

Partial Regularity

TH 46

{ Morrey
 Giacchetta & Giusti
 Campanato
 Giusti & Miranda } (based on)
 { Almgren
 De Giorgi }

Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 and

$$L|\lambda|^2 \leq F''(\xi)[\lambda, \lambda] \leq L|\lambda|^2 \quad \forall \xi, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n},$$

where $0 < L \leq L < \infty$ are constants.

Suppose $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a
 minimizer of $F[v, \Omega] = \int_{\Omega} F(Dv) :$

$$F[u, \Omega] \leq F[u + \varphi, \Omega] \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Define

$$\Omega_u := \{x \in \Omega : u \text{ is } C^1 \text{ near } x\},$$

$$\Sigma_u^1 := \{x \in \Omega : \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} |(Du)_{x,r}| = \infty\},$$

$$\Sigma_u^2 := \{x \in \Omega : \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 0^+} E(x,r) > 0\},$$

$$\Sigma_u^3 := \{x \in \Omega : \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 0^+} |(Du)_{x,r}| = \infty\},$$

$$\Sigma_u^4 := \{x \in \Omega : \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} E(x,r) > 0\},$$

where $\underline{\lim} := \liminf$, $\overline{\lim} = \limsup$ $\left[\frac{2}{18} \right]$
and $E(x,r) = \int_{B(x,r)} |Du - (Du)_{x,r}|^2$ ('excess'
or 'mean-oscillation' on $B(x,r)$).

Then Ω_n is open (obvious),
 $\Omega \setminus \Omega_n = \Sigma_n^1 \cup \Sigma_n^2 = \Sigma_n^3 = \Sigma_n^4$
and $u|_{\Omega_n}$ is $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}$ for each $\alpha < 1$.

Note All maps are given in terms of their
precise representatives.

Remarks on pf

The pf is a perturbation argument
and relies on linearization and the
fact (Weyl) that there are powerful
interior estimates for solutions to linear
elliptic systems with constant coefficients.

The approach originates in work on minimal
surfaces by Almgren and De Giorgi.

The main difficulty for the implementation
of the above linearization strategy is that
while the assumptions easily yield estimates

in $W^{1,2}$ what is actually required is $\lfloor \frac{3}{18} \rfloor$ compactness in $W^{1,2}$. We will obtain that from TH 6 (the difference-quotient method) and the final result is regularity under a smallness condition. The smallness condition can't be guaranteed at all points, hence we only prove 'partial regularity'. Of course, in view of the exx 37 we also shouldn't be able to prove more than that. There is however still a significant gap between the size of the 'singular sets' in the exx 37 and the estimates one gets from measure theory.

The Hausdorff dimension of singular sets in exx 37 is $n-3$ whereas measure theory and TH 46 gives $n-2-\sigma$, where $\sigma > 0$ is small and awkward the quantity precisely. We won't discuss this point further here. Instead we give a rough sketch of the pt:

Let $B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega$ and put

$$\xi_0 = (Du)_{x_0, R}.$$

$$\text{Let } P(\xi) = F(\xi_0) + F'(\xi_0)[\xi - \xi_0] + \frac{1}{2}F''(\xi_0)[\xi - \xi_0, \xi - \xi_0] + \int_0^1 (1-t) F''(\xi_0 + t(\xi - \xi_0)) [\xi - \xi_0, \xi - \xi_0] dt$$

be the 2nd Taylor polynomial for F about ξ_0

$$\text{Recall: } \frac{|F(\xi) - P(\xi)|}{|\xi - \xi_0|^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \xi \rightarrow \xi_0$$

Let $h \in W^{1,2}_4(B(x_0, R), \mathbb{R}^N)$ be minimizing for

$$\mathcal{P}[v, B(x_0, R)] = \int_{B(x_0, R)} P(Dv).$$

Note: \mathcal{P} is a quadratic strongly convex functional and the Euler-Lagrange system for \mathcal{P} is

$$\text{div } P'(Dh) = 0 \text{ in } B(x_0, R),$$

a linear elliptic system with constant coefficients. Hence h is smooth and we have good estimates by Generalized Weyl. We seek to transfer these estimates to u — plausible provided we can show that h is a good approximation of u in $W^{1,2}(B(x_0, R), \mathbb{R}^N)$. This turns out to be the case when

the excess $E(x_0, R)$ is small. 5/18

Pf of TH 46 We assume $n > 2$ (and $\frac{L}{R} \geq (\frac{n-1}{n-2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots$)

