

An ultraproduct approach to rigged Hilbert spaces

Åsa Hirvonen
Joint with Tapani Hyttinen

Geometry from the model theorist's point of view
Oxford, September 2024



Quantum mechanics crash course I

The world is probabilistic: nature can be in a state where the outcome of a measurement is not determined before the measurement happens.

Quantum mechanics crash course I

The world is probabilistic: nature can be in a state where the outcome of a measurement is not determined before the measurement happens.

A physical model is not a simulation, it is a “prediction machine”.

Quantum mechanics crash course I

The world is probabilistic: nature can be in a state where the outcome of a measurement is not determined before the measurement happens.

A physical model is not a simulation, it is a “prediction machine”.

The probabilities of possible outcomes are coded in a *state* or *wave function*. This is often presented as a unit vector in complex Hilbert space.

Quantum mechanics crash course I

The world is probabilistic: nature can be in a state where the outcome of a measurement is not determined before the measurement happens.

A physical model is not a simulation, it is a “prediction machine”.

The probabilities of possible outcomes are coded in a *state* or *wave function*. This is often presented as a unit vector in complex Hilbert space.

In particular, the position of a particle is described by a function ψ of a position variable $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\psi(\bar{x})|^2$ encodes probabilities:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(\bar{x})|^2 d\bar{x} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(\bar{x} \in E) = \int_E |\psi(\bar{x})|^2 d\bar{x}.$$

Quantum mechanics crash course II

In general, *observables* are described by self-adjoint operators, and states correspond to wave functions over their spectrum.

Quantum mechanics crash course II

In general, *observables* are described by self-adjoint operators, and states correspond to wave functions over their spectrum.

In beginning physics books, these operators are often presented in a “generalised eigenvector decomposition”, pretending the space is spanned by eigenvectors for the values in the spectrum, so called *Dirac delta functions*, functions with point support and norm 1.

Quantum mechanics crash course II

In general, *observables* are described by self-adjoint operators, and states correspond to wave functions over their spectrum.

In beginning physics books, these operators are often presented in a “generalised eigenvector decomposition”, pretending the space is spanned by eigenvectors for the values in the spectrum, so called *Dirac delta functions*, functions with point support and norm 1.

Note, that Dirac did not think of his deltas as being actual vectors.

Time evolution

A quantum system evolves over time according to the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi = H\psi$$

where H is the *Hamiltonian* of the system, the self-adjoint operator corresponding to the energy of the system (depends on system).

Time evolution

A quantum system evolves over time according to the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi = H\psi$$

where H is the *Hamiltonian* of the system, the self-adjoint operator corresponding to the energy of the system (depends on system).

Knowing the Hamiltonian, one can – in theory – calculate later states of the system

$$\psi_t = K^t \psi_0$$

where

$$K^t = e^{-itH/\hbar}.$$

Here we assume H is time-independent.

The Feynman propagator

The *Feynman propagator* is a function $K(x, y, t)$ giving the probability amplitude of the observable changing from value x to value y in time t .

The Feynman propagator

The *Feynman propagator* is a function $K(x, y, t)$ giving the probability amplitude of the observable changing from value x to value y in time t .

With eigenstates $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$

$$K(x, y, t) = \langle y | K^t | x \rangle.$$

The Feynman propagator

The *Feynman propagator* is a function $K(x, y, t)$ giving the probability amplitude of the observable changing from value x to value y in time t .

With eigenstates $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$

$$K(x, y, t) = \langle y | K^t | x \rangle.$$

Without eigenvectors, we look at the *kernel* of the integral representation of K^t (if it exists)

$$(K^t \psi)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y, t) \psi(x) dx$$

A quest for eigenvectors

With Hyttinen we have been trying to

- find structures where Dirac deltas exist (and behave like vectors)
- justify approximations by finite dimensional spaces

A quest for eigenvectors

With Hyttinen we have been trying to

- find structures where Dirac deltas exist (and behave like vectors)
- justify approximations by finite dimensional spaces

A previous approach built eigenvectors as ultraproducts of eigenvectors in finite dimensional spaces. These could be used to calculate kernels, but not via the propagator formula.

