Toric Varieties Reading Group - Week 7 Toric Chow Groups

George Cooper

Hilary Term 2022

G. Cooper Toric Varieties RG Week 7

Today: we'll describe how to compute the Chow ring of a non-singular toric variety, and how this relates to the singular (co)homology of the underlying analytic space.

Today: we'll describe how to compute the Chow ring of a non-singular toric variety, and how this relates to the singular (co)homology of the underlying analytic space.

Remark

In this talk many of the results are stated only for non-singular toric varieties for ease of exposition and to simplify some proofs. However many of today's results have analogues for simplicial toric varieties (i.e. orbifold toric varieties) which hold for Chow groups/homology groups with rational coefficients. Let X be a separated, finite-type scheme over \mathbb{C} .

Let X be a separated, finite-type scheme over \mathbb{C} .

Definition

The group $Z_k(X)$ of *k*-cycles on X is the abelian group of formal linear combinations $\sum_i n_i[V_i]$, where each V_i is a *k*-dimensional subvariety of X.

Let X be a separated, finite-type scheme over \mathbb{C} .

Definition

The group $Z_k(X)$ of *k*-cycles on X is the abelian group of formal linear combinations $\sum_i n_i[V_i]$, where each V_i is a *k*-dimensional subvariety of X.

Suppose $W \subset X$ is a subvariety of dimension k + 1 and $r \in \mathbb{C}(W)^*$ is a rational function. We set $\operatorname{div}(r) = \sum_V \operatorname{ord}_V(r) \cdot [V]$, where the sum is taken over all k-dimensional varieties $V \subset W$ and where for $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{V,W}$ we set

$$\operatorname{ord}_V(a/b) = \ell_{\mathcal{O}_{V,W}}(\mathcal{O}_{V,W}/(a)) - \ell_{\mathcal{O}_{V,W}}(\mathcal{O}_{V,W}/(b)).$$

The subgroup of $Z_k(X)$ generated by the divisors $\operatorname{div}(r)$ is denoted $R_k(X)$.

• • • • • • •

Definition

The *k*th *Chow group* of X is $A_k(X) = Z_k(X)/R_k(X)$, the group of *k*-cycles modulo rational equivalence. If $n = \dim X$, we write $A^k(X) = A_{n-k}(X)$.

► < Ξ ► <</p>

Definition

The *k*th *Chow group* of X is $A_k(X) = Z_k(X)/R_k(X)$, the group of *k*-cycles modulo rational equivalence. If $n = \dim X$, we write $A^k(X) = A_{n-k}(X)$.

Example

If X is a normal variety then $A_{n-1}(X) = Cl(X)$ is the group of Weil divisors modulo linear equivalence.

Some Properties of Chow Groups

Proposition

For all $k \geq 0$, $A_k(X) = A_k(X_{red})$.

► < Ξ ► <</p>

Some Properties of Chow Groups

Proposition

For all
$$k \geq 0$$
, $A_k(X) = A_k(X_{red})$.

Proposition

If Y is a closed subscheme of X then for any $k \ge 0$ there is an exact sequence

$$A_k(Y) \longrightarrow A_k(X) \longrightarrow A_k(X \setminus Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

with the maps given by inclusion and restriction respectively.

Some Properties of Chow Groups

Proposition

For all
$$k \geq 0$$
, $A_k(X) = A_k(X_{red})$.

Proposition

If Y is a closed subscheme of X then for any $k \ge 0$ there is an exact sequence

$$A_k(Y) \longrightarrow A_k(X) \longrightarrow A_k(X \setminus Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

with the maps given by inclusion and restriction respectively.

Proposition

$$A_k(\mathbb{A}^n) = 0$$
 for all $0 \le k \le n-1$, and $A_n(\mathbb{A}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with generator $[\mathbb{A}^n]$.

Now let $X = X(\Delta)$ be a toric variety. X is normal, so $A_{n-1}(X) = \operatorname{Cl}(X)$.

▶ < Ξ > <</p>

Now let $X = X(\Delta)$ be a toric variety. X is normal, so $A_{n-1}(X) = \operatorname{Cl}(X)$.

Last Time

The toric Weil divisors generate the group $A_{n-1}(X)$.

Now let $X = X(\Delta)$ be a toric variety. X is normal, so $A_{n-1}(X) = \operatorname{Cl}(X)$.

Last Time

The toric Weil divisors generate the group $A_{n-1}(X)$.

Proposition

The Chow group $A_k(X)$ is generated by the classes of the orbit closures $V(\sigma) = X(\operatorname{star}(\sigma))$ of the (n - k)-dimensional cones $\sigma \in \Delta$.

