EVERY FINITE GROUP ADMITS A JUST FINITE PRESENTATION

MARC LACKENBY

Abstract. A finite presentation $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ of a finite group G is called "just finite" if removing any relation from R results in a presentation for an infinite group. It has been an open question (Kourovka Notebook, Problem 21.10) whether every finite group admits such a presentation. We resolve this conjecture in the affirmative.

1. Introduction

Inspired by the extensive theory of just infinite groups [5, 6, 12, 13, 2, 8, 9], Barnea introduced a notion that is, in some sense, dual [1]. He defined a *just finite* presentation of a finite group G, which is a presentation $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ for the group with the property that, for every $r \in R$, the group $\langle X \mid R \setminus \{r\} \rangle$ is infinite. In Problem 21.10 of the Kourovka Notebook [4], Barnea asked whether every finite group admits a just finite presentation. The goal of this note to prove that this is indeed the case.

Theorem 1. *Every finite group admits a finite, just finite presentation.*

This is actually a consequence of a stronger result. Recall that a group G has *Property (FA)* if any action of G on a tree has a fixed point. It is a fundamental theorem of Serre [10] that a finitely generated group has Property (FA) if and only if it is neither a non-trivial amalgamated free product nor an HNN extension. We say that a presentation $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ for a group with Property (FA) is *just-(FA)* if, for every $r \in R$, the group $\langle X \mid R \setminus \{r\} \rangle$ does not have Property (FA).

Theorem 2. *Every finitely presented group with Property (FA) admits a finite just-(FA) presentation.*

This implies Theorem 1, since a finite group G clearly has Property (FA). Hence, it admits a finite just-(FA) presentation, which is therefore just-finite.

We also note the following consequence, concerning groups G with Kazhdan's Property (T) [3]. We say that a presentation $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ for such a group

The author was partially supported by EPSRC grant EP/Y004256/1. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any author accepted manuscript arising from this submission. Significant assistance was provided by the Google DeepMind AI co-mathematician tool. See the Methodology section for more details.

is *just-(T)* if, for every $r \in R$, the group $\langle X \mid R \setminus \{r\} \rangle$ does not have Property (T). Since Property (T) implies Property (FA) for countable discrete groups [11], Theorem 2 gives the following result.

Theorem 3. *Every finitely presented group with Property (T) admits a finite just-(T) presentation.*

2. The Construction

The aim is to start with a finite presentation $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ of the given group G with Property (FA) and modify it to a just-(FA) presentation. Each relation will be substituted for two new relations that have a form based on the following lemma.

Lemma 4 (B.H. Neumann [7])**.** *Let H be a group with elements $u, v \in H$ satisfying $u^{-1}vu = v^2$ and $v^{-1}uv = u^2$. Then $u = 1$ and $v = 1$.*

Proof. From the second relation, $v^{-1}uv = u^2$, we can write the commutator $[u, v] = u^{-1}(v^{-1}uv) = u^{-1}u^2 = u$. From the first relation, $u^{-1}vu = v^2$, we can invert it to get $u^{-1}v^{-1}u = v^{-2}$. We can then write the commutator as $[u, v] = (u^{-1}v^{-1}u)v = v^{-2}v = v^{-1}$. Equating the two expressions for the commutator, we have $u = v^{-1}$. Substituting this into the first relation $u^{-1}vu = v^2$ yields $(v^{-1})^{-1}v(v^{-1}) = v^2$, which simplifies to $v = v^2$. This implies $v = 1$, and therefore $u = 1$. □

The following type of semi-direct product of cyclic groups plays a technical role in our proof.

Lemma 5. *For $k > 1$, the presentation $\langle x, y \mid x^{-1}yx = y^2, x^k = 1 \rangle$ specifies the group $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_k$, where $\langle x \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_k$ and $\langle y \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{2^k-1}$ and the conjugation action of x on $\langle y \rangle$ is $y \mapsto y^2$.*

Proof. There is a homomorphism $\langle x, y \mid x^{-1}yx = y^2, x^k = 1 \rangle \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^k-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_k$, since the two relations are satisfied in the image group. It is surjective because the images of x and y generate $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_k$. Any element in $\langle x, y \mid x^{-1}yx = y^2, x^k = 1 \rangle$ can be written as $x^m y^n$ for some integers m and n. Using $x^k = 1$, we may assume $0 \leq m < k$. Conjugating y by x a total of k times gives y^{2^k}. Since $x^k = 1$, we deduce that $y^{2^k} = y$. So, we may also assume $0 \leq n < 2^k - 1$. So $\langle x, y \mid x^{-1}yx = y^2, x^k = 1 \rangle$ has at most $k(2^k - 1)$ elements. However, $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_k$ has exactly this many elements, and therefore the above surjective homomorphism is an isomorphism. □

We are now in a position to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let G be a finitely presented group with Property (FA). If G is cyclic, G must be a finite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and so admits the balanced, just-(FA) presentation $\langle x \mid x^n = 1 \rangle$.

