$ contains a point such that its image by $\widehat{\Phi}_i$ is at distance at least η from the $\widehat{\Phi}_i$ -image of x_Π . This, the fact that $\widehat{\Phi}_i$ is coarsely

locally-constant (see Proposition 2.5) and the fact that x_Π is at distance at least αn from $X_{W_i} \times X_{W_i^c}$ implies that $\mathfrak{d}(V_\Pi)$ contains a point at distance at least $\lambda \alpha n$ from x_Π . Since $\mathfrak{d}(V_\Pi)$ is 1-coarsely connected, it follows that $\mathfrak{d}(V_\Pi)$ contains at least $\lambda \alpha n$ distinct points. Note that if Π and Π' are two disjoint cubes, then for η large enough $\mathfrak{d}(V_\Pi)$ and $\mathfrak{d}(V_{\Pi'})$ are disjoint. We have thus obtained that the image of $\mathfrak{d}$ contains at least $\frac{1}{2k} \frac{\epsilon^2 n^2}{\eta^2} \cdot \lambda \alpha n$ distinct points, images of interior vertices. Therefore the area of $\mathfrak{d}$ is at least one third of this number, by Lemma 3.4.

Case 2. Now assume that at least half of the points in $\mathcal{V}$ have the property that their $\mathfrak{d}$ -images are at distance $\leq \frac{\beta}{2}n$ from $X_{W_1} \times \cdots \times X_{W_k}$. Let $\mathcal{V}'$ be this new subset of vertices. Since $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{V}')$ avoids a δn -ball around x_0 and $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{V}')$ is contained in Cube_ϵ , it follows that, for β and ϵ small enough, by post-composing $\mathfrak{d}$ with the projection onto $X_{W_1} \times \cdots \times X_{W_k}$, we obtain a filling disk $\mathfrak{d}' = \widehat{\pi}_\Delta \circ \mathfrak{d}$ such that the points in $\mathcal{V}'$ are sent at distance $\geq \frac{\beta}{2}n$ from $\Upsilon_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Then at least $\frac{1}{k}$ of the points in $\mathcal{V}'$ have image by $\mathfrak{d}'$ at distance $\geq \frac{\beta}{2k}n$ from $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_i$ for some fixed $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Denote this subset of $\mathcal{V}'$ by $\mathcal{V}''$. For notational simplicity we assume that $i = 1$.

For every vertex x_Π in $\mathcal{V}''$ there exists a point in V_Π such that given their respective images by $\mathfrak{d}'$, the projections of their respective first coordinates onto $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1$ are at distance at least η . Then with the same argument as in Case 1 we deduce that $\mathfrak{d}'(V_\Pi)$ contains at least $\lambda \alpha n$ points, hence $\mathfrak{d}'$ (and therefore $\mathfrak{d}$, up to multiplication by some universal constant in $(0, 1)$) has area at least $\frac{1}{6k} \frac{\epsilon^2 n^2}{\eta^2} \cdot \lambda \alpha n$.

(2) Assume that W_1 has complexity ≥ 2 . The point x_0 is the image by Λ_Δ of a point $y_0 = (y_0^1, \dots, y_0^k)$ in $\Upsilon_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^k)$. According to the arguments in Section 2.4, $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1(-n)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1(n)$ can be joined in X_{W_1} by a path $\mathfrak{c}$ with length in $[an^2, bn^2]$, where $0 < a < b$, path avoiding the ball centered in y_0^1 and of radius $\delta'n$. Let $\mathfrak{d}$ be a disk of area $\asymp n^3$ filling the loop $\mathfrak{c} \cup \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1([-n, n])$. It can be obtained by taking, for every point x on $\mathfrak{c}$ its projection x' on $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1([-n, n])$, letting x vary, using the fact that the projection on $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1$ is quasi-Lipschitz and coarsely locally-constant (see Proposition 2.5) and quasi-geodesics $\mathfrak{q}_{x'x}$ given by the combing with basepoint x' .

