

# Hopf bifurcation cannot occur for the (RDB) system with equal diffusion coefficients

Definition of matrix of the linearised system

$$J = \{\{F_u, f_v, f_w\}, \{g_u, g_v, 0\}, \{h_u, 0, h_w\}\} /. F_u \rightarrow (f_u - h_u);$$

and of the matrix of diffusion coefficients

$$Ds = \{\{d_u, 0, 0\}, \{0, d_v, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0\}\};$$

Routh-Hurwitz conditions

```

coef0 = Coefficient[-Det[J - λ IdentityMatrix[3]], λ, 0];
coef1 = Coefficient[-Det[J - λ IdentityMatrix[3]], λ, 1];
coef2 = Coefficient[-Det[J - λ IdentityMatrix[3]], λ, 2];
coef3 = Coefficient[-Det[J - λ IdentityMatrix[3]], λ, 3];
RH = FullSimplify[
  coef0 > 0 && coef1 > 0 && coef2 > 0 && coef3 > 0 && coef2 coef1 > coef3 coef0]
f_v g_u h_w + g_v (-f_u h_w + h_u (f_w + h_w)) > 0 && g_v h_w + f_u (g_v + h_w) > f_v g_u + h_u (f_w + g_v + h_w) &&
h_u > f_u + g_v + h_w && f_w g_v h_u + (f_v g_u + g_v (-f_u + h_u)) h_w +
(f_u + g_v - h_u + h_w) (-f_v g_u - (f_w + g_v) h_u + (g_v - h_u) h_w + f_u (g_v + h_w)) < 0

```

Routh-Hurwitz conditions but in another format (commas instead of logical and &&)

```

RHcomma = Table[RH[[j]], {j, 1, Length[RH]}]
{f_v g_u h_w + g_v (-f_u h_w + h_u (f_w + h_w)) > 0, g_v h_w + f_u (g_v + h_w) > f_v g_u + h_u (f_w + g_v + h_w),
h_u > f_u + g_v + h_w, f_w g_v h_u + (f_v g_u + g_v (-f_u + h_u)) h_w +
(f_u + g_v - h_u + h_w) (-f_v g_u - (f_w + g_v) h_u + (g_v - h_u) h_w + f_u (g_v + h_w)) < 0}

```

dispersion relation, C(κ,p) and solution of C(κ,p)

```

dispRel = Det[ω IdentityMatrix[3] + κ Ds - J];
Ckp = Coefficient[dispRel, ω, 0];
κBif = κ /. Solve[Ckp == 0, κ]

{
$$\frac{1}{2 d_u d_v h_w} \left( -d_v f_w h_u + d_v f_u h_w + d_u g_v h_w - d_v h_u h_w - \sqrt{(-d_v f_w h_u + d_v f_u h_w + d_u g_v h_w - d_v h_u h_w)^2 + 4 d_u d_v h_w (f_w g_v h_u + f_v g_u h_w - f_u g_v h_w + g_v h_u h_w)} \right), \frac{1}{2 d_u d_v h_w} \left( -d_v f_w h_u + d_v f_u h_w + d_u g_v h_w - d_v h_u h_w + \sqrt{(-d_v f_w h_u + d_v f_u h_w + d_u g_v h_w - d_v h_u h_w)^2 + 4 d_u d_v h_w (f_w g_v h_u + f_v g_u h_w - f_u g_v h_w + g_v h_u h_w)} \right) \}$$

```

dispersion relation

```

polyλ = dispRel /. ω → λ
- (λ f_w + κ d_v f_w - f_w g_v) h_u + (-f_v g_u + (λ + κ d_v - g_v) (λ + κ d_u - f_u + h_u)) (λ - h_w)

```

A cubic polynomial with real coeffs has always at least one real root. If other root is complex, then its complex conjugate is the last root.

