Infinite-Bin Model and the Longest Increasing Path in an Erdős-Rényi random graph

#### Bastien Mallein Joint work with Sanjay Ramassamy (IHP and CNRS)

Université Sorbonne Paris Nord

Oxford Discrete Mathematics and Probability Seminar

# Cooking recipe

### Apple pie

Preheat the oven.

Prepare a dough.

Flatten it and place it in a plate.

Peal 4 apples.

Cut them into thin slices.

Put the slices over the pie crust.

Put the apple pie in the oven.

#### Problem

Compute the time necessary for the apple pie to be made depending on the number of cooks.

# Cooking recipe

### Apple pie

Preheat the oven.

Prepare a dough.

Flatten it and place it in a plate.

- Peal 4 apples.
- Out them into thin slices.

Put the slices over the pie crust.

Put the apple pie in the oven.

### Problem

Compute the time necessary for the apple pie to be made depending on the number of cooks.

with a single cook

Analania			
Apple ple		Step	Alice
<ol> <li>Preheat the oven.</li> </ol>		1	1
Prepare a dough.		2	2
I Flatten it and place it in a plate.		3	3
Peal 4 apples.		4	4
6 Cut them into thin slices.		5	5
6 Put the slices over the pie crust.		6	6
Put the apple pie in the oven.	l l	7	7

The recipe takes an amount of time equal to its number of steps.

with two cooks

### Apple pie

Preheat the oven.

- Prepare a dough.
- Flatten it and place it in a plate.
- Peal 4 apples.
- Cut them into thin slices.
- Put the slices over the pie crust.
- Put the apple pie in the oven.

Step	Alice	Bob
1	1	2
2	4	3
3	5	
4	6	
5	7	

Some task can be parallelized, allowing for a reduction of the number of steps needed to realize the recipe.

with three cooks

### Apple pie

Preheat the oven.

Prepare a dough.

Flatten it and place it in a plate.

Peal 4 apples.

Cut them into thin slices.

Put the slices over the pie crust.

Put the apple pie in the oven.

Step	Alice	Bob	Craig
1	1	2	4
2		3	5
3	6		
4	7		

Increasing the number of cooks allows to decrease the number of step neeeded.

with three cooks or many more ...

### Apple pie

Preheat the oven.

Prepare a dough.

- Flatten it and place it in a plate.
- Peal 4 apples.
- Cut them into thin slices.
- Put the slices over the pie crust.
- Put the apple pie in the oven.

Step	Alice	Bob	Craig
1	1	2	4
2		3	5
3	6		
4	7		

Increasing the number of cooks allows to decrease the number of step neeeded... up to a point.

The dependecies of the tasks of the recipe are represented as an oriented graph (without cycles).

- The vertices of the graph represent the different tasks.
- Edges denote dependencies.

#### Lemma



The dependecies of the tasks of the recipe are represented as an oriented graph (without cycles).

• The vertices of the graph represent the different tasks.

• Edges denote dependencies.

#### Lemma



The dependecies of the tasks of the recipe are represented as an oriented graph (without cycles).

- The vertices of the graph represent the different tasks.
- Edges denote dependencies.

#### Lemma



The dependecies of the tasks of the recipe are represented as an oriented graph (without cycles).

- The vertices of the graph represent the different tasks.
- Edges denote dependencies.

#### Lemma

### Outline



2 Infinite-bin models

### 3 Coupling of the IBM and the Barak-Erdős graph







#### Coupling of the IBM and the Barak-Erdős graph







### 3 Coupling of the IBM and the Barak-Erdős graph

### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j.

In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.

Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from i to j if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from i to j with probability p, independently from any other edge.

Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

#### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

#### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

#### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

#### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

#### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

#### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

### Definition

The Barak-Erdős graph is a directed version of the Erdős-Rényi graph in which every edge  $\{i, j\}$  is directed from *i* to *j* if i < j. In other words, given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , put a edge from *i* to *j* with probability *p*, independently from any other edge.



Figure: A Barak-Erdős graph

- Model introduced by Barak and Erdős in 1984.
- The length of the longest increasing path is one of the most studied features of this model.
- Applications span over a wide array of fields:
  - Performance evaluation of computer systems (Gelenbe-Nelson-Philips-Tantawi '86, Isopi-Newman '94); Mathematical ecology (food chains) (Cohen-Newman '86,'91) Queuing theory (Foss-Konstantopoulos '03).