Then $\mathfrak{c} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_2([-n, n]) \times \cdots \times \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_k([-n, n]) \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^k \mathfrak{d} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_2([-n, n]) \times \cdots \times \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_{i-1}([-n, n]) \times \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_i(\pm n) \times \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_{i+1}([-n, n]) \times \cdots \times \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_k([-n, n])$ compose a filling disk for the Υ_Δ -image of the boundary of the cube of edge $2n$ centered in 0, moreover this disk has area n^{k+1} and it is disjoint from the $\delta''n$ -ball around y_0 , for an appropriate choice of δ'' and δ' . By applying Λ_Δ we obtain a disk filling the given sphere, disjoint from the δn -ball around x_0 and of area n^{k+1} . $\square$

REFERENCES

- [ABD⁺10] A. Abrams, N. Brady, P. Dani, M. Duchin, and R. Young, *Pushing fillings in right-angled Artin groups*, preprint, ARXIV:1004.4253, 2010.
- [AK00] L. Ambrosio and B. Kirchheim, *Currents in metric spaces*, Acta Math. **185** (2000), 1–80.
- [AMP13] J. Aramayona and C. Martinez-Perez, *The proper geometric dimension of the mapping class groups*, preprint ARXIV:1302.4238, 2013.
- [AS86] Michael T. Anderson and Viktor Schroeder, *Existence of flats in manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 2, 303–315.
- [AWP99] J.M. Alonso, X. Wang, and S.J. Pride, *Higher-dimensional isoperimetric (or dehn) functions of groups*, J. Group Theory **2:1** (1999), 81–122.
- [BBF10] M. Bestvina, K. Bromberg, and K. Fujiwara, *Constructing group actions on quasi-trees and applications to mapping class groups*, preprint, ARXIV:1006.1939v3, 2010.
- [BBFS09] N. Brady, M. Bridson, M. Forester, and K. Shankar, *Snowflake groups, Perron-Frobenius eigenvalues and isoperimetric spectra*, Geom. Topol. **13** (2009), no. 1, 141–187.

- [BBI01] D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov, *A course in metric geometry*, Graduate Studies in Math., vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [BDS11] J. Behrstock, C. Druțu, and M. Sapir, *Median structures on asymptotic cones and homomorphisms into mapping class groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **102** (2011), no. 3, 503–554.
- [Beh06] J. Behrstock, *Asymptotic geometry of the mapping class group and Teichmüller space*, Geom. Topol. **10** (2006), 1523–1578.
- [BEW11] M. Bestvina, A. Eskin and K. Wortman *Filling boundaries of coarse manifolds in semisimple and solvable arithmetic groups*, ARXIV:1106.0162, 2011.
- [BF98] N. Brady and B. Farb, *Filling invariants at infinity for manifolds of non-positive curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), no. 8, 3393–3405.
- [BH99] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [BKML08] J. Behrstock, B. Kleiner, Y. Minsky, and Mosher L., *Geometry and rigidity of mapping class groups*, arXiv:0801.2006, 2008.
- [BLM83] J. Birman, A. Lubotzky, and J. McCarthy, *Abelian and solvable subgroups of the mapping class groups*, Duke Math. J. **50** (1983), no. 4, 1107–1120.
- [BM08] J. Behrstock and Y. Minsky, *Dimension and rank for mapping class groups*, Ann. of Math. **167** (2008), 1055–1077.
- [Bow13] Brian Bowditch, *Coarse median spaces and groups*, Pacific J. Math. **261** (2013), no. 1, 53–93.
- [Bri02] M. Bridson, *Polynomial dehn functions and the length of asynchronously automatic structures*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **85** (2002), no. 3, 441–466.
- [BV95] M. Bridson and K. Vogtmann, *On the geometry of the automorphism group of a free group*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **27** (1995), no. 6, 544–552.
- [DMS10] C. Druțu, Shahar Mozes, and Mark Sapir, *Divergence in lattices in semisimple Lie groups and graphs of groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), no. 5, 2451–2505.
- [ECH⁺92] D.B.A. Epstein, J. Cannon, D.F. Holt, S. Levy, M.S. Paterson, and W.P. Thurston, *Word Processing and Group Theory*, Jones and Bartlett, 1992.
- [FLM01] B. Farb, A. Lubotzky, and Y. Minsky, *Rank one phenomena for mapping class groups*, Duke Math. J. **106** (2001), no. 3, 581–597.
- [Ger94a] S. Gersten, *Divergence in 3-manifold groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **4** (1994), no. 6, 633–647.
- [Ger94b] ———, *Quadratic divergence of geodesics in CAT(0)-spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **4** (1994), no. 1, 37–51.
- [Gro83] M. Gromov, *Filling Riemannian manifolds*, Journal of Differential Geometry **18** (1983), 1–147.
- [Gro93a] ———, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, “Geometric groups theory”, volume 2, Proc. of the Symp. in Sussex 1991 (G.A. Niblo and M.A. Roller, eds.), Lecture Notes series, vol. 182, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [Gro93b] ———, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric Group Theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991) (G. Niblo and M. Roller, eds.), LMS Lecture Notes, vol. 182, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993, pp. 1–295.
- [Gro07] Chad Groot, *Isoperimetric functions on the universal covers of compact spaces*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2007, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Stanford University.
- [Groa] ———, *Generalized Dehn Functions I*, preprint, ARXIV:0901.2303.
- [Grob] ———, *Generalized Dehn Functions II*, preprint, ARXIV:0901.2317.
- [Ham] U. Hamenstädt, *Geometry of the mapping class groups III: Quasi-isometric rigidity*, preprint ARXIV:MATH/0512429.
- [Har86] J. L. Harer, *The virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group of an orientable surface*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 1, 157–176.
- [Hat02] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [HV96] A. Hatcher and K. Vogtmann, *Isoperimetric inequalities for automorphism groups of free groups*, Pacific J. Math. **173** (1996), no. 2, 425–441.
- [Hin05] Mohamad A. Hindawi, *On the filling invariants at infinity of Hadamard manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **116** (2005), 67–85.
- [HOP12] S. Hensel, D. Osajda, and P. Przytycki, *Realisation and dismantlability*, preprint ARXIV:1205.0513, 2012.

