

#### b4 Analysis MT 2003 Sheet 4 Solutions

1. When  $f \in L^1$  then  $f\chi_{[0,t]} \in L^1$  also, and so we can indeed define  $g(t) = \int f\chi_{[0,t]}$ . Now suppose that  $(t_n)$  is a sequence converging to  $t$ . We have  $f(s)\chi_{[0,t_n]}(s) \rightarrow f(s)\chi_{[0,t]}$  except on the null set  $\{t\}$ . Thus the function  $g$  is continuous by the DCT (with  $|f|$  as dominating function). The map  $J : L^1[0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[0,1]$  is clearly linear and the inequality  $|g(t)| = |\int f\chi_{[0,t]}| \leq \int |f| = \|f\|_1$ , valid for all  $t$ , shows that  $\|g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1$ . Thus  $J$  is bounded, with  $\|J\| \leq 1$ .

To show that  $\|J\| = 1$ , we consider the function  $f = \chi_{[0,1]}$ . We have  $(Jf)(t) = t$ , and  $\|f\|_1 = \int_0^1 1 dt = 1$ , so that  $\|J\| \geq \|Jf\|_\infty \geq (Jf)(1) = 1$ .

2. If  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \ell^2$  then  $\sum x_n y_n$  converges with  $\sum x_n y_n \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$  by Cauchy-Schwarz. Thus, given  $\mathbf{y}$ , we may define  $\phi$  by the formula given. The function  $\phi$  is patently linear and the inequality  $|\phi(\mathbf{x})| \leq \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$  shows that  $\phi$  is in  $(\ell^2)^*$  with  $\|\phi\| \leq \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$ . The mapping  $J : \ell^2 \rightarrow (\ell^2)^*$  given by  $J(\mathbf{y}) = \phi$  is linear since

$$\begin{aligned} J(\lambda\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}')(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum (\lambda y_n + y'_n) x_n \\ &= \lambda \sum y_n x_n + \sum x_n y'_n \\ &= \lambda J(\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{x}) + J(\mathbf{y}')(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= (\lambda J(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{y}'))(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

the last step following from the definition of vector space operations on dual spaces. So  $J$  is linear with  $\|J\| \leq 1$ .

We shall now show that  $J$  is isometric. Consider any  $\mathbf{y} \in \ell^2$ . Since  $J(0) = 0$ , we only have to show that  $\|J(\mathbf{y})\| = \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$  when  $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . To do this, consider  $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = (\bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_1, \dots)$ . [Of course this is just  $\mathbf{y}$  in the real case.] We note that  $\|\bar{\mathbf{y}}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$ , so that

$$\|J(\mathbf{y})\| \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \geq J(\mathbf{y})(\bar{\mathbf{y}}) = \sum |y_n|^2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|_2^2.$$

Hence  $\|J(\mathbf{y})\| \geq \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$  as required.

Finally we prove that  $J$  is surjective. Let  $\theta$  be in the dual of  $\ell^2$  and define  $y_n = \theta(\mathbf{e}_n)$ ; we shall show that  $\mathbf{y} \in \ell^2$  and that  $\Phi(\mathbf{y}) = \theta$ . Now, for any  $N$ , the linear combination  $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{n=0}^N \bar{y}_n \mathbf{e}_n$  is in  $\ell^2$  and so satisfies

$$\|\theta\| \|\mathbf{z}\| \geq \theta(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^N |y_n|^2.$$

Thus, either  $\mathbf{y} = 0$  in which case there is no problem, or else, for all  $N$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^N |y_n|^2 \leq \|\theta\| \|\mathbf{z}\| = \|\theta\| \left( \sum_{n=0}^N |y_n|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

which implies that  $\sum_{n=0}^N |y_n|^2$  converges to a limit which is at most  $\|\theta\|^2$ .

So  $\mathbf{y}$  is in  $\ell^2$  and  $J(\mathbf{y})$  agrees with  $\theta$  at all the unit vectors  $\mathbf{e}_n$ . Since the linear span of these vectors is dense in  $\ell^2$  and the functionals  $J(\mathbf{y}), \theta$  are continuous, we see that  $J(\mathbf{y}) = \theta$ .

3. (i) For any  $\mathbf{x} \in X$  and any  $N$ , we have

$$\|\mathbf{x} - x_0 \mathbf{a} - \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \frac{1}{2} x_0) \mathbf{e}_n\|_\infty = \sup_{n > N} |(x_n - \frac{1}{2} x_0)|,$$

and this tends to 0 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , because  $x_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} x_0$ . This proves the density of  $\text{Sp}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots)$ .

(ii) As usual, when  $\mathbf{y} \in \ell^1$  we may define  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  (for  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ ) to be the sum  $\sum x_n y_n$  (which converges absolutely by comparison with  $\sum \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty |y_n|$ ). It is clear that  $\phi$  is linear, and  $\phi$  is bounded with  $\|\phi\| \leq \|\mathbf{y}\|_1$ , by the inequality  $|\sum x_n y_n| \leq \|\mathbf{y}\|_1 \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty$ . As usual,  $\Phi(\mathbf{y}) = \phi$  defines a bounded linear  $\Phi : \ell^1 \rightarrow X^*$ , with  $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$ . We need to show that  $\Phi$  is surjective and isometric.