- Model introduced by Barak and Erdős in 1984.
- The length of the longest increasing path is one of the most studied features of this model.
- Applications span over a wide array of fields:
  - Performance evaluation of computer systems (Gelenbe-Nelson-Philips-Tantawi '86, Isopi-Newman '94); Mathematical ecology (food chains) (Cohen-Newman '86,'91) Queuing theory (Foss-Konstantopoulos '03).

- Model introduced by Barak and Erdős in 1984.
- The length of the longest increasing path is one of the most studied features of this model.
- Applications span over a wide array of fields:
  - Performance evaluation of computer systems (Gelenbe-Nelson-Philips-Tantawi '86, Isopi-Newman '94); Mathematical ecology (food chains) (Cohen-Newman '86,'91) Queuing theory (Foss-Konstantopoulos '03).

Some references

- Model introduced by Barak and Erdős in 1984.
- The length of the longest increasing path is one of the most studied features of this model.
- Applications span over a wide array of fields:

Performance evaluation of computer systems (Gelenbe-Nelson-Philips-Tantawi '86, Isopi-Newman '94); Mathematical ecology (food chains) (Cohen-Newman '86,'91); Queuing theory (Foss-Konstantopoulos '03).

- Model introduced by Barak and Erdős in 1984.
- The length of the longest increasing path is one of the most studied features of this model.
- Applications span over a wide array of fields:
  - Performance evaluation of computer systems (Gelenbe-Nelson-Philips-Tantawi '86, Isopi-Newman '94); Mathematical ecology (food chains) (Cohen-Newman '86,'91); Queuing theory (Foss-Konstantopoulos '03).

- Model introduced by Barak and Erdős in 1984.
- The length of the longest increasing path is one of the most studied features of this model.
- Applications span over a wide array of fields:
  - Performance evaluation of computer systems (Gelenbe-Nelson-Philips-Tantawi '86, Isopi-Newman '94);
  - Mathematical ecology (food chains) (Cohen-Newman '86,'91);
    - Queuing theory (Foss-Konstantopoulos '03).

- Model introduced by Barak and Erdős in 1984.
- The length of the longest increasing path is one of the most studied features of this model.
- Applications span over a wide array of fields:
  - Performance evaluation of computer systems
  - (Gelenbe-Nelson-Philips-Tantawi '86, Isopi-Newman '94);
  - Mathematical ecology (food chains) (Cohen-Newman '86,'91);
  - Queuing theory (Foss-Konstantopoulos '03).

### Existing results Existence of a limiting function

### Theorem (Newman '92)

There exists a function C such that for any  $p \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{L_n(p)}{n} = C(p) \quad in \text{ probability.}$$

Moreover, C is continuous, increasing and C'(0) = e.

### Existing results

Existence of a limiting function



Figure: Graph of the function C

Bastien Mallein (USPN)

### Existing results

Existence of a limiting function



Figure: Graph of the function  $p \mapsto C(p)/p$
### Existing results Bounds on the function *C*

### Theorem (Foss-Konstantopoulos '03)

There exist two explicit functions L and U such that L(p) < C(p) < U(p) for any  $p \in (0,1)$ . This in particular yields, as  $p \to 1$ ,

$$C(1-p) = 1 - (1-p) + (1-p)^2 - 3(1-p)^3 + 7(1-p)^4 + O(1-p)^5.$$



Improved bounds in a neighbourhood of 1

### Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)

There exist sequences of upper bounds  $(U_k)$  and lower bounds  $(L_k)$  that converge to C exponentially fast for any p > 0.



Improved bounds in a neighbourhood of 1

### Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)

There exist sequences of upper bounds  $(U_k)$  and lower bounds  $(L_k)$  that converge to C exponentially fast for any p > 0.



Improved bounds in a neighbourhood of 1

### Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)

There exist sequences of upper bounds  $(U_k)$  and lower bounds  $(L_k)$  that converge to C exponentially fast for any p > 0.



Improved bounds in a neighbourhood of 1

### Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)

There exist sequences of upper bounds  $(U_k)$  and lower bounds  $(L_k)$  that converge to C exponentially fast for any p > 0.



Improved bounds in a neighbourhood of 1

### Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)

There exist sequences of upper bounds  $(U_k)$  and lower bounds  $(L_k)$  that converge to C exponentially fast for any p > 0.



Improved bounds in a neighbourhood of 1

### Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)

There exist sequences of upper bounds  $(U_k)$  and lower bounds  $(L_k)$  that converge to C exponentially fast for any p > 0.



