

Semantical Aspects of a Logic for Pragmatics

Kurt Ranalter

`Kurt.Ranalter@univ-savoie.fr`

LAMA, University of Savoy

Outline of talk

1. Logic for pragmatics
 - ◇ Key example
 - ◇ Formal system
2. Categorical semantics
 - ◇ Basic structure
 - ◇ Completeness
3. Degenerate models
 - ◇ Kripke semantics
 - ◇ Further issues

Logic for pragmatics

1. Logic for pragmatics

- ◇ Key example
- ◇ Formal system

2. Categorical semantics

- ◇ Basic structure
- ◇ Completeness

3. Degenerate models

- ◇ Kripke semantics
- ◇ Further issues

Commonsense reasoning

1. Informal argument

If a police man says to a taxi driver “Keep close to that red car!” and the red car speeds, then the taxi driver can interpret the command given to him as the command to speed

2. A possible formalization

$$\frac{K, S_r, (K \circ S_r) \text{ } \textcircled{\exists} S_t \implies S_t}{(K)^\circ, S_r, (K \circ S_r) \text{ } \textcircled{\exists} S_t \implies (S_t)^\circ}$$

- ◇ $K = \textit{taxi keeps close to red car}$
- ◇ $S_r = \textit{red car speeds}, S_t = \textit{taxi speeds}$

Pragmatic language

1. Assertive formulae

$$\eta := \vdash p \mid \epsilon \mid \eta \circ \eta$$

2. Pure causal formulae

$$\xi := \eta \mid \eta \supset \xi \mid \xi \circ \xi$$

3. Causal-deontic formulae

$$\gamma := \xi \mid \eta^\circ \mid \gamma \circ \gamma$$

4. Where we have that

$$(\eta_1 \circ \eta_2)^\circ \neq \eta_1^\circ \circ \eta_2^\circ$$

Sequent calculus

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\vdash p \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{a}} \vdash p} \\
 \frac{}{\Rightarrow_{\mathbf{a}} \epsilon} \\
 \frac{\vec{\eta} \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{a}} \eta}{\epsilon, \vec{\eta} \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{a}} \eta} \\
 \frac{\Xi_1 \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \eta \quad \xi, \Xi_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \xi}{\eta \supset \xi, \Xi_1, \Xi_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \xi} \\
 \frac{\Xi, \eta \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \xi}{\Xi \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \eta \supset \xi} \\
 \frac{\vec{\eta} \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{a}} \eta}{\vec{\eta} \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \eta} \\
 \frac{\Xi \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \xi}{\Xi \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{d}} \xi} \\
 \frac{\vec{\eta}, \Xi \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \eta}{\vec{\eta}^\circ, \Xi \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{d}} \eta^\circ} \\
 \frac{\gamma', \gamma', \Gamma \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma}{\gamma', \Gamma \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma} \\
 \frac{\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma' \quad \gamma', \Gamma_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma} \\
 \frac{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma}{\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2, \Gamma \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma} \\
 \frac{\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{x}} \gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2}
 \end{array}$$

Categorical semantics

1. Logic for pragmatics
 - ◇ Key example
 - ◇ Formal system
2. Categorical semantics
 - ◇ Basic structure
 - ◇ Completeness
3. Degenerate models
 - ◇ Kripke semantics
 - ◇ Further issues

Causal-deontic frame

A **causal-deontic frame** consists of three categories

1. $\mathbb{A} = (\mathbb{A}, \otimes, \mathbb{I}, \alpha, \lambda, \rho, \tau, \delta)$
2. $\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{I}, \alpha, \lambda, \rho, \tau, \delta)$
3. $\mathbb{D} = (\mathbb{D}, \otimes, \mathbb{I}, \alpha, \lambda, \rho, \tau, \delta)$

together with three structure-preserving functors

1. $\mathcal{J}_{ac} = (\mathcal{J}_{ac}, \iota_2, \iota_0): \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
2. $\mathcal{J}_{cd} = (\mathcal{J}_{cd}, \iota_2, \iota_0): \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$
3. $\mathcal{O} = (\mathcal{O}, \theta_2, \theta_1): \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$

such that both \mathcal{J}_{ac} and \mathcal{J}_{cd} are strong, and the category \mathbb{C} is closed with respect to the category $\mathcal{J}_{ac}\mathbb{A}$

The basic problem

1. Given a rule such as

$$\frac{\vec{\eta}, \Xi \Rightarrow_c \eta}{\vec{\eta}^\circ, \Xi \Rightarrow_d \eta^\circ}$$

2. How can we go from

$$\mathcal{J}_{ac}A_1 \otimes C \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{ac}A_2 \text{ in } \mathbb{C}$$

to

$$\mathcal{O}A_1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_{cd}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}A_2 \text{ in } \mathbb{D}?$$

Restriction functor

The functor $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$ is defined as follows

1. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{C})$ is a semi-category that has
 - (a) objects $\mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A$ of \mathbb{C} as objects
 - (b) morphisms $\mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A_2$ of \mathbb{C} as morphisms
2. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{A}}(f): \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{C}_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{C}_1)$ is a semi-functor that maps
 - (a) an object $\mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A$ to the object $\mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A$
 - (b) a morphism $g: \mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A_2$ to the morphism