Let us denote  $λ_R$  the real root and the complex conjugate pair as  $μ ± i ν$

```

Expand[polyλ /. λ → (μ + i ν)] - Expand[polyλ /. λ → (μ - i ν)];
Eq4μνk = Collect[Simplify[% - i], {μ, k}]
3 μ² - ν² + κ² d_u d_v - κ d_v f_u - f_v g_u - κ d_u g_v + f_u g_v + κ d_v h_u - f_w h_u - g_v h_u +
μ (2 κ d_u + 2 κ d_v - 2 f_u - 2 g_v + 2 h_u - 2 h_w) - κ d_u h_w - κ d_v h_w + f_u h_w + g_v h_w - h_u h_w

```

By subtraction the degree of the polynomial polyλ was decreased, but now is dependent on the unknown imaginary part ν≠0.

Using Vieta's formulas we can obtain ν=ν(μ,κ).

```

ForVieta = Collect[Expand[(λ - λ_R) (λ - (μ + i ν)) (λ - (μ - i ν))], λ]
λ³ + λ² (-2 μ - λ_R) - μ² λ_R - ν² λ_R + λ (μ² + ν² + 2 μ λ_R)

vieta1 = Coefficient[polyλ, λ²] == Coefficient[ForVieta, λ²]
vieta2 = Coefficient[polyλ, λ¹] == Coefficient[ForVieta, λ¹]
vieta3 = Coefficient[polyλ, λ, 0] == Coefficient[ForVieta, λ, 0]
κ d_u + κ d_v - f_u - g_v + h_u - h_w == -2 μ - λ_R

κ² d_u d_v - κ d_v f_u - f_v g_u - κ d_u g_v + f_u g_v + κ d_v h_u -
f_w h_u - g_v h_u - κ d_u h_w - κ d_v h_w + f_u h_w + g_v h_w - h_u h_w == μ² + ν² + 2 μ λ_R

-κ d_v f_w h_u + f_w g_v h_u - κ² d_u d_v h_w + κ d_v f_u h_w +
f_v g_u h_w + κ d_u g_v h_w - f_u g_v h_w - κ d_v h_u h_w + g_v h_u h_w == -μ² λ_R - ν² λ_R

```

```

RealRoot = Solve[vieta1, λ_R][[1]]
{λ_R → -2 μ - κ d_u - κ d_v + f_u + g_v - h_u + h_w}

```

We can see that the real root has to be negative λ\_R<0 once a Hopf instability occurs as μ>0, κ=k²>0

```

ImagPart1stExpression = (Solve[vieta2 /. RealRoot /. ν → Sqrt[nu], nu] /. nu → ν²)[[1]]
{ν² → 3 μ² + 2 κ μ d_u + 2 κ μ d_v + κ² d_u d_v - 2 μ f_u - κ d_v f_u - f_v g_u - 2 μ g_v - κ d_u g_v + f_u g_v +
2 μ h_u + κ d_v h_u - f_w h_u - g_v h_u - 2 μ h_w - κ d_u h_w - κ d_v h_w + f_u h_w + g_v h_w - h_u h_w}

```

```

Simplify[Collect[Eq4μνk /. ImagPart1stExpression, μ]]
0

```

Thus this combination does not gain anything new, have to use another Vieta's relation

```

ImagPart2ndExpression = (Solve[vieta3 /. RealRoot /. ν → Sqrt[nu], nu] /. nu → ν²)[[1]]
{ν² → 1 / (2 μ + κ d_u + κ d_v - f_u - g_v + h_u - h_w)
(-2 μ³ - κ μ² d_u - κ μ² d_v + μ² f_u + μ² g_v - μ² h_u - κ d_v f_w h_u + f_w g_v h_u + μ² h_w -
κ² d_u d_v h_w + κ d_v f_u h_w + f_v g_u h_w + κ d_u g_v h_w - f_u g_v h_w - κ d_v h_u h_w + g_v h_u h_w) }