Improved bounds in a neighbourhood of 1

### Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)

There exist sequences of upper bounds  $(U_k)$  and lower bounds  $(L_k)$  that converge to C exponentially fast for any p > 0. In particular, the Taylor expansion of C can be computed explicitly to any order around p = 1.



Analyticity of C in a neighbourhood of 1

#### Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)

The function C is analytic on (0,1], and there exists an explicit sequence of integers  $(a_k)$  such that

$$C(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k (1-p)^k$$
 for all  $p \ge 3/4$ .

#### First coefficients

$$C(p) = 1 - (1 - p) + (1 - p)^2 - 3(1 - p)^3 + 7(1 - p)^4 - 15(1 - p)^5 + 29(1 - p)^6 - 54(1 - p)^7 + 102(1 - p)^8 - 197(1 - p)^9 + 375(1 - p)^{10} - 687(1 - p)^{11} + 1226(1 - p)^{12} - 2182(1 - p)^{13} + 3885(1 - p)^{14} - 6828(1 - p)^{15} + 11767(1 - p)^{16} + \cdots$$

(sequence A321309 of OEIS)

Analyticity of C in a neighbourhood of 1

#### Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)

The function C is analytic on (0,1], and there exists an explicit sequence of integers  $(a_k)$  such that

$$C(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k (1-p)^k$$
 for all  $p \ge 3/4$ .

#### First coefficients

$$C(p) = 1 - (1 - p) + (1 - p)^{2} - 3(1 - p)^{3} + 7(1 - p)^{4} - 15(1 - p)^{5}$$
  
+ 29(1 - p)<sup>6</sup> - 54(1 - p)<sup>7</sup> + 102(1 - p)<sup>8</sup> - 197(1 - p)<sup>9</sup>  
+ 375(1 - p)<sup>10</sup> - 687(1 - p)<sup>11</sup> + 1226(1 - p)<sup>12</sup> - 2182(1 - p)<sup>13</sup>  
+ 3885(1 - p)<sup>14</sup> - 6828(1 - p)<sup>15</sup> + 11767(1 - p)<sup>16</sup> + ...

(sequence A321309 of OEIS)

### Contribution from infinite-bin models theory III Asymptotic behaviour of C as $p \rightarrow 0$

Theorem (M.-Ramassamy)  

$$C(p) = ep\left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{1}{(\log p)^2}\right) + o\left(\frac{p}{(\log p)^2}\right) \text{ as } p \to 0.$$

### Outline





### 3 Coupling of the IBM and the Barak-Erdős graph

### Description

- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_i)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.

- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_i)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.


- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



- Infinite number of bins on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- At each time *n*, a new ball is put to the right of the  $\xi_n$ th ball, with  $(\xi_j)$  i.i.d. sequence of random variables on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We take interest in the speed of the front.



## On the infinite-bin model

### Existing results

- Aldous and Pitman (1993) studied a version of this model when ξ is the uniform distribution on {1,..., N}.
- This general version introduced by Foss and Konstantopoulos in 2003.
- Studied using the existence of renewal event when  $E(\xi) < +\infty$  (Foss, Konstantopoulos, Chernysh, Ramassamy, Zachary).

## On the infinite-bin model

### Existing results

- Aldous and Pitman (1993) studied a version of this model when ξ is the uniform distribution on {1,..., N}.
- This general version introduced by Foss and Konstantopoulos in 2003.
- Studied using the existence of renewal event when  $E(\xi) < +\infty$  (Foss, Konstantopoulos, Chernysh, Ramassamy, Zachary).

## On the infinite-bin model

### Existing results

- Aldous and Pitman (1993) studied a version of this model when ξ is the uniform distribution on {1,..., N}.
- This general version introduced by Foss and Konstantopoulos in 2003.
- Studied using the existence of renewal event when  $E(\xi) < +\infty$  (Foss, Konstantopoulos, Chernysh, Ramassamy, Zachary).

### Definition

Given X a configuration and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $\Psi_k(X)$  the configuration with a ball added to the right of the *k*th rightmost ball in X.



### Infinite-bin model

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, X_n = \Psi_{\xi_n}(X_{n-1}),$$

### Definition

Given X a configuration and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $\Psi_k(X)$  the configuration with a ball added to the right of the *k*th rightmost ball in X.



### Infinite-bin model

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, X_n = \Psi_{\xi_n}(X_{n-1}),$$

### Definition

Given X a configuration and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $\Psi_k(X)$  the configuration with a ball added to the right of the *k*th rightmost ball in X.



