

L6

In this lecture we'll use the linearization strategy implemented by way of the A -harmonic approximation Lemma. This variant of the proof goes back to Duzaar & Steffan 2002; our goal is:

TH (L.C. Evans 1986, E. Acerbi & N. Fusco 1986)

Assume $F: \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

(H1) F is C^2 (H2) $|F(\xi)| \leq L(|\xi|^2 + 1)$

(H3) F - $\|\cdot\|^2$ is QC,

where $0 < \lambda \leq L < \infty$ are constants.

Let $g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. If $u \in W_{\frac{1}{q}}^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is

F -minimizing, then

$$\Sigma_u := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 0} |(Du)_{x,r}| = \infty \text{ or } \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 0} E(x,r) > 0 \right\}$$

is closed in Ω , and u is $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}$ on $\Omega \setminus \Sigma_u$

for all $\alpha < 1$.

The above is a consequence of:

TH Under the assumptions of the above TH:

For each $m > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(m) > 0$ s.t.

$\exists r \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))$ s.t.

$$\Omega \setminus \Sigma_u = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in \Omega : |(Du)_{x,r}| < m \text{ and } E(x,r) < \varepsilon(m) \right\}$$

ie,

$$\Sigma_{\eta} = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in \Omega : \forall r \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)) \text{ s.t. } |(\nabla u)_{x,r}| \geq m \text{ or } E(x,r) \geq \varepsilon(m) \right\}.$$

Furthermore, if for some $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))$ we have $|(\nabla u)_{x,r}| < m$ and $E(x,r) < \varepsilon(m)$, then u is $C^{1,\alpha}$ near x for each $\alpha < 1$.

This TH is a consequence of the following:

Proposition Under the assumptions of the above TH: There exists a constant $C = C(F'', \frac{L}{\ell}, n, N) < \infty$ with the following property. For each $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, $m < \infty$ there exists $\delta = \delta(F'', \frac{L}{\ell}, n, N, \sigma, m) > 0$ s.t.

$$E(x,r) \leq C \left(\sigma \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n+2} + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \right) E(x,R)$$

for $0 < r < \frac{R}{4}$ provided that $B(x,R) \subset \Omega$ and

$$|(\nabla u)_{x,R}| < m \quad \text{and} \quad E(x,R) < \delta.$$

Pf that Prop. \Rightarrow TH

3/17

Fix $m > 0$ and let $\varepsilon, \sigma \in (0, 1)$ satisfy

$$(1) \quad \varepsilon \leq \delta(F'', \frac{L}{2}, n, N, \sigma, m+1)$$

\uparrow NOTE (and not m)

Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ and suppose $0 < R < \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$

$$(2) \quad |(Du)_{x_0, R}| < m \quad \text{and} \quad E(x_0, R) < \varepsilon,$$

Then also $\exists \varrho > 0$ s.t. for all $x \in B(x_0, \varrho)$

$$(2') \quad |(Du)_{x, R}| < m \quad \text{and} \quad E(x, R) < \varepsilon.$$

Combining (1), (2') we get for $x \in B(x_0, \varrho)$ and $0 < \tau < \frac{1}{4}$ from Prop.:

$$\begin{aligned} E(x, \tau R) &\leq c(\sigma \tau^{-n-2} + \tau^2) E(x, R) \\ &\leq \tau^{2\alpha} E(x, R) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ provided we choose

$$(3) \quad \tau = (2c)^{-\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}}, \quad \sigma \leq \frac{\tau^{n+2+2\alpha}}{2c}.$$

We now seek to iterate this. Note that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in B(x_0, \varrho)$ we have

$$E(x, \tau^j R) \leq \tau^{2\alpha} E(x, \tau^{j-1} R)$$

provided

$$(4) \quad |(Du)_{x, \tau^{j-1} R}| < m+1 \quad \& \quad E(x, \tau^{j-1} R) < \delta.$$