Let $m > 0$ and fix $B_{2R} = B(x_0, 2R) \subset \Omega$
 s.t. $|(Du)_{x_0, R}| < m$. Put $\xi_0 := (Du)_{x_0, R}$

and

$$P(\xi) = F(\xi_0) + F'(\xi_0) [\xi - \xi_0] + \frac{1}{2} F''(\xi_0) [\xi - \xi_0, \xi - \xi_0]$$

~~$$\int_0^1 (1-t) F''(\xi_0 + t(\xi - \xi_0)) [\xi - \xi_0, \xi - \xi_0] dt$$~~

Then $P''(\xi) = F''(\xi_0)$ and the E-L
 for $J(v, B(x_0, R)) = \int_{B(x_0, R)} P(Dv)$ is

$$\int_{B_R} F''(\xi_0) [Dv, D\phi] = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in W_0^{1,2}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$$

Hence if $h \in W_u^{1,2}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is $J(\cdot, B_R)$
 minimizing then $h \in C^\infty(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$R^2 \sup_{B_{R/2}} |Dh|^2 \leq c \int_{B_R} |Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}|^2$$

$$\leq^* c \int_{B_R} |Du - (Du)_{x_0, R}|^2 = cE(x_0, R)$$

by Generalized Weyl (TH 17)

\square From EL for \mathcal{J} : $0 = \int_{B_R} F''(\xi_0) [Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}^{\frac{C/B}}]$
 $Du - Dh]$ and so as $(Dh)_{x_0, R} = (Dh)_{x_0, R}$,

$$\int_{B_R} F''(\xi_0) [Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}, Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}] =$$

$$\int_{B_R} F''(\xi_0) [Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}, Du - (Dh)_{x_0, R}] \leq$$

$$\int_{B_R} F''(\xi_0) [Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}, Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}]^{\frac{1}{2}} F''(\xi_0) [Du - (Dh)_{x_0, R}, Du - (Dh)_{x_0, R}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq L \int_{B_R} |Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}| |Du - (Dh)_{x_0, R}| \quad \text{and so}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz $\int_{B_R} |Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, R}|^2 \leq \left(\frac{L}{h}\right)^2 E(x_0, R)$.

Hence for $0 < r < \frac{R}{2}$ we get

$$(i) \quad \int_{B_r} |Dh - (Dh)_{x_0, r}|^2 \leq c \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 E(x_0, R)$$

and changing the constant c if necessary we see that (i) remains valid also for

$$\frac{R}{2} \leq r < R.$$

How well does h approximate u in $W^{1,2}(B(x_0, R), \mathbb{R}^N)$?

We construct a convenient modulus of continuity $\omega = \omega_m$ for F'' on

$$\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} : |\xi| \leq m+1\} :$$

Put for $t \geq 0$,

$$\tilde{\omega}(t) := \frac{1}{2L} \sup \left\{ |F''(\xi) - F''(\eta)| : \begin{array}{l} |\xi|, |\eta| \leq m+1 \\ |\xi - \eta| \leq t \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then $\tilde{\omega} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous, nondecreasing and $\tilde{\omega}(0) = 0$. For technical reasons that will become clear later we modify $\tilde{\omega}$ as follows: first put

$$w_0(t) := \min \left\{ \max \{ \tilde{\omega}(t), 1 \}, 1 \right\}$$

so that in addition to the above properties of $\tilde{\omega}(t)$ we also have that $w_0(t) = 1 \forall t \geq 1$ (and clearly $w_0(t) \geq \tilde{\omega}(t) \forall t \geq 0$). Next define for $t \geq 0$,

$$w(t) := \inf \left\{ c(t) : \begin{array}{l} c \text{ concave} \\ w_0 \leq c \text{ on } [0, \infty) \end{array} \right\},$$

ie w is the concave envelope of w_0 (= the smallest concave function $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $c \geq w_0$). Then $w = \omega_m : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$

is continuous, nondecreasing, concave, $\left. \begin{array}{l} 8/18 \end{array} \right\}$
 $\omega(0) = 0$, $\omega(t) = 1 \quad \forall t \geq 1$ and

$$|F''(\xi) - F''(\eta)| \leq 2L\omega(|\xi - \eta|)$$

for all $|\xi|, |\eta| \leq m+1$.