A quest for eigenvectors

With Hyttinen we have been trying to

- find structures where Dirac deltas exist (and behave like vectors)
- justify approximations by finite dimensional spaces

A previous approach built eigenvectors as ultraproducts of eigenvectors in finite dimensional spaces. These could be used to calculate kernels, but not via the propagator formula.

Next, we'll look at a distribution approach to Dirac deltas.

Rigged Hilbert space

A rigged Hilbert space consists of a Hilbert space H and a subspace Φ of “test functions”, with a finer norm on Φ .

One then has

$$\Phi \subset H \subset \Phi^*$$

where Φ^* is the (*anti-*)dual of Φ , the set of anti-linear functionals over Φ .

Rigged Hilbert space

A rigged Hilbert space consists of a Hilbert space H and a subspace Φ of “test functions”, with a finer norm on Φ .

One then has

$$\Phi \subset H \subset \Phi^*$$

where Φ^* is the (*anti-*)dual of Φ , the set of anti-linear functionals over Φ .

For suitably chosen Φ , the functionals in Φ^* act as “generalised eigenvectors”.

Rigged Hilbert space

A rigged Hilbert space consists of a Hilbert space H and a subspace Φ of “test functions”, with a finer norm on Φ .

One then has

$$\Phi \subset H \subset \Phi^*$$

where Φ^* is the (*anti-*)dual of Φ , the set of anti-linear functionals over Φ .

For suitably chosen Φ , the functionals in Φ^* act as “generalised eigenvectors”.

(Φ, H, Φ^*) is also called a *Gelfand triple*.

Example

Let $H = L_2(\mathbb{R})$, and let Φ the set of *Schwartz functions*, i.e., infinitely differentiable functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose derivatives tend to 0 at infinity faster than any power of $\frac{1}{|x|}$.

Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the functional $f_x \varphi = \varphi(x)$ acts as a Dirac delta function corresponding to the value x .

The spectral theorem

The spectral theorem

Definition

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, and A a bounded self-adjoint operator. A vector φ is called *cyclic* for A if the vectors $A^n\varphi$, $n < \omega$, span a dense subset of H .

The spectral theorem

Definition

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, and A a bounded self-adjoint operator. A vector φ is called *cyclic* for A if the vectors $A^n\varphi$, $n < \omega$, span a dense subset of H .

Theorem

If A is a bounded self-adjoint operator on H with a cyclic vector, then there exists a measure μ on $\sigma(A)$ and a unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$ such that

$$UAU^{-1}\varphi(x) = x\varphi(x).$$

Note: in the non-cyclic case we get an orthogonal sum of such L_2 spaces.

A map ahead

We will

- build a spectral representation for a bounded, self-adjoint operator with a cyclic vector,

A map ahead

We will

- build a spectral representation for a bounded, self-adjoint operator with a cyclic vector,
- build the spectral measure as an ultraproduct of scaled counting measures in finite dimensional spaces,

A map ahead

We will

- build a spectral representation for a bounded, self-adjoint operator with a cyclic vector,
- build the spectral measure as an ultraproduct of scaled counting measures in finite dimensional spaces,
- look closer at the ultraproduct, to find something resembling a rigged Hilbert space

Self-adjoint via unitary

Theorem (Stone)

There is a one-one correspondence between unitary operators U and self-adjoint operators A with spectrum $\subseteq [0, 1]$ and not having 0 in the point spectrum, given by $U = e^{2\pi i A}$.

Fact

We can modify the above, to consider spectra $\subset [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, and a correspondence $U = e^{iA}$.

Self-adjoint via unitary

Theorem (Stone)

There is a one-one correspondence between unitary operators U and self-adjoint operators A with spectrum $\subseteq [0, 1]$ and not having 0 in the point spectrum, given by $U = e^{2\pi i A}$.

Fact

We can modify the above, to consider spectra $\subset [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, and a correspondence $U = e^{iA}$.

So, we start with a bounded self-adjoint operator A , with a cyclic vector φ (of norm 1).

