# Glacier and Ice-Sheet Hydrology



Ian Hewitt, University of Oxford <u>hewitt@maths.ox.ac.uk</u>

Why is glacier or ice sheet hydrology important?

Where is water produced on a glacier or ice sheet? How much?

What happens to that water?

How does water move at the base of a glacier or ice sheet?

# Thermal setting





# Water sources in Antarctica

Basal melting ~ 10 mm/y





⇒ Basal melting (grounded ice) ~ 65 Gt/y
Surface melting ~ 150 Gt/y

# Water sources in Greenland

Basal melting ~ 10 mm/y

Surface melting  $\sim 1 \text{ m/y}$ 





# Greenland hydrology



# Antarctic hydrology



# Pressurised subglacial water $Z_s$ Hydraulic head $\frac{\phi}{\rho_w g}$ H**Hydraulic potential** $\phi = \rho_w q Z_b + p_w$ $= \rho_w g Z_b + \rho_i g (Z_s - Z_b) - N$ in terms of effective pressure $N = p_i - p_w$ $\Psi = -\rho_i g \nabla Z_s - (\rho_w - \rho_i) g \nabla Z_b$ **Potential gradient** $-\nabla \phi = \Psi + \nabla N \approx \Psi$

A common assumption is  $p_w \approx kp_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\nabla \phi \approx -\rho_i g \nabla Z_s - k(\rho_w - \rho_i) g \nabla Z_b$  'Shreve potential'



Subglacial water predominantly flows **down ice surface slope** 

# Subglacial drainage systems



Water film Weertman 1972, Walder 1982

Poiseuille flux 
$$Q = \frac{h^3}{12\eta} (\Psi + \nabla N)$$



Water flow dissipates energy through heating

 $\Rightarrow$  Leads to an instability





⇒ Flow wants to concentrate in **localized channels / tunnels** 



# Röthlisberger channels Röthlisberger 1972, Nye 1976

Ice wall **melting** is counteracted by **viscous creep** 



Model (ignoring pressure dependence of melting temperature)

$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{m}{\rho_w} + M$
$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{m}{\rho_i} - \tilde{A}SN^n$
$mL = Q\left(\Psi + \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)$
$Q = K_c S^{4/3} \left( \Psi + \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} \right)^{1/2}$

water mass conservation

wall evolution

local energy conservation

momentum conservation (turbulent flow parameterization)

Neighbouring channels compete with one another



 $\Rightarrow$  leads to an arterial network



Effective pressure INCREASES with discharge

# Röthlisberger channels



# Jökulhlaups (Glacial Lake Outburst Floods)



Skeidarársandur, Iceland 1996

### Jökulhlaups Nye 1976, Spring & Hutter 1981, Clarke 2003

A significant success of the channel theory is the application to **floods from ice-dammed lakes** 

 $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{S^{4/3} \Psi^{3/2}}{\rho_i L} - \tilde{A} S N^n$ Combine **channel evolution** equation with a **lake filling** equation  $-\frac{A_L}{\rho_w q} \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = m_L - Q$ 6 1972 5 hydrograph model 4  $Q (10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})^3$ 2 1

0

Fowler 2009

0

0.05

0.1

t (year)

0.15

0.2

# Evidence for channelised water flow beneath grounding lines

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Le Brocq et al 2013

Е

Localised subglacial discharge initiates sub-shelf plumes and ice-shelf channels

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Linked cavities Walder 1986, Kamb 1987

Cavities grow through sliding over bedrock

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Model

$$\frac{\partial \hat{S}}{\partial t} = U_b h_r - \tilde{A} \hat{S} N^n$$

Approximate steady-state  $\Rightarrow$ 

 $N(Q) \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial N}{\partial Q} < 0$ 

Effective pressure DECREASES with discharge

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Drainage system stability

Energy is still dissipated by distributed water flow

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{m}{\rho_i} + U_b h_r - \tilde{A} S N^n$$

A linked cavity system can become unstable to produce channels

eg. if discharge becomes sufficiently large, or sliding speed sufficiently low

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

Conversely, a channel can become unstable to cavities

eg. if discharge low, or sliding speed sufficiently high

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Drainage through sediments

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hydraulic conductivity of till is generally too small to allow significant horizontal flow.

⇒ Water flows in a **patchy film** at the ice-till interface, or in some form of **channels or canals**.

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Canals Walder & Fowler 1994, Ng 2000

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

Gravitational potential gradient

$$\Psi = -\rho_i g \nabla Z_s - (\rho_w - \rho_i) g \nabla Z_b$$

Walder & Fowler suggested two possibilities for steady states:

Channels - mostly melted into ice
$$N \propto \Psi^{7/15}Q^{1/15}$$
 $N > \tilde{N}$ Canals - mostly eroded into sediment $N \propto \Psi^{-1/3}Q^{-1/3}$  $N < \tilde{N}$ Effective pressure in canals DECREASES with increasing discharge $\Longrightarrow$ Flow is distributed

The crucial difference seems to be that erosion tends to produce a **wide cross-section**. Canals are favoured when the **potential gradient is small** (e.g. interior of ice sheets).

# Subglacial lakes

Hundreds of lakes have been detected using radar and satellite observations.

At least some 'active' lakes seem to grow and drain periodically

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

Livingstone et al 2022

# Meltwater deposits and landforms

Deposition of sediments in Röthlisberger channels can build **eskers** 

- Most likely under falling water speed, near margin
- Sediment is flushed from the surrounding bed

# Erosion of sediments from canals can create **tunnel** valleys

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Storrar et al 2014 Geology

# Interaction of sliding and drainage

There is the potential for a **positive feedback** 

Initiation of sliding  $\uparrow U_b$   $\rightarrow$  Increased melting  $\uparrow m \propto \tau_b U_b/L$   $\rightarrow$  Increased discharge  $\uparrow Q$ ?  $\rightarrow$  Lower effective pressure  $\downarrow N$ 

#### ⇒ Can lead to **temporal** or **spatial** instabilities

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Subglacial hydrology in ice-sheet models

see review paper by Gwenn Flowers 2015

On a large scale, distributed systems are described as a 'sheet' flow

Average water depth h Average water pressure  $p_w$ 

 $\mathbf{q} = -Kh^{\alpha}\nabla\phi$ 

Average water flux

Mass conservation

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = \frac{m}{\rho_w} + M$$

$$\uparrow$$
Basal melting

Englacial/supraglacial source

+ some additional ingredient to determine water pressure

eg. water pressure = ice pressure ('routing model'), or an equation for the evolution of the sheet permeability

+ potential to couple to sliding law

![](_page_27_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_13.jpeg)

# Subglacial hydrology in ice-sheet models

Some models couple a distributed 'sheet' with discrete 'conduits' (eg. GLaDS)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Summary

A uniform water film is **unstable**.

Röthlisberger channels form arterial networks.

Distributed flow in **linked cavities** or **sediments**.

On a large scale, the drainage system can be modelled as a **water sheet** with variable thickness and permeability.

Subglacial drainage has important **consequences for ice dynamics**, etc (seasonal/diurnal velocity changes, surges, ice streams, grounding line dynamics, erosion,...)

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)