### Infinite-bin model

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, X_n = \Psi_{\xi_n}(X_{n-1}),$$

### Definition

Given X a configuration and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $\Psi_k(X)$  the configuration with a ball added to the right of the *k*th rightmost ball in X.



### Infinite-bin model

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, X_n = \Psi_{\xi_n}(X_{n-1}),$$

# A coupling for infinite-bin models

### Partial order

Given X and Y two configurations, we say that  $X \preccurlyeq Y$  if for every k, there are more balls to the right of kth urn in Y than in X.

#### Lemma

The function  $(X, k) \mapsto \Psi_k(X)$  is decreasing with k and increasing with X.

#### Proposition

If  $(X_n)$ ,  $(Y_n)$  are two infinite-bin models defined with  $(\xi_n)$ ,  $(\zeta_n)$ , such that  $X_0 \preccurlyeq Y_0$  and  $\xi_k \ge \zeta_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

 $X_n \preccurlyeq Y_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

# A coupling for infinite-bin models

### Partial order

Given X and Y two configurations, we say that  $X \preccurlyeq Y$  if for every k, there are more balls to the right of kth urn in Y than in X.

#### Lemma

The function  $(X, k) \mapsto \Psi_k(X)$  is decreasing with k and increasing with X.

#### Proposition

If  $(X_n)$ ,  $(Y_n)$  are two infinite-bin models defined with  $(\xi_n)$ ,  $(\zeta_n)$ , such that  $X_0 \preccurlyeq Y_0$  and  $\xi_k \ge \zeta_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

 $X_n \preccurlyeq Y_n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

# A coupling for infinite-bin models

### Partial order

Given X and Y two configurations, we say that  $X \preccurlyeq Y$  if for every k, there are more balls to the right of kth urn in Y than in X.

#### Lemma

The function  $(X, k) \mapsto \Psi_k(X)$  is decreasing with k and increasing with X.

#### Proposition

If  $(X_n)$ ,  $(Y_n)$  are two infinite-bin models defined with  $(\xi_n)$ ,  $(\zeta_n)$ , such that  $X_0 \preccurlyeq Y_0$  and  $\xi_k \ge \zeta_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$X_n \preccurlyeq Y_n$$
 for all  $n \ge 0$ .

## Speed of the infinite-bin model

### Theorem (Foss-Konstantopoulos, M.-Ramassamy)

For any probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $v_{\mu} \in [0, 1]$  such that writing  $F_n$  for the front at time n of an  $IBM(\mu)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{F_n}{n}=v_{\mu}\quad a.s.$$

### Proof.

- If the measure has finite support *K*, then the relative positions of the rightmost *K* balls form a Markov process.
- Hence the speed exists by ergodicity.
- If  $\mu$  has no finite support, setting  $\mu_{K} = \mu \mathbf{1}_{\{. \leq K\}}$ , we have

$$v_{\mu_K} \le v_{\mu} \le v_{\mu_K} + \mu([K+1, +\infty)).$$

### Proof.

- If the measure has finite support *K*, then the relative positions of the rightmost *K* balls form a Markov process.
- Hence the speed exists by ergodicity.
- If  $\mu$  has no finite support, setting  $\mu_K = \mu \mathbf{1}_{\{. \leq K\}}$ , we have

$$v_{\mu_K} \le v_{\mu} \le v_{\mu_K} + \mu([K+1, +\infty)).$$

### Proof.

- If the measure has finite support *K*, then the relative positions of the rightmost *K* balls form a Markov process.
- Hence the speed exists by ergodicity.
- If  $\mu$  has no finite support, setting  $\mu_K = \mu \mathbf{1}_{\{. \leq K\}}$ , we have

$$v_{\mu_K} \leq v_{\mu} \leq v_{\mu_K} + \mu([K+1, +\infty)).$$

### Proof.

- If the measure has finite support *K*, then the relative positions of the rightmost *K* balls form a Markov process.
- Hence the speed exists by ergodicity.
- If  $\mu$  has no finite support, setting  $\mu_{\mathcal{K}} = \mu \mathbf{1}_{\{. \leq \mathcal{K}\}}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mu_{K}} \leq \mathbf{v}_{\mu} \leq \mathbf{v}_{\mu_{K}} + \mu([K+1,+\infty)).$$

### Proof.

- If the measure has finite support *K*, then the relative positions of the rightmost *K* balls form a Markov process.
- Hence the speed exists by ergodicity.
- If  $\mu$  has no finite support, setting  $\mu_{\mathcal{K}} = \mu \mathbf{1}_{\{. \leq \mathcal{K}\}}$ , we have

$$v_{\mu_{\mathcal{K}}} \leq v_{\mu} \leq v_{\mu_{\mathcal{K}}} + \mu([\mathcal{K}+1,+\infty)).$$

• We conclude that 
$$v_{\mu} = \lim_{K \to +\infty} v_{\mu_K}$$
.