Proposition

The Chow group $A_k(X)$ is generated by the classes of the orbit closures $V(\sigma) = X(\operatorname{star}(\sigma))$ of the (n - k)-dimensional cones $\sigma \in \Delta$.

Proposition

The Chow group $A_k(X)$ is generated by the classes of the orbit closures $V(\sigma) = X(\operatorname{star}(\sigma))$ of the (n - k)-dimensional cones $\sigma \in \Delta$.

Let $X_i = \bigcup_{\dim \sigma \ge n-i} V(\sigma)$, and give X_i the reduced subscheme structure. Then we have a filtration by closed subschemes $X = X_n \supset X_{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset X_{-1} = \emptyset$ and

$$X_i \setminus X_{i-1} = \bigsqcup_{\dim \sigma = n-i} \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}$$

using the orbit/orbit closure relations from Week 4.

Proposition

The Chow group $A_k(X)$ is generated by the classes of the orbit closures $V(\sigma) = X(\operatorname{star}(\sigma))$ of the (n - k)-dimensional cones $\sigma \in \Delta$.

Let $X_i = \bigcup_{\dim \sigma \ge n-i} V(\sigma)$, and give X_i the reduced subscheme structure. Then we have a filtration by closed subschemes $X = X_n \supset X_{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset X_{-1} = \emptyset$ and

$$X_i \setminus X_{i-1} = \bigsqcup_{\dim \sigma = n-i} \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}$$

using the orbit/orbit closure relations from Week 4. We argue by induction on i. Consider the exact sequence

$$A_k(X_{i-1}) \longrightarrow A_k(X_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\dim \sigma = n-i} A_k(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition

The Chow group $A_k(X)$ is generated by the classes of the orbit closures $V(\sigma) = X(\operatorname{star}(\sigma))$ of the (n - k)-dimensional cones $\sigma \in \Delta$.

Let $X_i = \bigcup_{\dim \sigma \ge n-i} V(\sigma)$, and give X_i the reduced subscheme structure. Then we have a filtration by closed subschemes $X = X_n \supset X_{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset X_{-1} = \emptyset$ and

$$X_i \setminus X_{i-1} = \bigsqcup_{\dim \sigma = n-i} \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}$$

using the orbit/orbit closure relations from Week 4. We argue by induction on i. Consider the exact sequence

$$A_k(X_{i-1}) \longrightarrow A_k(X_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\dim \sigma = n-i} A_k(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

$A_k(X_{i-1}) \longrightarrow A_k(X_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\dim \sigma = n-i} A_k(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) \longrightarrow 0.$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

э

$$A_k(X_{i-1}) \longrightarrow A_k(X_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\dim \sigma = n-i} A_k(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have that each orbit \mathcal{O}_{σ} is an open subscheme of \mathbb{A}^{i} , so $A_{i}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}]$ and $A_{k}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) = 0$ for $k \neq i$. Moreover the map $A_{k}(X_{i}) \rightarrow A_{k}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma})$ sends $[V(\tau)]$ to $[\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}]$ if $\tau = \sigma$ and to 0 if $\tau \neq \sigma$.

$$A_k(X_{i-1}) \longrightarrow A_k(X_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\dim \sigma = n-i} A_k(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have that each orbit \mathcal{O}_{σ} is an open subscheme of \mathbb{A}^{i} , so $A_{i}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}]$ and $A_{k}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) = 0$ for $k \neq i$. Moreover the map $A_{k}(X_{i}) \rightarrow A_{k}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma})$ sends $[V(\tau)]$ to $[\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}]$ if $\tau = \sigma$ and to 0 if $\tau \neq \sigma$. By dimension considerations $A_{i}(X_{i-1}) = 0$ so the map $A_{i}(X_{i}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\dim \sigma = n-i} A_{i}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma})$ is an isomorphism.

$$A_k(X_{i-1}) \longrightarrow A_k(X_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\dim \sigma = n-i} A_k(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have that each orbit \mathcal{O}_{σ} is an open subscheme of \mathbb{A}^{i} , so $A_{i}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}]$ and $A_{k}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) = 0$ for $k \neq i$. Moreover the map $A_{k}(X_{i}) \rightarrow A_{k}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma})$ sends $[V(\tau)]$ to $[\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}]$ if $\tau = \sigma$ and to 0 if $\tau \neq \sigma$. By dimension considerations $A_{i}(X_{i-1}) = 0$ so the map $A_{i}(X_{i}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\dim \sigma = n-i} A_{i}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma})$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, for k < i we have $\bigoplus_{\dim \sigma = n-i} A_{k}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}) = 0$, so $A_{k}(X_{i-1})$ surjects onto $A_{k}(X_{i})$. We are then done by induction.

