

(L5)

Oxford 1/3/2011

1/16

Recall from L4 that our aim is to prove

**TH** (L.C. Evans 1986, E. Acerbi & N. Fusco 1986)

Let the integrand  $F: \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

(H1)  $F$  is  $C^2$  (H2)  $|F(\xi)| \leq L(|\xi|^2 + 1) \quad \forall \xi$

(H3)  $F - \lambda|\cdot|^2$  is QC,

where  $0 < \lambda, L < \infty$  are constants. Assume  $g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and that  $u \in W_g^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is  $F$ -minimizing. Then the set

$$\Sigma_n := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 0} |(Du)_{x,r}| < \infty \text{ or } \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 0} E(x,r) > 0 \right\}$$

is relatively closed in  $\Omega$ , and (the precise representative of)  $u$  is  $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}$  on  $\Omega \setminus \Sigma_n$  for

all  $\alpha < 1$ . ( $E(x,r) := \int_{B(x,r)} |Du - (Du)_{x,r}|^2$ )

Remark The set  $\Sigma_n$  is called the singular set for  $u$ , and it follows from Lebesgue's differentiation theorem that it is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -negligible

The method of proof can be traced back (at least) to Almgren's and De Giorgi's works on minimal surfaces. It is sometimes called a linearization strategy — we obtain the

desired regularity by linearizing the problem. More precisely, let  $B(x,r) \subset \Omega$  and let  $P$  denote the 2<sup>nd</sup> Taylor polynomial for the integrand  $F$  about  $\xi_0 = (Du)_{x,r}$ .

Then as  $F \in C^2$ ,  $F(\xi) = P(\xi) + o(|\xi - \xi_0|^2)$ .

Let  $h \in W^{1,2}_u(B(x,r), \mathbb{R}^N)$  be  $P$ -minimizing

Then  $h$  is  $C^\infty$  smooth and we have good estimates that express the regularity of  $h$  (by the generalized Weyl Lemma proved below). Note that the Euler-Lagrange equation for  $P$  is  $\text{div } P'(Dv) = 0$  in  $B(x,r)$  — a linear elliptic system with constant coefficients. We then try to transfer the estimates from  $h$  to  $u$ : if  $E(x,r)$  is small then we expect that  $h$  is a good approximation of  $u$  in  $W^{1,2}$ .

The main difficulty is to ensure suitable compactness in  $W^{1,2}$ .

The conditions H1-3 were natural: they were strict form (= (H3)) of what we required for proving existence of minimizers by the direct method. In particular, when H1-3 hold an  $F$ -minimizer  $u \in W_g^{1,2}$  exists for any choice of  $g \in W^{1,2}$ :

$$F(u) \leq F(v) \quad \forall v \in W_g^{1,2}.$$

It follows that  $u$  is also an  $F$ -extremal (= a weak solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation for  $F$ ):  $\operatorname{div} F'(Du)$  in  $\Omega$ , the divergence is understood row-wise and in the distributional sense on  $\Omega$ , i.e.,

$$\textcircled{\text{EL}} \quad \int_{\Omega} F'(Du) [D\varphi] dx = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

We justify this by use of

**Lemma** Assume that  $G: \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^1$  and RC.

$$\text{Then } |G'(\xi)| \leq \sqrt{\min(n, N)} \frac{\operatorname{osc}(G, B(0, 2R))}{R}$$

for all  $|\xi| < R$ . In particular, if

$$|G(\xi)| \leq L(|\xi|^2 + 1), \quad \forall \xi, \text{ then } |G'(\xi)| \leq cL(|\xi| + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $c = c(\min(n, N))$  is a constant.

4/16

**Pf.** Fix  $|\xi| < R$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with  $\text{rank}(\eta) = 1 = |\xi|$ . Then by RC we get for  $t > 0$ : when  $t < \min(t_-, t_+)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\text{osc}(G, B_{2R})}{R} &\leq \frac{G(\xi - t\eta) - G(\xi)}{-t} \leq \frac{G(\xi - t\eta) - G(\xi)}{-t} \\ &\leq G'(\xi)[\eta] \leq \frac{G(\xi + t\eta) - G(\xi)}{t} \leq \frac{G(\xi + t\eta) - G(\xi)}{t^+} \\ &\leq \frac{\text{osc}(G, B_{2R})}{R} \quad / \end{aligned}$$

where  $t_-, t_+ > 0$  are chosen so  $\xi - t_-\eta, \xi + t_+\eta \in \partial B_{2R}$ . This yields

