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## S1 Estimation of the hydrodynamic radii of R, V, VR and RVR species

The hydrodynamic radii ( $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ values) of the VEGF dimer (V), Ranibizumab Fab (R), VR and RVR species were estimated by representing each species as a prolate ellipsoid and applying Perrin's formula (given below) [1]. The dimensions of the ellipsoid were estimated from Ferrara's structural representation of the RVR species [2], as follows.

Axial ratios of each species were first estimated by drawing lines corresponding to the apparent length and apparent width of each species and taking their ratio (width/length) as shown in Figure S1.

[^0]

Figure S1: RVR structure taken from N. Ferrara [2]. Coloured line segments superimposed on figure were drawn by eye to estimate the apparent lengths (longer segments) and widths (shorter segments) of the different species: V (black), R (blue), VR (red) and RVR (green) species. The axial ratio (dimensionless) was taken as the ratio of the width/length.

The molecular weights ( $M_{\mathrm{W}}$ ) of the VEGF dimer and Ranibizumab were taken as 44000 and 48000 daltons, respectively, from literature values $[3,4]$ and the values of the VR and RVR species calculated additively. The volume of each species was computed from the corresponding molecular weight assuming a protein density of $1.33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ [5] and was set equal to that of a prolate ellipsoid with semi-major axis a and semi-minor axis $b$, i.e. $V_{\text {prolate }}=(4 / 3) a b^{2}$. The values of $a$ and $b$ were computed from the volume and the previously estimated axial ratios (set equal to $b / a$ ).

Perrin's formula for prolate ellipsoids [1] was used to calculate $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ from the values of $a$ and $b$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{h}}=\frac{a \sqrt{1-\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}}}{\log \left[\left\{1+\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}}\right\} / \frac{b}{a}\right]} \tag{S.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ values and related parameters are given in Table S1.

|  | Units | $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | VR | RVR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Molecular Weight | Da | 44,000 | 48,000 | 92,000 | 140,000 |
| Volume* | $10^{4} \times \AA$ | 5.50 | 6.00 | 11.5 | 17.5 |
| Axial ratio $(b / a)$ | none | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.37 | 0.26 |
| Semi-major axis $(a)$ | $\AA$ | 30.5 | 29.7 | 58.1 | 85.4 |
| Semi-minor axis $(b)$ | $\AA$ | 20.7 | 22.0 | 21.7 | 22.1 |
| Hydrodynamic radius $\left(R_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ | nm | 2.39 | 2.45 | 3.29 | 4.07 |

Table S1: Hydrodynamic radii of each species and related parameters used to calculate them. *Assumes protein density of $1.33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

The estimated $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ for Ranibizumab ( 2.45 nm ) is in excellent agreement with the experimental value ( 2.5 nm ) for a Fab molecule determined from dynamic light scattering measurements by Shatz et al. [6]; while the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ estimated for the RVR species ( 4.07 nm ) is similar to the experimental value reported in [6] for an IgG molecule ( 4.9 nm ), which has a comparable $M_{\mathrm{W}}$.

## S2 Vitreous-aqueous clearance parameter

The 3-compartment PK model, for a general intravitreally injected antibody, denoted in the retina, vitreous and aqueous, by $c_{\mathrm{ret}}(t), c_{\mathrm{vit}}(t)$ and $c_{\mathrm{aq}}(t)$, respectively (with units of pM ), is given by the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} c_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =-\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}\right)\left[p_{\mathrm{ILM}}+p_{\mathrm{RPE}}\right] c_{\mathrm{ret}}+\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}\right) p_{\mathrm{ILM}} c_{\mathrm{vit}}  \tag{S.2}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} c_{\mathrm{vit}}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}\right) p_{\mathrm{ILM}} c_{\mathrm{ret}}-\left[\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}\right) p_{\mathrm{ILM}}+k_{\mathrm{el}}\right] c_{\mathrm{vit}}  \tag{S.3}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} c_{\mathrm{aq}}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\left(\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{V_{\mathrm{aq}}}\right) k_{\mathrm{el}} c_{\mathrm{vit}}-\left(\frac{C_{\mathrm{L}}}{V_{\mathrm{aq}}}\right) c_{\mathrm{aq}} \tag{S.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{\mathrm{ret}}(0)=c_{\mathrm{aq}}(0)=0$ and $c_{\mathrm{vit}}(0)=c_{0}$ representing the initial concentration corresponding to the intravitreal injection. All parameter definitions can be found in Table 3 in the main text. As this is a linear ODE system with constant coefficients, it is readily solved to give:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{\mathrm{ret}}(t)=\frac{c_{0} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}\right) K_{2} K_{1}\left[e^{-\lambda_{1} t}-e^{-\lambda_{2} t}\right],  \tag{S.5}\\
& c_{\mathrm{vit}}(t)=\frac{c_{0} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}\right)\left[K_{1} e^{-\lambda_{2} t}+K_{2} e^{-\lambda_{1} t}\right],  \tag{S.6}\\
& c_{\mathrm{aq}}(t)=\frac{c_{0} p_{\mathrm{ILM}} k_{\mathrm{el}}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{aq}}}\right)\left[\frac{K_{1}}{\frac{C_{\mathrm{L}}}{V_{\mathrm{aq}}}-\lambda_{2}}\left(e^{-\lambda_{2} t}-e^{-\left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{L}}}{V_{\mathrm{aq}}}\right) t}\right)+\frac{K_{2}}{\frac{C_{\mathrm{L}}}{V_{\mathrm{aq}}}-\lambda_{1}}\left(e^{-\lambda_{1} t}-e^{-\left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{L}}}{V_{\mathrm{aq}}}\right) t}\right)\right], \tag{S.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=1+\left(\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}}} \frac{k_{\mathrm{el}}-\lambda_{1}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}}, \quad K_{2}=-1+\left(\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}\right) \frac{\lambda_{2}-k_{\mathrm{el}}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}},\right. \tag{S.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $K_{1}, K_{2}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0$, and $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are the absolute distinct real eigenvalues of $M$, the matrix defined as follows

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}\left(p_{\mathrm{ILM}}+p_{\mathrm{RPE}}\right) & \frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}  \tag{S.9}\\
\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}} & -\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}+k_{\mathrm{el}}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

which are the solutions of the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}\left(p_{\mathrm{ILM}}+p_{\mathrm{RPE}}\right)-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}+k_{\mathrm{el}}-\lambda\right)-\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}^{2}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}} V_{\mathrm{vit}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{2}=0 \tag{S.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be rearranged to give $k_{\mathrm{el}}$, in terms of $\lambda_{1}$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{el}}=\lambda_{1}-\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}+\frac{\left(S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}\right)^{2}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}} S_{\mathrm{ret}}\left(p_{\mathrm{ILM}}+p_{\mathrm{RPE}}\right)-V_{\mathrm{vit}} V_{\mathrm{ret}} \lambda_{1}} \tag{S.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity in the main text we refer to $\lambda_{1}$ as $\lambda$.