→ < Ξ → <</p>

Definition

The support supp(D) of D is the union of the codimension one subvarieties W of X such that $ord_W(D) \neq 0$.

.

Definition

The support $\operatorname{supp}(D)$ of D is the union of the codimension one subvarieties W of X such that $\operatorname{ord}_W(D) \neq 0$. If V is an irreducible subvariety, we say D meets V properly if $V \not\subset \operatorname{supp}(D)$.

Definition

The support $\operatorname{supp}(D)$ of D is the union of the codimension one subvarieties W of X such that $\operatorname{ord}_W(D) \neq 0$. If V is an irreducible subvariety, we say D meets V properly if $V \not\subset \operatorname{supp}(D)$.

Suppose D meets the irreducible subvariety V properly. We may then form the *intersection cycle*

$$D \cdot V := [D|_V] \in \operatorname{WDiv}(V).$$

Intersection Cycles on Toric Varieties

Now assume $X = X(\Delta)$ is toric, $D = \sum a_i D_i$ is *T*-Cartier and $V = V(\sigma)$. Then $D|_V$ is also *T*-Cartier, so

$$D \cdot V(\sigma) = \sum b_{\gamma} V(\gamma),$$

where the sum ranges over all cones γ containing σ with $\dim(\gamma) = \dim(\sigma) + 1$.

Intersection Cycles on Toric Varieties

Now assume $X = X(\Delta)$ is toric, $D = \sum a_i D_i$ is *T*-Cartier and $V = V(\sigma)$. Then $D|_V$ is also *T*-Cartier, so

$$D \cdot V(\sigma) = \sum b_{\gamma} V(\gamma),$$

where the sum ranges over all cones γ containing σ with $\dim(\gamma) = \dim(\sigma) + 1$.

We can compute the b_{γ} as follows. Suppose γ is spanned by σ and a finite set of minimal edge vectors v_i for $i \in I_{\gamma}$. The lattice N_{γ}/N_{σ} is one-dimensional; let e be the generator of this lattice such that the image of each v_i is a positive integer multiple s_i of e.

Intersection Cycles on Toric Varieties

Now assume $X = X(\Delta)$ is toric, $D = \sum a_i D_i$ is *T*-Cartier and $V = V(\sigma)$. Then $D|_V$ is also *T*-Cartier, so

$$D \cdot V(\sigma) = \sum b_{\gamma} V(\gamma),$$

where the sum ranges over all cones γ containing σ with $\dim(\gamma) = \dim(\sigma) + 1$.

We can compute the b_{γ} as follows. Suppose γ is spanned by σ and a finite set of minimal edge vectors v_i for $i \in I_{\gamma}$. The lattice N_{γ}/N_{σ} is one-dimensional; let e be the generator of this lattice such that the image of each v_i is a positive integer multiple s_i of e.

Claim

 $b_{\gamma} = a_i/s_i$ for all $i \in I_{\gamma}$, where a_i is the coefficient in D of $v_i \leftrightarrow D_i$.

 $b_{\gamma} = a_i/s_i$ for all $i \in I_{\gamma}$, where a_i is the coefficient in D of $v_i \leftrightarrow D_i$.

 $b_{\gamma} = a_i/s_i$ for all $i \in I_{\gamma}$, where a_i is the coefficient in D of $v_i \leftrightarrow D_i$.

Fix a cone γ containing σ as a facet and let $u(\gamma) \in M/M(\gamma)$ be the linear function on γ corresponding to D:

$$\Gamma(U_{\gamma},\mathcal{O}(D)) = \mathbb{C}[S_{\gamma}] \cdot \chi^{u(\gamma)} \iff D|_{U_{\gamma}} = \operatorname{div}(\chi^{-u(\gamma)}).$$

 $b_{\gamma} = a_i/s_i$ for all $i \in I_{\gamma}$, where a_i is the coefficient in D of $v_i \leftrightarrow D_i$.

Fix a cone γ containing σ as a facet and let $u(\gamma) \in M/M(\gamma)$ be the linear function on γ corresponding to D:

$$\Gamma(U_{\gamma}, \mathcal{O}(D)) = \mathbb{C}[S_{\gamma}] \cdot \chi^{u(\gamma)} \iff D|_{U_{\gamma}} = \operatorname{div}(\chi^{-u(\gamma)}).$$

The condition that $V(\sigma) \not\subset \operatorname{supp}(D)$ translates as $u(\gamma) \in M(\sigma)/M(\gamma)$. By passing to $\operatorname{Star}(\sigma)$ and using the formula $\operatorname{ord}_{V(\tau)}(\operatorname{div}(\chi^u)) = \langle u, v_\tau \rangle$, we have

$$b_{\gamma} = -\langle u(\gamma), e \rangle.$$

 $b_{\gamma} = a_i/s_i$ for all $i \in I_{\gamma}$, where a_i is the coefficient in D of $v_i \leftrightarrow D_i$.