We know that (4) holds for $j=1$ (by (1), (2')). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that (4) holds for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then

$$E(x, \tau^k R) \leq \tau^{2\alpha k} E(x, R) \stackrel{(1), (2'), (3) \text{ and } \tau \leq \frac{1}{4}}{<} \delta,$$

$$|(Du)_{x, \tau^k R}| \leq |(Du)_{x, R}| + \sum_{j=1}^k |(Du)_{x, \tau^j R} - (Du)_{x, \tau^{j-1} R}|$$

$$\stackrel{(2')}{<} m + \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{B(x, \tau^j R)} |Du - (Du)_{x, \tau^{j-1} R}|$$

$$\leq m + \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\int_{B(x, \tau^j R)} |Du - (Du)_{x, \tau^{j-1} R}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq m + \sum_{j=1}^k \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} E(x, \tau^{j-1} R)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\stackrel{(4) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k}{\leq} m + \sum_{j=1}^k \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} \tau^{\alpha(j-1)} E(x, R)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq m + \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} (1 - \tau^\alpha)^{-1} E(x, R)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\stackrel{(2')}{<} m + \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} (1 - \tau^\alpha)^{-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq m + 1$$

provided $\tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} (1 - \tau^\alpha)^{-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1$, ie,

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon \leq \tau^n (1 - \tau^\alpha)^2.$$

Consequently if we take $\tau = (2c)^{-\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}}$, then

$$\sigma = \frac{\tau^{n+2+2\alpha}}{2c} \text{ and then } \varepsilon \text{ satisfying}$$

(1), (5), then we can iterate, and

5/17

(2) implies

$$E(x, \tau^j R) \leq \tau^{2\alpha j} E(x, R) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in B(x_0, \varepsilon).$$

For $0 < r \leq \frac{R}{4}$ take $j \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\tau^j R < r \leq \tau^{j-1} R$.

Then for $x \in B(x_0, \varepsilon)$: $E(x, r) \leq \tau^{-n} \tau^{2\alpha(j-1)} E(x, R)$

$$\leq M \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2\alpha}, \quad \text{where } M := \tau^{-n-2\alpha} 2^n E(x_0, 2R).$$

The α -Hölder continuity now follows from the theorem of Campanato, Meyers. \square

We turn to the proof of the Proposition, and recall a key ingredient from (L5):

The A -harmonic approximation Lemma

Let $0 < \lambda \leq L < \infty$, $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

$\exists \delta = \delta(\frac{L}{\lambda}, n, N, \varepsilon) > 0$ with the following property: If $A: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

symm, bilinear and $u \in W^{1,2}(B(x,R), \mathbb{R}^N)$

satisfy

(i) $A[\xi, \eta] \leq L |\xi| |\eta|$, $\forall \xi, \eta$

(ii) $\lambda \int_{B(0,1)} |D\varphi|^2 \leq \int_{B(0,1)} A[D\varphi, D\varphi]$, $\forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}$

(iii) $\int_{B(x,R)} A[Du, D\varphi] \leq \delta \|D\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \sqrt{E(x,R)}$
 $\forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(B(x,R), \mathbb{R}^N)$,

then

6/17

$$R^{-2} \int_{B(x,R)} |u-h|^2 \leq \varepsilon E(x,R),$$

where $h \in W_u^{1,2}(B(x,R), \mathbb{R}^N)$ is A -harmonic.

Pf. of Proposition

Step 1. Implementation of linearization strategy by way of A -harmonic approximation.

Fix $m > 0$. Take $B_R = B(x_0, R)$ s.t. $|(Du)_{x_0, R}| < m$ and put $\xi_0 = (Du)_{x_0, R}$.

Observe that $F''(\xi_0)$ satisfies (i), (ii) with

$$L \sim k := 1 + \sup_{|\xi| \leq m+1} |F''(\xi)|, \quad \lambda,$$

in the A -harmonic approximation lemma.