```

```

Eq4μk = Collect[Cancel[(Eq4μvk /. ImagPart2ndExpression)
  Denominator[v^2 /. ImagPart2ndExpression]] /. κ → k^2, {μ, k}, Simplify]

8 μ^3 + k^6 d_u d_v (d_u + d_v) + f_v g_u (g_v - h_u) -
f_u^2 (g_v + h_w) + f_u (f_v g_u - g_v^2 + f_w h_u + 2 g_v (h_u - h_w) + 2 h_u h_w - h_w^2) +
(h_u - h_w) (g_v^2 - h_u (f_w + h_w) + g_v (-h_u + h_w)) + μ^2 (8 k^2 (d_u + d_v) - 8 (f_u + g_v - h_u + h_w)) +
k^4 (-d_u^2 (g_v + h_w) - d_v^2 (f_u - h_u + h_w) - 2 d_u d_v (f_u + g_v - h_u + h_w)) +
k^2 (d_u (-f_v g_u + g_v^2 - f_w h_u - 2 g_v h_u + 2 g_v h_w - 2 h_u h_w + h_w^2 + 2 f_u (g_v + h_w)) + d_v
(f_u^2 - f_v g_u + (h_u - h_w) (-2 g_v + h_u - h_w) + 2 f_u (g_v - h_u + h_w))) + μ (2 k^4 (d_u^2 + 3 d_u d_v + d_v^2) +
2 (f_u^2 - f_v g_u + g_v^2 - f_w h_u - 3 g_v h_u + h_u^2 + 3 g_v h_w - 3 h_u h_w + h_w^2 + f_u (3 g_v - 2 h_u + 3 h_w)) -
2 k^2 (d_v (3 f_u + 2 g_v - 3 h_u + 3 h_w) + d_u (2 f_u + 3 g_v - 2 h_u + 3 h_w)))

```

Therefore we have a polynomial that implicitly defines  $\mu = \mu(k^2)$ . This polynomial is again cubic.

Consider equal diffusion coefficients. We can take advantage of the following observation: Hopf bifurcation occurs when  $\mu \in \text{Reals}$  crosses zero to positive values; from Vieta's relations we know that this happens when the zeroth term of polynomial Eq4μk vanishes, i.e. Eq4μk( $\mu=0$ )=0.

```

neccessaryCond = Collect[Coefficient[Eq4μk, μ, 0], k] /. d_u → d_v

2 k^6 d_v^3 + f_v g_u (g_v - h_u) - f_u^2 (g_v + h_w) + f_u (f_v g_u - g_v^2 + f_w h_u + 2 g_v (h_u - h_w) + 2 h_u h_w - h_w^2) +
(h_u - h_w) (g_v^2 - h_u (f_w + h_w) + g_v (-h_u + h_w)) +
k^4 (-d_v^2 (g_v + h_w) - d_v^2 (f_u - h_u + h_w) - 2 d_v^2 (f_u + g_v - h_u + h_w)) +
k^2 (d_v (-f_v g_u + g_v^2 - f_w h_u - 2 g_v h_u + 2 g_v h_w - 2 h_u h_w + h_w^2 + 2 f_u (g_v + h_w)) +
d_v (f_u^2 - f_v g_u + (h_u - h_w) (-2 g_v + h_u - h_w) + 2 f_u (g_v - h_u + h_w)))

```

## Binding self-inhibitor

wanted conditions for linearised system (binding self-inhibitor  $f_u < 0$ )

```

wantedConditions = {d_u == d_v, d_v > 0, d_u > 0, f_u < 0,
  g_v ∈ Reals, h_u > 0, h_w < 0, f_w > 0, f_w == -h_w, f_v ∈ Reals, g_u ∈ Reals}
{d_u == d_v, d_v > 0, d_u > 0, f_u < 0, g_v ∈ Reals,
  h_u > 0, h_w < 0, f_w > 0, f_w == -h_w, f_v ∈ Reals, g_u ∈ Reals}

```

Necessary condition is again a cubic polynomial in  $\kappa = k^2$ .