$$|G'(\xi)[\eta]| \leq \frac{\text{osc}(G, B_{2R})}{R} \quad \text{and hence}$$

$$|G'(\xi)| \leq \sqrt{\min(n, N)} \frac{\text{osc}(G, B_{2R})}{R}.$$

$$\text{Finally, } |G'(\xi)| \leq \sqrt{\min(n, N)} \frac{\text{osc}(G, B_{2|\xi|})}{|\xi|}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\min(n, N)} \frac{2L(4|\xi|^2 + 1)}{|\xi|}, \quad \text{and hence}$$

easily the desired conclusion.  $\square$

Consequently we can justify the calculation

$$0 = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} F(u + t\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} F'(u) [D\varphi] dx$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Note that H1-3 do not give any global control on  $F''$ . 5/16

While H1-3 give existence (and partial regularity) of minimizers they do not give any uniqueness (see E. Spadaro 2008).

The functional  $F$  is not convex on  $W_g^{1,2}$  in general, even far from! There can be a huge difference between  $F$ -extremals and  $F$ -minimizers:  $F$ -minimizer  $\Rightarrow$   $F$ -extremal, but  $\Leftarrow$  is false. In fact, there is no partial regularity theory for  $F$ -extremals when  $F$  is merely strongly QC: There exists a strongly QC integrand  $F: \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $C^\infty$  and with  $\sup_{\xi} \|F''(\xi)\| < \infty$ , s.t. the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\operatorname{div} F'(D_u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

admits weak solutions that are Lipschitz but nondifferentiable in a dense set of points. (See S. Müller & V. Šverák 2003 and also L. Székelyhidi 2004 for PC case.)

The 'problem' with QC in this regard is that the derivative  $F'$  of a QC function  $F$  need not have any useful monotonicity properties.

A notion of quasimonotonicity has been introduced and considered by K. Zhang, R. Landes and M. Fuchs. However, as mentioned above,  $F$  QC  $\nrightarrow$   $F'$  quasimonotone.

The situation is reminiscent of what happens in the case of harmonic mappings into manifolds.

Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy conditions H1-3.

If  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(B(0,1), \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then by (H3):

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^2 \int_{B(0,1)} |D\varphi|^2 &\leq \int_{B(0,1)} (F(\xi_0 + \epsilon D\varphi) - F(\xi_0)) \\ &= \int_{B(0,1)} \left[ F'(\xi_0) [\epsilon D\varphi] + \int_0^1 (1-t) F''(\xi_0 + \epsilon t D\varphi) [D\varphi, D\varphi] \right] \\ &= \epsilon^2 \int_{B(0,1)} \int_0^1 (1-t) F''(\xi_0 + \epsilon t D\varphi) [D\varphi, D\varphi] dt, \end{aligned}$$

hence

7/16

$$2 \int_{B(0,1)} |D\varphi|^2 \leq \int_{B(0,1)} \int_0^1 (1-t) F''(\xi_0 + tD\varphi) [D\varphi, D\varphi] dt$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$2 \int_{B(0,1)} |D\varphi|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,1)} F''(\xi_0) [D\varphi, D\varphi],$$

hence the quadratic form  $\eta \mapsto F''(\xi_0)[\eta, \eta] - 2\ell|\eta|^2$  is QC (at 0 and therefore everywhere).

With this in mind we shall consider a symmetric bilinear form  $A: \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying for some constants  $0 < \ell \leq L < \infty$  the conditions:

$$(1) \quad A[\xi, \eta] \leq L|\xi||\eta| \quad \forall \xi, \eta$$

$$(2) \quad \xi \mapsto A[\xi, \xi] - \ell|\xi|^2 \text{ is QC}$$

**Def.** A mapping  $v \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is called

A-harmonic iff

$$\int_{\Omega} A[Dv, D\varphi] dx = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with compact support.

**Remark** The condition (2) is equivalent 8/16  
to:  $A[a \otimes b, a \otimes b] \geq \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^N, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

As we have seen this does not mean that  $\xi \mapsto A[\xi, \xi]$  is convex, but only RC.

However, the integrand is functionally convex in the sense that for each  $g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  the functional

$$v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} A[Dv, Dv]$$

is convex on  $W_g^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**Pf:** We show more, namely that

$$A(v) := \int_{\Omega} (A[Dv, Dv] - \|Dv\|^2) dx$$

is a convex functional on  $W_g^{1,2}$ .

Fix  $v \in W_g^{1,2}$  and  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}$ . We must show that  $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto A(v+t\varphi)$  is convex.

