

# Definability in the infinitesimal subgroup of a simple compact Lie group

Martin Bays<sup>1</sup>, Kobi Peterzil<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>WWU Münster

<sup>2</sup>University of Haifa

Padova, 2017-09-14

# $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$

## Theorem (Nesin-Pillay 1991)

- ▶  $X \subseteq \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})^n$  is definable in the pure group  $(\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}); *)$  iff it is definable in the field  $(\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot)$ .
- ▶ More generally, same for any simple centreless compact linear algebraic group  $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Example

$\{(A, B) \in \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) : \det(A - B) > 0\}$  is definable in  $(\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}); *)$ .

## Sketch of proof:

- ▶ Define a copy of  $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$  in  $(G; *)$ ;
- ▶ Reconstruct the field from the projective plane of involutions of  $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ .
- ▶ See that this yields a bi-interpretation of  $(G; *)$  with  $(\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot)$ .

$SO_3^{00}$ 

$$(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot) := (\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot)^{\mathcal{U}} \succeq (\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\text{st}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0$$

$$1 \rightarrow SO_3^{00} \rightarrow SO_3(\mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\text{st}} SO_3(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 1$$

$$SO_3^{00} = SO_3(\mathcal{R}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m} \\ \mathfrak{m} & 1 + \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m} \\ \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m} & 1 + \mathfrak{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

$(SO_3^{00}; *)$  is interpretable in  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O}) \models RCVF$ .

**Problem**

Which  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$ -definable subsets of  $(SO_3^{00})^n$  are  $(SO_3^{00}; *)$ -definable?

Same question for  $G^{00}$  for  $G \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  compact?

# $(\text{SO}_3^{00}; *)$

- ▶ Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathcal{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z & y \\ z & 0 & -x \\ -y & x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cong \mathcal{R}^3 = \{(x, y, z)\}$ .
- ▶ Infinitesimal Lie algebra:  $\mathfrak{g}_m := \text{st}^{-1}(0) \cong \mathfrak{m}^3 \leq \mathcal{R}^3$ .
- ▶ Matrix exponentiation yields a homeomorphism  $\exp_m : \mathfrak{g}_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{SO}_3^{00}$ .
- ▶  $\exp_m(X) * \exp_m(Y) = \exp_m(X + Y) + \epsilon$  where  $v(\|\epsilon\|) \geq v(\|X\|) + v(\|Y\|)$ .
- ▶ If  $X$  and  $Y$  are collinear then  $\exp_m(X) * \exp_m(Y) = \exp_m(X + Y)$ .
- ▶ For  $x \in \text{SO}_3^{00}$  and  $h \in \text{SO}_3(\mathcal{R})$ , group conjugation  $x \mapsto x^h := h * x * h^{-1}$  agrees with the matrix action of  $\text{SO}_3(\mathcal{R})$  on  $\mathfrak{m}^3$ :

$$\exp_m(X)^h = \exp_m(hX).$$

# Main theorem

## Theorem

$G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  a simple compact linear algebraic group.

- (i)  $X \subseteq (G^{00})^n$  is  $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable iff it is  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$ -definable.
- (ii) Moreover, the interpretation of  $(G^{00}; *)$  in  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$  can be completed to a bi-interpretation.

## Example

$\{(A, B) \in \mathrm{SO}_3^{00} : v(\det(A - B)) > \alpha\}$  is definable in  $(\mathrm{SO}_3^{00}; *)$ .

Outline of proof:

- (I) Find an  $(\mathrm{SO}_3^{00}; *)$ -definable ordered interval  $J$ ;
- (II) apply o-minimal trichotomy to get a field  $K$  in  $J$ ;
- (III) Find a copy of  $\mathrm{SO}_3^{00}$  in  $G^{00}$ ;
- (IV) use adjoint representation to see the pair  $G^{00} \leq G(\mathcal{R})$  in  $K$ , yielding a bi-interpretation.

# (I): Finding an ordered interval

- ▶ Let  $S := \text{SO}_3(\mathcal{R})$  and  $S^{00} := \text{SO}_3^{00}$ .
- ▶ Let  $b \in S^{00} \setminus \{e\}$ .
- ▶  $C_S(b) := \{h \in S : b^h = b\} \cong \text{SO}_2(\mathcal{R})$ ;
- ▶  $C_{S^{00}}(b) := C_S(b) \cap S^{00} \cong \text{SO}_2^{00} \cong \mathfrak{m}$ .
- ▶  $b^S b^S = \xi(S^2)$  where  $\xi(h, h') = b^h * b^{h'}$ .
- ▶  $b^S b^S = \exp_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)$  where  $B \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^3$  is the closed ball of radius  $\|b^2\|$ .
- ▶  $b^S b^S \cap C_{S^{00}}(b)$  is the interval  $[b^{-2}, b^2]$ .
- ▶ By definable choice for the  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ -definable map  $\xi$ ,  $X := b^{S^{00}} b^{S^{00}} \cap C_{S^{00}}(b)$  contains some interval  $(h, b^2]$ .
- ▶ Translating, get  $(S^{00}; *)$ -definable interval  $[e, p) \subseteq C_{S^{00}}(b)$ , hence  $J := (p^{-1}, p)$  as an ordered interval.
- ▶ Explicitly:  $p := b^2 h^{-1}$ , then  $(e, p) = h^{-1} X \cap b^2 X^{-1}$ .