Self-adjoint via unitary

Theorem (Stone)

There is a one-one correspondence between unitary operators U and self-adjoint operators A with spectrum $\subseteq [0, 1]$ and not having 0 in the point spectrum, given by $U = e^{2\pi i A}$.

Fact

We can modify the above, to consider spectra $\subset [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, and a correspondence $U = e^{iA}$.

So, we start with a bounded self-adjoint operator A , with a cyclic vector φ (of norm 1).

We assume $\sigma(A) \subset [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, and consider $U = e^{iA}$.

Self-adjoint via unitary

Theorem (Stone)

There is a one-one correspondence between unitary operators U and self-adjoint operators A with spectrum $\subseteq [0, 1]$ and not having 0 in the point spectrum, given by $U = e^{2\pi i A}$.

Fact

We can modify the above, to consider spectra $\subset [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, and a correspondence $U = e^{iA}$.

So, we start with a bounded self-adjoint operator A , with a cyclic vector φ (of norm 1).

We assume $\sigma(A) \subset [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, and consider $U = e^{iA}$.

Now φ is cyclic also for U , in the sense that the vectors $U^k \varphi$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, span a dense set of H .

Finding finite dimensional approximations of (H, U)

Consider the spanning vectors

$$\dots U^{-n}\varphi \quad U^{-n+1}\varphi \quad \dots \quad U^{-1}\varphi \quad \varphi \quad U\varphi \quad \dots \quad U^{n-1}\varphi \quad U^n\varphi \quad \dots$$

Finding finite dimensional approximations of (H, U)

Consider the spanning vectors

$$\dots U^{-n}\varphi \quad U^{-n+1}\varphi \quad \dots \quad U^{-1}\varphi \quad \varphi \quad U\varphi \quad \dots \quad U^{n-1}\varphi \quad U^n\varphi \quad \dots$$

Define finite dimensional spaces

$$H_N = \overline{\text{span}\{U^k\varphi : -N \leq k \leq N\}}$$

$$U^{-N}\varphi \quad \dots \quad U^{-1}\varphi \quad \varphi \quad U\varphi \quad \dots \quad U^N\varphi$$

Approximations of U

Let

$$H_N^- = \overline{\text{span}\{U^k\varphi : -N \leq k < N\}}$$

and

$$H_N^+ = \overline{\text{span}\{U^k\varphi : -N < k \leq N\}}$$

Approximations of U

Let

$$H_N^- = \overline{\text{span}\{U^k\varphi : -N \leq k < N\}}$$

and

$$H_N^+ = \overline{\text{span}\{U^k\varphi : -N < k \leq N\}}$$

and let W^+ and W^- be their corresponding orthogonal complements in H_N

$$H_N = H_N^- \oplus W^+ = W^- \oplus H_N^+$$

Approximations of U

Let

$$H_N^- = \overline{\text{span}\{U^k \varphi : -N \leq k < N\}}$$

and

$$H_N^+ = \overline{\text{span}\{U^k \varphi : -N < k \leq N\}}$$

and let W^+ and W^- be their corresponding orthogonal complements in H_N

$$H_N = H_N^- \oplus W^+ = W^- \oplus H_N^+$$

Let U_N be built from

- U on H_N^-
- a unitary operator mapping W^+ to W^-

Eigenvectors

In each H_N

- U_N is unitary and has an eigenvector basis $(u_N(k))_{k < 2N+1}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_N(k)$,

Eigenvectors

In each H_N

- U_N is unitary and has an eigenvector basis $(u_N(k))_{k < 2N+1}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_N(k)$,
- the cyclic vector can be written as

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{2N} \xi_N(k) u_N(k)$$

where each $\xi_N(k)$ is a non-negative real, and $\sum_{k=0}^{2N} \xi_N(k)^2 = 1$.

Eigenvectors

In each H_N

- U_N is unitary and has an eigenvector basis $(u_N(k))_{k < 2N+1}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_N(k)$,
- the cyclic vector can be written as

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{2N} \xi_N(k) u_N(k)$$

where each $\xi_N(k)$ is a non-negative real, and $\sum_{k=0}^{2N} \xi_N(k)^2 = 1$.