We now seek an estimate of

$$\int_{B_R} F''(\xi_0) [Du, D\varphi]$$

for $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$. We need to discuss the possible bounds on F'' , but first note

For $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$:

$$\int_{B_R} F''(\xi_0) [Du, D\varphi] =$$

u is an F -extremal so integrates to 0

$$\int_{B_R} \left\{ F''(\xi_0) [Du - \xi_0, D\varphi] + (F'(\xi_0) - F'(Du)) [D\varphi] \right\} =$$

$$\int_{B_R} \int_0^1 (F''(\xi_0) - F''(\xi_0 + t(Du - \xi_0))) [Du - \xi_0, D\varphi] dt.$$

Recall from **L5**: **H2**, **H3** $\implies \exists C = C(n, N) > 0$

s.t. $|F'(\xi)| \leq cL(|\xi| + 1), \forall \xi,$

and $|F(\xi) - F(\eta)| \leq cL(|\xi| + |\eta| + 1)|\xi - \eta|, \forall \xi, \eta.$

However, we do not have global control on F'' .

Instead we have:

Auxiliary Lemma

Assume $\begin{cases} F: \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is } C^2 \\ |F(\xi)| \leq L(|\xi|^2 + 1), \forall \xi, \\ F \text{ is QC.} \end{cases}$

Let $m > 0$. There exist a constant ~~$c = c(m, L, F'')$~~
 $c = c(m, L, F'') > 0$ and an increasing, concave function $\omega = \omega_{m, F''}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\omega(0+) = 0$ and $\omega(t) = 1$ for $\forall t \geq 1$ with

the following property: For each $|\xi_0| \leq m$ and all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$

$$\left| \int_0^1 (F''(\xi_0) - F''(\xi_0 + t(\xi - \xi_0))) [\xi - \xi_0, \eta] dt \right| =$$

$$\left| F''(\xi_0) [\xi - \xi_0, \eta] + (F'(\xi_0) - F'(\xi)) [\eta] \right| \leq c \omega(|\xi - \xi_0|) |\xi - \xi_0| |\eta|.$$

Pf: Put $k := 1 + \sup_{|\xi| \leq m+1} |F''(\xi)|$ and

$$\tilde{\omega}(t) := \frac{1}{2k} \sup \left\{ |F''(\xi) - F''(\eta)| : \begin{array}{l} |\xi - \eta| \leq t \\ |\xi|, |\eta| \leq m+1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

We record: $\tilde{\omega} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is increasing

$$\tilde{\omega}(0+) = 0 \text{ and } |F''(\xi) - F''(\eta)| \leq 2k \tilde{\omega}(|\xi - \eta|)$$

for all $|\xi|, |\eta| \leq m+1$.

Put

$$\theta(t) := \max \{ \tilde{\omega}(t), \min\{t, 1\} \}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then θ has all of the above properties

besides $\theta(t) = 1 \quad \forall t \geq 1$. Finally put

$w(t) =$ concave envelope of $\theta(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$,

ie,

9/17

$$w(t) := \inf \left\{ c(t) : \begin{array}{l} c: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ concave} \\ c \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, \infty) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Exercise: Show that $w: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is increasing, concave, $w(0+) = 0$, $w(t) = 1 \forall t \geq 1$, and

$$|F''(\xi) - F''(\eta)| \leq 2k w(|\xi - \eta|)$$

for all ξ, η with $|\xi|, |\eta| \leq m+1$.