## S3 Initial conditions

Initial conditions for VEGF can be derived from the steady state solution of Equations 9-20 in the main text, in the absence of ranibizumab, as follows:

$$
\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}\left(p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(\nu)}+p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(\nu)}\right) & -\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(\nu)} \\
\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(\nu)} & -\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(\nu)}+k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(\nu)}\right.
\end{array}\right) . \begin{array}{c}
v_{\mathrm{ret}}(0)  \tag{S.13}\\
v_{\mathrm{vit}}(0)
\end{array}\right)=\binom{\frac{V_{\text {in }}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}}{0}, ~\left(v_{\mathrm{aq}}(0)=\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{C_{\mathrm{L}}} k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(\nu)} v_{\mathrm{vit}} .\right.
$$

Therefore we find

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{\mathrm{ret}}(0)  \tag{S.14}\\
v_{\mathrm{vit}}(0) \\
v_{\mathrm{aq}}(0)
\end{array}\right)=E_{Q}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1+\frac{k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(\nu)}}{\frac{S}{\mathrm{ret}}^{V_{\mathrm{vit}}} p_{\mathrm{LLM}}^{(\nu)}} \\
1 \\
\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{C_{\mathrm{L}}} k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(\nu)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{Q}=\frac{1}{V_{\mathrm{vit}} k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(\nu)}}\left(\frac{V_{\mathrm{in}}}{\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(\nu)}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}} k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(\nu)}}+1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(\nu)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(\nu)}}}\right) \tag{S.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we may write $V_{\mathrm{in}}$ as a function of $v_{\mathrm{aq}}(0)$, as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\mathrm{aq}}(0)=E_{Q} \frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{C_{\mathrm{L}}} k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(\nu)}= & \frac{1}{C_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\frac{V_{\mathrm{in}}}{\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(\nu)}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}} k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(\nu)}}+1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(\nu)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(\nu)}}}\right)  \tag{S.16}\\
\Rightarrow \quad V_{\mathrm{in}} & =C_{\mathrm{L}}\left(1+\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(\nu)}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}} k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(\nu)}}+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(\nu)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(\nu)}}\right) v_{\mathrm{aq}}(0) \tag{S.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Additionally we note the analogue of this relationship derived from the 2-compartment equivalent of this model, presented in Hutton-Smith et al. 2016 [7]. Notice, as defined by Equations 8-15 of [7], the relationship between $V_{\text {in }}$ and $v_{\mathrm{aq}}(0)$ differs to Equation S .17 in the two compartment model, instead being described by Equation S. 18.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{in}}=C_{\mathrm{L}} v_{\mathrm{aq}}(0) \tag{S.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## S4 Individual patient plots

The following 31 figures show the individual plots for each patient in the retina and aqueous, the solid red lines represent the numerical solution for the 3 -compartment PK/PD model presented in the main text (for $K_{\mathrm{D}}=19,000$ ), whereas the dashed black line in the aqueous shows the corresponding 2-compartment PK/PD fit, originally presented in Hutton-Smith et al 2016 [7]. All fit parameters for a specific patient can be found in the figure legend.












Patient 4
Clinical da

- Clinical data
- Model I solution
$\begin{aligned} \text { RMSE } & =0.20 \mathrm{pM} \\ & =21000 \mathrm{pM}\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{KD}=21000 \mathrm{pM}$
$\mathrm{t} 12=9.99$ days
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { t12 } & =9.99 \text { days } \\ \mathrm{V}_{\text {in }} & =5.16 \text { fmol/day }\end{array}$
Model III solution
RMSE $=0.20 \mathrm{pM}$
RMSE $=0.20 \mathrm{pM}$
KD $=19000 \mathrm{pM}$
$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{KD} & =19000 \mathrm{pM} \\ \mathrm{t} 12 & =10.32 \text { days }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{t} 12 & =10.32 \text { days } \\ \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{in}} & =17.60 \text { fmol/day }\end{array}$
in $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=0.0227 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$
pILM ${ }^{\text {Pr }}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{da}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { PILM }_{r}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day} \\ \text { RRPE } & \text { (PlLM } \\ =1.39\end{array}$
PRPE,/PILM ${ }_{r}=1.39$
$\Delta t^{(10 \%)}=26.54$ days
Minimun Free VEGF
Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=1.0809 \mathrm{pM}$
Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=1.032 \mathrm{pM}$
Minimun approximation
Minimun approximation accuracy $=95.47 \%$
Asymptotic retinal solution
- Total VEGF (free \& bound)






|  | Patient 11 Clinical data |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Model I solution |
|  | RMSE $=0.24 \mathrm{pM}$ |
|  | KD $=21000 \mathrm{pM}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{t} 12=8.29$ days |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=5.77$ fmol/day |
| Model III solutionRMSE $=0.23 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
| RMSE $=0.23 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
|  | KD $=19000 \mathrm{pM}$ |
|  | t12 $=8.31$ days |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=17.98 \mathrm{fmol} / \mathrm{day}$ |
|  | PRPE ${ }_{\text {r }}=0.0227 \mathrm{~cm} /$ day |
|  | plLM ${ }_{\text {r }}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{pRPE}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{PILM} \mathrm{m}_{r}=1.39$ |
|  | $\Delta t^{(10 \%)}=21.56$ days |
| - Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=1.1285 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
|  | Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=1.0729 \mathrm{pM}$ |
|  | Minimun approximation accuracy $=95.08 \%$ |
|  | Asymptotic retinal solution |
|  | tal VEGF (free \& bound) |