Consequently we have for all ξ :

$$|F(\xi) - P(\xi)| = \left| \int_0^1 (1-t) (F''(\xi_0 + t(\xi - \xi_0)) - F''(\xi_0)) [\xi - \xi_0, \xi - \xi_0] dt \right| \leq \int_0^1 (1-t) |F''(\xi_0 + t(\xi - \xi_0)) - F''(\xi_0)| dt |\xi - \xi_0|^2.$$

Here

$$|F''(\xi_0 + t(\xi - \xi_0)) - F''(\xi_0)| \leq$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2L\omega(|\xi - \xi_0|) \quad \text{provided } |\xi - \xi_0| \leq 1 \\ 2L = 2L\omega(|\xi - \xi_0|) \quad \text{provided } |\xi - \xi_0| > 1, \end{array} \right.$$

thus:

$$(2) \quad |F(\xi) - P(\xi)| \leq L\omega_m(|\xi - \xi_0|) |\xi - \xi_0|^2$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$.

We are now ready for the first estimate of the degree of approximation:

First note

$$P(Du) - P(Dh) - P'(Dh)[Du - Dh]$$

$$\geq \frac{\lambda}{2} |Du - Dh|^2, \quad \text{and hence}$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{B_R} |Du - Dh|^2 \leq \int_{B_R} (P(Du) - P(Dh) - P'(Dh)[Du - Dh])$$

$$= \int_{B_R} (P(Du) - P(Dh))$$

$$= \int_{B_R} (P(Du) - F(Du) + F(Du) - P(Dh))$$

u minimizer

$$\leq \int_{B_R} (P(Du) - F(Du) + F(Dh) - P(Dh))$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} L \int_{B_R} (\omega_m(|Du - \xi_0|) |Du - \xi_0|^2 + \omega_m(|Dh - \xi_0|) |Dh - \xi_0|^2)$$

Would like to use assumption of smallness of excess $E(x_0, 2R)$ here. Recall

TH 6: $u \in W_{loc}^{2,2}$ and

$$\int_{B_R} |D^2u|^2 \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}} |Du - (Du)_{B_{2R}}|^2$$

and so by Poincaré-Sobolev's inequality 10/18
 (recall $n > 2$)

$$\left\{ \int_{B_R} |Du - \sum_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}| \right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C \int_{B_R} |D^2 u|^2$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}} |Du - (Du)_{B_{2R}}|^2,$$

ie

$$\left(\int_{B_R} |Du - \sum_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}| \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C \int_{B_{2R}} |Du - (Du)_{B_{2R}}|^2.$$

We require a similar bound for h .

TH 49 (Generalized Weyl 'up to the bdry')

If $h \in W_u^{1,2}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is $\mathcal{P}(\cdot, B_R)$ -
 minimizing, then (as $u \in W^{2,2}$)

$h \in W^{2,2}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\int_{B_R} |D^2 h|^2 \leq C \int_{B_R} |D^2 u|^2,$$

where $C = C(n, N, \frac{L}{\ell})$ is a constant.

We omit the pf (it's exactly as pf
 of TH 40 'Weyl up to the bdry').

Consequently,

$$\left(\int_{B_R} |Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C \int_{B_R} |Du - (Du)_{B_{2R}}|^2.$$

Hence by Hölder $\left(\left(\frac{n}{n-2} \right)' = \frac{n}{2} \right)$:

$$\frac{n}{2} \int_{B_R} |Du - Dh|^2 \leq$$

$$L \left\{ \left(\int_{B_R} w(|Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{B_R} |Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \right. \\ \left. + \left(\int_{B_R} w(|Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{B_R} |Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \right\} \leq$$

$$L \left\{ \left(\int_{B_R} w(|Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} + \left(\int_{B_R} w(|Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \right\} E(x_0, 2R).$$

Now

$$\left(\int_{B_R} w(|Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq 1 \quad w \leq 1$$

$$\left(\int_{B_R} w(|Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{n}{2}}) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \quad w \text{ concave}$$

$$\left(w \left(\int_{B_R} |Du - \xi_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} \right) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \quad w \text{ nondecreasing}$$

$$w \left(E(x_0, R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq w \left(2^{\frac{n}{2}} E(x_0, 2R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

Similarly (and using $*$):

$\frac{12}{18}$

$$\left(\int_{B_R} \omega(|Du - Dh|)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \omega(cE(x_0, 2R)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

and therefore:

$$(3) \int_{B_R} |Du - Dh|^2 \leq c \omega(cE(x_0, 2R)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}} E(x_0, 2R)$$