Now for $|\xi| \leq m+1$ we estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^1 (F''(\xi_0) - F''(\xi_0 + t(\xi - \xi_0))) [\xi - \xi_0, \eta] dt \right| \leq \\ & \int_0^1 |F''(\xi_0) - F''(\xi_0 + t(\xi - \xi_0))| |\xi - \xi_0| |\eta| dt \leq \\ & \int_0^1 2k w(t|\xi - \xi_0|) |\xi - \xi_0| |\eta| dt \leq 2k w(|\xi - \xi_0|) |\xi - \xi_0| |\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

For $|\xi| > m+1$ we have $|\xi - \xi_0| > 1$, and we estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| F''(\xi_0) [\xi - \xi_0, \eta] + (F'(\xi_0) - F'(\xi)) [\eta] \right| \leq \\ & |F''(\xi_0)| \cdot |\xi - \xi_0| \cdot |\eta| + (|F'(\xi_0)| + |F'(\xi)|) |\eta| \leq \\ & k |\xi - \xi_0| |\eta| + cL(|\xi_0| + 1 + |\xi| + 1) |\eta| \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$k|\xi - \xi_0||\eta| + cL(2m+2 + |\xi - \xi_0|)|\eta| \leq$$

$$(k + 2cL(m+2))|\xi - \xi_0||\eta| =$$

$$(k + 2cL(m+2)) \underbrace{\omega(|\xi - \xi_0|)}_{=1} |\xi - \xi_0||\eta|,$$

and so the desired estimate follows with $c = \max\{2k, k + 2cL(m+2)\}$. \square

Return to estimation: $\forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{B_R} F''(\xi_0) [Du, D\varphi] = \int_{B_R} \int_0^1 (F''(\xi_0) - F''(\xi_0 + t(Du - \xi_0))) [Du - \xi_0, D\varphi] dt$$

Auxiliary Lemma with $\xi = Du, \eta = D\varphi$

$$\leq \int_{B_R} c \omega(|Du - \xi_0|) |Du - \xi_0| |D\varphi|$$

~~Hölder \int_{B_R}
 $\omega \leq 1, \omega$ concave, Hölder~~

$$\leq c \left(\int_{B_R} \omega(|Du - \xi_0|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_R} |Du - \xi_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$$

$\omega \leq 1, \omega$ concave

$$\leq c \omega \left(\int_{B_R} |Du - \xi_0| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(x_0, R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$$

Hölder, ω increasing

$$\leq c \omega \left(E(x_0, R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(x_0, R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D\varphi\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Consequently : Let $m > 0$.

If $B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega$ and $\xi_0 = (Du)_{x_0, R}$ satisfies $|\xi_0| < m$, then $\exists c = c_m, \omega = \omega_m$ s.t.

$$\left| \int_{B_R} F''(\xi_0) [Du, D\varphi] \right| \leq c\omega(E(x_0, R)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} E(x_0, R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D\varphi\|_{L^m}$$

for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1, m}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

By the A -harmonic approximation lemma

$\exists \delta = \delta(F'', n, N, \sigma) > 0$ s.t. if

$$(1) \quad c\omega(E(x_0, R)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} < \delta,$$

then

$$(2) \quad R^{-2} \int_{B_R} |u - h|^2 \leq \sigma E(x_0, R),$$

where $h \in W_u^{1, 2}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is $F''(\xi_0)$ -harmonic.

Solving (1) for $E(x_0, R)$ we find a

$\delta = \delta(F'', n, N, \sigma, m) > 0$ s.t. (1), and

hence (2), holds when $E(x_0, R) < \delta$.

Note: δ depends on m via $\omega = \omega_m$.

Remark Step 1 (and the conclusion (2)) 12/17
used only that

- u is an F -extremal
- F'' satisfies the strong Legendre-Hadamard condition

and $(H1)$, $(H2)$. We did not use F -minimality or $(H3)$!

We linearize around $\xi_0 = (Du)_{x_0, R}$ and require smallness of $E(x_0, R)$ to get the L^2 -approximation

$$R^{-2} \int_{B_R} |u - h|^2 \leq \sigma E(x_0, R).$$

This is why we don't attempt to prove lower order regularity (eg $C_{loc}^{0, \alpha}$) by this method. We must bound the left-hand side below by a 1st order term in u — for that we use a Caccioppoli inequality of the second kind. It is the proof of this inequality that requires all the assumptions.