Patient 39

- 39
Clinical data
- Model I solution
$\begin{aligned} \text { RMSE } & =0.09 \mathrm{pM} \\ \mathrm{KD} & =21000 \mathrm{p}\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{KD}=21000 \mathrm{pM}$
$\mathrm{t} 12=7.91$ days
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{t} 12 & =7.91 \text { days } \\ \mathrm{V}_{\text {in }} & =4.71 \text { fmolday }\end{array}$
Model IIII solution
RMSE $=0.09 \mathrm{pM}$
$\mathrm{KD} \quad=19000 \mathrm{pM}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{t} 12 & =7.85 \text { days } \\ V^{2} & =14.47 \text { fmold }\end{array}$
$V_{\text {in }}=14.47$ mol/day
DRPE
pILM $_{r}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$
$\mathrm{pRPE}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{pILM}_{\mathrm{r}}=1.39$
$\Delta t^{(10 \%)}=20.41$ days
- Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=0.91402 \mathrm{pM}$
Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=0.86788 \mathrm{pM}$

Minimun approximation accuracy $=94.95 \%$
Asymptotic retinal solution




Retina








Patient 47
Clinical data

- Clinical data

RMSE $=0.65 \mathrm{pM}$
$\mathrm{KD}=21000 \mathrm{pM}$
t 12
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=9.18$ fmol/day
Model III solution
RMSE $=0.64 \mathrm{pM}$
KD $=19000 \mathrm{pM}$
$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{KD} & =19000 \mathrm{pM} \\ \mathrm{t} 12 & =6.30 \text { days }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{t} 12 & =6.30 \mathrm{days} \\ \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{in}}=26.36 \text { fmol/day }\end{array}$
$\mathrm{pRPE}_{\mathrm{T}}=0.0227 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$
plLM ${ }_{r}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$
PRPE $_{r} /$ PILM $_{r}=1.39$
$\Delta \mathrm{t}^{(10 \%)}=16.46$ days
Minimun Free VEGF in
Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=1.7106 \mathrm{pM}$
Approx minimun free VEGF in
Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=1.6132 \mathrm{pM}$
Minimun approximation accuray $=941 \%$
Minimun approximation accuracy $=94.31 \%$
Total VEGF (free \& bound)

| Patient 53 Clinical data |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Model I solution |  |
| RMSE $=0.27 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{KD}=21000 \mathrm{pM}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{t}^{12}=7.50$ days |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=4.30 \mathrm{fmol}$ /day |
|  | Model III solution |
| RMSE $=0.26 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{KD}=19000 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{t}^{12}=7.51$ days |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=13.13$ fmol/day |  |
| pRPE ${ }_{\mathrm{r}}=0.0227 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
| plLM ${ }_{\text {r }}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
| PRPE/ $\mathrm{PLLM}_{\mathrm{r}}=1.39$ |  |
| $\Delta t^{(10 \%)}=19.56$ days |  |
| Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=0.83351 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
| Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=0.79051 \mathrm{p}$Minimun approximation accuracy $=94.84 \%$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



- Patient 55
- Clinical data
-     - Model I solution
RMSE $=0.21 \mathrm{pM}$
$\begin{aligned} \text { RMSE } & =0.21 \mathrm{pM} \\ \mathrm{KD} & =21000 \mathrm{pM}\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{t} 12=6.78$ days
$\begin{aligned} & =6.78 \text { days } \\ \mathrm{V}_{\text {in }} & =5.28 \text { fmolday }\end{aligned}$
Model III solution
RMSE $=0.20 \mathrm{pM}$
$\mathrm{KD}=19000 \mathrm{pM}$
$\begin{array}{ll}12 & =6.78 \text { days } \\ & =1572 \text { fol }\end{array}$
$\mathrm{in}^{\text {n }}=15.72$ fmolday
pRLE ${ }_{\text {r }}=0.02163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{dday}$
$\mathrm{PRPE}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{PLLM} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=1.39$
$\Delta t^{(10 \%)}=17.70$ days
Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=1.0108 \mathrm{pM}$ Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=0.95571 \mathrm{pM}$ Minimun approximation accuracy $=94.55 \%$ Asymptotic retinal solution








|  | Patient 70 <br> Clinical data |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Model I solution |  |
| RMSE $=0.18 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{KD}=21000 \mathrm{pM}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{t}^{12}=7.79$ days |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=3.36$ fmol/day |
| Model III solution |  |
| RMSE $=0.18 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{KD}=19000 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{t}^{12}=7.88$ days |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=10.38$ fmolday |  |
| pRPE ${ }_{\text {r }}=0.0227 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
| pILM ${ }_{\text {r }}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{pRPE}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{PILM} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{r}}=1.39$ |  |
|  | $\Delta t^{(10 \%)}=20.47$ days |
| Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=0.65541 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
| Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=0.62237 \mathrm{pM}$Minimun approximation accuracy $=94.96 \%$ |  |
|  |  |
| Asymptotic retinal solution |  |
|  | - Total VEGF (free \& bound) |



|  | Patient 72 <br> Clinical data |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Clinical data |  |
| RMSE $=0.19 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{KD}=21000 \mathrm{pM}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{t} 12=5.10$ days |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=5.86$ fmolday |
| Model III solution |  |
| RMSE $=0.18 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{KD}=19000 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
|  | t12 $=5.10$ days |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=16.48 \mathrm{fmol}$ day |  |
| pRPE ${ }_{\text {r }}=0.0227 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
| pILM ${ }_{\text {r }}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
| PRPE/PILM ${ }_{\text {r }}=1.39$ |  |
|  | $\Delta t^{(10 \%)}=13.32$ day |
| - Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=1.1017 \mathrm{pM}$ |  |
| Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=1.0297 \mathrm{pM}$Minimun approximation accuracy $=93.47 \%$ |  |
|  |  |
| Asymptotic retinal solution |  |
|  | - Total VEGF (free \& bound) |