Assume G is non-cyclic. Let $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ be an irredundant finite presentation for G (that is, for any $r \in R$, the group $H_r = \langle X \mid R \setminus \{r\} \rangle$ is not isomorphic to G, implying $r \neq 1$ in H_r).

We define a new presentation $P' = \langle X' \,|\, R' \rangle$ as follows:

- $X' = X \cup \{b_r \,|\, r \in R\}$
- $R' = \{r^{-1} b_r r = b_r^2 \,|\, r \in R\} \cup \{b_r^{-1} r b_r = r^2 \,|\, r \in R\}$

By Lemma 4, these relations force $b_r = 1$ and $r = 1$ for all $r \in R$. (See Section 3 for a specific example of such a presentation P'.)

We claim that P' presents G. Consider what happens to the group $G = \langle X \,|\, R \rangle$ when a new generator b_r is added, the relation r is removed and then replaced by the two new relations $r^{-1} b_r r = b_r^2$ and $b_r^{-1} r b_r = r^2$. These force $b_r = 1$ and $r = 1$. Conversely $b_r = 1$ and $r = 1$ imply the two new relations $r^{-1} b_r r = b_r^2$ and $b_r^{-1} r b_r = r^2$. Thus, instead of adding these two new relations, we could have simply set $b_r = 1$ and $r = 1$. In other words, the new group is obtained from $G = \langle X \,|\, R \rangle$ by adding the new generator b_r and a new relation $b_r = 1$. Hence, this does not change the group. Repeating this for each relation in R, we deduce that P' does present G.

We must show P' is just-(FA). Let $r \in R$. We show that removing either of the corresponding relations yields a group without Property (FA).

Case 1: Remove $b_r^{-1} r b_r = r^2$. Let K_1 be the resulting group. As above, let H_r be the group $\langle X \,|\, R \setminus \{r\} \rangle$. This also has a presentation obtained from P' by removing the generator b_r and the relations $r^{-1} b_r r = b_r^2$ and $b_r^{-1} r b_r = r^2$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the order of r in H_r. Since R is irredundant, $k > 1$. Thus K_1 can be decomposed as an amalgamated free product:

$$K_1 \cong H_r *_{\langle r \rangle = \langle x \rangle} B'$$

where $B' = \langle x, b_r \,|\, x^{-1} b_r x = b_r^2, x^k = 1 \rangle$. When $k = \infty$, we do not include the relation $x^k = 1$. Thus, when $k = \infty$, B' is the Baumslag-Solitar group $B(1, 2)$. When k is finite, Lemma 5 gives that the group B' is the semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k - 1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_k$, and the index of the amalgamating subgroup $\langle x \rangle$ in B' is $2^k - 1$. Thus, when $k = \infty$ or $k \geq 2$, this index is at least 3.

An amalgamated free product $A *_C B$ is non-trivial when $C \neq A$ and $C \neq B$. Since $[B' : \langle x \rangle] \geq 3 > 1$, K_1 is a non-trivial amalgamated free product unless $H_r = \langle r \rangle$. In this case, H_r is a cyclic group. However, G is the quotient of H_r by the normal closure of r. Since any quotient of a cyclic group is cyclic, this contradicts the assumption that G is non-cyclic. Therefore, $H_r \neq \langle r \rangle$, and K_1 is a non-trivial amalgamated free product.

Case 2: Remove $r^{-1} b_r r = b_r^2$. Let K_2 be the resulting group. The remaining relation is $b_r^{-1} r b_r = r^2$. We define a homomorphism $\phi : K_2 \to \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ by setting $\phi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$, $\phi(b_{r'}) = 1$ for $r' \neq r$, and $\phi(b_r) = t$. Note that since X maps to the identity, all original relations in $R \setminus \{r\}$ are trivially satisfied. Under this map, the remaining relation maps to $t^{-1} \cdot 1 \cdot t = 1$. This homomorphism is well-defined and maps onto the infinite group $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, K_2 does not have Property (FA).

Thus, removing any relation from P' yields a group without Property (FA), and so P' is a just-(FA) presentation for G. □

We remark, as a consequence of the above proof, the following result. Recall that the *deficiency* of a presentation $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ is $|X| - |R|$.