### Outline



2 Infinite-bin models

### 3 Coupling of the IBM and the Barak-Erdős graph

### Coupling

One can couple a Barak-Erdős graph with parameter p with an IBM with geometric distribution  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

- Start with the empty graph, and the configuration with an infinite number of balls in bin -1.
- At each step *n*, add the vertex *n* and the links with the previous vertices. Add a ball in the bin with index given by the longest path ending at *n*.

#### Consequence

For any  $p \in [0,1]$ , we have  $C(p) = v_{\mu_p}$ .

### Coupling

One can couple a Barak-Erdős graph with parameter p with an IBM with geometric distribution  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

• Start with the empty graph, and the configuration with an infinite number of balls in bin -1.

• At each step *n*, add the vertex *n* and the links with the previous vertices. Add a ball in the bin with index given by the longest path ending at *n*.

#### Consequence

For any  $p \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $C(p) = v_{\mu_p}$ .

### Coupling

One can couple a Barak-Erdős graph with parameter p with an IBM with geometric distribution  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

- Start with the empty graph, and the configuration with an infinite number of balls in bin −1.
- At each step *n*, add the vertex *n* and the links with the previous vertices. Add a ball in the bin with index given by the longest path ending at *n*.

#### Consequence

```
For any p \in [0,1], we have C(p) = v_{\mu_p}.
```

### Coupling

One can couple a Barak-Erdős graph with parameter p with an IBM with geometric distribution  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

- Start with the empty graph, and the configuration with an infinite number of balls in bin −1.
- At each step *n*, add the vertex *n* and the links with the previous vertices. Add a ball in the bin with index given by the longest path ending at *n*.

#### Consequence

For any 
$$p \in [0,1]$$
, we have  $C(p) = v_{\mu_p}$ .


























Bastien Mallein (USPN)



















# Asymptotic behaviour of C as $p \to 1$

#### Strategy of proof

• We use the  $\mathbb{L}^1$  convergence of the position of the front at time  $n F_n$ :

 $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}(F_n)=C(p).$ 

- We observe that  $E(F_n)$  can be computed for large p as the sum of the contributions of small complex patterns arising in the middle of long sequences of 1.
- We prove the convergence for p > 1/2 of the series of the contributions made by these small patterns.

### Asymptotic behaviour of C as $p \rightarrow 1$

#### Strategy of proof

• We use the  $\mathbb{L}^1$  convergence of the position of the front at time  $n F_n$ :

 $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}(F_n)=C(p).$ 

- We observe that  $E(F_n)$  can be computed for large p as the sum of the contributions of small complex patterns arising in the middle of long sequences of 1.
- We prove the convergence for p > 1/2 of the series of the contributions made by these small patterns.

### Asymptotic behaviour of C as $p \rightarrow 1$

#### Strategy of proof

• We use the  $\mathbb{L}^1$  convergence of the position of the front at time  $n F_n$ :

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}(F_n)=C(p).$$

- We observe that **E**(*F<sub>n</sub>*) can be computed for large *p* as the sum of the contributions of small complex patterns arising in the middle of long sequences of 1.
- We prove the convergence for p > 1/2 of the series of the contributions made by these small patterns.

### Asymptotic behaviour of C as $p \rightarrow 1$

#### Strategy of proof

• We use the  $\mathbb{L}^1$  convergence of the position of the front at time  $n F_n$ :

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}(F_n)=C(p).$$

- We observe that  $E(F_n)$  can be computed for large p as the sum of the contributions of small complex patterns arising in the middle of long sequences of 1.
- We prove the convergence for p > 1/2 of the series of the contributions made by these small patterns.

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

Approximate behaviour

Up to o(1) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like
We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to o((1-p)) corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)



We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)

We assume that p is close to 1. Recall that  $\mu_p(k) = p(1-p)^{k-1}$ .

#### Approximate behaviour

Up to  $o((1-p)^2)$  corrections,  $(\xi_n)$  looks like

(1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, ...)