→ < Ξ → <</p>

 $b_{\gamma} = a_i/s_i$ for all $i \in I_{\gamma}$, where a_i is the coefficient in D of $v_i \leftrightarrow D_i$.

On the other hand, we have

$$a_i = -\langle u(\gamma), v_i \rangle.$$

As $u(\gamma) \in M(\sigma)/M(\gamma)$ and as the image of v_i in N_{γ}/N_{σ} is $s_i e_i$, it follows that

$$a_i = -\langle u(\gamma), s_i e \rangle = s_i b_{\gamma}$$

as claimed.

In the case where X is non-singular, there is only one element $i = i(\gamma) \in I_{\gamma}$, and $s_i = 1$. Hence $b_{\gamma} = a_{i(\gamma)}$. In other words,

$$D_k \cdot V(\sigma) = egin{cases} V(\gamma) & ext{if } \sigma ext{ and } v_k ext{ span a cone } \gamma \in \Delta, \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the case where X is non-singular, there is only one element $i = i(\gamma) \in I_{\gamma}$, and $s_i = 1$. Hence $b_{\gamma} = a_{i(\gamma)}$. In other words,

$$D_k \cdot V(\sigma) = egin{cases} V(\gamma) & ext{if } \sigma ext{ and } v_k ext{ span a cone } \gamma \in \Delta, \\ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Fact

In the former case, D_k and $V(\sigma)$ meet transversally. In the latter case, D_k and $V(\sigma)$ are disjoint.
Let *D* be a Cartier divisor on a variety *X*. Suppose this time *V* is an irreducible subvariety contained in $\operatorname{supp}(D)$. We can still make sense of $D \cdot V$ as an element of $A_{\dim V-1}(V)$, by first finding a Cartier divisor *E* on *V* such that $\mathcal{O}_V(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D)|_V$, then setting $D \cdot V$ to be the rational equivalence class of the cycle corresponding to *E*. Let *D* be a Cartier divisor on a variety *X*. Suppose this time *V* is an irreducible subvariety contained in $\operatorname{supp}(D)$. We can still make sense of $D \cdot V$ as an element of $A_{\dim V-1}(V)$, by first finding a Cartier divisor *E* on *V* such that $\mathcal{O}_V(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D)|_V$, then setting $D \cdot V$ to be the rational equivalence class of the cycle corresponding to *E*.

If $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ is such that V is not contained in the support of $D' = D + \operatorname{div}(f)$, then $D \cdot V$ is represented by the cycle $D' \cdot V$ defined previously, since rationally equivalent divisors on X determine rationally equivalent cycles on V.

If $X = X(\Delta)$ is toric, but this time $V(\sigma)$ is contained in the support of the *T*-Cartier divisor *D*, one can check that $D' = D + \operatorname{div}(\chi^u)$ works, where $u \in M$ is any element mapping to $u(\sigma) \in M/M(\sigma)$, where $u(\sigma)$ is the linear function on σ corresponding to *D*.

Let X be a non-singular quasi-projective variety over \mathbb{C} .

Let X be a non-singular quasi-projective variety over \mathbb{C} .

Theorem

There exists a unique associative, commutative, graded ring structure with identity on $A^{\bullet}(X)$, called the intersection pairing, satisfying the axioms A1-A7 of Hartshorne Appendix A.

• If Y and Z are subvarieties of X which intersect properly, meaning that every irreducible component of $Y \cap Z$ has codimension equal to $\operatorname{codim}(Y) + \operatorname{codim}(Z)$, then $Y \cdot Z = \sum i(Y, Z; W_j)W_j$, where the sum runs over the irreducible components W_j of $Y \cap Z$ and where the integer $i(Y, Z; W_j)$ depends only on a neighbourhood of the generic point of W_j on X.

- If Y and Z are subvarieties of X which intersect properly, meaning that every irreducible component of $Y \cap Z$ has codimension equal to $\operatorname{codim}(Y) + \operatorname{codim}(Z)$, then $Y \cdot Z = \sum i(Y, Z; W_j)W_j$, where the sum runs over the irreducible components W_j of $Y \cap Z$ and where the integer $i(Y, Z; W_j)$ depends only on a neighbourhood of the generic point of W_j on X.
- If Y is a subvariety of X and if Z is an effective Cartier divisor meeting Y properly, then Y · Z is the cycle associated to the Cartier divisor Y ∩ Z on Y.