Patient 86

- Clinical data
- Model I solution

RMSE $=0.04 \mathrm{pM}$
$\mathrm{KD}=21000 \mathrm{pM}$
$\mathrm{t} 12=13.07$ days
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=4.91$ fmol $/$ day
Model III solution
RMSE $=0.04 \mathrm{pM}$
RMSE $=0.04 \mathrm{pM}$
$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{KD} & =19000 \mathrm{pM} \\ \mathrm{t} 12 & =1301 \text { days }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{t} 12 & =13.21 \text { days } \\ V_{\text {in }} & =19.03 \text { fmol/day }\end{aligned}$
in $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=0.0227 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$
$\begin{aligned} \text { PRPE }_{\mathrm{r}} & =0.0227 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day} \\ \text { pILM } & =0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}\end{aligned}$
PILM $_{r}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$
PRPE//PLLM ${ }^{2}=1.39$
$\Delta t^{(10 \%)}=33.52$ days
Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=1.1446 \mathrm{pM}$
Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=1.096 \mathrm{pM}$
Minimun approximation accuracy $=95.75 \%$
Asymptotic retinal solution

- Total VEGF (free \& bound)



Patient 102

-- Model I solution
RMSE $=0.10$ pM
$\begin{aligned} \text { RMSE } & =0.10 \mathrm{pM} \\ \mathrm{KD} \quad & =21000 \mathrm{pM}\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{t} 12=7.61$ days
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=5.22$ fmol/day
Model III solution
$\mathrm{KD}=19000 \mathrm{pM}$
$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{t} 12 & =7.62 \text { days } \\ \mathrm{V}_{\text {. }} & =15.98 \text { fyol/day }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} & V_{\text {in }}=15.98 \mathrm{fmol} / \mathrm{day} \\ & \text { DRPE }\end{aligned}=0.0227 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{day}$
plLM ${ }^{\prime}=0.0163 \mathrm{~cm} /$ day
RPPE $_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{PILM} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=1.39$
$\Delta \mathrm{t}^{(10 \%)}=19.84$ days
Minimun Free VEGF in retina $=1.013 \mathrm{pM}$ Approx minimun free VEGF in retina $=0.96107 \mathrm{pM}$ Minimun approximation accuracy $=94.88 \%$ Asymploic rina solution

In order to illustrate the dynamics of total VEGF in the retina and aqueous humour, we present Figure S 2 for patient 40, identical to the above figures, with the addition of VR and RVR concentration profiles. As drug permeates into the retina and binds VEGF the elimination of total VEGF will decrease due to the smaller permeability of the VEGF-drug complexes. This leads to a transient increase in the total VEGF concentration in the retina, given the constant production of VEGF. In the aqueous humour, which reflects the vitreous, the initial rate of VEGF influx will decrease correspondingly and the total concentration will show a transient fall.


Figure S2: Patient 40, as in the above plots, with the addition of VEGF-ranibizumab (VR) and ranibizumab-VEGF-ranibizumab (RVR), profiles.

## S5 Relationship between $t_{1 / 2}^{(r)}$ AND $K_{\mathrm{D}}$

The distribution of predicted $t_{1 / 2}^{(r)}$ values across patients, for values of $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ in the range $50-50,000 \mathrm{pM}$, can be seen in Figure S3 of the main text. For a value of $K_{\mathrm{D}}$, the mean predicted value of $t_{1 / 2}^{(r)}$ is shown by the solid blue line, while the solid grey region denotes $\pm$ SD from the mean. As in Hutton-Smith et. al [7] we used the mean experimental value of $t_{1 / 2}^{(r)}, 7.9( \pm 1.74)$ days, shown respectively by the solid red and dashed lines, to estimate the in vivo value for $K_{\mathrm{D}}$, giving $19,000 \mathrm{pM}(16,000-25,000 \mathrm{pM})$, as is shown graphically in Figure S3. The dashed blue line denotes the mean $t_{1 / 2}^{(r)}$, over patients, resulting the analysis performed in [7], using an analogous two-compartment PK/PD model to analyse the Saunders et. al. dataset [8], which predicted an in vivo value for $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ of $21,000 \mathrm{pM}(18,000-27,000 \mathrm{pM})$.


Figure S3: Distribution of predicted $t_{1 / 2}^{(r)}$ values, generated over the prescribed range of $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ values (50-50,000 $\mathrm{pM})$ for all 31 patients. The mean patient predicted $t_{1 / 2}^{(r)}$ value is shown by the solid blue line, with the grey region denoting $\pm$ SD. The solid red line shows the experimental mean value of $t_{1 / 2}^{(r)}, 7.9$ days, with the dashed red line showing $\pm$ SD, 1.74 days. The analogous two-compartment mean for $t_{1 / 2}^{(r)}$, with respect to $K_{\mathrm{D}}$, is shown by the dashed blue line, taken from [7].

## S6 Asymptotic analysis

S6.1 NON-DIMENSIONALISATION
Let

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
v_{\text {ret }}(0)=v_{0}, & r_{\text {ret }}(0)=0, & c_{\mathrm{ret}}(0)=0, \\
v_{\mathrm{vit}}(0)=u_{0}, & r_{\mathrm{vit}}(0)=r_{0}, & c_{\mathrm{vit}}(0)=0, \tag{S.20}
\end{array} h_{\mathrm{vit}}(0)=0 .
$$

Now let us non-dimensionalise the vitreous and retinal system equations, using the following non-dimensionalisation

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
v_{\mathrm{ret}}=v_{0} x_{\mathrm{ret}}, & r_{\mathrm{ret}}=r_{0} y_{\mathrm{ret}}, & c_{\mathrm{ret}}=v_{0} z_{\mathrm{ret}}, & h_{\mathrm{ret}}=v_{0} w_{\mathrm{ret}} \\
v_{\mathrm{vit}}=v_{0} x_{\mathrm{vit}}, & r_{\mathrm{vit}}=r_{0} y_{\mathrm{vit}}, & c_{\mathrm{vit}}=v_{0} z_{\mathrm{vit}}, & h_{\mathrm{vit}}=v_{0} w_{\mathrm{vit}} \tag{S.22}
\end{array}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
x_{\text {ret }}(0)=1, & y_{\text {ret }}(0)=0, & z_{\text {ret }}(0)=0, & w_{\text {ret }}(0)=0, \\
x_{\text {vit }}(0)=u_{0} / v_{0}, & y_{\text {vit }}(0)=1, & z_{\text {vit }}(0)=0, & w_{\text {vit }}(0)=0, \tag{S.24}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{off}}} \tau \tag{S.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