## Finding the asymptotic expansion

#### Aim

To each finite pattern  $u = (u(1), \ldots u(n)) \in \bigcup \mathbb{N}^n$ , we would like to associate a term  $\varepsilon(u) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$C(p) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \sum_{u \in \bigcup \mathbb{N}^n} \varepsilon(u) \mathbf{P}(\xi_1 = u(1), \dots \xi_n = u(n)) + o((1-p)^N)$$

#### Definition

For each finite pattern u, we denote by d(u) the distance the front travels when applying successively  $\Psi_{u(1)}, \ldots, \Psi_{u(n)}$ . We define  $\varepsilon$  as the solution of the following equation:

$$d(u) = \sum_{v \text{ subpattern of } u} \varepsilon(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{|u|} \sum_{j=1}^{|u|-k} \varepsilon(u(j), u(j+1), \dots u(j+k-1)).$$

## Finding the asymptotic expansion

#### Aim

To each finite pattern  $u = (u(1), \ldots u(n)) \in \bigcup \mathbb{N}^n$ , we would like to associate a term  $\varepsilon(u) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$C(p) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \sum_{u\in \cup\mathbb{N}^n} \varepsilon(u) \mathbf{P}(\xi_1 = u(1), \dots, \xi_n = u(n)) + o((1-p)^N)$$

#### Definition

For each finite pattern u, we denote by d(u) the distance the front travels when applying successively  $\Psi_{u(1)}, \ldots, \Psi_{u(n)}$ . We define  $\varepsilon$  as the solution of the following equation:

$$d(u) = \sum_{v \text{ subpattern of } u} \varepsilon(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{|u|} \sum_{j=1}^{|u|-k} \varepsilon(u(j), u(j+1), \dots u(j+k-1)).$$

## A direct definition for $\varepsilon$

#### Definition

For u a pattern, we write  $\pi u$  the pattern obtained by forgetting the last number and

$$\delta(u)=d(u)-d(\pi u)\in\{0,1\},$$

#### Lemma

For u a pattern, we write  $\varpi u$  the pattern obtained by forgetting the first number, we have

$$\varepsilon(u) = \delta(u) - \delta(\varpi u).$$

## A direct definition for $\varepsilon$

#### Definition

For u a pattern, we write  $\pi u$  the pattern obtained by forgetting the last number and

$$\delta(u)=d(u)-d(\pi u)\in\{0,1\},$$

#### Lemma

For u a pattern, we write  $\varpi u$  the pattern obtained by forgetting the first number, we have

$$\varepsilon(u) = \delta(u) - \delta(\varpi u).$$

### Theorem

For any 
$$p\in (1/2,1]$$
, we have  $C(p)=\sum_{u}arepsilon(u)p^{|u|}(1-p)^{\sum(u(j)-1)}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(p) &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathsf{E}(d(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \mathsf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_{j+k-1})) \\ &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k) \mathsf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mathsf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)). \end{split}$$

### Theorem

For any 
$$p\in (1/2,1]$$
, we have  $C(p)=\sum_{u}arepsilon(u)p^{|u|}(1-p)^{\sum(u(j)-1)}$ .

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{p}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}(d(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)) \\
= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_{j+k-1})) \\
= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k) \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)) \\
= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)).$$

### Theorem

For any 
$$p\in (1/2,1]$$
, we have  $C(p)=\sum_{u}arepsilon(u)p^{|u|}(1-p)^{\sum(u(j)-1)}$ .

$$C(p) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}(d(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))$$
  
=  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_{j+k-1}))$   
=  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k) \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k))$   
=  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)).$ 

### Theorem

For any 
$$p\in (1/2,1]$$
, we have  $C(p)=\sum_{u}arepsilon(u)p^{|u|}(1-p)^{\sum(u(j)-1)}$ .

$$C(p) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}(d(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))$$
  
=  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_{j+k-1}))$   
=  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k) \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k))$   
=  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)).$ 

### Theorem

For any 
$$p\in (1/2,1]$$
, we have  $C(p)=\sum_{u}arepsilon(u)p^{|u|}(1-p)^{\sum(u(j)-1)}.$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(p) &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}(d(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_{j+k-1})) \\ &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k) \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)). \end{aligned}$$

### Theorem

For any 
$$p\in (1/2,1]$$
, we have  $C(p)=\sum_{u}arepsilon(u)p^{|u|}(1-p)^{\sum(u(j)-1)}$ .

$$C(p) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}(d(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))$$
  
=  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_{j+k-1}))$   
=  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k) \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k))$   
=  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)).$ 

## Conclusion

We were able to study the function C by coupling Barak-Erdős graphs with Infinite-Bin models. This function:

- Is analytic on (0,1];
- Behaves as  $ep(1-\pi^2/2(logp)^2)$  at p=0;

• Its series expansion can be computed as a perturbation expansion. Some open questions:

- Is  $p \mapsto C(p)/p$  convex?
- Can similar computations be made with C<sub>k</sub>(p) the time taken to undertake a series of tasks with k servers.

