

MODEL THEORY I — EXERCISE 9

Question 1

- (1) Prove that the theory $DOAG$ of infinite divisible ordered abelian groups¹ in the language $\{+, 0, <\}$ is complete and has quantifier elimination.
- (2) Show that $|S_1(DOAG)| = 3$ (i.e., $DOAG$ has exactly 3 complete one-types over \emptyset) but $S_2(DOAG) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Question 2

Suppose that T is a universal theory in a finite relational language such that its class of finite structures K has AP and JEP.

- (1) Show that K has a Fraïssé limit M (i.e., M is a K -saturated structure).
- (2) Show that $T^* = Th(M)$ is the model completion of T so that $T_{\forall}^* = T$ (in particular T has a complete model completion).
- (3) Show that T^* can be axiomatized as follows. For every finite models $N_0 \subseteq M_0 \models T$ such that $\|M_0\| = \|N_0\| + 1$, write an axiom saying that if x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} is isomorphic to N_0 then there is some x_k such that x_0, \dots, x_k is isomorphic to M_0 .

Question 3

What do you get in Question 2 when you apply it to:

(In each case show **briefly** that Question 2 is applicable.)

- (1) $T_=$ = the theory of equality.
- (2) T_E = the theory of equivalence relations in the language $\{E\}$.
- (3) T_{P_1, \dots, P_n} = the theory of n unary predicates $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$.
- (4) $T_{<}$ = the theory of linear orders in $\{<\}$.
- (5) $T_{<_1, <_2}$ = the theory of two linear orders in the language $\{<_1, <_2\}$.
- (6) $T_{<, P}$ = $<$ is a linear order and P is a unary predicate.
- (7) Show that $T_{P_1, \dots, P_n}^* \subseteq T_{P_1, \dots, P_m}^*$ for all $n \leq m$, and that $T_{<_1, \dots, <_n}^* \subseteq T_{<_1, \dots, <_m}^*$.

¹An abelian group is *divisible* if for every non-zero element b and every positive integer n there is an element c such that $nc = b$. It is ordered if it carries a linear ordering relation $<$ such that $a < b$ implies $a + c < b + c$ for all a, b, c .

Question 4

- (1) Show that the assumptions of Question 2 hold for $T = \text{Graphs}$ in the language $L = \{R\}$ where R is a binary relation symbol.
- (2) Show that the axiomatization $\alpha_{n,m}$ which we had for the random graph in Exercise 6, Question 1 is equivalent to the axiomatization given in Question 2(4) for T^* .
- (3) Conclude from the theorems we had in class that the theory RG of the random graph is complete and has quantifier elimination.

Question 5

Recall the definition of a metric space (X, d) .

Let $L = \{R_q \mid q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ where R_q is a binary relation. Let K be the class of finite metric spaces with rational distances (i.e., where d maps into \mathbb{Q}). We consider spaces in K as L -structures, interpreting $R_q(x, y)$ as $d(x, y) = q$.

- (1) Show that K is countable (has countably many isomorphism types).
- (2) Show that K has AP, JEP and HP.
- (3) Conclude that K has a Fraïssé limit U_0 which is a metric space that contains all finite metric spaces with rational distances. This space is called the *rational Urysohn space*.

Question 6 *

See Question 5. Let U be the completion of U_0 . Show that one U contains a copy of all finite (in fact all separable) metric spaces. U is called the *Urysohn space*.

Hint: prove the following lemma. For any finite subset $x_1, \dots, x_n \in U$ and positive real numbers α_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $|\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \leq d(x_i, x_j) \leq \alpha_i + \alpha_j$ there is some $y \in U$ such that $d(y, x_i) = \alpha_i$.

For the proof, first find $z_1, \dots, z_n \in U_0$ and $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $d(x_i, z_i)$ and $|\alpha_i - \beta_i|$ are very small and the appropriate inequalities still hold.

Next, find $y_1 \in U_0$ such that $d(z_i, y_1) = \beta_i$ so that $|d(y_1, x_i) - \alpha_i| < \alpha/2$ where $\alpha = \min\{\alpha_i\}$. Now add y_1 to the x_i 's and $\alpha/2$ to the α_i 's.

Question 7

Do (3) + ((1) or (2))

- (1) Prove that elementary embeddings have the amalgamation property: if $f : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ and $g : M_0 \rightarrow M_2$ are elementary embedding then there is some M_3 and elementary embeddings $h : M_1 \rightarrow M_3$ and $r : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ such that $h \circ f = r \circ g$.

- (2) Suppose that K is a class of finitely generated L -structures. Let M_1, M_2 be K -saturated. Without citing the theorem we proved in class saying that they are isomorphic, show that if M_1 is finitely generated, then so is M_2 and that they are isomorphic in this case.
- (3) In class we showed that if T is small then it has no binary tree of consistent formulas. Show that if T is countable, then the converse is also true. (Hint: look at the solution to Exercise 6, Question 4).

Question 8 *

In class we showed that if T is countable and the isolated types are dense, then T has an atomic model. Prove that the same is true for theories of size \aleph_1 (remark: it stops being true for \aleph_2).

Hints:

Start with an \aleph_1 -saturated model $M \models T$. A set $A \subseteq M$ is *atomic* if the type of every finite tuple from it is isolated.

- (1) Show that it is enough to prove that if A is atomic and countable and $\varphi(x) \in L(A)$ is a formula such that $M \models \exists x\varphi(x)$, then for some $c \in N$, $A \cup \{c\}$ is atomic and $M \models \varphi(c)$.
- (2) Find such a c as a realization of a countable type over A . Note that if $\text{tp}(\bar{a})$ is isolated, then also $\text{tp}(\bar{a}')$ for any subtuple \bar{a}' of \bar{a} .