This is so since

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} A(v+t\varphi) = 2 \int_{\Omega} (A[D\varphi, D\varphi] - \|D\varphi\|^2) \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} 0. \square$$

Consequently, given  $g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$

9/16

there exists a unique  $A$ -harmonic map  $v \in W_g^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  (Exercise: check it.)

---

**Th** (Generalized Weyl Lemma)

Let  $A$  be as above, and assume that  $v \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is  $A$ -harmonic.

Then  $v$  is  $C^\infty$ , and for  $B_{3R} = B(x_0, 3R) \Subset \Omega$  we have

$$\sup_{B_R} |Dv|^2 \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{3R}} |Dv - (Dv)_{x_0, 3R}|^2,$$

$$\int_{B_r} |Dv - (Dv)_{x_0, r}|^2 \leq C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \int_{B_{3R}} |Dv - (Dv)_{x_0, 3R}|^2$$

for all  $0 < r \leq 3R$ , where  $C = C(n, \frac{1}{L})$  is a constant.

**Pf:** We use the difference-quotient method and the Sobolev embedding theorem.

Let  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and take  $\rho \in W_0^{1,\infty}(B_{(1+\delta)R})$  satisfying  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ . For  $1 \leq s \leq n$ ,  $|h| \leq \delta R$  and an affine map  $a: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  put

$\varphi := \Delta_{s,-h}(\rho^2 \Delta_{s,h}(v-a))$ . Observe that  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(B_{3R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , and therefore

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} A[Dv, D\varphi] = \int_{\Omega} A[\Delta_{s,h} Dv, \rho^2 \Delta_{s,h} Dv + \Delta_{s,h}(v-a) \otimes D\rho] \\ =: I + II.$$

$$I = \int A[\rho \Delta_{s,h} Dv, \rho \Delta_{s,h} Dv] = \\ \int \left\{ A[D(\rho \Delta_{s,h}(v-a)), D(\rho \Delta_{s,h}(v-a))] \right. \\ \left. - 2A[\rho \Delta_{s,h} Dv, \Delta_{s,h}(v-a) \otimes D\rho] \right. \\ \left. - A[\Delta_{s,h}(v-a) \otimes D\rho, \Delta_{s,h}(v-a) \otimes D\rho] \right\}$$

$$\stackrel{(1),(2)}{\geq} \int \left\{ \ell |D(\rho \Delta_{s,h}(v-a))|^2 \right. \\ \left. - 2L\rho |\Delta_{s,h} Dv| |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)| |D\rho| - L |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)|^2 |D\rho|^2 \right\}$$

$$\geq \int \left\{ \ell \rho^2 |\Delta_{s,h} Dv|^2 - 2(\ell+L)\rho |\Delta_{s,h} Dv| |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)| |D\rho| \right. \\ \left. - (\ell+L) |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)|^2 |D\rho|^2 \right\} \stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\geq}$$

$$\ell \int \rho^2 |\Delta_{s,h} Dv|^2 - 2(\ell+L) \|\rho \Delta_{s,h} Dv\|_2 \cdot \| |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)| |D\rho| \|_2 \\ - (\ell+L) \int |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)|^2 |D\rho|^2$$

$$\geq \frac{2l}{3} \int \rho^2 |\Delta_{s,h} Dv|^2 - (L+l + \frac{2}{l}(L+l)^2) \int |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)|^2 |D\rho|^2 \quad \boxed{11/16}$$

$$II = \int A [ \Delta_{s,h} Dv, \Delta_{s,h}(v-a) \otimes D(\rho^2) ] =$$

$$\int 2A [ \rho \Delta_{s,h} Dv, \Delta_{s,h}(v-a) \otimes D\rho ] \stackrel{(1)}{\geq}$$

$$-2L \int \rho |\Delta_{s,h} Dv| \cdot |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)| \cdot |D\rho| \geq$$

$$-\frac{l}{3} \int \rho^2 |\Delta_{s,h} Dv|^2 - \frac{3}{l} L^2 \int |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)|^2 |D\rho|^2.$$

Consequently,

$$0 \geq \frac{l}{3} \int \rho^2 |\Delta_{s,h} Dv|^2 - \frac{3}{l} L^2 \int |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)|^2 |D\rho|^2 - (L+l + \frac{2}{l}(L+l)^2) \int |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)|^2 |D\rho|^2,$$

or

$$\int \rho^2 |\Delta_{s,h} Dv|^2 \leq (9(\frac{L}{l})^2 + 3\frac{L}{l} + 1 + 9(\frac{L}{l} + 1)^2) \int |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)|^2 |D\rho|^2$$

and hence

$$\boxed{*} \quad \int_{\Omega} \rho^2 |\Delta_{s,h} Dv|^2 \leq c \int_{\Omega} |\Delta_{s,h}(v-a)|^2 |D\rho|^2$$

where  $c = c(\frac{L}{l}) \geq 1$ .

