

Abstract

Zilber's trichotomy conjecture has had an extraordinary influence on the course taken by model theory in the last half-century. I will recall the conjecture and its background, the totally categorical case, counterexamples, Zariski geometries, the trichotomy for differential algebra, for difference equations, for o-minimal structures; and speculate about a possible new chapter with globally valued fields.

Zilber's trichotomy conjecture

Ehud Hrushovski
Zilber 75 conference, Oxford

September 2024

Minimal sets

Let X be a set defined by some formulas in a structure M . We say X is *minimal* if it is infinite, but for any definable D in any elementary extension, $X \cap D$ or $X \setminus D$ are finite.

An *algebraic function* (definable in M) from Y to X is a definable subset of $Y \times X$, whose projection to Y is onto and $\leq m$ -to-one.

The relation: $x \in \text{acl}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has the properties of a pre-geometry; it thus gives rise to a dimension theory on definable subsets of X^n .

Two key definitions

A minimal set has **trivial geometry**. No definable families of irreducible subsets of X^n , other than ones like $X^{n-1} \times \{b\}$. Equivalently, the algebraic closure geometry is trivial.

X is **locally modular**. if there are no high-dimensional families of irreducible subsets of X^n ; in fact a family of k -dimensional subsets of X^n has dimension $\leq n - k$.

In the locally modular, nontrivial case, one can one can prove existence of an abelian group A , isogenous to X , that is pure with respect to the Abelian structure. (Every definable subset of A^n is a finite Boolean combination of cosets of definable subgroups.)

Zilber's trichotomy conjecture

We say *Zilber's conjecture holds* for a theory T if every minimal X is of trivial geometry, is locally modular, or is isogenous to an algebraically closed field (interpretable appropriately in T). An additional part of the conjecture is that the field is *pure*; it has no additional structure.

The finite model property

Theorem 1 (Zilber). . Let T be a theory categorical in every infinite power. There exist finite models M_n , such that any sentence of T holds in all but finitely many M_n .

The proof required:

Theorem 2 (Zilber). Let T be a nontrivial strongly minimal structure; assume it is \aleph_0 -categorical. Then D is isogenous (over a parameter) to a pure vector space over a finite field.

Why does Theorem 1 require Theorem 2?

Within a definable, minimal D , take $A_n = \text{acl}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Then $\text{Th}(A_n) \rightarrow T$ (ex.)

But there may be a type q over $A(= A_{n_0})$, that is *almost orthogonal* to D ; it implies a complete type over D . So not realized in any A_n .

Zilber defines an *envelope* of A to be a maximal E containing A such that $D(\text{acl}(E)) = D(\text{acl}(A))$.

q will be realized in *some* envelope of A_0 . If we knew that envelopes are unique up to isomorphism, then q is realized in every envelope; from this, easy to see $Th(E(A_n)) \rightarrow T$.

Trouble occurs if there exists another r , almost orthogonal to p and to q , but $p \in acl(A(q, r))$.

Can reduce to the case that $q \otimes p$ is realized in $A(q \otimes q)$. So we have a *plane* $P = acl(q^3) = acl(q \otimes p^2) = acl(q \otimes r^2)$. Let Q be the set of realizations of q in P .

p defines a parallelism class of lines in Q (in the Euclidean sense!).

So Boris needed to prove, precisely, the parallel postulate in the plane $acl(q^3) = acl(q, p^2) = acl(r, p^2)$.

This follows from Theorem 2.

Disproof and proof

How do intersections break up into components?

Coming to terms with the need for a topology. Closed definable sets.

Rabinovich's thesis.

H.-Zilber, Zariski geometries.

Differential fields.

Char. $p > 0$ equivalent to separably closed fields with $[K : K^p] = p$.

Axiomatizability of existentially closed differential fields (A. Robinson, Blum)

Likewise separably closed fields in char. $p > 0$ (with $[K : K^p] = p$.) (Ershov, Delon).

Minimal sets exist (stability). So does a reduction theory of finite-rank types to minimal sets, (Shelah, orthogonality and domination, semi-minimal types, socle,...;).

Zilber's conjecture holds in this form:

up to isogeny:

nontrivial minimal sets \longleftrightarrow simple semi-abelian varieties.

(The map \longleftarrow takes A to the differential Zariski closure of the kernel of the semi-abelian logarithm map).

locally modular \longleftrightarrow simple abelian varieties.

For G_m , $x'/x = 0$ defines a pure field, the field of constants.

Starting from the Leibniz law alone.

Trichotomy for difference equations

w. Zoé Chatzidakis.

The model compansion is not stable.

Two approaches: [stability at the qf level](#), and [simplicity](#).

up to isogeny:

nontrivial minimal sets \longleftrightarrow simple dynamical semi-abelian varieties (all locally modular), and “dynamical pseudo-finite fields”.

[The conjecture survives the generalization from stability to simplicity.](#)

O-minimal, C-minimal

O-minimal: Petezil-Starchenko 1998. (2/3)
2002 w. Pillay, linear groups.
(+1/3) 2009. (assuming complex analyticity).
Klinger, Bakker, Brunenbarbe, Tsimerman 2022.

C-minimal: Delon-Maalouf- 2014. C-minimal, 1/3(between
trivial and linear).

II. The theory GVF

arxiv.org/abs/2409.04570

Globally valued fields: foundations

Itai Ben Yaacov, Pablo Destic, Ehud Hrushovski, Michał Szachniewicz

For later reference: Bilu, Chambert-Loir, Szpiro-Ullmo-Zhang, Zhang, Autissier, Gubler, Yamaki, Gao-Habegger, Cantat-Gao-Habegger-Xie. Xie-Yuan, Chen-Moriwaki. (Many cases of Bogomolov conjecture and equidistribution).

Theorem 3 (Szachniewicz). $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is existentially closed as a globally valued field.

Michał Szachniewicz, *existential closedness of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a globally valued field via Arakelov geometry*, ArXiv 2306.06275

A similar theorem for the function-field type GVF $\overline{k(t)}$ is proved in notes by Ben Yaacov-H, [GVF2], Arxiv.

Let's look at some soft corollaries.

1. Effectiveness given finiteness

Let X be variety over \mathbb{Q} , and consider algebraic solutions.

If one bounds the degree but not the height, *even if one knows that the number of solutions of degree $\leq d$ is finite*, there is still no known algorithm to find them effectively. (Is this provable)? On the other hand if the height but not the degree is bounded:

Corollary 1. *Assume as known that X has only finitely many solutions in \bar{Q} of height $< h_0$. Then these solutions can be computed effectively.*

Proof. If $\bar{Q} \leq L$ is any GVF extension, all solutions of X of height $< h_0$ must lie in \bar{Q} . Otherwise, one can recursively find infinitely many solutions in \bar{Q} : having found a_1, \dots, a_n , find a new solution b in some GVF extension; by the e.c. theorem, a solution b' exists in \bar{Q} with $b' \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $ht(b')$ as close as we like to $ht(b)$, hence $< h_0$.

In particular the number of solutions is bounded in any GVF. Search for algebraic solutions s_1, \dots, s_n and a formal proof from the GVF axioms that these are all the elements of X of height at most h_0 . This is guaranteed to terminate, and in particular identify s_1, \dots, s_n .

□

Corollary ?? also works with additional qf GVF constraints, e.g. canonical height bounds, local conditions.

Corollary 2. *Every GVF is a subfield (with induced height structure) of an ultrapower of $\overline{k(t)}$ for some k , or of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*