

Stochastic Simulation: Lecture 15

Prof. Mike Giles

Oxford University Mathematical Institute

Objective

Want to estimate expectations

$$\mathbb{E}[P(X)]$$

where X is a random variable from a distribution for which the p.d.f. $p(x)$ falls into one of the following two categories:

- ▶ $p(x) \propto \exp(-V(x))$, but the constant of proportionality is unknown
- ▶ $p(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} p_T(x)$ where $p_T(x)$ is the p.d.f. of solutions to an SDE at time T , subject to initial data X_0 at time $t=0$

Objective

Let's start by considering the autonomous SDE

$$dX_t = f(X_t) dt + g(X_t) dW_t$$

subject to initial data X_0 .

The Fokker-Planck (forward Kolmogorov) equation for the p.d.f. $p(x, t)$ is

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f_i p) + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (D_{ij} p)$$

where $D = \frac{1}{2} g g^T$, and subject to initial data $p(x, 0) = \delta(x - X_0)$

Objective

If the drift $f(x)$ is locally Lipschitz and satisfies the dissipative condition

$$\langle x, f(x) \rangle \leq -\alpha \|x\|^2 + \beta$$

for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and $g(x)$ is bounded, then the system is ergodic and the p.d.f. $p(x, t)$ converges exponentially to an invariant distribution $p_\infty(x)$,

$$\|p(x, t) - p_\infty(x)\| \propto \exp(-\lambda_1 t)$$

where λ_1 is the smallest eigenvalue given by

$$-\lambda_1 p = -\sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f_i p) + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (D_{ij} p)$$

Objective

Our objective is to estimate $\mathbb{E}[P(X)]$ where the expectation is with respect to the invariant distribution $p_\infty(x)$.

We want to do this in high dimensions, so can't afford to solve the steady state PDE. Instead, we want to estimate

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[P(X_T)]$$

How best to do this?

MLMC

Answer: use MLMC (of course!)

Initial idea:

- ▶ use standard MLMC for SDEs (e.g. $h_\ell \propto 2^{-\ell}$) for fixed T , to estimate $\mathbb{E}[P(X_T)]$
- ▶ increase T , see how much the answer changes, estimate error due to T , repeat as needed

Two problems:

- ▶ not elegant
- ▶ there is a more fundamental problem in some cases

MLMC

The elegant solution: change both the timestep and T with level, for example

$$h_\ell = 2^{-\ell}, \quad T_\ell = (\ell + 1) \log 2 / \lambda_1$$

Why this choice? Because weak error is

$$O\left(h_L + \exp(-\lambda_1 T_L)\right) = O(2^{-L})$$

(In practice, can err on the side of underestimating λ_1)

This way we automatically achieve weak convergence as $L \rightarrow \infty$, but now we have a new problem: coupling between coarse and fine paths

MLMC

If we start the coarse and fine path calculations at time $t=0$, but run the fine path for longer, then $\widehat{X}_{T_\ell}^{(f)} - \widehat{X}_{T_{\ell-1}}^{(c)}$ will not be small.

The solution to this comes from a paper by Glynn & Rhee on Markov chains, which was adapted to SDEs by Fang & Giles.

Start fine path calculation at time $-T_\ell$, and start coarse path a bit later at time $-T_{\ell-1}$.

Both paths share the same driving Brownian motion W_t for $-T_{\ell-1} < t < 0$, and the “final” values are taken at time 0.

MLMC

This approach works well for contractive SDEs for which

$$\langle x-y, f(x)-f(y), \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|g(x)-g(y)\|^2 \leq -\lambda \|x-y\|^2$$

for $\lambda > 0$, in which case for $t > s$

$$\mathbb{E} [\|X_t - Y_t\|^2] < \exp(-2\lambda(t-s)) \|X_s - Y_s\|^2$$

so the effect of the initial difference $X_{-T_{\ell-1}}^{(f)} - X_{-T_{\ell-1}}^{(c)}$ decays exponentially.