Notice, however, the signs of coefficients in this polynomial:

1. by  $\kappa^3 = k^6$  we have  $2 d_v^3 > 0$

```

Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^6]
Reduce[{Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^6] < 0} ∪ wantedConditions ∪ RHcomma]

```

$2 d_v^3$

False

2. Can the coefficient by  $\kappa^2 = k^4$  be negative?

```

Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^4]
Reduce[
{Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^4] < 0} \[Union] wantedConditions \[Union] RHcomma /. d_u \[Rule] d_v]
- d_v^2 (g_v + h_w) - d_v^2 (f_u - h_u + h_w) - 2 d_v^2 (f_u + g_v - h_u + h_w)
False

```

3. Can the coefficient by  $\kappa = K^2$  be negative?

```

Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^2]
Reduce[Reduce[wantedConditions \[Union] (RHcomma /. d_u \[Rule] d_v)] \[And]
Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^2] < 0]
d_v (-f_v g_u + g_v^2 - f_w h_u - 2 g_v h_u + 2 g_v h_w - 2 h_u h_w + h_w^2 + 2 f_u (g_v + h_w)) +
d_v (f_u^2 - f_v g_u + (h_u - h_w) (-2 g_v + h_u - h_w) + 2 f_u (g_v - h_u + h_w))
False

```

4. Can the coefficient by  $\kappa^0 = k^0$  be negative?

```

Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k, 0]
Reduce[{Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k, 0] < 0} \[Union] wantedConditions \[Union] RHcomma]
f_v g_u (g_v - h_u) - f_u^2 (g_v + h_w) + f_u (f_v g_u - g_v^2 + f_w h_u + 2 g_v (h_u - h_w) + 2 h_u h_w - h_w^2) +
(h_u - h_w) (g_v^2 - h_u (f_w + h_w) + g_v (-h_u + h_w))
False

```

Therefore, due to the Descarte's rule of signs, we cannot have a positive real root  $\kappa = K^2$  of the neccessaryCond.

## Binding self-activator

wanted conditions for linearised system (binding self-activator  $f_u > 0$ )

```

wantedConditions = {d_u == d_v, d_v > 0, d_u > 0, f_u > 0,
g_v \[Element] Reals, h_u > 0, h_w < 0, f_w > 0, f_w == -h_w, f_v \[Element] Reals, g_u \[Element] Reals}
{d_u == d_v, d_v > 0, d_u > 0, f_u > 0, g_v \[Element] Reals,
h_u > 0, h_w < 0, f_w > 0, f_w == -h_w, f_v \[Element] Reals, g_u \[Element] Reals}

```

Neccessary condition is again a cubic polynomial in  $\kappa = K^2$ .

Notice, however, the signs of coefficients in this polynomial:

1. by  $\kappa^3 = K^6$  we have  $2 d_v^3 > 0$

```

Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^6]
Reduce[{Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^6] < 0} \[Union] wantedConditions \[Union] RHcomma]
2 d_v^3
False

```

2. Can the coefficient by  $\kappa^2 = K^4$  be negative?

```

Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^4]
Reduce[
{Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^4] < 0} \[Union] wantedConditions \[Union] RHcomma /. d_u \[Rule] d_v]
- d_v^2 (g_v + h_w) - d_v^2 (f_u - h_u + h_w) - 2 d_v^2 (f_u + g_v - h_u + h_w)
False

```

3. Can the coefficient by  $\kappa = K^2$  be negative?

```

Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^2]
Reduce[Reduce[wantedConditions \[Union] (RHcomma /. d_u \[Rule] d_v)] \[And]
Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k^2] < 0]
d_v (-f_v g_u + g_v^2 - f_w h_u - 2 g_v h_u + 2 g_v h_w - 2 h_u h_w + h_w^2 + 2 f_u (g_v + h_w)) +
d_v (f_u^2 - f_v g_u + (h_u - h_w) (-2 g_v + h_u - h_w) + 2 f_u (g_v - h_u + h_w))

```

False

4. Can the coefficient by  $\kappa^0 = k^0$  be negative?

```

Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k, 0]
Reduce[{Coefficient[neccessaryCond, k, 0] < 0} \[Union] wantedConditions \[Union] RHcomma]
f_v g_u (g_v - h_u) - f_u^2 (g_v + h_w) + f_u (f_v g_u - g_v^2 + f_w h_u + 2 g_v (h_u - h_w) + 2 h_u h_w - h_w^2) +
(h_u - h_w) (g_v^2 - h_u (f_w + h_w) + g_v (-h_u + h_w))

```

False

Therefore, due to the Descarte's rule of signs, we cannot have a positive real root  $\kappa = K^2$  of the neccessaryCond.