- If Y and Z are subvarieties of X which intersect properly, meaning that every irreducible component of $Y \cap Z$ has codimension equal to $\operatorname{codim}(Y) + \operatorname{codim}(Z)$, then $Y \cdot Z = \sum i(Y, Z; W_j)W_j$, where the sum runs over the irreducible components W_j of $Y \cap Z$ and where the integer $i(Y, Z; W_j)$ depends only on a neighbourhood of the generic point of W_j on X.
- If Y is a subvariety of X and if Z is an effective Cartier divisor meeting Y properly, then Y · Z is the cycle associated to the Cartier divisor Y ∩ Z on Y.

In particular, if Y and Z are non-singular subvarieties intersecting transversally (i.e. $T_pY + T_pZ = T_pX$ for all $p \in Y \cap Z$), then each $i(Y, Z; W_j) = 1$.

• • = • • = •

If $X = X(\Delta)$ is any toric variety, and if $\sigma, \tau \in \Delta$ are cones, then as schemes $V(\sigma) \cap V(\tau) = V(\gamma)$ if σ and τ span the cone γ , and $V(\sigma) \cap V(\tau) = \emptyset$ if σ and τ do not span a cone; to see this, recall that $V(\sigma)$ has the affine open cover $\{U_{\rho}(\sigma)\}$, where ρ varies over all cones in Δ containing σ as a face. If $X = X(\Delta)$ is any toric variety, and if $\sigma, \tau \in \Delta$ are cones, then as schemes $V(\sigma) \cap V(\tau) = V(\gamma)$ if σ and τ span the cone γ , and $V(\sigma) \cap V(\tau) = \emptyset$ if σ and τ do not span a cone; to see this, recall that $V(\sigma)$ has the affine open cover $\{U_{\rho}(\sigma)\}$, where ρ varies over all cones in Δ containing σ as a face.

Fact

Assume X is non-singular, and $\sigma \in \Delta$ has minimal generators v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k} . Then $V(\sigma)$ is the transversal intersection of the divisors D_{i_1}, \ldots, D_{i_k} .

If $X = X(\Delta)$ is any toric variety, and if $\sigma, \tau \in \Delta$ are cones, then as schemes $V(\sigma) \cap V(\tau) = V(\gamma)$ if σ and τ span the cone γ , and $V(\sigma) \cap V(\tau) = \emptyset$ if σ and τ do not span a cone; to see this, recall that $V(\sigma)$ has the affine open cover $\{U_{\rho}(\sigma)\}$, where ρ varies over all cones in Δ containing σ as a face.

Fact

Assume X is non-singular, and $\sigma \in \Delta$ has minimal generators v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k} . Then $V(\sigma)$ is the transversal intersection of the divisors D_{i_1}, \ldots, D_{i_k} .

As a consequence, if $V(\sigma)$ and $V(\tau)$ have non-empty and proper intersection, then $V(\sigma) \cdot V(\tau) = V(\gamma)$ in $A^{\bullet}(X)$. As the classes $V(\sigma)$ generate the Chow ring, this completely determines the intersection pairing on X!

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Consider \mathbb{P}^2 with its usual fan. The orbit closure of the unique 0-dimensional cone is the whole of \mathbb{P}^2 , so $A^0(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}^2] \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider \mathbb{P}^2 with its usual fan. The orbit closure of the unique 0-dimensional cone is the whole of \mathbb{P}^2 , so $A^0(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}^2] \cong \mathbb{Z}$. $A^1(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is generated by the orbit closures of the three 1-dimensional cones; these orbit closures are the lines $\{x_0 = 0\}$, $\{x_1 = 0\}$ and $\{x_2 = 0\}$. But all of these lines are rationally (in fact linearly) equivalent, so $A^1(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$ is generated by the class of a line. Consider \mathbb{P}^2 with its usual fan. The orbit closure of the unique 0-dimensional cone is the whole of \mathbb{P}^2 , so $A^0(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}^2] \cong \mathbb{Z}$. $A^1(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is generated by the orbit closures of the three 1-dimensional cones; these orbit closures are the lines $\{x_0 = 0\}$, $\{x_1 = 0\}$ and $\{x_2 = 0\}$. But all of these lines are rationally (in fact linearly) equivalent, so $A^1(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$ is generated by the class of a line. Similarly the orbit closures of the three 2-dimensional cones are rationally equivalent, so $A^2(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}[P]$ is generated by the class of a point. It follows that the only non-trivial intersection product is $[H] \cdot [H]$. But we can compute this by choosing two of the one-dimensional cones σ and τ and using the formula

$$[H]^2 = [V(\sigma)] \cdot [V(\tau)] = [V(\gamma)],$$

where γ is the unique 2-dimensional cone which has σ and τ as faces. In other words we have $[H]^2 = [P]$, so

$$A^{ullet}(\mathbb{P}^2) = rac{\mathbb{Z}[H]}{[H]^3}, \quad \mathsf{deg}([H]) = 1.$$