Bonus: under the same contraction condition, we have uniform strong convergence so that we can achieve

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\widehat{X}^{(f)} - \widehat{X}^{(c)}\|^2] < c h_\ell^{2\sigma}$$

where σ is the strong order of convergence

MLMC

This last point hints at the second more fundamental problem: what happens when the SDE is not contractive?

Going back to simulating on a time interval $[0, T]$, standard numerical analysis gives

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|\widehat{X}_T^{(f)} - \widehat{X}_T^{(c)}\|^2 \right] < c \exp(2\mu T) h_\ell^{2\sigma}$$

for some $\mu > 0$.

This exponential growth in time really can happen – consider a chaotic ODE to which a little noise is added.

So, increasing T to reduce the weak error can make the MLMC variance much worse, to the point that MLMC is useless.

MLMC

This problem can be fixed by introducing a “spring” between the coarse and fine paths:

$$dX_t^{(f)} = \left(f(X_t^{(f)}) + \sigma (X_t^{(c)} - X_t^{(f)}) \right) dt + g(X_t^{(f)}) dW_t$$

$$dX_t^{(c)} = \left(f(X_t^{(c)}) + \sigma (X_t^{(f)} - X_t^{(c)}) \right) dt + g(X_t^{(c)}) dW_t$$

A strong enough spring constant σ ensures the two paths do not diverge exponentially.

The introduction of the spring implies a change of measure – this is corrected for by a Radon-Nikodym derivative so final output is

$$R_\ell P(X_\ell) - R_{\ell-1} P(X_{\ell-1})$$

This works, both in theory and in practice, even for chaotic SDEs.

MCMC

When g is the identity matrix, if the SDE can be written as

$$dX_t = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla V(X_t) dt + dW_t$$

then the invariant probability distribution is proportional to $\exp(-V(x))$.

An alternative approach to sampling from this distribution is the Markov Chain Monte Method – the simplest example is the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm:

- ▶ Generate a candidate X^* (e.g. from Normal distribution centred on X^n)
- ▶ With probability $\min(1, \exp(-V(X^*))/\exp(-V(X^n)))$ set $X^{n+1} = X^*$; otherwise set $X^{n+1} = X^n$

MCMC

This produces a sequence of values X^n , whose distribution approaches the target distribution after an initial “burn-in” period.

Note: successive values are clearly strongly correlated, the variance based on N consecutive sample is greater than it would be for independent samples.

The art of MCMC is in the construction of good candidates; too small a step means it takes many steps to sample the whole distribution, but too large a step leads to frequent rejection and hence less movement.

$O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ complexity if samples have $O(1)$ cost, but multilevel ideas have been developed for most costly applications

Key references

P.W. Glynn and C.-H. Rhee. 'Exact estimation for Markov chain equilibrium expectations'. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 51A:377-389, 2014.

W. Fang, M.B. Giles. 'Adaptive Euler-Maruyama method for SDEs with non-globally Lipschitz drift'. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 30(2):526-560, 2020.

W. Fang, M.B. Giles. 'Multilevel Monte Carlo method for ergodic SDEs without contractivity'. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 476(1):149-176, 2019.

Key references

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Markov_chain_Monte_Carlo

V.H. Hoang, Ch. Schwab, A.M. Stuart. 'Complexity analysis of accelerated MCMC methods for Bayesian inversion'. *Inverse Problems*, 29(8), 2013.

T.J. Dodwell, C. Ketelsen, R. Scheichl, A.L. Teckentrup. 'A hierarchical multilevel Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm with applications to uncertainty quantification in subsurface flow'. *SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification*, 3(1):1075-1108, 2015

A. Jasra, K. Kamatani, K.J.H. Law, Y. Zhou. 'A multi-index Markov chain Monte Carlo method'. *International Journal for Uncertainty Quantification*, 8(1):61-73, 2018.