Retina

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(z_{\mathrm{ret}}-2 \frac{r_{0}}{K_{D}} x_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+Q^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{vit}}-E^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{ret}}+V  \tag{S.26}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(\frac{v_{0}}{r_{0}} z_{\mathrm{ret}}-2 \frac{\nu_{0}}{K_{D}} x_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\left(2 \frac{v_{0}}{r_{0}} w_{\mathrm{ret}}-\frac{\nu_{0}}{K_{D}} y_{\mathrm{ret}} z_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+Q^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{vit}}-E^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{ret}},  \tag{S.27}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} z_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-\left(z_{\mathrm{ret}}-2 \frac{r_{0}}{K_{D}} x_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\left(2 w_{\mathrm{ret}}-\frac{r_{0}}{K_{D}} y_{\mathrm{ret}} z_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+Q^{(c)} z_{\mathrm{vit}}-E^{(c)} z_{\mathrm{ret}},  \tag{S.28}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-\left(2 w_{\mathrm{ret}}-\frac{r_{0}}{K_{D}} y_{\mathrm{ret}} z_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+Q^{(h)} w_{\mathrm{vit}}-E^{(h)} w_{\mathrm{ret}}, \tag{S.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Vitreous

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{\mathrm{vit}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(z_{\mathrm{vit}}-2 \frac{r_{0}}{K_{D}} x_{\mathrm{vit}} y_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+T^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{ret}}-K^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{vit}}  \tag{S.30}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{vit}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(\frac{v_{0}}{r_{0}} z_{\mathrm{vit}}-2 \frac{v_{0}}{K_{D}} x_{\mathrm{vit}} y_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+\left(2 \frac{v_{0}}{r_{0}} w_{\mathrm{vit}}-\frac{\nu_{0}}{K_{D}} y_{\mathrm{vit}} z_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+T^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{ret}}-K^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{vit}},  \tag{S.31}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} z_{\mathrm{vit}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-\left(z_{\mathrm{vit}}-2 \frac{r_{0}}{K_{D}} x_{\mathrm{vit}} y_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+\left(2 w_{\mathrm{vit}}-\frac{r_{0}}{K_{D}} y_{\mathrm{vit}} z_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+T^{(c)} z_{\mathrm{ret}}-K^{(c)} z_{\mathrm{vit}},  \tag{S.32}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{vit}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-\left(2 w_{\mathrm{vit}}-\frac{r_{0}}{K_{D}} y_{\mathrm{vit}} z_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+T^{(h)} w_{\mathrm{ret}}-K^{(h)} w_{\mathrm{vit}} \tag{S.33}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q^{(i)}=\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{off}}} \frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(i)}, \quad E^{(i)}=\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{off}}} \frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}} p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(i)}+Q^{(i)} \quad T^{(i)}=\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{off}}} \frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(i)},  \tag{S.34}\\
K^{(i)}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(i)}}{k_{\mathrm{off}}}+T^{(i)}, \quad H^{(i)}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(i)} V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{k_{\mathrm{off}} V_{\mathrm{aq}}}, \quad V=\frac{V_{\mathrm{in}}}{v_{0} k_{\mathrm{off}} V_{\mathrm{ret}}} . \tag{S.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{v_{0}}{r_{0}}, \quad \delta=\frac{v_{0}}{K_{D}} \tag{S.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \ll \delta \ll 1, \tag{S.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and all other parameters are $O(1)$, as can be calculated from their values as given in Table 2 of the main text and individual parameters listed in Section S4. Note this also implies that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon:=\frac{\omega}{\delta}=\frac{K_{D}}{r_{0}} \ll 1 . \tag{S.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore our system of equations becomes:

## Retina

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(\varepsilon z_{\mathrm{ret}}-2 x_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\varepsilon Q^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{rit}}-\varepsilon E^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{ret}}+\varepsilon V  \tag{S.40}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\delta\left[\left(\varepsilon z_{\mathrm{ret}}-2 x_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\left(2 \varepsilon w_{\mathrm{ret}}-y_{\mathrm{ret}} z_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)\right]+Q^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{rit}}-E^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{ret}},  \tag{S.41}\\
& \varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d} z_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-\left(\varepsilon z_{\mathrm{ret}}-2 x_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\left(2 \varepsilon w_{\mathrm{ret}}-y_{\mathrm{ret}} z_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\varepsilon Q^{(c)} z_{\mathrm{rit}}-\varepsilon E^{(c)} z_{\mathrm{ret}},  \tag{S.42}\\
& \varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-\left(2 \varepsilon w_{\mathrm{ret}}-y_{\mathrm{ret}} z_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\varepsilon Q^{(h)} w_{\mathrm{rit}}-\varepsilon E^{(h)} w_{\mathrm{ret}} \tag{S.43}
\end{align*}
$$

## Vitreous

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{\mathrm{vit}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} & =\left(\varepsilon z_{\mathrm{vit}}-2 x_{\mathrm{vit}} y_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+\varepsilon T^{(v)} x_{\mathrm{ret}}-\varepsilon K^{(v)} x_{\mathrm{vit}},  \tag{S.44}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{vit}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} & =\delta\left[\left(\varepsilon z_{\mathrm{vit}}-2 x_{\mathrm{vit}} y_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+\left(2 \varepsilon w_{\mathrm{vit}}-y_{\mathrm{vit}} z_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)\right]+T^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{ret}}-K^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{vit}},  \tag{S.45}\\
\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d} z_{\mathrm{vit}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} & =-\left(\varepsilon z_{\mathrm{vit}}-2 x_{\mathrm{vit}} y_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+\left(2 \varepsilon w_{\mathrm{vit}}-y_{\mathrm{vit}} z_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+\varepsilon T^{(c)} z_{\mathrm{ret}}-\varepsilon K^{(c)} z_{\mathrm{vit}},  \tag{S.46}\\
\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{vit}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} & =-\left(2 \varepsilon w_{\mathrm{vit}}-y_{\mathrm{vit}} z_{\mathrm{vit}}\right)+\varepsilon T^{(h)} w_{\mathrm{ret}}-\varepsilon K^{(h)} w_{\mathrm{vit}}, \tag{S.47}
\end{align*}
$$