[Good approximation when $E(x_0, 2R)$ is small:

$$c \omega(cE(x_0, 2R)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}} E(x_0, 2R) \ll E(x_0, 2R)$$

Define for $0 < r < 2R$,

$$\Phi(r) := \int_{B_r} |Du - (Du)_{B_r}|^2 \quad (= |B_r| E(x_0, r))$$

For $0 < r < R$ we estimate by use of (1) & (3):

$$\Phi(r) \leq \int_{B_r} |Du - (Dh)_{B_r}|^2$$

$$\leq 2 \int_{B_r} |Du - Dh|^2 + 2 \int_{B_r} |Dh - (Dh)_{B_r}|^2$$

$$\stackrel{(1), (3)}{\leq} c \omega(cE(x_0, 2R)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}} \Phi(2R) + c \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n+2} \Phi(2R)$$

$$= c \left[\omega(cE(x_0, 2R)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}} + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n+2} \right] \Phi(2R).$$

If $c \geq 2^{n+2}$ (and we assume that), $\lfloor \frac{13}{18} \rfloor$
then the above inequality trivially holds
for $R \leq r < 2R$ too.

Observe that the above yields:

For $B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega$ s.t. $|(D_u)_{x_0, \frac{R}{2}}| < m$
we have

$$\textcircled{*} \Phi(r) \leq c \left[\omega(cE(x_0, R)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}} + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n+2} \right] \Phi(R)$$

for $0 < r < R$.

For $\delta > 0$ define $\varepsilon_m(\delta) := \sup \{ t > 0 : \omega_m(ct^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}} < \delta \}$

Then $\varepsilon_m(\delta) > 0$ and $\omega_m(cE(x_0, R)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}} < \delta$
provided $E(x_0, R) < \varepsilon_m(\delta)$.

We would like to iterate $\textcircled{*}$.

Let $\delta > 0$. We'll choose it later.

Fix $m > 0$ and consider $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{m+1}(\delta)$

(note: $m+1$). We have for $B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega$
with

$$(**) \quad |(Du)_{x_0, \frac{R}{2}}| < m+1 \quad \text{and} \quad E(x_0, R) < \varepsilon \quad \boxed{14/18}$$

that

$$\Phi(r) \leq c \left[\delta + \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{n+2} \right] \Phi(R)$$

for $0 < r < R$.

For each $0 < \tau < 1$ we have with $r = \tau R$ and returning to excess: $E(x_0, r) = |B_r|^{-1} \Phi(r)$

$$E(x_0, \tau R) \leq c \left[\delta \tau^{-n} + \tau^2 \right] E(x_0, R)$$

Note $c \left[\delta \tau^{-n} + \tau^2 \right] = (c \delta \tau^{-n-1} + c \tau) \tau \leq \tau$

when $c \delta \tau^{-n-1} + c \tau \leq 1$ which holds true if

$$c \delta \tau^{-n-1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad c \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Choose $\tau = \frac{1}{2c}$ and $\delta = \frac{\tau^{n+1}}{2c} = \left(\frac{1}{2c} \right)^{n+2}$.

With these choices we have

$$E(x_0, \tau R) \leq \tau E(x_0, R),$$

provided that $(**)$ holds.

$\&$

Consequently : for $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\textcircled{+} \quad E(x_0, \tau^j R) \leq \tau E(x_0, \tau^{j-1} R)$$

provided that $B(x_0, \tau^{j-1} R) \subset \Omega$ (clearly true) and

$$\textcircled{++} \quad |(Du)_{x_0, \tau^{j-1} \frac{R}{2}}| < m+1 \ \& \ E(x_0, \tau^{j-1} R) < \varepsilon.$$

As $\textcircled{++}$ holds by assumption for $j=1$ we get $\textcircled{+}$ for $j=1$.