Note: The spaces H_N extend each other, but the bases do not.

A first glimpse of the ultraproduct model

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on ω .

Our central model will be the metric ultraproduct of the spaces (H_N, U_N) .

A first glimpse of the ultraproduct model

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on ω .

Our central model will be the metric ultraproduct of the spaces (H_N, U_N) .

As φ is cyclic, there is a natural embedding of H into H^m :

Definition

For $P(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$, let $P(U_N, U_N^{-1})$ be natural interpretation as an operator on H_N , e.g.,

$$X^2 Y(U_N, U_N^{-1}) = U_N \circ U_N \circ U_N^{-1} = U_N.$$

A first glimpse of the ultraproduct model

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on ω .

Our central model will be the metric ultraproduct of the spaces (H_N, U_N) .

As φ is cyclic, there is a natural embedding of H into H^m :

Definition

For $P(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$, let $P(U_N, U_N^{-1})$ be natural interpretation as an operator on H_N , e.g.,

$$X^2 Y(U_N, U_N^{-1}) = U_N \circ U_N \circ U_N^{-1} = U_N.$$

Then $P(U_N, U_N^{-1})(\varphi)$ makes sense in almost all H_N , and thus we can define $G^m : H \rightarrow H^m$ by

$$G^m(P(U_N, U_N^{-1})(\varphi)) = (P(U_N, U_N^{-1})(\varphi))_{N < \omega} / \mathcal{U}.$$

Spectral measure in H_N

Remember: in each H_N , $\varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{2N} \xi_N(k) u_N(k)$

Definition

For each $N < \omega$, define a measure μ_N for subsets $X \subset \mathbb{C}$:

$$\mu_N(X) = \sum_{k < 2N, \lambda_N(k) \in X} \xi_N(k)^2$$

Note that for all $X \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\mu_N(X) \leq 1$, as $\|\varphi\| = 1$.

Spectral measure from ultraproduct

We construct a spectral measure for U in steps:

Spectral measure from ultraproduct

We construct a spectral measure for U in steps:

- 1 For each $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $\mu^n(X)$ be the ultralimit $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \mu_N(X)$

Spectral measure from ultraproduct

We construct a spectral measure for U in steps:

- 1 For each $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $\mu^n(X)$ be the ultralimit $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \mu_N(X)$
- 2 consider a set of *nice* vertical (I_r) and horizontal (J_r) lines for which for all $\delta > 0$ there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that their “ ε -thickenings” I_r^ε and J_R^ε have small “measure”

$$\mu^n(I_r^\varepsilon) < \delta, \quad \mu^n(J_r^\varepsilon) < \delta$$

Spectral measure from ultraproduct

We construct a spectral measure for U in steps:

- 1 For each $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $\mu^n(X)$ be the ultralimit $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \mu_N(X)$
- 2 consider a set of *nice* vertical (I_r) and horizontal (J_r) lines for which for all $\delta > 0$ there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that their “ ε -thickenings” I_r^ε and J_R^ε have small “measure”

$$\mu^n(I_r^\varepsilon) < \delta, \quad \mu^n(J_r^\varepsilon) < \delta$$

- 3 define an outer measure based on the μ^n -value of boxes bounded by nice lines

$$\mu^*(Y) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^n(X_k) \mid X_k \text{ a nice box, } Y \subseteq \bigcup_{k < \omega} X_k \right\}$$

Spectral measure from ultraproduct

We construct a spectral measure for U in steps:

- 1 For each $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $\mu^n(X)$ be the ultralimit $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \mu_N(X)$
- 2 consider a set of *nice* vertical (I_r) and horizontal (J_r) lines for which for all $\delta > 0$ there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that their “ ε -thickenings” I_r^ε and J_R^ε have small “measure”

$$\mu^n(I_r^\varepsilon) < \delta, \quad \mu^n(J_r^\varepsilon) < \delta$$

- 3 define an outer measure based on the μ^n -value of boxes bounded by nice lines

$$\mu^*(Y) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^n(X_k) \mid X_k \text{ a nice box, } Y \subseteq \bigcup_{k < \omega} X_k \right\}$$

- 4 by Caratheodory's construction, find a σ -algebra of sets for which μ^* is a measure

Spectral representation for U

Consider the space $L_2(S, \mu^*)$, where S is a suitable compact subset of \mathbb{C} , the complement of which has zero μ^* -measure.