- [Iva91] N. Ivanov, *Complexes of curves and Teichmüller spaces*, Math. Notes **49** (1991), no. 5–6, 479–484.
- [Iva02] ———, *Mapping class groups*, Handbook of geometric topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 523–633.
- [JW10] Lizhen Ji and Scott A. Wolpert, *A cofinite universal space for proper actions for mapping class groups*, In the tradition of Ahlfors-Bers. V, Contemp. Math., vol. 510, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 151–163.
- [Kle99] Bruce Kleiner, *The local structure of length spaces with curvature bounded above*, Math. Z. **231** (1999), no. 3, 409–456.
- [Lan00] Urs Lang, *Higher-dimensional linear isoperimetric inequalities in hyperbolic groups*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2000), no. 13, 709–717.
- [Leu00] E. Leuzinger, *Corank and asymptotic filling-invariants for symmetric spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 4, 863–873.
- [Leu12] Enrico Leuzinger, *Optimal higher-dimensional Dehn functions for some CAT(0) lattices*, preprint, arXiv:1205.4923, 2012.
- [LS97] Urs Lang and Viktor Schroeder, *Quasiflats in Hadamard spaces*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. **30** (1997), 339–352.
- [Mis] G. Mislin, *Classifying spaces for proper actions for mapping class groups*, preprint, arXiv:0905.0833.
- [MM00] H. Masur and Y. Minsky, *Geometry of the complex of curves II: Hierarchical structure*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 4, 902–974.
- [Mos95] L. Mosher, *Mapping class groups are automatic*, Ann. of Math. **142** (1995), 303–384.
- [Pap96] P. Papasoglu, *On the asymptotic cone of groups satisfying a quadratic isoperimetric inequality*, Journal of Differential Geometry **44** (1996), 789–806.
- [Pap00] ———, *Isodiametric and isoperimetric inequalities for complexes and groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **62** (2000), no. 1, 97–106.
- [Ril03] T. R. Riley, *Higher connectedness of asymptotic cones*, Topology **42** (2003), no. 6, 1289–1352.
- [Wen05] S. Wenger, *Isoperimetric inequalities of Euclidean type in metric spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **15** (2005), 534–554.
- [Wen06] ———, *Filling Invariants at Infinity and the Euclidean Rank of Hadamard Spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2006), 1–33.
- [Wen11a] ———, *The asymptotic rank of metric spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **86** (2011), no. 2, 247–275.
- [Wen11b] ———, *Compactness for manifolds and integral currents with bounded diameter and volume*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **40** (2011), no. 3–4, 423–448.
- [You] R. Young, *Homological and homotopical higher order filling functions*, preprint, arXiv:0805.0584.
- [You] R. Young, *The Dehn function of $SL(n; \mathbb{Z})$* , Ann. of Math., to appear.

LEHMAN COLLEGE, CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, U.S.A.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, 24-29 ST GILES, OXFORD OX1 3LB, UNITED KINGDOM.