Let  $\theta$  be in  $X^*$ . We start by defining, for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $y_n = \theta(\mathbf{e}_n)$ ; for a given  $N$  the vector  $\mathbf{z}$  with

$$z_n = \begin{cases} \overline{\text{sign } y_n} & (1 \leq n \leq N) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is in  $X$  and satisfies  $\|\mathbf{z}\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Hence  $\|\theta\| \geq |\theta(\mathbf{z})| = \sum_{n=1}^N |y_n|$ , which shows that the series  $\sum_n |y_n|$  is convergent. We define  $y_0$  by

$$y_0 = \theta(\mathbf{a}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n.$$

We now have an element  $\mathbf{y}$  of  $\ell^1$  which, by construction, satisfies  $\Phi(\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{e}_n) = \theta(\mathbf{e}_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and  $\Phi(\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{a}) = y_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} y_n = \theta(\mathbf{a})$ . Since  $\theta$  and  $\Phi(\mathbf{y})$  are both continuous linear functionals, they agree on the closed linear span of  $\{\mathbf{a}\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_n : n \geq N\}$ , which is the whole of  $X$ .

Finally, to see that  $\Phi$  is isometric, we consider, for a given  $\mathbf{y}$  and an arbitrary  $N$ , the vector  $\mathbf{w}$  given by

$$w_n = \begin{cases} \overline{\text{sign}} y_0 & (n = 0) \\ \overline{\text{sign}} y_n & (1 \leq n \leq N) \\ \frac{1}{2} \overline{\text{sign}} y_0 & (n > N). \end{cases}$$

We have  $\|\mathbf{w}\|_\infty \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{w})| &= \left| \sum_{n=0}^N |y_n| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} w_0 y_n \right| \\ &\geq \sum_{n=0}^N |y_n| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |y_n|. \end{aligned}$$

This quantity tends to  $\|\mathbf{y}\|_1$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , showing us that  $\|\Phi(\mathbf{y})\| \geq \|\mathbf{y}\|_1$ .

4. As noted in the question  $\phi_n$  is in the dual of  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|\phi_n\| \leq M$ , where  $M$  is the essential supremum of  $|g|$ . Let  $\phi$  be the element of  $L^1(\mathbb{R})^*$  defined by

$$\phi(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) dt \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dt \right).$$

Since  $\sup_n \|\phi_n\| \leq M < \infty$ , to show that  $\phi_n(f) \rightarrow \phi(f)$  for all  $f$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , it will be enough to show that this holds for all  $f$  in some subset  $A$  with dense linear span.

We take  $A$  to be the set of all indicator functions  $\chi_{[a,b]}$  of bounded intervals  $[a,b]$ . When  $f = \chi_{[a,b]}$  we may calculate as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(f) &= \int_a^b g(nt) dt \\ &= \int_{na}^{nb} n^{-1} g(u) du \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N = \lfloor n(b-a)/2\pi \rfloor$  be the greatest integer less than or equal to  $n(b-a)/2\pi$ . Continuing the above calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(f) &= n^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^N \int_{na+2(m-1)\pi}^{na+2m\pi} g(u) du + n^{-1} \int_{na+2N\pi}^{nb} g(u) du \\ &= \frac{N}{n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(u) du + n^{-1} \int_{na+2N\pi}^{nb} g(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

by periodicity of  $g$ .

The second of these terms is in absolute value at most  $2\pi M/n$  since the interval  $[na+2N\pi, nb]$  is of length smaller than  $2\pi$ . Thus the second term tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

To evaluate the limit of the first term as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  note that

$$N \leq \frac{n(b-a)}{2\pi} \leq N+1$$

which implies that  $N/n \rightarrow (b-a)/2\pi$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence

$$\frac{N}{n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(u) du \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) dt \right) (b-a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) dt \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[b-a]}(t) dt \right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

5. If  $f \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  and  $g \in L^1[0, 1]$  the function  $f(t)g(t)$  is measurable (product of measurable functions) and dominated by the integrable function  $\|f\|_\infty|g|$ , and so is integrable. We can thus define  $\phi(f) = \int fg$  as in the question. By linearity of  $\int$  the mapping  $\phi : \mathcal{C}[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is linear. Moreover,  $|\phi(f)| \leq \int |fg| \leq \int \|f\|_\infty|g| = \|g\|_1\|f\|_\infty$ . Thus  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]^*$  with  $\|\phi\| \leq \|g\|_1$ . We may easily check that  $\Phi : L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[0, 1]; g \mapsto \phi$  is linear and the above estimate shows that  $\Phi$  is bounded with  $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$ .

