

Definability in the group of infinitesimal rotations

Martin Bays¹, Kobi Peterzil²

¹WWU Münster

²University of Haifa

Logic Colloquium, Stockholm, 2017-08-17

SO₃

Theorem (Nesin-Pillay 1991)

- ▶ $X \subseteq \text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})^n$ is definable in the pure group $(\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}); *)$ iff it is definable in the field $(\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot)$.
- ▶ More generally, same for any simple centerless compact Lie group G definable in $(\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot)$.

Example

$\{(A, B) \in \text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) : \det(A - B) > 0\}$ is definable in $(\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}); *)$.

Sketch of proof:

- ▶ Define a copy of $\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ in $(G; *)$;
- ▶ Reconstruct the field from the projective plane of involutions of $\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$.
- ▶ See that this yields a bi-interpretation of $(G; *)$ with $(\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot)$.

SO_3^{00}

$$(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot) := (\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot)^{\mathcal{U}} \succeq (\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\text{st}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0$$

$$1 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3^{00} \rightarrow \text{SO}_3(\mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\text{st}} \text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 1$$

$$\text{SO}_3^{00} = \text{SO}_3(\mathcal{R}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m} \\ \mathfrak{m} & 1 + \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m} \\ \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m} & 1 + \mathfrak{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

$(\text{SO}_3^{00}; *)$ is interpretable in $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O}) \models \text{RCVF}$.

Problem

Which $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$ -definable subsets of $(\text{SO}_3^{00})^n$ are $(\text{SO}_3^{00}; *)$ -definable?

$(SO_3^{00}; *)$

- ▶ Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathcal{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z & y \\ z & 0 & -x \\ -y & x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cong \mathcal{R}^3 = \{(x, y, z)\}$.
- ▶ Infinitesimal Lie algebra: $\mathfrak{g}_m := \text{st}^{-1}(0) \cong \mathfrak{m}^3 \leq \mathcal{R}^3$.
- ▶ Matrix exponentiation yields a homeomorphism $\exp_m : \mathfrak{g}_m \xrightarrow{\sim} SO_3^{00}$.
- ▶ $\exp_m(X) * \exp_m(Y) = \exp_m(X + Y) + \epsilon$ where $v(\|\epsilon\|) \geq v(\|X\|) + v(\|Y\|)$.
- ▶ If X and Y are collinear then $\exp_m(X) * \exp_m(Y) = \exp_m(X + Y)$.
- ▶ For $x \in SO_3^{00}$ and $h \in SO_3(\mathcal{R})$, group conjugation $x \mapsto x^h := h * x * h^{-1}$ agrees with the matrix action of $SO_3(\mathcal{R})$ on \mathfrak{m}^3 :

$$\exp_m(X)^h = \exp_m(hX).$$

Main theorem

Theorem

- (i) $X \subseteq (\mathrm{SO}_3^{00})^n$ is $(\mathrm{SO}_3^{00}; *)$ -definable iff it is $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$ -definable.
- (ii) Moreover, the interpretation of $(\mathrm{SO}_3^{00}; *)$ in $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$ can be completed to a bi-interpretation.

Example

$\{(A, B) \in \mathrm{SO}_3^{00} : v(\det(A - B)) > \alpha\}$ is definable in $(\mathrm{SO}_3^{00}; *)$.

Outline of proof:

- ▶ Find an $(\mathrm{SO}_3^{00}; *)$ -definable ordered interval J ;
- ▶ apply trichotomy to get a field K in J ;
- ▶ use adjoint representation to see the pair $\mathrm{SO}_3^{00} \leq \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathcal{R})$ in K , yielding a bi-interpretation;
- ▶ the characterisation of definable sets follows.