We now take  $\rho$  s.t.  $\mathbb{1}_{B_R} \leq \rho \leq \mathbb{1}_{B_{(1+d)R}}$  and  $|D\rho| \leq \frac{1}{\delta R}$  a.e., whereby

$$\int_{B_R} |\Delta_{S,h} \Phi V|^2 \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{B_{(1+2\delta)R}} |\Delta_{S,h}(V-q)|^2 h^2$$

Take  $q$  s.t.  $D_S q = (D_S V)_{x_0, (1+2\delta)R}$ . Hereby

$$\int_{B_R} |\Delta_{S,h} \Phi V|^2 \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{B_{(1+2\delta)R}} |\Phi V - (D_S V)_{x_0, (1+2\delta)R}|^2$$

for all  $|h| \leq \delta R$  and  $1 \leq s \leq n$ , hence

$$\int_{B_R} |\Phi \Delta_S \Phi V|^2 \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{B_{(1+2\delta)R}} |\Phi V - (D_S V)_{x_0, (1+2\delta)R}|^2$$

for all  $1 \leq s \leq n$ , and so summing over

$$\int_{B_R} |\Phi \Delta^2 V|^2 \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{B_{(1+2\delta)R}} |\Phi V - (D^2 V)_{x_0, (1+2\delta)R}|^2$$

As  $B_{3R} \subset \Omega$  was arbitrary we conclude

that  $V \in W_{loc}^{2,2}$ . Taking  $\Phi = D_S \varphi$ ,  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} A[\Phi V, \Phi \varphi]$$

and integrating by parts we get

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} A[\Phi(D_S V), \Phi \varphi]$$

$\therefore D_S V \in W_{loc}^{1,2}$  is  $A$ -harmonic in  $\Omega$  and so  $\square$  and  $\square'$  apply to  $D_S V$ , hence

$\mathcal{D}_j v \in W_{loc}^{2,2}$ . Since this holds for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $v \in W_{loc}^{3,2}$ . By induction on  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we get  $v \in W_{loc}^{k,2}$  and taking appropriate  $0 < \delta < 1$  above also

$$\diamond \int_{B_R} |\mathcal{D}^k v|^2 \leq \frac{C_k}{R^{2k-2}} \int_{B_{3R}} |\mathcal{D}v - (\mathcal{D}v)_{x_0, 3R}|^2$$

where the constant  $C_k = C_k(\delta)$  and  $\delta = \delta(k)$ .

Now if  $2(k-2) > n$ , ie,  $k > 2 + \frac{n}{2}$ , then by Sobolev embedding  $W^{k,2}(B_R) \hookrightarrow W^{2,\infty}(B_R)$

and so by an interpolation inequality (or by using  $\diamond$  for different values of  $k$ ) to simplify the  $W^{k,2}$ -norm we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_R} |\mathcal{D}^2 v|^2 &\leq C R^{2(k-2)} \int_{B_R} |\mathcal{D}^k v|^2 + C \int_{B_R} |\mathcal{D}^2 v|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C_k}{R^2} \int_{B_{3R}} |\mathcal{D}v - (\mathcal{D}v)_{x_0, 3R}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally the second inequality follows from the first: when  $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$  this is clear. When  $R < r \leq 3R$ , then

$$\int_{B_r} |\mathcal{D}v - (\mathcal{D}v)_{x_0, r}|^2 \leq \int_{B_r} |\mathcal{D}v - (\mathcal{D}v)_{x_0, 3R}|^2 \leq$$

$$\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n+2} \int_{B_{3R}} |Dv - (Dv)_{x_0, 3R}|^2. \quad \square$$

14/16

**TH** (A-harmonic approximation lemma)  
 (De Giorgi, Simon; Duzaar & Steffen 2002)

Let  $0 < \lambda \leq L < \infty$ ,  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

$\exists \delta = \delta\left(\frac{L}{\lambda}, n, N, \varepsilon\right) > 0$  with the following property: If  $A: \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is symmetric and bilinear, and  $u \in W^{1,2}(B(x,R), \mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfy:

$$(i) \quad A[\xi, \eta] \leq L|\xi||\eta| \quad \forall \xi, \eta$$

$$(ii) \quad \lambda \int_{B(0,1)} |D\varphi|^2 \leq \int_{B(0,1)} A[D\varphi, D\varphi] \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}$$

$$(iii) \quad \int_{B(x,R)} A[Du, D\varphi] \leq \delta \|D\varphi\|_{2,\infty} \sqrt{E(x,R)}$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(B(x,R), \mathbb{R}^N)$ , where

$$E(x,R) := \int_{B(x,R)} |Du - (Du)_{x,R}|^2, \quad \text{then}$$

$$+ R^{-2} \int_{B(x,R)} |u - h|^2 \leq \varepsilon E(x,R),$$

where  $h \in W_u^{1,2}(B(x,R), \mathbb{R}^N)$  is A-harmonic.