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f} \mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_2 \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{J}_{\text{ac}}A_2$$

Expansion functor

The functor $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{D}}: \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$ is defined as follows

1. $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{C})$ is a semi-category that has
 - (a) objects D of \mathbb{D} as objects
 - (b) morphisms $D_1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\text{cd}}C \longrightarrow D_2$ of \mathbb{D} as morphisms
2. $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{D}}(f): \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{C}_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{C}_1)$ is a semi-functor that maps
 - (a) an object D to the object D
 - (b) a morphism $g: D_1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\text{cd}}C_2 \longrightarrow D_2$ to the morphism

$$D_1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\text{cd}}C_1 \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\text{cd}}f} D_1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\text{cd}}C_2 \xrightarrow{g} D_2$$

Summary of results

1. Completeness

A natural transformation $\vartheta_C: \mathcal{R}_C^{\mathbb{A}}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_C^{\mathbb{D}}(C)$ that maps

- (a) an object $\mathcal{J}_{ac}A_i$ of $\mathcal{R}_C^{\mathbb{A}}(C)$ to the object $\mathcal{O}A_i$ of $\mathcal{E}_C^{\mathbb{D}}(C)$
- (b) a morphism $\mathcal{J}_{ac}A_1 \otimes C \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{ac}A_2$ of $\mathcal{R}_C^{\mathbb{A}}(C)$ to the morphism $\mathcal{O}A_1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_{cd}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}A_2$ of $\mathcal{E}_C^{\mathbb{D}}(C)$

2. Soundness

A coherence condition on the natural transformation $\vartheta_C: \mathcal{R}_C^{\mathbb{A}}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_C^{\mathbb{D}}(C)$

Degenerate models

1. Logic for pragmatics
 - ◇ Key example
 - ◇ Formal system
2. Categorical semantics
 - ◇ Basic structure
 - ◇ Completeness
3. Degenerate models
 - ◇ Kripke semantics
 - ◇ Further issues

Kripke frame

1. Let $U = (U, \cdot, 1, \preceq)$ and $W = (W, \cdot, 1, \preceq)$ be preordered commutative monoids such that
 - (a) U is a proper (preord. comm.) submonoid of W
 - (b) for all $w \in W$ (resp. $u \in U$), $w \preceq ww$ (resp. $u \preceq uu$)
2. A **Kripke frame** is a triple (W, U, \triangleleft) where $\triangleleft \subseteq U \times W$ is a binary relation such that
 - (a) $\forall u \in U. u \triangleleft u$
 - (b) $\forall u, u' \in U. \forall w, w' \in W. u \triangleleft w \wedge u' \triangleleft w' \rightarrow uu' \triangleleft ww'$
 - (c) $\forall u \in U. \forall w, w', w'' \in W. w \preceq w'w'' \wedge u \triangleleft w$
 $\rightarrow \exists u' \in U. u \preceq u'w'' \wedge u' \triangleleft w'$

Kripke model

1. A **Kripke model** $(W, U, \triangleleft, \Vdash)$ is a Kripke frame (W, U, \triangleleft) endowed with a forcing relation $\Vdash \subseteq W \times \Gamma$
2. Given a downward closed subset $(\vdash p)^*$ of U for each propositional atom p , the forcing relation is defined by the following clauses
 - (a) $w \Vdash \vdash p \iff w \in (\vdash p)^*$
 - (b) $w \Vdash \epsilon \iff w \preceq 1$
 - (c) $w \Vdash \eta^\circ \iff \forall u \in U. u \triangleleft w \rightarrow u \Vdash \eta$
 - (d) $w \Vdash \eta \supset \xi \iff \forall w' \in W. w' \Vdash \eta \rightarrow ww' \Vdash \xi$
 - (e) $w \Vdash \gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \iff \exists w_1, w_2 \in W. w \preceq w_1 w_2$
 $\quad \wedge w_1 \Vdash \gamma_1 \wedge w_2 \Vdash \gamma_2$

Causal-deontic algebra

A **causal-deontic algebra** (A, C, D, i, j, o) consists of three preordered commutative monoids

$$A = (A, \cdot, 1, \leq_a) \quad C = (C, \cdot, 1, \leq_c) \quad D = (D, \cdot, 1, \leq_d)$$

together with three monotone functions

$$i: A \longrightarrow C \quad j: C \longrightarrow D \quad o: A \longrightarrow D$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied

1. i and j are monoid homomorphisms
2. C is residuated (closed) with respect to $i(A)$
3. o is such that $1 \leq_d o(1)$ and $o(a_1)o(a_2) \leq_d o(a_1a_2)$
4. if $i(a_1)c \leq_c i(a_2)$ then $o(a_1)j(c) \leq_d o(a_2)$

Summary of results (con't)

1. The logic is sound and complete with respect to the Kripke semantics
2. A causal-deontic algebra can be recovered from the downward closed subsets of the set of possible worlds
3. Given an interpretation function $[-]$, the logic is sound and complete with respect to the algebraic semantics
4. Kripke models provide a degenerate example of the categorical model

Future work

1. Coherence condition
2. Non-degenerate models
3. More general construction
4. Indexed categories vs. fibrations