## (II): Trichotomy

- ▶  $T_e(J^{S^{00}})$  spans  $\mathcal{R}^3$ , so for appropriate  $h_1, h_2 \in S^{00}$  and after shrinking  $J$ ,

$$\phi : J^3 \rightarrow S^{00}; \phi(x_0, x_1, x_2) = x_0 * x_1^{h_1} * x_2^{h_2}$$

is a bijection with a neighbourhood of  $e \in S^{00}$ .

- ▶  $(J; *, <)$  and  $\phi$  are definable both in  $(S^{00}; *)$  and in  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ .
- ▶ Pulling back the  $S^{00}$  group structure via  $\phi$  puts “non-linear” structure on  $J$  at  $e$ .
- ▶ By the Peterzil-Starchenko o-minimal trichotomy, a real closed field  $(K; +, \cdot)$  on an interval  $e \in K \subseteq J$  is definable in this structure on  $J$ .
- ▶ So  $(K; +, \cdot)$  is definable both in  $(S^{00}; *)$  and in  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ .

### (III): Finding an $\mathrm{SO}_3^{00}$ in $G^{00}$

- ▶  $\mathfrak{g}_0 := L(G)$
- ▶  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \leq \mathfrak{g}_0$  Cartan subalgebra (i.e. maximal abelian).
- ▶  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ .
- ▶  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  an  $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ -eigenvector for a root  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \setminus \{0\}$ ;  
i.e.  $[H, X] = \alpha(H)X$  for  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ .
- ▶ Set  $U := X - \bar{X}$ ,  $V := iX + i\bar{X}$ .
- ▶ Since  $G$  is compact,  $U, V \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ , and  $[U, V] \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ , and  
 $\mathfrak{s} := \langle U, V, [U, V] \rangle \cong \mathfrak{so}_3$ . Let  $\mathfrak{s}' := \mathfrak{h}_0 + \mathfrak{s}$ .
- ▶ Let  $S, S' \leq G$  with  $L(S) = \mathfrak{s}$ ,  $L(S') = \mathfrak{s}'$ .
- ▶ So  $S \cong \mathrm{SO}(3)$  or  $S \cong \mathrm{Spin}(3)$  and  $S^{00} \cong \mathrm{SO}_3^{00}$ .
- ▶ Considering root space decomposition, calculate:  
 $\mathfrak{s}' = C_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(C_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{s}'))$ , and  $\mathfrak{s} = [\mathfrak{s}', \mathfrak{s}']$ . Deduce:  
 $S' = C_G(C_G(S'))$  and  $S = (S', S')_1$ ;  
 $S'^{00} = C_{G^{00}}(C_{G^{00}}(S'^{00}))$  and  $S^{00} = (S'^{00}, S'^{00})_1$ .
- ▶ So  $S$  is  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ -definable,  $S^{00}$  is  $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.
- ▶ (Trichotomy argument to find  $K$  works when  $S = \mathrm{Spin}(3)$ .)



## (IV): Bi-interpretation

- ▶  $(K; +, \cdot)$  is definable both in  $(G^{00}; *)$  and in  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ .
- ▶ Otero-Peterzil-Pillay: exists  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ -definable isomorphism  $\theta : (\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot) \xrightarrow{\sim} (K; +, \cdot)$ .
- ▶  $\theta$  induces  $\theta_G : G(\mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(K)$ .

### Claim

$\theta_G \upharpoonright_{G^{00}} : G(\mathcal{R})^{00} \xrightarrow{\sim} G(K)^{00}$  is  $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.

### Proof of Main Theorem.

- ▶  $\mathcal{O}$  is definable in  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, G^{00})$ ,
- ▶ so  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$  is interpreted on  $K$  in  $(G^{00}; *)$  via  $\theta$ , since  $G(K)^{00}$  is  $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable by the claim.
- ▶  $(G^{00}; *)$  is interpreted in  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$  tautologically.
- ▶ The composed interpretations are  $\theta$  and  $\theta_G \upharpoonright_{G^{00}}$ , which are definable in  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, G^{00})$  resp.  $(G^{00}; *)$ .



# Proof of claim

## Claim

$\theta_G \upharpoonright_{G^{00}}: G(\mathcal{R})^{00} \xrightarrow{\sim} G(K)^{00}$  is  $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.

## Proof.

- ▶ Quotienting by the discrete centre, we may assume  $G$  is centreless. Let  $\phi$  be a chart for  $G$  in  $J$  as above. (Exists by simplicity of  $G$ .)
- ▶ Differentiation in  $K$  yields via  $\phi$  an adjoint embedding  $\text{Ad} : G(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(K)$ .
- ▶  $\text{Ad}$  is  $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ -definable.
- ▶  $\text{Ad} \upharpoonright_{G^{00}}$  is  $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.
- ▶  $\eta := \text{Ad} \circ \theta_G^{-1} : G(K) \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(K)$  is  $(K; +, \cdot)$ -definable by purity, hence  $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.
- ▶ So  $\theta_G \upharpoonright_{G^{00}} = \eta^{-1} \circ \text{Ad} \upharpoonright_{G^{00}}$  is  $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.