## S6.2 Asymptotic solution in y

Notice, by taking the following

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{\mathrm{ret}}=y_{\mathrm{ret}}^{(0)}+\delta y_{\mathrm{ret}}^{(1)}+O\left(\delta^{2}\right),  \tag{S.48}\\
& y_{\mathrm{vit}}=y_{\mathrm{vit}}^{(0)}+\delta y_{\mathrm{vit}}^{(1)}+O\left(\delta^{2}\right),  \tag{S.49}\\
& y_{\mathrm{aq}}=y_{\mathrm{aq}}^{(0)}+\delta y_{\mathrm{aq}}^{(1)}+O\left(\delta^{2}\right), \tag{S.50}
\end{align*}
$$

that, to $O(1)$, we have the system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} y_{\mathrm{ret}}^{(0)}}{\mathrm{d} \tau}=-E^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{ret}}^{(0)}+Q^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{vit}}^{(0)},  \tag{S.51}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} y_{\mathrm{vit}}^{(0)}}{\mathrm{d} \tau}=T^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{ret}}^{(0)}-K^{(r)} y_{\mathrm{vit}}^{(0)}, \tag{S.52}
\end{align*}
$$

giving the following solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{\mathrm{ret}}^{(0)}(\tau)=\frac{K_{1} K_{2}}{K_{1}+K_{2}}\left[e^{-\bar{\lambda}_{1} \tau}-e^{-\overline{\lambda_{2}} \tau}\right],  \tag{S.53}\\
& y_{\mathrm{vit}}^{(0)}(\tau)=\frac{1}{K_{1}+K_{2}}\left[K_{2} e^{\left.-\overline{\lambda_{1} \tau}+K_{1} e^{-\overline{\lambda_{2} \tau}}\right],}\right. \tag{S.54}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\lambda_{1}} \simeq K^{(r)}-\frac{Q^{(r)} T^{(r)}}{E^{(r)}-K^{(r)}}, \quad \overline{\lambda_{2}} \simeq E^{(r)}+\frac{Q^{(r)} T^{(r)}}{E^{(r)}-K^{(r)}},  \tag{S.55}\\
K_{1}=\frac{K^{(r)}-\overline{\lambda_{1}}}{T^{(r)}}=\frac{Q^{(r)}}{E^{(r)}-\lambda_{1}},  \tag{S.56}\\
K_{2}=\frac{\overline{\lambda_{2}}-K^{(r)}}{T^{(r)}}=\frac{Q^{(r)}}{\overline{\lambda_{2}}-E^{(r)}} . \tag{S.57}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also noting:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1} \simeq \frac{Q^{(r)}}{E^{(r)}-K^{(r)}}, \quad K_{2} \simeq \frac{E^{(r)}-K^{(r)}}{T^{(r)}} \Rightarrow K_{1} K_{2} \simeq \frac{Q^{(r)}}{T^{(r)}}=\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}, \tag{S.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

we may express the non-dimensional model decay rates via the following approximations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\overline{\lambda_{1}}=\frac{1}{k_{\text {off }}} \lambda_{1} \simeq \frac{1}{k_{\text {off }}}\left[k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(r)}+\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\text {vit }}}\right) p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}-\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}{V_{\text {vit }}}\right) \frac{1}{\left[\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPF}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)-\frac{V_{\text {ret }}}{V_{\text {vit }}}\left(1+\frac{V_{\text {vit }} k_{\mathrm{e}}}{S_{\text {ret }}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{r(r)}\right.\right.}\right)\right]  \tag{S.59}\\
& \overline{\lambda_{2}}=\frac{1}{k_{\text {off }}} \lambda_{2} \simeq \frac{1}{k_{\text {off }}}\left[\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{IM}}^{(r)}}{V_{\text {ret }}}\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)\right] . \tag{S.60}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the ratio

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\overline{\lambda_{1}}}{\overline{\lambda_{2}}}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}} \simeq \frac{k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(r)}+\left(\frac{S_{\text {ret }}}{V_{\text {vit }}}\right) p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}-\left(\frac{S_{\text {ret }} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}{V_{\text {vit }}}\right) \frac{1}{\left[\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{PPE}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)-\frac{V_{\text {ret }}}{V_{\text {vit }}}\left(1+\frac{V_{\text {vit }} k_{\text {el }}}{S_{\text {ret }} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)\right]}}{\frac{S_{\text {ret }} p_{\mathrm{LM}}^{(r)}}{V_{\text {ret }}}\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)} \tag{S.61}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\underbrace{\frac{V_{\mathrm{ret}} / V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}}}_{(1)}\{1+\underbrace{\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}} k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(r)}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}-\underbrace{\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)-\frac{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}\left(1+\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}} k_{\mathrm{el}}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)}}_{(3)}\} . . . . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~}_{(2)} \tag{S.64}
\end{align*}
$$

The typical magnitudes of (1),(2) and (3) are $10^{-2}, 10^{0}$ and $10^{-1}$, respectively, hence the ratio $\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2} \sim O\left(10^{-2}\right)$. We also note this implies that $\lambda_{1} \ll \lambda_{2}$.