Finding an ordered interval

- ▶ Let $G := \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathcal{R})$ and $G^{00} := \mathrm{SO}_3^{00}$.
- ▶ Let $b \in G^{00} \setminus \{e\}$.
- ▶ $C_b^G := \{h \in G : h * b = b * h\} \cong \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathcal{R})$;
- ▶ $C_b^{G^{00}} := C_b^G \cap G^{00} \cong \mathrm{SO}_2^{00} \cong \mathfrak{m}$.
- ▶ $b^G b^G = \xi(G^2)$ where $\xi(h, h') = b^h * b^{h'}$.
- ▶ $b^G b^G = \exp_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)$ where $B \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^3$ is the closed ball of radius $\|b^2\|$.
- ▶ $b^G b^G \cap C_b^{G^{00}}$ is the interval $[b^{-2}, b^2]$.
- ▶ By definable choice for the $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ -definable map ξ , $X := b^{G^{00}} b^{G^{00}} \cap C_b^{G^{00}}$ contains some interval $[h, b^2]$.
- ▶ Translating, get $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable interval $[e, p] \subseteq C_b^{G^{00}}$, hence $J := (p^{-1}, p)$ as an ordered interval.
- ▶ Explicitly: $p := b^2 h^{-1}$, then $[e, p] = h^{-1} X \cap b^2 X^{-1}$.

Trichotomy

- ▶ $b^{G^{00}}$ spans \mathcal{R}^3 , so for appropriate $h_1, h_2 \in G^{00}$ and after shrinking J ,

$$\phi : J^3 \rightarrow G^{00}; \phi(x_0, x_1, x_2) = x_0 * x_1^{h_1} * x_2^{h_2}$$

is a bijection with a neighbourhood of $e \in G^{00}$.

- ▶ $(J; *, <)$ and ϕ are definable both in $(G^{00}; *)$ and in $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$.
- ▶ Pulling back the G^{00} group structure via ϕ puts “non-linear” structure on J .
- ▶ By the Peterzil-Starchenko o-minimal trichotomy, a real closed field $(K; +, \cdot)$ on an interval $K \subseteq J$ is definable in this structure on J .
- ▶ So $(K; +, \cdot)$ is definable both in $(G^{00}; *)$ and in $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$.

Bi-interpretation

- ▶ $(K; +, \cdot)$ is definable both in $(G^{00}; *)$ and in $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$.
- ▶ Otero-Peterzil-Pillay: exists $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ -definable isomorphism $\theta : (\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot) \xrightarrow{\sim} (K; +, \cdot)$.
- ▶ θ induces $\theta_G : G = \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{SO}_3(K)$.

Claim

$\theta_G|_{G^{00}} : \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathcal{R})^{00} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{SO}_3(K)^{00}$ is $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.

Proof of main theorem.

- ▶ \mathcal{O} is definable in $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, G^{00})$,
- ▶ so $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$ is interpreted on K in $(G^{00}; *)$ via θ , since $\mathrm{SO}_3(K)^{00}$ is $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable by the claim.
- ▶ $(G^{00}; *)$ is interpreted in $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$ tautologically.
- ▶ The composed interpretations are θ and $\theta_G|_{G^{00}}$, which are definable in $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot, G^{00})$ resp. $(G^{00}; *)$.



Proof of claim

Claim

$\theta|_{G^{00}} : \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathcal{R})^{00} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{SO}_3(K)^{00}$ is $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.

Proof.

- ▶ Differentiation in K yields via ϕ an adjoint embedding

$$\mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_3(K)$$

- ▶ Ad is $(\mathcal{R}; +, \cdot)$ -definable.
- ▶ $\mathrm{Ad}|_{G^{00}}$ is $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.
- ▶ $\eta := \mathrm{Ad} \circ \theta_G^{-1} : \mathrm{SO}_3(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_3(K)$ is $(K; +, \cdot)$ -definable by purity, hence $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.
- ▶ So $\theta_G|_{G^{00}} = \eta^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Ad}|_{G^{00}}$ is $(G^{00}; *)$ -definable.

