

# Cohomology

Thibault D. Décoppet

University of Oxford

The 8<sup>th</sup> of January 2021

# Condensed Abelian Groups

## Tensor Product

The category  $Cond(Ab)$  of condensed abelian groups has a symmetric monoidal product  $- \otimes -$ . The object  $M \otimes N$  is the sheafification of  $S \mapsto M(S) \otimes N(S)$ . Observe that for any condensed sets  $T_1, T_2$ , we have  $\mathbb{Z}[T_1] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[T_2] \cong \mathbb{Z}[T_1 \times T_2]$ . Also note that  $\mathbb{Z}[T_1]$  is flat.

## Enriched Hom's

Given  $M, N$  two condensed abelian groups, the assignment

$$S \mapsto \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S] \otimes M, N)$$

for every extremally disconnected space  $S$  is a condensed abelian group, which we denote by  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(M, N)$ . Further, we get an adjunction

$$\text{Hom}(P, \underline{\text{Hom}}(M, N)) \cong \text{Hom}(P \otimes M, N).$$

# The Derived Category $D(\text{Cond}(Ab))$

## Properties

1. As  $\text{Cond}(Ab)$  is generated by compact projective objects, so is  $D(\text{Cond}(Ab))$ .
2. We can use projective resolutions to define  $- \otimes^L -$  and  $R\underline{\text{Hom}}(-, -)$ .
3. There is still an adjunction

$$\text{Hom}(P, R\underline{\text{Hom}}(M, N)) \cong \text{Hom}(P \otimes^L M, N).$$

## Remark

The category of condensed abelian groups has enough projectives, unlike the categories of sheaves we are used to, which usually have enough injectives, but not enough projectives.

# Condensed sets for varying cardinals

## Proposition

Given  $\kappa' > \kappa$  uncountable strong limit cardinals, the inclusion  $ED_\kappa \rightarrow ED_{\kappa'}$  induces a functor  $Cond_{\kappa'} \rightarrow Cond_\kappa$ , whose left Kan extension  $T \mapsto T_{\kappa'}$  is fully faithful. Further,  $T \mapsto T_{\kappa'}$  commutes with all  $cof(\kappa)$ -small limits.

## Definition

The category of condensed sets, denoted  $Cond$ , is the filtered colimit of the categories of  $\kappa$ -condensed sets along the filtered poset of all uncountable strong limit cardinals  $\kappa$ .

## Remark

By transfinite induction, one can define a sequence  $\kappa_\alpha$  of strong limit cardinals of strictly increasing cofinality. The category of condensed sets can be identified with the corresponding filtered colimit: this shows that  $Cond$  has limits.

# Topological Spaces vs Condensed Sets I

## Caution

Let  $X$  be a topological space. The functor

$\underline{X} := \text{Cont}(-, X) : \text{ED} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is not necessarily a condensed set.

For instance, take  $X$  to be a two point set with exactly one closed point.

## Proposition

Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$  topological space, then  $\underline{X}$  is a condensed set.

## Theorem

The functor  $X \mapsto \underline{X}$  induces an equivalence between the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and qcqs condensed sets.

## Proof

### Proof

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. Clearly,  $\underline{X}$  is quasicompact. Now, every compact Hausdorff space is the quotient of some profinite set  $S$  under a closed equivalence relation  $R$ . Thus,  $R$  is compact Hausdorff, and as  $\underline{X} = \underline{S}/\underline{R}$ , we find that  $\underline{X}$  is quasiseparated.

Conversely, let  $T$  be a qcqs condensed set, and let  $S \rightarrow T$  be a surjection from a profinite set. Then  $R := S \times_T S \subset S \times S$  is a quasicompact sub-condensed set, and thus is a closed subset. Now,  $R$  defines a closed equivalence relation on  $S$ , so  $X = S/R$  is a compact Hausdorff space, and by definition we have  $\underline{X} \cong T$ .

### Remark

More generally, a compactly generated space  $X$  is weak Hausdorff if and only if  $\underline{X}$  is quasiseparated.

# Cohomology on Compact Hausdorff Spaces

Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff space. There are several classical ways of defining the cohomology of  $S$ :

1. The singular cohomology groups  $H_{sing}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$ .
2. The Čech cohomology groups  $H_{Cech}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$ .
3. The sheaf cohomology groups  $H_{sheaf}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$ .

## Comparison

It is well-known that there is a natural isomorphism

$$H_{Cech}^i(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{sheaf}^i(S, \mathbb{Z}).$$

It is also known that if  $S$  is a finite CW complex, then they also agree with  $H_{sing}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$ .

# Čech Cohomology of Profinite Sets

## Lemma

Let  $S_j, j \in J$  be a cofiltered system of compact Hausdorff spaces. Then, the natural map

$$\operatorname{colim} H_{\text{Čech}}^*(S_j, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Čech}}^*(\lim S_j, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism of graded rings.

## Example

If  $S$  is a profinite set, then  $H_{\text{Čech}}^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z})$  and the higher groups vanish. However,  $H_{\text{sing}}^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Set}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ .

## Example

Let  $I$  be a set. Then for  $i \geq 1$ , we have:

$$H_{\text{Čech}}^i\left(\prod_I S^1, \mathbb{Z}\right) = \bigwedge^i \left(\bigoplus_I \mathbb{Z}\right).$$

# Cohomology of Condensed Sets

## Definition

Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff space, we denote by  $H_{cond}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$  the cohomology of  $\mathbb{Z}$  (condensed abelian group) over  $S$  in the "site" of compact Hausdorff spaces with finite families of jointly surjective maps.

Explicitly, as  $H_{cond}^i(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong Ext_{Cond(Ab)}^i(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{Z})$ , it is enough to find a projective resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ . To this end, take a simplicial hypercover  $S_\bullet \rightarrow S$  by **extremally disconnected** spaces, then

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S_0]$$

is a projective resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ . Now, the homology groups of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(S_0, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_1, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

are the condensed cohomology groups  $H^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$ .

# Condensed Cohomology vs Sheaf Cohomology

## Theorem

For every compact Hausdorff space  $S$ , there is a natural isomorphism

$$H_{sheaf}^i(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{cond}^i(S, \mathbb{Z}).$$

## Notation

We write  $H^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$  for any of  $H_{Cech}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $H_{sheaf}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$ , or  $H_{cond}^i(S, \mathbb{Z})$ .

## proof

First, assume that  $S$  is a profinite set, and write  $S = \lim_j S^j$  a cofiltered limit of finite sets. By definition,

$H_{cond}^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Cont}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ . Thus, it is enough to prove that  $H_{cond}^i(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .

## Continuation of the proof

Pick a hypercover by extremally disconnected sets  $S_\bullet \rightarrow S$ , and for each  $S^j$  choose a hypercover by finite sets  $S_\bullet^j \rightarrow S^j$  such that  $S_\bullet = \lim_j S_\bullet^j$ . As  $S^j$  is extremally disconnected, the following sequence is exact

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(S^j, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_0^j, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_1^j, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Taking the filtered colimit over  $j$  shows the exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_0, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_1, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Thus  $H_{cond}^i(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Note that this implies that the condensed abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}[S]$  with  $S$  profinite are acyclic for  $\Gamma_{cond}(-, \mathbb{Z})$ .

## A map of topoi

Now, let  $S$  be any compact Hausdorff space. There is a morphism of topoi

$$\alpha : \{\text{sheaves on } \text{CH}/S\} \rightarrow \{\text{sheaves on } S\}$$

Explicitly, the right adjoint  $\alpha_*$  is given on a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\text{CH}/S$  by

$$\alpha_*\mathcal{F}(U) := \lim_{U \supseteq V \text{ closed}} \mathcal{F}(V \hookrightarrow S).$$

Observe that  $\Gamma_{\text{sheaf}}(S, \alpha_*(-)) = \Gamma_{\text{cond}}(S, -)$ . In particular, we have

$$H_{\text{cond}}^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(R\Gamma_{\text{cond}}(S, \mathbb{Z})) = H^*(R\Gamma_{\text{sheaf}}(S, R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z})).$$

Thus, it is enough to prove that  $R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$  in the derived category of abelian sheaves on  $S$ .

## Back to the proof

Note that we have  $H^0(R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}) \cong \alpha_*\mathbb{Z}$ , whence  $\Gamma_{sheaf}(S, H^0(R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z})) \cong \Gamma_{cond}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ , and there is a map of complexes of abelian sheaves

$$\mathbb{Z}[0] \rightarrow R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}.$$

In order to prove that this is an isomorphism, it is enough to check on stalks. Fix  $s \in S$ , we have

$$(R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z})_s = \operatorname{colim}_{s \in U \text{ open}} R\Gamma_{sheaf}(U, R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{colim}_{s \in V \text{ closed nbhd}} R\Gamma_{cond}(V, \mathbb{Z})$$

using the fact that compact Hausdorff spaces are normal. For each closed  $V$ , the pullback  $S_\bullet \times_S V$  is a hypercover of  $V$  by profinite sets. By what we have proven already, applying  $\Gamma_{cond}(-, \mathbb{Z})$  and taking homology gives us the condensed cohomology groups of  $V$ .

## End of the proof

Passing to the colimit, we get isomorphisms of complexes of abelian groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{s \in V} \Gamma_{\text{cond}}(S_0 \times_S V, \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{s \in V} \Gamma_{\text{cond}}(S_1 \times_S V, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\cong 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{cond}}(S_0 \times_S \{s\}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{cond}}(S_1 \times_S \{s\}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\cong R\Gamma_{\text{cond}}(\{s\}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

NB: The first isomorphism uses the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is discrete! In fact, this is the only property of the condensed abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}$  that we have used.

# Cohomology of $\mathbb{R}$

## Theorem

For any compact Hausdorff space  $S$ , we have

$$H_{cond}^i(S, \mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} \text{Cont}(S, \mathbb{R}), & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

## proof

See the original notes by P. Scholze or a somewhat expanded version in the slides by F. Schremmer.