It follows that the only non-trivial intersection product is $[H] \cdot [H]$. But we can compute this by choosing two of the one-dimensional cones σ and τ and using the formula

$$[H]^2 = [V(\sigma)] \cdot [V(\tau)] = [V(\gamma)],$$

where γ is the unique 2-dimensional cone which has σ and τ as faces. In other words we have $[H]^2 = [P]$, so

$$A^{ullet}(\mathbb{P}^2) = rac{\mathbb{Z}[H]}{[H]^3}, \quad \mathsf{deg}([H]) = 1.$$

This generalises: $A^{\bullet}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \mathbb{Z}[H]/[H]^{n+1}$, with $[H] \in A^1(\mathbb{P}^n)$ the class of a hyperplane.

Let $X = X(\Delta)$ be a complete non-singular toric variety of dimension *n*. We say that Δ is *good* if there exists an ordering $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$ of the top-dimensional cones of X such that, if $\tau_i \subset \sigma_i$ is the cone formed by intersecting σ_i with all σ_j such that j > iand σ_j meets σ_i in a cone of dimension n - 1, then

$$\tau_i \subset \sigma_j \Rightarrow i \leq j.$$

Let $X = X(\Delta)$ be a complete non-singular toric variety of dimension *n*. We say that Δ is *good* if there exists an ordering $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$ of the top-dimensional cones of X such that, if $\tau_i \subset \sigma_i$ is the cone formed by intersecting σ_i with all σ_j such that j > iand σ_j meets σ_i in a cone of dimension n - 1, then

$$\tau_i \subset \sigma_j \Rightarrow i \leq j.$$

Call such an ordering a good ordering.

Proposition

Any non-singular projective fan is good.

Proposition

Any non-singular projective fan is good.

Proposition

Any non-singular projective fan is good.

Suppose $X(\Delta)$ is projective, so admits a very ample divisor $D = \sum a_i D_i$ corresponding to the strictly Fulton convex/Ibáñez-Núñez concave function ψ . The $u(\sigma)$, as σ ranges over the *n*-dimensional cones in Δ , are the vertices of the polytope

$$P_D = \{ u \in M_{\mathbb{R}} : \langle u, v_i \rangle \ge -a_i = \psi(v_i) \}.$$

Proposition

Any non-singular projective fan is good.

Suppose $X(\Delta)$ is projective, so admits a very ample divisor $D = \sum a_i D_i$ corresponding to the strictly Fulton convex/Ibáñez-Núñez concave function ψ . The $u(\sigma)$, as σ ranges over the *n*-dimensional cones in Δ , are the vertices of the polytope

$$P_D = \{ u \in M_{\mathbb{R}} : \langle u, v_i \rangle \ge -a_i = \psi(v_i) \}.$$

We may choose some $v \in N$ such that the $h(\sigma) = \langle u(\sigma), v \rangle$ are all distinct. We then order the cones σ by their heights:

$$h(\sigma_1) < \cdots < h(\sigma_m).$$

Proposition

Any non-singular projective fan is good.

On the other hand, the fan corresponding to the polytope P_D is exactly Δ (exercise). In particular, there is an inclusion-reversing correspondence between cones in Δ and faces of P_D , and one can check that τ_i is the cone corresponding to the smallest face F_i of P_D containing $u(\sigma_i)$ and all edges connecting $u(\sigma_i)$ to $u(\sigma_j)$ with j > i. As this face contains no $u(\sigma_i)$ with j < i then

$$\tau_i \subset \sigma_j \Rightarrow i \leq j.$$

Suppose Δ is good, complete and non-singular. Then the classes $[V(\tau_i)]$ given by a choice of a good ordering of Δ form a basis for $A_{\bullet}(X) \cong H_{2\bullet}(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$.

→ < Ξ → <</p>

Suppose Δ is good, complete and non-singular. Then the classes $[V(\tau_i)]$ given by a choice of a good ordering of Δ form a basis for $A_{\bullet}(X) \cong H_{2\bullet}(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Pick a good ordering $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m\}$ on Δ . The following properties are straight-forward consequences of the definition of a good ordering:

Suppose Δ is good, complete and non-singular. Then the classes $[V(\tau_i)]$ given by a choice of a good ordering of Δ form a basis for $A_{\bullet}(X) \cong H_{2\bullet}(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Pick a good ordering $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m\}$ on Δ . The following properties are straight-forward consequences of the definition of a good ordering:

So For each γ ∈ Δ there exists a unique i = i(γ) such that τ_i ⊂ γ ⊂ σ_i; i(γ) is the smallest i such that γ ⊂ σ_i.