Spectral representation for U

Consider the space $L_2(S, \mu^*)$, where S is a suitable compact subset of \mathbb{C} , the complement of which has zero μ^* -measure.

Definition

Let $D(S)$ be the subspace of $C(S)$ that consists of functions

$$f_P(\lambda) = P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$$

where $P \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is the complex conjugate of λ .

Spectral representation for U

Consider the space $L_2(S, \mu^*)$, where S is a suitable compact subset of \mathbb{C} , the complement of which has zero μ^* -measure.

Definition

Let $D(S)$ be the subspace of $C(S)$ that consists of functions

$$f_P(\lambda) = P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$$

where $P \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is the complex conjugate of λ . Define U_D and U_D^* by

$$U_D(f_P) = f_{XP} \quad \text{and} \quad U_D^*(f_P) = f_{YP}.$$

Note that $U_D(f_P)(\lambda) = \lambda f_P(\lambda)$, and $U_D^*(f_P)(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda} f_P(\lambda)$.

Theorem

- 1 The measure μ^* is zero outside the spectrum of U .
- 2 There is an isometry mapping $L_2(S, \mu^*)$ to H , and (the extension of) U_D to U . (We find it going via H^m .)
- 3 We can transfer the measure μ^* from the unit circle to the real line to get (from the isometry above) an isomorphism between $L_2(\sigma(A), \mu)$ and (H, A) .

Dissecting the ultraproduct construction

A metric ultraproduct is built in several steps:

- 1 form the product
- 2 throw out the infinite elements
- 3 find the subspace of infinitesimal elements and quotient them out

Dissecting the ultraproduct construction

A metric ultraproduct is built in several steps:

- 1 form the product
- 2 throw out the infinite elements
- 3 find the subspace of infinitesimal elements and quotient them out
this last part can be split in two: mod out the zeros, then the (other) infinitesimals

Classical ultraproduct of Hilbert spaces

If we take the classical ultraproduct of the H_N spaces, $H^u = \prod_N H_N / \mathcal{U}$ we get a vector space over $\mathbb{C}^u = \mathbb{C}^\omega / \mathcal{U}$.

Classical ultraproduct of Hilbert spaces

If we take the classical ultraproduct of the H_N spaces, $H^u = \prod_N H_N / \mathcal{U}$ we get a vector space over $\mathbb{C}^u = \mathbb{C}^\omega / \mathcal{U}$. Via the canonical embedding

$\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^u$, H^u can be seen as a vector space over \mathbb{C} .

Classical ultraproduct of Hilbert spaces

If we take the classical ultraproduct of the H_N spaces, $H^u = \prod_N H_N / \mathcal{U}$ we get a vector space over $\mathbb{C}^u = \mathbb{C}^\omega / \mathcal{U}$. Via the canonical embedding

$\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^u$, H^u can be seen as a vector space over \mathbb{C} .

There is a pairing function $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle^u$ obtained as the ultraproduct of the inner products.

Classical ultraproduct of Hilbert spaces

If we take the classical ultraproduct of the H_N spaces, $H^u = \prod_N H_N / \mathcal{U}$ we get a vector space over $\mathbb{C}^u = \mathbb{C}^\omega / \mathcal{U}$. Via the canonical embedding

$\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^u$, H^u can be seen as a vector space over \mathbb{C} .

There is a pairing function $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle^u$ obtained as the ultraproduct of the inner products.

For $q = (q_N)_{N < \omega} / \mathcal{U}$ in \mathbb{C}^u , let $|q|^u = (|q_N|)_{N < \omega} / \mathcal{U}$.

Classical ultraproduct of Hilbert spaces

If we take the classical ultraproduct of the H_N spaces, $H^u = \prod_N H_N / \mathcal{U}$ we get a vector space over $\mathbb{C}^u = \mathbb{C}^\omega / \mathcal{U}$. Via the canonical embedding

$\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^u$, H^u can be seen as a vector space over \mathbb{C} .