Therefore in summary

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1} \simeq k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(r)}+\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}\right) p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}-\left(\frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}\right) \frac{1}{\left[\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)-\frac{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}\left(1+\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}} k_{\mathrm{el}}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)\right]},  \tag{S.65}\\
& \lambda_{2} \simeq \frac{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}^{(r)}}\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right),  \tag{S.66}\\
& \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}} \simeq \frac{V_{\mathrm{ret}} / V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}}\left\{1+\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}} k_{\mathrm{el}}^{(r)}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}-\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(r)}}{p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)-\frac{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}\left(1+\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}} k_{\mathrm{el}}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right)}\right\} \tag{S.67}
\end{align*}
$$

## S6.3 InITIAL ASYMPTOTIC SOLUTION FOR $x_{\text {RET }}$

Let us consider the governing equation for $x_{\text {ret }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(\varepsilon z_{\mathrm{ret}}-2 x_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\varepsilon Q^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{vit}}-\varepsilon E^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{ret}}+\varepsilon V \tag{S.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

At early time we expect to see $x_{\text {ret }}$ and $z_{\text {ret }}$ to be small relative to $y_{\text {ret }}$, due to the large influx of drug into the retina. Any VEGF ( $x_{\text {ret }}$ ) will be bound, and subsequently the VEGF-ranibizumab ( $z_{\text {ret }}$ ) complex will then be bound again to form an RVR complex. As VEGF is produced within the retina we also expect $x_{\text {vit }} \ll x_{\text {ret }}$. Therefore if we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mathrm{ret}}=\delta_{1} X_{\mathrm{ret}}, \quad z_{\mathrm{ret}}=\delta_{2} Z_{\mathrm{ret}}, \quad x_{\mathrm{vit}}=\delta_{3} X_{\mathrm{vit}} \tag{S.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \ll 1, \quad \delta_{3} \ll \delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \tag{S.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, upon substitution into Equation S.68, we may write the following

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon \delta_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} X_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(\varepsilon \delta_{2} Z_{\mathrm{ret}}-2 \delta_{1} X_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\varepsilon \delta_{3} Q^{(\nu)} X_{\mathrm{rit}}-\varepsilon \delta_{1} E^{(\nu)} X_{\mathrm{ret}}+\varepsilon V,  \tag{S.71}\\
& \Rightarrow \quad \varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d} X_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon \delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}} Z_{\mathrm{ret}}-2 X_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon \delta_{3}}{\delta_{1}} Q^{(\nu)} X_{\mathrm{vit}}-\varepsilon E^{(\nu)} X_{\mathrm{ret}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{1}} V  \tag{S.72}\\
& \Rightarrow \underbrace{2 X_{\mathrm{ret}} y_{\mathrm{ret}}}_{(1)}+\underbrace{\varepsilon\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} X_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}+E^{(\nu)} X_{\mathrm{ret}}\right)}_{(2)}=\underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon \delta_{3}}{\delta_{1}} Q^{(\nu)} X_{\mathrm{rit}}}_{(3)}+\underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{1}} V}_{(4)}+\underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon \delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}} Z_{\mathrm{ret}}}_{(5)} . \tag{S.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we note the magnitude of the terms in Equation S.73:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
(1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & \text { (5) } \\
\sim O(1) & \sim O(\varepsilon) & \sim O\left(\frac{\varepsilon \delta_{3}}{\delta_{1}}\right) & \sim O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{1}}\right) & \sim O\left(\frac{\varepsilon \delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}}\right),
\end{array}
$$

As $\delta_{3} \ll \delta_{1}$ term (3) is sub-dominant to (1), (2), (4) and (5), and as $\delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{1}$ are both $\ll 1$ we can take (5) < (4) (due to the additional factor of $\delta_{2}$ in (5)). This leaves us with the dominant balance (1) ~ (4), (2), (3), (5) < (1), (4), giving us $\delta_{1}=\varepsilon$. Therefore taking the following expansion: Therefore taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mathrm{ret}}=X_{\mathrm{ret}}^{(0)}+\varepsilon X_{\mathrm{ret}}^{(1)}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{S.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find to $O(1)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{\text {ret }}^{(0)} & =\frac{V}{2 y_{\text {ret }}}  \tag{S.76}\\
\Rightarrow \quad v_{\text {ret }}(t) & =\frac{\varepsilon v_{0} V}{2 y_{\text {ret }}(t)}  \tag{S.77}\\
& =\frac{\varepsilon v_{0} V}{2}\left(\frac{K_{1}+K_{2}}{K_{1} K_{2}}\right)\left[\frac{1}{e^{-\lambda_{1} t}-e^{-\lambda_{2} t}}\right] \tag{S.78}
\end{align*}
$$

noting the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}+K_{2}=\frac{\overline{\lambda_{2}}-\overline{\lambda_{2}}}{T^{(r)}}=\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right), \quad K_{1} K_{2} \simeq \frac{Q^{(r)}}{T^{(r)}}=\frac{V_{\mathrm{vit}}}{V_{\mathrm{ret}}}, \quad \lambda_{1} \ll \lambda_{2} \tag{S.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow \quad\left(\frac{K_{1}+K_{2}}{K_{1} K_{2}}\right) \simeq \frac{V_{\text {ret }}}{S_{\text {ret }} p_{\text {ILM }}^{(r)}}\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \tag{S.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also noting

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{V_{\mathrm{in}}}{v_{0} k_{\mathrm{off}} V_{\mathrm{ret}}}, \quad \varepsilon=\frac{K_{D}}{r_{0}}, \tag{S.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varepsilon v_{0} V}{2} & =\frac{K_{D} v_{0} V_{\text {in }}}{2 r_{0} v_{0} k_{\text {off }} V_{\text {ret }}}  \tag{S.83}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{\text {in }}}{r_{0} k_{\text {on }} V_{\text {ret }}}, \tag{S.84}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we find an intermediate $\sim O(1)$ asymptotic solution for $v_{\text {ret }}(t)$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\text {ret }}(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{V_{\text {in }}}{r_{0} k_{\text {on }}}\right\}\left[\frac{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) / S_{\text {ret }} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}{e^{-\lambda_{1} t}-e^{-\lambda_{2} t}}\right]  \tag{S.85}\\
& \simeq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{V_{\text {in }}}{r_{0} k_{\text {on }}}\right\}\left[\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{S_{\text {ret }} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right] e^{\lambda_{1} t} \tag{S.86}
\end{align*}
$$