Step 2: Caccioppoli inequality of 2nd kind 13/17

TH (Evans 1986~~7~~, Acerbi & Fusco 1986)

Under the assumptions of the TH:

For each $m > 0$ there exists a constant

$C_m = C(F'', \frac{L}{2}, n, N, m) < \infty$ s.t. for any

affine map $a: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ and any $B_R = B(x_0, R)$

$\subset \Omega$,

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |Du - Da|^2 \leq \frac{C_m}{R^2} \int_{B_R} |u - a|^2$$

provided that $|Da| \leq m + 1$.

Pf: Put $\tilde{u} := u - a$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(\xi) &:= F(\xi + Da) - F(Da) - F'(Da)[\xi] \\ &= \int_0^1 (1-t) F''(Da + t\xi)[\xi, \xi] dt. \end{aligned}$$

As in Auxiliary Lemma from Step 1 we prove that $\exists k_m = k(F'', L, m) < \infty$

s.t.

$$\begin{cases} |\tilde{F}(\xi)| \leq k|\xi|^2, & \forall \xi \\ |\tilde{F}'(\xi)| \leq k|\xi| \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we note that $\tilde{F} - \lambda|\cdot|^2$ 14/17 is QC, and that \tilde{u} is \tilde{F} -minimizing.

Fix radii $\frac{R}{2} < r < s < R$ and let $\rho \in W^{1,\infty}$ be a cut-off function so $\mathbb{1}_{B_r} \leq \rho \leq \mathbb{1}_{B_s}$ and $|\mathrm{D}\rho| \leq \frac{1}{s-r}$ a.e.

Put $\varphi := \rho \tilde{u}$, $\psi := (1-\rho)\tilde{u}$.

Then $\varphi + \psi = \tilde{u}$, $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(B_s, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\psi = \tilde{u}$ on $B_R \setminus B_s$.

Now use that $\tilde{F} - \lambda|\cdot|^2$ is QC at 0:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{B_s} |\mathrm{D}\rho|^2 &\leq \int_{B_s} (\tilde{F}(\mathrm{D}\varphi) - \tilde{F}(0)) = \int_{B_s} \tilde{F}(\mathrm{D}\tilde{u} - \mathrm{D}\psi) \\ &= \int_{B_s} \tilde{F}(\mathrm{D}\tilde{u}) + \int_{B_s} (\tilde{F}(\mathrm{D}\tilde{u} - \mathrm{D}\psi) - \tilde{F}(\mathrm{D}\tilde{u})) \\ &\stackrel{\tilde{u} \text{ } \tilde{F}\text{-min}}{\leq} \int_{B_s} \tilde{F}(\mathrm{D}\psi) + \int_{B_s} \int_0^1 \tilde{F}'(\mathrm{D}\tilde{u} - t\mathrm{D}\psi) [-\mathrm{D}\psi] dt \\ &\leq \int_{B_s} (k|\mathrm{D}\psi|^2 + k(|\mathrm{D}\tilde{u}| + |\mathrm{D}\psi|)|\mathrm{D}\psi|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathrm{D}\psi = (1-\rho)\mathrm{D}\tilde{u} - \tilde{u} \otimes \mathrm{D}\rho, \text{ ie, } \mathrm{D}\psi = 0 \text{ on } B_r$$

so $|D\tilde{u}| \leq |D\tilde{u}| + \frac{|\tilde{u}|}{s-r}$ and $|D\tilde{u}|=0$ on B_r , 15/17