# Thank you for your attention!



© Ludovic Péron

### Strategy of proof

• Using the increasing coupling, we have

- The speed of an IBM with uniform distribution is coupled with a branching random walk with selection.
- The speed of a branching random walk with selection is computed using Bérard and Gouéré's result.

### Strategy of proof

• Using the increasing coupling, we have

- The speed of an IBM with uniform distribution is coupled with a branching random walk with selection.
- The speed of a branching random walk with selection is computed using Bérard and Gouéré's result.

#### Strategy of proof

• Using the increasing coupling, we have

- The speed of an IBM with uniform distribution is coupled with a branching random walk with selection.
- The speed of a branching random walk with selection is computed using Bérard and Gouéré's result.

### Strategy of proof

• Using the increasing coupling, we have

- The speed of an IBM with uniform distribution is coupled with a branching random walk with selection.
- The speed of a branching random walk with selection is computed using Bérard and Gouéré's result.

## Bound with an infinite-bin model with uniform distribution

#### Notation

We write  $w_N$  the speed of an infinite-bin model with uniform distribution on  $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ .

#### Upper bound

For any  $p \in [\frac{1}{N+1}, \frac{1}{N}]$ , we have  $C(p) \leq w_N$ . Indeed, we have  $\sum_{j=1}^k p(1-p)^{j-1} \leq (pk) \wedge 1$ , thus we can couple a geometric random variable G and a uniform random variable U such that  $G \geq U$  a.s.

#### Lower bound

For any  $p \in [0,1]$ , we have  $C(p) \ge Np(1-p)^N w_N$ .

#### Conclusion

$$C(1/N) \approx w_N$$
 as  $N \to +\infty$ .

Bastien Mallein (USPN)

## Bound with an infinite-bin model with uniform distribution

#### Notation

We write  $w_N$  the speed of an infinite-bin model with uniform distribution on  $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ .

#### Upper bound

For any 
$$p \in [\frac{1}{N+1}, \frac{1}{N}]$$
, we have  $C(p) \leq w_N$ .  
Indeed, we have  $\sum_{j=1}^k p(1-p)^{j-1} \leq (pk) \wedge 1$ , thus we can couple a geometric random variable  $G$  and a uniform random variable  $U$  such that  $G \geq U$  a.s.

#### Lower bound

For any  $p \in [0,1]$ , we have  $C(p) \ge Np(1-p)^N w_N$ .

#### Conclusion

$$\mathcal{C}(1/N) pprox w_N$$
 as  $N o +\infty$ .

Bastien Mallein (USPN)

## Bound with an infinite-bin model with uniform distribution

#### Notation

We write  $w_N$  the speed of an infinite-bin model with uniform distribution on  $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ .

#### Upper bound

For any 
$$p \in [\frac{1}{N+1}, \frac{1}{N}]$$
, we have  $C(p) \leq w_N$ .  
Indeed, we have  $\sum_{j=1}^k p(1-p)^{j-1} \leq (pk) \wedge 1$ , thus we can couple a geometric random variable  $G$  and a uniform random variable  $U$  such that  $G \geq U$  a.s.

#### Lower bound

For any 
$$p \in [0,1]$$
, we have  $C(p) \ge Np(1-p)^N w_N$ .

#### Conclusion

$$C(1/N) pprox w_N$$
 as  $N o +\infty$ .

Bastien Mallein (USPN)

## A N-branching random walk in continuous-time

#### Behaviour of the rightmost N balls

We consider the process  $(X_{P_t}, t \ge 0)$ , where P is an independent Poisson process of intensity N.

- At rate N an event occurs.
- With probability 1/N, one of the N rightmost ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is removed from consideration.

### Alternative description

- A clock on each of the N rightmost balls will ring at rate 1 independently.
- The selected ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is erased.

## A N-branching random walk in continuous-time

#### Behaviour of the rightmost N balls

We consider the process  $(X_{P_t}, t \ge 0)$ , where P is an independent Poisson process of intensity N.

- At rate N an event occurs.
- With probability 1/N, one of the N rightmost ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is removed from consideration.

#### Alternative description

- A clock on each of the N rightmost balls will ring at rate 1 independently.
- The selected ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is erased.
## Behaviour of the rightmost N balls

We consider the process  $(X_{P_t}, t \ge 0)$ , where P is an independent Poisson process of intensity N.