Suppose that $\textcircled{++}$ holds for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

$$\text{Then by iteration: } E(x_0, \tau^k R) \leq \tau^k E(x_0, R) < \tau^k \varepsilon < \varepsilon.$$

$$\text{Next } |(Du)_{x_0, \tau^k \frac{R}{2}}| \leq |(Du)_{x_0, \frac{R}{2}}| + \sum_{j=1}^k |(Du)_{x_0, \tau^j \frac{R}{2}} - (Du)_{x_0, \tau^{j-1} \frac{R}{2}}|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< m + \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{B(x_0, \tau^j \frac{R}{2})} |Du - (Du)_{x_0, \tau^{j-1} \frac{R}{2}}| \\ &\leq m + \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\int_{B(x_0, \tau^j \frac{R}{2})} |Du - (Du)_{x_0, \tau^{j-1} \frac{R}{2}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq m + \sum_{j=1}^k \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} E(x_0, \tau^{j-1} \frac{R}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq m + \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} E(x_0, \tau^{j-1} R)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq m + \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \tau^{\frac{j-1}{2}} \sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

$$< m + \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{1 - \sqrt{\tau}}$$

If we assume that $\left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{1 - \sqrt{\tau}} \leq 1$,

ie ~~$\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{m+1}^{(d)}$~~

$$\varepsilon \leq \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^n (1 - \tau^{\frac{1}{2}})^2,$$

then $|(\mathcal{D}_n)_{x_0, \tau^k \frac{R}{2}}| < m+1$.

Define $\varepsilon_m := \min\left\{\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^n (1 - \tau^{\frac{1}{2}})^2, \varepsilon_{m+1}^{(d)}\right\}$.

Then $|(\mathcal{D}_n)_{x_0, \frac{R}{2} \tau^k}| < m+1$ provided $E(x_0, R) < \varepsilon_m$ and we see that $(++)$ holds for $j=k+1$. Consequently:

If $B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega$, $|(\mathcal{D}_n)_{x_0, \frac{R}{2}}| < m$ and $E(x_0, R) < \varepsilon_m$ (with above choices!),

then

$$E(x_0, \tau^j R) \leq \tau^j E(x_0, R) \quad \forall j$$

For $0 < r < R$ take $j \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\tau^j R \leq r < \tau^{j+1} R$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} E(x_0, r) &\leq \tau^{-n} E(x_0, \tau^{j+1} R) \leq \tau^{j-n-1} E(x_0, R) \\ &\leq \tau^{-n-1} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^n E(x_0, R). \end{aligned}$$

Hence: If $B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega$, $|(D_u)_{x_0, \frac{R}{2}}| < m$ and $E(x_0, R) < \varepsilon_m$, then

$$E(x_0, r) \leq C \frac{r}{R} E(x_0, R)$$

for all $0 < r < R$.

Since the set $\Omega_m := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \exists R > 0 \text{ s.t.} \right.$

$\left. B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega, |(D_u)_{x_0, \frac{R}{2}}| < m \text{ and } E(x_0, R) < \varepsilon_m \right\}$

is open Campanato's characterization of Hölder continuity (see 27 & 8) gives that $u \in C_{loc}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}$ on Ω_m , and consequently

on $\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_m$.

Once we know that $u \in C_{loc}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}(\cup_m \Omega_m)$ (18/18)
 then it's easy to check that (by above pt)
 $u \in C_{loc}^{1, \alpha}(\cup_m \Omega_m)$ for all $\alpha < 1$. It
 follows that $\Omega_n = \cup_m \Omega_m$.

Finally, if $x \in \Omega \setminus (\Sigma_n^1 \cup \Sigma_n^2)$ so
 that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} |(D_n)_{x,r}| < \infty \quad \& \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 0^+} E(x,r) = 0,$$

then for some $r_j \searrow 0$

$$\sup_j |(D_n)_{x,r_j}| < \infty \quad \& \quad E(x, r_{j_0}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r_{j_0} \rightarrow 0.$$

Put $m = \sup_j |(D_n)_{x,r_j}| + 1$ and take $R_0 > 0$

s.t. $E(x,r) < \varepsilon_m$ for $0 < r \leq R_0$. Take

$j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $r_{j_0} \leq \frac{R_0}{2}$ and put $R = 2r_{j_0}$.

Then $R \leq R_0$ so

$$|(D_n)_{x, \frac{R}{2}}| < m \quad \& \quad E(x, R) < \varepsilon_m.$$

Consequently, $\Omega_n \supseteq \Omega \setminus (\Sigma_n^1 \cup \Sigma_n^2)$
 and the opposite inclusion is clear, so
 $\Omega_n = \Omega \setminus (\Sigma_n^1 \cup \Sigma_n^2)$. Similar for Σ_n^3, Σ_n^4 . \square