Pf: The proof is an indirect compactness argument (as in Duzaar & Steffen 2002), 15/16

WLOG we can assume  $x=0$ ,  $R=1$  and  $E(x,R)=1$

Write  $B = B(0,1)$ . Suppose the claim is false.

Then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  s.t. for each  $\lambda = \frac{1}{j}$  we can find  $A_j, u_j$  satisfying (i) - (iii), but where

$$\textcircled{*} \int_B |u_j - h_j|^2 > \varepsilon$$

for  $A_j$ -harmonic  $h_j \in W_{u_j}^{1,2}(B, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Subtracting affine maps we can assume that  $(u_j)_B = 0$ ,  $(Du_j)_B = 0$ . Hence  $(u_j)$  is bounded

in  $W^{1,2}$  by Poincaré, and so for a subsequence (not relabelled)  $u_j \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{1,2}$

where  $u \in W^{1,2}$ . By weak convergence of norms

$\int_B |Du|^2 \leq \liminf \int_B |Du_j|^2 = 1$ . For a further subsequence (again not relabelled)  $A_j \rightarrow A$

in operator norms (as bilinear maps). It is easy to check that also  $A$  satisfies

(i), (ii). For  $\varphi \in C_c^1(B, \mathbb{R}^N)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_B A[Du, D\varphi] \right| \leq \left| \int_B A[Du - Du_j, D\varphi] \right| \\ & + \left| \int_B (A - A_j)[Du_j, D\varphi] \right| + \left| \int_B A_j[Du_j, D\varphi] \right| \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

so  $u$  is  $\mathbb{A}$ -harmonic. Now

16/16

$$\begin{aligned} L \int_B |D(u_j - h_j)|^2 &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \int_B \mathbb{A}[D(u_j - h_j), D(u_j - h_j)] \\ &= \int_B \mathbb{A}[Du_j, D(u_j - h_j)] \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} L \int_B |Du_j| |D(u_j - h_j)|, \end{aligned}$$

so by Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$\int_B |Du_j - Dh_j|^2 \leq \left(\frac{L}{L}\right)^2 \int_B |Du_j|^2 = \left(\frac{L}{L}\right)^2 |B|.$$

$\therefore (Dh_j)$  is bounded in  $L^2$  and so as  $h_j = \eta_j$  on  $\partial B$ ,  $(h_j)$  is bounded in  $W^{1,2}$  too.

For a further subsequence (again not relabelled)  $h_j \rightarrow h$  in  $W^{1,2}$ , where  $h \in W^{1,2}$ . By Mazur's Lemma,  $h \in W_u^{1,2}$ , and as it is easy to check that  $h$  is also  $\mathbb{A}$ -harmonic it follows that  $u = h$  by uniqueness of  $\mathbb{A}$ -harmonic maps. Finally we get the desired contradiction from Rellich's compactness theorem:

$u_j - h_j = (u_j - u) + (u - h_j) \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $L^2$  which is impossible by  $(*)$ .  $\square$

---

AN

matrix is non-singular  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathbb{R}$   
 $n^2 - n - (n^2 - n) + (n - n) \rightarrow 0$  exactly  
is it singular?

is there conjugation given  
 $A$ -module maps. Eigenspaces are det

of follows - sp of  $M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong M_{\mathbb{C}}$  iff  
is it so check that  $p$  is sp of  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial

is it so check that  $p \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  
 $\rightarrow p \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial

is it so check that  $p \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  
 $\rightarrow p \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial

is it so check that  $p \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  
 $\rightarrow p \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial

is it so check that  $p \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  
 $\rightarrow p \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial

is it so check that  $p \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  
 $\rightarrow p \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial

is it so check that  $p \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  
 $\rightarrow p \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial

is it so check that  $p \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  
 $\rightarrow p \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial

is it so check that  $p \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  
 $\rightarrow p \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial

is it so check that  $p \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  
 $\rightarrow p \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -polynomial