- At rate N an event occurs.
- With probability 1/N, one of the N rightmost ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is removed from consideration.

- A clock on each of the N rightmost balls will ring at rate 1 independently.
- The selected ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is erased.

### Behaviour of the rightmost N balls

We consider the process  $(X_{P_t}, t \ge 0)$ , where P is an independent Poisson process of intensity N.

- At rate N an event occurs.
- With probability 1/N, one of the N rightmost ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is removed from consideration.

- A clock on each of the N rightmost balls will ring at rate 1 independently.
- The selected ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is erased.

## Behaviour of the rightmost N balls

We consider the process  $(X_{P_t}, t \ge 0)$ , where P is an independent Poisson process of intensity N.

- At rate N an event occurs.
- With probability 1/N, one of the N rightmost ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is removed from consideration.

- A clock on each of the *N* rightmost balls will ring at rate 1 independently.
- The selected ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is erased.

## Behaviour of the rightmost N balls

We consider the process  $(X_{P_t}, t \ge 0)$ , where P is an independent Poisson process of intensity N.

- At rate N an event occurs.
- With probability 1/N, one of the N rightmost ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is removed from consideration.

- A clock on each of the *N* rightmost balls will ring at rate 1 independently.
- The selected ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is erased.

## Behaviour of the rightmost N balls

We consider the process  $(X_{P_t}, t \ge 0)$ , where P is an independent Poisson process of intensity N.

- At rate N an event occurs.
- With probability 1/N, one of the N rightmost ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is removed from consideration.

- A clock on each of the *N* rightmost balls will ring at rate 1 independently.
- The selected ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is erased.

## Behaviour of the rightmost N balls

We consider the process  $(X_{P_t}, t \ge 0)$ , where P is an independent Poisson process of intensity N.

- At rate N an event occurs.
- With probability 1/N, one of the N rightmost ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is removed from consideration.

- A clock on each of the *N* rightmost balls will ring at rate 1 independently.
- The selected ball makes an offspring to its right.
- The leftmost ball is erased.

# Brunet-Derrida behaviour of branching random walks with selection

# Theorem (Bérard-Gouéré 2010)

Under some assumptions, if we denote by  $v_N$  the speed of a branching random walk with selection, there exist explicit  $v_{\infty}$  and  $\chi > 0$  such that

$$v_N - v_\infty \sim_{N \to +\infty} - \frac{\chi}{(\log N)^2}.$$

#### Notation

More precisely, setting  $\kappa(\theta) = \log \mathbf{E}(\sum_{|u|=1} e^{\theta V(u)})$ , we have

$$v_{\infty} = \inf_{\theta > 0} \frac{\kappa(\theta)}{\theta} \qquad \qquad \theta_* \text{ solution of } \theta \kappa'(\theta) - \kappa(\theta) = 0$$
$$\sigma^2 = \kappa''(\theta^*) \qquad \qquad \chi = -\frac{\pi^2 \sigma^2}{2} \theta^*.$$

# Brunet-Derrida behaviour of branching random walks with selection

# Theorem (Bérard-Gouéré 2010)

Under some assumptions, if we denote by  $v_N$  the speed of a branching random walk with selection, there exist explicit  $v_{\infty}$  and  $\chi > 0$  such that

$$v_N - v_\infty \sim_{N \to +\infty} - \frac{\chi}{(\log N)^2}.$$

#### Notation

More precisely, setting 
$$\kappa(\theta) = \log \mathsf{E}(\sum_{|u|=1} e^{\theta V(u)})$$
, we have

$$v_{\infty} = \inf_{\theta > 0} \frac{\kappa(\theta)}{\theta}$$
  $extstyle{theta}_*$  solution of  $\theta \kappa'(\theta) - \kappa(\theta) = 0$   
 $\sigma^2 = \kappa''(\theta^*)$   $\chi = -\frac{\pi^2 \sigma^2}{2} \theta^*.$ 

# Conclusion

#### Theorem

We have 
$$C(p) = p\left(e - \frac{\pi^2 e}{2(\log p)^2}\right)$$
.

# Proof.

Recall that 
$$C(1/N) \approx \frac{1}{N} v_N$$
.  
We have  $\kappa(\theta) = e^{\theta}$ , thus:  
•  $v_{\infty} = e$ ;  
•  $\theta^* = 1$ ;  
•  $\sigma^2 = e$ .

This concludes the proof.