## S6.4 Maximum suppression of VEGF in the retina

Therefore our approximation of $\nu_{\text {ret }}$ is minimised when $y_{\text {ret }}$ is maximised, which occurs at $t_{\text {min }}$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda_{1} e^{-\lambda_{1} t_{\min }}+\lambda_{2} e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{\min }}=0, \tag{S.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving $t_{\text {min }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\min }=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}} \log \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \tag{S.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice, from these results we can see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\lambda_{1} t_{\min }}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right) e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{\min } \Rightarrow e^{-\lambda_{1} t_{\min }}-e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{\min }}}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right) e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{\min }}-e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{\min }}  \tag{S.89}\\
&=\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right) e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{\min }}, \tag{S.90}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\Rightarrow \quad v_{\text {ret }}\left(t_{\text {min }}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{\text {in }}}{r_{0} k_{\text {on }} S_{\text {ret }} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\left[\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{e^{-\lambda_{1} t_{\min }}-e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{\text {min }}}}\right]  \tag{S.99}\\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{\text {in }}}{r_{0} S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)} k_{\mathrm{on}}}\right) \lambda_{1} e^{\lambda_{2} t_{\text {min }}} . \tag{S.93}
\end{align*}
$$

Note now that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\lambda_{2} t_{\min }}=e^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}} \log \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}}=\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2}}} . \tag{S.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, letting $\alpha=\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2} \ll 1$, then if we take:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x:=\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \tag{S.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
x & =\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} & \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} & \\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha} \exp \left\{\left(-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) \log \alpha\right\} & \\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[1-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \alpha+O\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2(1-\alpha)^{2}} \log ^{2} \alpha\right)\right] & \\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \alpha+O\left(\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)^{2}} \log ^{2} \alpha\right) & \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow 0 \\
& \simeq \frac{1}{\alpha}-\log \alpha+O\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \log ^{2} \alpha\right) & \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow 0 . \tag{S.101}
\end{array}
$$

Notice that the error term tends to zero as $\alpha \backslash 0$, by setting $\alpha=\beta^{2}$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \log ^{2} \alpha\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0}\left(\beta^{2} \log ^{2} \beta^{2}\right)  \tag{S.102}\\
& =2 \lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0}(\beta \log \beta)^{2}  \tag{S.103}\\
& =0 \tag{S.104}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, using this result we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{\lambda_{2} t_{\text {min }}}=\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2}}} \simeq \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}-\log \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)+O\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2 \lambda_{2}} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right)  \tag{S.105}\\
& \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_{1} e^{\lambda_{2} t_{\min }} \simeq \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1} \log \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)+O\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{2 \lambda_{2}} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right) \tag{S.106}
\end{align*}
$$

where we note that the error is $O\left(10^{-2}\right)$. Therefore, recalling the approximations for $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\text {ret }}\left(t_{\text {min }}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{\text {in }}}{r_{0} S_{\text {ret }} p_{\text {ILM }}^{(r)} k_{\text {on }}}\right) \lambda_{1} e^{\lambda_{2} t_{\text {min }}} \tag{S.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{\text {ret }}\left(t_{\text {min }}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{V_{\text {in }}}{r_{0} k_{\text {on }}}\right\}\left[\frac{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1} \log \left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)+O\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{2 \lambda_{2}} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)\right)}{S_{\text {ret }} p_{\text {ILM }}^{(r)}}\right] . \tag{S.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure S4 shows the early time free VEGF concentration profile, the numerical solution is shown in solid red, the full two exponential asymptotic solution is shown in dashed red, and the single exponential asymptotic simplification in dotted red.


Figure S4: Initial free VEGF concentration in the retina, the dashed box in the left-hand panel is the region shown in the right-hand panel. The solid, dashed and dotted red lines denote the numerical, asymptotic (Equation S.85) and partial asymptotic (Equation S.86) solutions, respectively, for patient 40 at $K_{\mathrm{D}}=19,000 \mathrm{pM}$ and $t_{12}^{(r)}=7.9$ days. The solid red dot shows the minimum free VEGF concentration, the red circle shows the approximate form of the minimum free VEGF, presented in Equation S.108, the accuracy of which is given in the figure legend.

We also note that Equation S. 108 may be approximated by the following

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\mathrm{ret}}\left(t_{\mathrm{min}}\right) & \simeq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{V_{\mathrm{in}}}{r_{0} k_{\mathrm{on}}}\right\}\left[\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1} \log \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)}{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right]  \tag{S.109}\\
& \simeq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{V_{\mathrm{in}}}{r_{0} k_{\mathrm{on}}}\right\}\left[\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right] \tag{S.110}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore Equation S. 86 may be written in terms of $\nu_{\text {ret }}\left(t_{\mathrm{min}}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\mathrm{ret}}(t) & \simeq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{V_{\mathrm{in}}}{r_{0} k_{\mathrm{on}}}\right\}\left[\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}} p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(r)}}\right] e^{\lambda_{1} t}  \tag{S.111}\\
& \simeq v_{\mathrm{ret}}\left(t_{\mathrm{min}}\right) e^{\lambda_{1} t} . \tag{S.112}
\end{align*}
$$

## S6.5 DRUG RETINAL IMPERMEABILITY CASE STUDY

Suppose that the retina is impermeable to ranibizumab and all VEGF-ranibizumab complexes. Then, this would cause the non-dimensional equation for retinal free VEGF to reduce to the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{\mathrm{ret}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=Q^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{vit}}-E^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{ret}}+V \tag{S.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that the system is in quasi steady-state, we may write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mathrm{ret}}=\frac{1}{E^{(\nu)}}\left(Q^{(\nu)} x_{\mathrm{vit}}+V\right) \tag{S.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, as we expect $x_{\text {vit }}$ to be very small post IVT injection, we may approximate $x_{\text {ret }}$ to be (initially) equal to a constant, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{\mathrm{ret}} & \simeq \frac{V}{E^{(\nu)}}  \tag{S.115}\\
\Rightarrow \quad v_{\mathrm{ret}} & \simeq \frac{V_{\mathrm{in}}}{S_{\mathrm{ret}}\left(p_{\mathrm{ILM}}^{(\nu)}+p_{\mathrm{RPE}}^{(\nu)}\right)} . \tag{S.116}
\end{align*}
$$

This approximation is shown, alongside the exact solution, in Figure S5. As can be seen from Figure S 5 this approximation gives a very accurate value for initial reduction in free VEGF concentration.


Figure S5: Dimensional solution for retinal free VEGF in the absence of drug (or drug-VEGF complex) retinal penetration
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