Suppose Δ is good, complete and non-singular. Then the classes $[V(\tau_i)]$ given by a choice of a good ordering of Δ form a basis for $A_{\bullet}(X) \cong H_{2\bullet}(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Pick a good ordering $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m\}$ on Δ . The following properties are straight-forward consequences of the definition of a good ordering:

- For each γ ∈ Δ there exists a unique i = i(γ) such that τ_i ⊂ γ ⊂ σ_i; i(γ) is the smallest i such that γ ⊂ σ_i.
- 2 If γ is a face of γ' then $i(\gamma) \leq i(\gamma')$.

• • = • • = •

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ set

$$Y_i = \bigcup_{\tau_i \subset \gamma \subset \sigma_i} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{i(\gamma)=i} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma} = V(\tau_i) \cap U_{\sigma_i}$$

and

$$Z_i = \bigcup_{j \ge i} Y_j.$$

→ < Ξ → <</p>

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ set

$$Y_i = \bigcup_{\tau_i \subset \gamma \subset \sigma_i} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{i(\gamma)=i} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma} = V(\tau_i) \cap U_{\sigma_i}$$

and

$$Z_i = \bigcup_{j \ge i} Y_j.$$

Lemma

D Each
$$Z_i$$
 is closed in X , $Z_1 = X$ and $Y_i = Z_i \setminus Z_{i+1}$.

э

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ set

$$Y_i = \bigcup_{\tau_i \subset \gamma \subset \sigma_i} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{i(\gamma)=i} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma} = V(\tau_i) \cap U_{\sigma_i}$$

and

$$Z_i = \bigcup_{j \ge i} Y_j.$$

Lemma

1 Each
$$Z_i$$
 is closed in X , $Z_1 = X$ and $Y_i = Z_i \setminus Z_{i+1}$.

2 Each
$$Y_i \cong \mathbb{A}^{n-k_i}$$
, where $k_i = \dim(\tau_i)$.

э

・日本 ・日本 ・日本

- **1** Each Z_i is closed in X, $Z_1 = X$ and $Y_i = Z_i \setminus Z_{i+1}$.
- **2** Each $Y_i \cong \mathbb{A}^{n-k_i}$, where $k_i = \dim(\tau_i)$.

/□ ▶ ◀ ⋽ ▶ ◀

- **1** Each Z_i is closed in X, $Z_1 = X$ and $Y_i = Z_i \setminus Z_{i+1}$.
- **2** Each $Y_i \cong \mathbb{A}^{n-k_i}$, where $k_i = \dim(\tau_i)$.

From the first consequence of the good ordering property, X is the disjoint union of the Y_i . Each Z_i is closed because of the second consequence and because

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\gamma}} = \bigcup_{\gamma \subset \gamma'} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma'}.$$

The first statement follows.

- **1** Each Z_i is closed in X, $Z_1 = X$ and $Y_i = Z_i \setminus Z_{i+1}$.
- **2** Each $Y_i \cong \mathbb{A}^{n-k_i}$, where $k_i = \dim(\tau_i)$.

御 と く き と く き と

- **1** Each Z_i is closed in X, $Z_1 = X$ and $Y_i = Z_i \setminus Z_{i+1}$.
- **2** Each $Y_i \cong \mathbb{A}^{n-k_i}$, where $k_i = \dim(\tau_i)$.

For the second assertion, recall that any non-singular affine toric variety U_{σ} is a product of affine space $\mathbb{A}^{\dim \sigma}$ with a torus $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{\operatorname{codim}(\sigma)}$. We have that $Y_{i} = V(\tau_{i}) \cap U_{\sigma_{i}}$ is an affine open of $V(\tau_{i})$ corresponding to a maximal $(n - k_{i})$ -dimensional cone in $N(\tau_{i}) = N/N_{\tau_{i}}$; consequently $Y_{i} \cong \mathbb{A}^{n-k_{i}}$.

To prove the theorem, we will use descending induction on i to show that the canonical map $A_{\bullet}(Z_i) \to H_{2\bullet}((Z_i)_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, with these groups having as a basis the classes of the $V(\tau_j) = \overline{Y_j}$ for $j \ge i$.