There is a pairing function $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle^u$ obtained as the ultraproduct of the inner products.

For $q = (q_N)_{N < \omega} / \mathcal{U}$ in \mathbb{C}^u , let $|q|^u = (|q_N|)_{N < \omega} / \mathcal{U}$.

If X is the range of this “absolute value”, then $\mathbb{R}^u = X \cup \{-r \mid r \in X\}$ is a real closed field containing the reals. In particular, it is linearly ordered and can be used to compare the “norm” $\|v\|^u$ of vectors $v \in H^u$ and rational numbers.

Classical ultraproduct of Hilbert spaces

If we take the classical ultraproduct of the H_N spaces, $H^u = \prod_N H_N / \mathcal{U}$ we get a vector space over $\mathbb{C}^u = \mathbb{C}^\omega / \mathcal{U}$. Via the canonical embedding

$\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^u$, H^u can be seen as a vector space over \mathbb{C} .

There is a pairing function $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle^u$ obtained as the ultraproduct of the inner products.

For $q = (q_N)_{N < \omega} / \mathcal{U}$ in \mathbb{C}^u , let $|q|^u = (|q_N|)_{N < \omega} / \mathcal{U}$.

If X is the range of this “absolute value”, then $\mathbb{R}^u = X \cup \{-r \mid r \in X\}$ is a real closed field containing the reals. In particular, it is linearly ordered and can be used to compare the “norm” $\|v\|^u$ of vectors $v \in H^u$ and rational numbers.

We then define $\langle u | v \rangle$ to be

- $q \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\langle u | v \rangle$ is infinitesimally close to q , if such a q exists
- ∞ otherwise

Other norms

We look two functions from H_N to \mathbb{C} .

Definition (“ L_1 -norm”)

Let $X \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a closed set. Define

$$\left\| \sum_{k < 2N+1} a_n u_N(n) \right\|_\infty^X = \sup \{ \xi_N(n)^{-1} |a_n| \mid \lambda_N(n) \in X \},$$

where 0^{-1} is interpreted as 0.

Other norms

We look two functions from H_N to \mathbb{C} .

Definition (“ L_1 -norm”)

Let $X \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a closed set. Define

$$\left\| \sum_{k < 2N+1} a_n u_N(n) \right\|_\infty^X = \sup \{ \xi_N(n)^{-1} |a_n| \mid \lambda_N(n) \in X \},$$

where 0^{-1} is interpreted as 0.

Definition (“ ∞ -norm”)

Let

$$\left\| \sum_{n < 2N+1} a_n u_N(n) \right\|_0 = \sum_{n < 2N+1} \xi_N(n) |a_n|.$$

Other norms

We look two functions from H_N to \mathbb{C} .

Definition (“ L_1 -norm”)

Let $X \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a closed set. Define

$$\left\| \sum_{k < 2N+1} a_n u_N(n) \right\|_\infty^X = \sup \{ \xi_N(n)^{-1} |a_n| \mid \lambda_N(n) \in X \},$$

where 0^{-1} is interpreted as 0.

Definition (“ ∞ -norm”)

Let

$$\left\| \sum_{n < 2N+1} a_n u_N(n) \right\|_0 = \sum_{n < 2N+1} \xi_N(n) |a_n|.$$

Considering ultraproducts of these, we get – on part of H^u – seminorms that can be used for the “metric steps” of the ultraproduct construction: throwing out “bad” elements and moding out infinitesimals.

Distributions

- For $f \in C(S)$, $\|F^u(f)\|_0 \leq \|F^u(f)\|_2 \leq \|F^u(f)\|_\infty$, where F^u is a particular embedding of $C(S)$ into H^u (used also to find the isometry between $L_2(S, \mu^*)$ and H).
- Distributions can be found as vectors in the space H^{m0} , the metric ultraproduct built from the 0-norm.
- Under extra assumptions, the distributions can be used to calculate Feynman propagators in the physics style.