Theorem 6. *Let P be any finite irredundant presentation of a non-cyclic group G with Property (FA). Then G admits a finite, just-(FA) presentation P' where the deficiency of P' is equal to that of P, and where the generators of P' represent the same elements of G that the generators of P did, plus multiple copies of the identity element.*

3. Examples

The following is a presentation of the dihedral group of order 8:

$$\langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^4 = 1, \tau^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^3 \rangle.$$

When the first relation is removed, this gives a semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}_8 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, by an argument analogous to the one in Lemma 5. When the second relation is removed, the resulting group admits a surjective homomorphism onto $\mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$, sending σ to 1 and τ to t. When the third relation is removed, we have the free product $\mathbb{Z}_4 * \mathbb{Z}_2$. So this presentation is irredundant but not just finite. When we apply the above procedure to it, we obtain the just finite presentation

$$\left\langle \sigma, \tau, a, b, c \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \sigma^{-4}a\sigma^4 = a^2, a^{-1}\sigma^4 a = \sigma^8, \\ \tau^{-2}b\tau^2 = b^2, b^{-1}\tau^2 b = \tau^4, \\ (\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau\sigma^{-3})^{-1}c(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau\sigma^{-3}) = c^2, \\ c^{-1}(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau\sigma^{-3})c = (\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau\sigma^{-3})^2 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

However, the following presentation for the dihedral group of order 8 is already just finite:

$$\langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^4 = 1, \tau^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1} \rangle.$$

Removing the first relation gives the infinite dihedral group.

4. Methodology

This paper was produced using the AI co-mathematician tool [14] developed by Google DeepMind. The author posed Problem 21.10 from the Kourovka Notebook to the AI co-mathematician. AI co-mathematician proposed a solution, which was essentially the proof given in this paper, but then found a flaw in its argument which it was unable to resolve. The issue was that it was uncertain how to proceed in the case where $H_r = \langle r \rangle$ in Case 1 of the proof of Theorem 2. By analysing the argument carefully, the author was able to find a fix for it, which the AI co-mathematician then confirmed was correct and wrote up. The author then made some minor edits and clarifications. The author then introduced the notions of just-(FA) and just-(T) presentations, and made the necessary minor adjustments to the proofs, resulting in this paper. The paper was then checked and corrected by the AI co-mathematician tool.

References

[1] Y. Barnea. Private communication, 2026.

[2] R. I. Grigorchuk. Just infinite branch groups. In Marcus du Sautoy, Dan Segal, and Aner Shalev, editors, *New Horizons in pro-p Groups*, pages 121–179. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.

[3] D. A. Každan. On the connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 1:71–74, 1967.

[4] Evgeny Khukhro and Victor Mazurov, editors. *Kourovka Notebook: Unsolved problems in group theory*. https://kourovkanotebookorg.wordpress.com/, 21st edn. edition, 2026.

[5] Donald McCarthy. Infinite groups whose proper quotient groups are finite. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 21:545–562, 1968.

[6] Donald McCarthy. Infinite groups whose proper quotient groups are finite. II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 23:767–789, 1970.

[7] B. H. Neumann. An essay on free products of groups with amalgamations. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 246:503–554, 1954.

[8] Colin D. Reid. On the structure of just infinite profinite groups. *J. Algebra*, 324(9):2249–2261, 2010.

[9] Colin D. Reid. Subgroups of finite index and the just infinite property. *J. Algebra*, 324(9):2219–2222, 2010.

[10] Jean-Pierre Serre. Amalgames et points fixes. In *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Theory of Groups (Australian Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1973)*, volume Vol. 372 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 633–640. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1974.

[11] Yasuo Watatani. Property T of Kazhdan implies property FA of Serre. *Math. Japon.*, 27(1):97–103, 1982.

[12] J. S. Wilson. Groups with every proper quotient finite. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 69:373–391, 1971.

[13] John S. Wilson. On just infinite abstract and profinite groups. In Marcus du Sautoy, Dan Segal, and Aner Shalev, editors, *New Horizons in pro-p Groups*, pages 181–203. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.

[14] Daniel Zheng, Ingrid von Glehn, Yori Zwols, Iuliya Beloshapka, Lars Buesing, Daniel M. Roy, Martin Wattenberg, Bogdan Georgiev, Tatiana Schmidt, Andrew Cowie, Fernanda Viegas, Dimitri Kanevsky, Vineet Kahlon, Hartmut Maennel, Sophia Alj, George Holland, Alex Davies, and Pushmeet Kohli. AI co-mathematician: Accelerating mathematicians with agentic AI. arxiv:cs.AI:2605.06651, 2026.

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford,
Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, United Kingdom