Now let  $g$  be a step function on  $[0, 1]$ ; we may find  $a_n$  and  $c_n$  such that  $0 = a_0 < a_2 < \dots < a_N = 1$  and such that  $g$  takes the value  $c_n$  on the open interval  $(a_{n-1}, a_n)$ . For all sufficiently large  $m$  ( $m > \frac{1}{2} \min_n(a_n - a_{n-1})$  will do), we can define a continuous function  $f_m$  by setting

$$f_m(t) = \begin{cases} \overline{\text{sign}} c_1 & (0 \leq t \leq a_1 - m^{-1}) \\ \overline{\text{sign}} c_n & (a_{n-1} + m^{-1} \leq t \leq a_n - m^{-1} \quad 1 \leq n < N) \\ \overline{\text{sign}} c_N & (a_{N-1} + m^{-1} \leq t \leq b, \end{cases}$$

and extending  $f$  so as to be linear on each of the intervals  $[a_n - m^{-1}, a_n + m^{-1}]$  ( $1 \leq n < N$ ).

Certainly  $\|f_m\|_\infty \leq 1$  and  $f_m g$  equals  $|g|$  except on the  $N - 1$  intervals  $[a_n - m^{-1}, a_n + m^{-1}]$ . By the DCT (or an elementary estimation) we see that  $\phi(f_m) \rightarrow \int_0^1 Gg(t)dt = \|g\|_1$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . We have shown that  $\Phi$  restricted to the dense subspace  $L^{\text{step}}[0, 1]$  is isometric. By a density theorem, this implies that  $\Phi$  is isometric on  $L^1[0, 1]$ .

Changing notation, now let  $f_n(t) = t^n$ , noting that  $f_n(t) \rightarrow 0$  almost everywhere on  $[0, 1]$ . By the DCT, with  $|g|$  as dominating function, we see that  $\Phi(g)(f_n) = \int_0^1 t^n g(t)dt \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus holds for all  $g \in L^1[0, 1]$ . However, we may define a bounded linear functional  $\delta_1 \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]^*$  by  $\delta_1(f) = f(1)$  and for this functional we have  $\delta_1(f_n) = 1$ , which does not tend to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is not surjective.

6. For a sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  let  $P_N \mathbf{x}$  be the sequence  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots) = \sum_{n=0}^N x_n \mathbf{e}_n$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell^1$  we have

$$\|\mathbf{x} - P_N \mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |x_n|,$$

and if  $\mathbf{x} \in c_0$  we have

$$\|\mathbf{x} - P_N \mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \sup_{n \geq N+1} |x_n|.$$

In each case, the norm tends to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  showing density of the linear span of  $\{\mathbf{e}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

On the other hand, in  $c$  or in  $\ell^\infty$ , we may consider the sequence  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$  and note that

$$\|\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{w}\|_\infty \geq 1$$

whenever  $\mathbf{w}$  is a (finite) linear combination of the  $\mathbf{e}_n$ .

We now consider a sequence  $(x_n)$  in a Banach space  $X$ . If there exists a bounded linear operator  $T : \ell^1 \rightarrow X$  with  $T(\mathbf{e}_n) = x_n$  then we certainly have

$$\|x_n\| = \|T(\mathbf{e}_n)\| \leq \|T\| \|\mathbf{e}_n\|_1 = \|T\|$$

for all  $n$ , so that the sequence  $(x_n)$  is bounded with  $\sup_n \|x_n\| \leq \|T\|$ .

Conversely, assume that the sequence  $(x_n)$  is bounded with  $\sup_n \|x_n\| = M$ . We may define a linear mapping  $S$  from the linear span  $\text{Sp}\{\mathbf{e}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  into  $X$  by  $S(\sum_{j=0}^N \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_{j=0}^N \lambda_j x_j$ . [Notice that we are looking only at *finite* linear combinations, so that this is “just algebra”.] Using the triangle inequality (N) in  $X$  we obtain

$$\|S(\mathbf{w})\| = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^N \lambda_j x_j \right\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^N |\lambda_j| \|x_j\| \leq M \|\mathbf{w}\|_1,$$

when  $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{j=0}^N \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j$  as above. Thus  $S$  is bounded with  $\|S\| \leq M$ . By a density theorem (applicable because  $X$  is a Banach space), we may extend  $S$  to a (unique)  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^1; X)$ . By our calculations  $\|T\| = M$ .

We now suppose that  $\sum_n \|x_n\|$  converges. Then, for any bounded sequence  $(y_n)$  (in particular, for any  $\mathbf{y} \in c_0$ ), the series  $\sum y_n x_n$  converges absolutely in the Banach space  $X$  and so defines an element which we may denote  $T(\mathbf{y})$ . It is standard to check that  $T$  is linear, bounded with  $\|T\| \leq \sum \|x_n\|$ , and that  $T(\mathbf{e}_n) = x_n$ .

To show that the condition is not necessary, consider  $T$  defined by  $T(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{z}$ , where  $z_n = w_n/n + 1$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). If  $\mathbf{w}$  is bounded then  $\sum_n |z_n|^2$  converges by comparison with  $\sum (n+1)^{-2}$ , and so  $\mathbf{z} \in \ell^2$ . We may check that  $T$  is linear, that  $T$  is bounded (with  $\|T\| = \pi/\sqrt{6}$  if you wish to be precise!) But  $\sum \|T(\mathbf{e}_n)\|_2 = \sum (n+1)^{-1}$  which is not convergent.