As $D\tilde{u} = D\phi$ on B_r we estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell \int_{B_r} |D\tilde{u}|^2 &\leq \ell \int_{B_s} |D\phi|^2 \leq \int_{B_s \setminus B_r} \left(k \left(|D\tilde{u}| + \frac{|\tilde{u}|}{s-r} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k \left(2|D\tilde{u}| + \frac{|\tilde{u}|}{s-r} \right) \left(|D\tilde{u}| + \frac{|\tilde{u}|}{s-r} \right) \right) \\ &= k \int_{B_s \setminus B_r} \left(5 |D\tilde{u}|^2 + 5 \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{(s-r)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We use the Widman hole filling trick to conclude:

add $\frac{5k}{\ell} \int_{B_r} |D\tilde{u}|^2$ to both sides to get

$$\left(1 + \frac{5k}{\ell}\right) \int_{B_r} |D\tilde{u}|^2 \leq \frac{5k}{\ell} \int_{B_s} |D\tilde{u}|^2 + \frac{5k}{\ell} \int_{B_s} \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{(s-r)^2},$$

ie, with $\theta := \frac{\frac{5k}{\ell}}{1 + \frac{5k}{\ell}} \in (0,1)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |D\tilde{u}|^2 &\leq \theta \int_{B_s} |D\tilde{u}|^2 + \theta \int_{B_s} \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{(s-r)^2} \\ &\leq \theta \int_{B_s} |D\tilde{u}|^2 + \frac{A}{(s-r)^2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\frac{R}{2} < r < s < R$, where $A := \theta \int_{B_R} |\tilde{u}|^2$.

We can now invoke

16/17

Iteration Lemma Let $R > 0$ and $f: [\frac{R}{2}, R] \rightarrow [0, A]$

be a bounded function. Assume that for some constants $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $A \geq 0$ we have

$$f(r) \leq \theta f(s) + \frac{A}{(s-r)^2}$$

for all $\frac{R}{2} < r < s < R$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(\theta)$ (depending on θ only!)

s.t. $f(\frac{R}{2}) \leq c \frac{A}{R^2}$.

Pf: is an exercise (or see Giusti's book) \square

Step 3: Conclusion.

From Step 1,

$$(3.1) \quad R^{-2} \int_{B_R} |u-h|^2 \leq \sigma E(x_0, R)$$

provided $B_R = B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega$, $|(Du)_{x_0, R}| < m$ and $E(x_0, R) < \delta$, where $h \in W_u^{1,2}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is

$F''(\xi_0)$ -harmonic ($\xi_0 := (Du)_{x_0, R}$).

Recall $k = 1 + \sup_{1 \leq i \leq m+1} |F''(\xi_i)|$. From the

Generalized Weyl Lemma : for $0 < r < R$

$$\int_{B_r} |Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, r}|^2 \leq \tilde{C} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \int_{B_R} |Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}|^2$$

exercise \swarrow

$$\leq C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \int_{B_R} |Du - (Du)_{x_0, R}|^2$$

(3.2)
$$= C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 E(x_0, R).$$

Let $a: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be the affine map s.t.

$$a_{x_0, R} = h_{x_0, R} \quad \text{and} \quad Da = (Dh)_{x_0, R} (= (Du)_{x_0, R}).$$

Note : $|Da| < m$

Now for $r < \frac{R}{2}$: step 2

$$\int_{B_r} |Du - (Du)_{x_0, r}|^2 \leq \int_{B_r} |Du - Da|^2 \leq$$

$$\frac{\tilde{C}_m}{r^2} \int_{B_{2r}} |u - a|^2 \leq \frac{2\tilde{C}_m}{r^2} \int_{B_{2r}} (|u - h|^2 + |h - a|^2)$$

Poincaré ~~inequality~~

$$\leq \frac{2\tilde{C}_m}{r^2} \frac{|B_R|}{|B_{2r}|} R^2 \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B_R} |u - h|^2 + \frac{2\tilde{C}_m}{r^2} C r^2 \int_{B_{2r}} |Dh - Da|^2$$

(3.1), (3.2)

$$\leq C_m \left(\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n+2} \sigma + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \right) E(x_0, R). \quad \square$$