MATH 3TP3 Assignment #2 Solutions

- 1. Consider the following formal system:
 - Alphabet: {-, !};
 - Axioms: {-!--};
 - Production rules:
 - (I) $-!x \mapsto -!-x$
 - (II) $x ! y \mapsto x ! y$
 - (III) $x ! y \mapsto y ! x$
 - (a) Find derivations in the system of --!--- and ---!-.
 - (b) Consider the language consisting of strings of the form x !y where x and y are (non-empty) strings of hyphens.
 Give an interpretation for this language with respect to which the system is consistent and complete. Briefly explain your reasoning.

(a)

(b) Interpret $-^{n}!-^{m}$ as "n is not equal to m".

The system is consistent with respect to this interpretation, since the axiom is true $(1 \neq 2)$ and the production rules preserve truth:

- (I) $1 \neq n \implies 1 \neq n+1$ for a *positive* integer *n*;
- (II) $n \neq m \implies n+1 \neq m+1;$
- (III) $n \neq m \implies m \neq n$.

To see that the system is complete with respect to the interpretation, suppose $n \neq m$ are positive integers; we show that $-^{n}! -^{m}$ is a theorem. First, suppose n < m. Then by (m - n - 1) applications of rule (I) starting from the axiom, $-! -^{m-(n-1)}$ is a theorem. By (n-1) applications of rule (II) to this, so is $-^{n}! -^{m}$ as required. Finally: if n > m, apply rule (III) to the theorem $-^{m}! -^{n}$. 2. We have seen that the pq-system captures addition of positive integers n + m = k, and the tq-system captures multiplication nm = k. Devise a formal system (with finitely many axioms and production rules) which captures exponentiation $n^m = k$.

Argue informally that your system is consistent and complete with respect to the intended interpretation.

Hint: we want a formal system on an alphabet including e, q such that $-{}^{n}e^{-m}q^{-k}$ is a theorem iff $n^{m} = k$. Your first thought might be to copy the pattern of the previous systems and have a production rule "given xeyqz, produce xey-qzz...z with as many copies of z as x has hyphens" - but sadly this is **not** a typographical rule of the kind allowed in our formal systems. So you need to find a way around this. You may find it helpful to make use of systems we have already developed, as we did when we captured compositeness.

Note: the intention of the question was to capture exponentiation of **positive** integers, hence ignoring the annoying question of what 0^0 is. The answer below is for that.

- Alphabet: {t, e, q, -}
- Axioms: {-t-q-, -e-q-}
- Production rules:
 - (I) $xt-qz \mapsto -xt-qz$ -
 - (II) $x t y q z \mapsto x t y q z x$
 - (III) $x = qy \mapsto -x = qy$
 - (IV) $(xeyqz, xtzqw) \mapsto xey qw$

This embeds the tq-system, so we know that $-^{n}t - ^{m}q - ^{k}$ is a theorem iff nm = k.

Consistency: the remaining axiom is true $(1^1 = 1)$, and the remaining rules (III) and (IV) preserve truth: $n^1 = m \implies (n+1)^1 = n+1$, and if $n^m = k$ and nk = l, then $n^{m+1} = l$.

Completeness: we prove by induction on m that if n, m are positive integers, then $-^{n}e^{-m}q^{-n^{m}}$ is a theorem.

For m = 1: repeatedly applying (III) to the axiom -e-q-, we find that $-^{n}e-q-^{n}$ is a theorem.

Suppose $-{}^{n}e{-}^{m}q{-}^{n^{m}}$ is a theorem. By completeness of the tq-system, $-{}^{n}t{-}^{n^{m}}q{-}^{n^{m+1}}$ is a theorem. Applying (IV) to these, we deduce that $-{}^{n}e{-}^{m+1}q{-}^{n^{m+1}}$ is a theorem.

3. Determine which of the following strings are well formed. For those that are, produce their parse (formation) trees.

The first and fourth are not well-formed; the second and third are.

4. For each of the following wff's, determine whether it is true or false according to an interpretation under which P and Q are true and R is false All but the third are true.