To prove the theorem, we will use descending induction on i to show that the canonical map $A_{\bullet}(Z_i) \to H_{2\bullet}((Z_i)_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, with these groups having as a basis the classes of the $V(\tau_j) = \overline{Y_j}$ for $j \ge i$. We have a commutative diagram with exact rows

where the bottom row is the LES in Borel-Moore homology (singular homology with locally finite singular chains).
Singular Homology of Toric Varieties

 Y_i is an affine space, so $A_{\bullet}(Y_i) \cong H_{2\bullet}^{BM}(Y_i, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[Y_i]$ (in particular this covers the base case $Z_m = Y_m$).

 Y_i is an affine space, so $A_{\bullet}(Y_i) \cong H_{2\bullet}^{BM}(Y_i, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[Y_i]$ (in particular this covers the base case $Z_m = Y_m$). By the induction hypothesis, the first vertical arrow is an isomorphism, $A_{\bullet}(Z_{i+1})$ is freely generated by the classes $[V(\tau_j)]$ for $j \ge i+1$ and $H_q(Z_{i+1}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for q odd.

 Y_i is an affine space, so $A_{\bullet}(Y_i) \cong H_{2\bullet}^{BM}(Y_i, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[Y_i]$ (in particular this covers the base case $Z_m = Y_m$). By the induction hypothesis, the first vertical arrow is an isomorphism, $A_{\bullet}(Z_{i+1})$ is freely generated by the classes $[V(\tau_j)]$ for $j \ge i + 1$ and $H_q(Z_{i+1}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for q odd. By a diagram chase, it follows that the middle vertical arrow is an isomorphism, and that $A_{\bullet}(Z_i)$ is freely generated by the classes $[V(\tau_j)]$ for $j \ge i$.

Corollary

Let $X(\Delta)$ be a non-singular projective toric variety. Let d_k denote the number of k-dimensional cones in Δ and let $\beta_k = \operatorname{rank}(A_k(X)) = \operatorname{rank}(H_{2k}(X_{\mathrm{an}},\mathbb{Z}))$. Then

$$d_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n-j}{n-k} \beta_{n-j}$$

equivalently

$$\beta_k = \sum_{j=k}^n (-1)^{j-k} \binom{j}{k} d_{n-j}.$$

It suffices to prove the first equation. Use the polytope P_D from before. Then specifying a k-dimensional cone γ with $\tau_i \subset \gamma \subset \sigma_i$ is equivalent to specifying a (n-k)-dimensional face of P_D contained in the $(n-k_i)$ -dimensional face F_i and containing the vertex $u(\sigma_i)$; i.e., specifying (n-k) distinct vertices of F_i , all of which are distinct from $u(\sigma_i)$. The number of such choices is $\binom{n-k_i}{n-k}$. It suffices to prove the first equation. Use the polytope P_D from before. Then specifying a k-dimensional cone γ with $\tau_i \subset \gamma \subset \sigma_i$ is equivalent to specifying a (n-k)-dimensional face of P_D contained in the $(n-k_i)$ -dimensional face F_i and containing the vertex $u(\sigma_i)$; i.e., specifying (n-k) distinct vertices of F_i , all of which are distinct from $u(\sigma_i)$. The number of such choices is $\binom{n-k_i}{n-k}$. Then

$$d_k = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\substack{\tau_j \subset \gamma \subset \sigma_j \\ \dim \gamma = k}} 1 = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n-j}{n-k} \beta_{n-j},$$

as β_q equals the number of τ_i with dim $(\tau_i) = q$ (of course, by Poincaré duality $\beta_q = \beta_{n-q}$).

Singular Cohomology of Toric Varieties

It is possible to describe the cup-product/intersection pairing on non-singular projective toric varieties:

Singular Cohomology of Toric Varieties

It is possible to describe the cup-product/intersection pairing on non-singular projective toric varieties:

Proposition

Let $X = X(\Delta)$ be a non-singular projective toric variety. Then as rings,

$$A^{\bullet}(X) \cong H^{\bullet}(X_{\mathrm{an}},\mathbb{Z}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[D_1,\ldots,D_d]}{l},$$

where the D_i are the irreducible T-divisors (with corresponding minimal generators v_i), and where I is the ideal generated by the following elements:

Singular Cohomology of Toric Varieties

It is possible to describe the cup-product/intersection pairing on non-singular projective toric varieties:

Proposition

Let $X = X(\Delta)$ be a non-singular projective toric variety. Then as rings,

$$A^{\bullet}(X) \cong H^{\bullet}(X_{\mathrm{an}},\mathbb{Z}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[D_1,\ldots,D_d]}{I},$$

where the D_i are the irreducible T-divisors (with corresponding minimal generators v_i), and where I is the ideal generated by the following elements:

•
$$D_{i_1} \cdots D_{i_k}$$
 for v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k} not in a cone of Δ ;
• $\sum_{i=1}^d \langle u, v_i \rangle D_i$ for each $u \in M$.